

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 67358 / July 5, 2012

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-14937

In the Matter of

MARCO GLISSON,

Respondent.

**ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE
PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION
15(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934 AND NOTICE OF HEARING**

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Marco Glisson (“Respondent” or “Glisson”).

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. RESPONDENT

1. Glisson, 56 years old, is a resident of Naples, Florida. Until August 2006, Glisson was employed as an automobile worker and worked at a restaurant in Janesville, Wisconsin, where he resided before he moved to Las Vegas, Nevada, and then to Naples, Florida.

Glisson has never been, and has never applied with the Commission to be, a registered securities broker or dealer, nor has he been associated with any broker or dealer.

B. ENTRY OF THE INJUNCTION

2. On April 11, 2012, a judgment of permanent injunction and other relief was entered against Glisson, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, and Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Marco Glisson, Civil Action Number CV-09-0104-LDG-GWF, in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

3. The Commission's complaint alleged that from December 2005 through April 2007, Glisson acted as an unregistered broker or dealer and illegally sold deregistered securities of CMKM Diamonds, Inc. The complaint alleged that CMKM's registration with the Commission was revoked and the stock delisted on October 28, 2005. According to the complaint, Glisson, a retired auto worker and part-time restaurant worker who used the name "Deli Dog" or "Deli" in Internet chat rooms, identified potential buyers and sellers by frequenting CMKM-related internet chat rooms and through referrals from past buyers and sellers. The complaint further alleged that Glisson then negotiated the terms of the transaction and consummated it by exchanging money for the pertinent CMKM stock certificate and that, through these practices, Glisson made a market in deregistered CMKM securities at a time when legitimate broker-dealers refused to execute such purchases or sales because of the Commission's deregistration of CMKM.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations set forth in Section II hereof are true and, in connection therewith, to afford Respondent an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and

B. What, if any, remedial action is appropriate in the public interest against Respondent pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act.

IV.

IT IS ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.110.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within twenty (20) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.220.

If Respondent fails to file the directed answer, or fails to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondent may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against him upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f) and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f) and 201.310.

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondent personally or by certified mail.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 210 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice.

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not "rule making" within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary