I. The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act") against Donald Longueuil ("Longueuil" or "Respondent").

II. In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.2, and III.4 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Longueuil, age 35, resides in New York, New York. From July 2008 to June 2010, Longueuil was a portfolio manager at CR Intrinsic Investors, LLC, an unregistered investment advisor that is affiliated with SAC Capital Management LLC and based in Stamford, Connecticut. From June 2004 to June 2008, Longueuil was an analyst and ultimately a managing director at Empire Capital Management, LLC (“Empire Capital”), an unregistered investment adviser and hedge fund located in Westport, Connecticut. Empire Capital was a registered investment advisor from January 2006 until December 2006.

2. On February 8, 2011, the Commission filed a civil action against Longueuil in SEC v. Longoria et al., Civil Action No. 11-CV-0753 (S.D.N.Y.). On September 12, 2011, the Court entered an order permanently enjoining Longueuil, by consent, from future violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 thereunder.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, Longueuil knew, recklessly disregarded, or should have known, that material non-public information he received from a tipper was disclosed or misappropriated in breach of a fiduciary duty, or similar relationship of trust and confidence, and Longueuil is liable for the trading that occurred in Empire Capital because he directly or indirectly caused Empire Capital to place trades and/or unlawfully tipped inside information to Empire Capital.


5. The counts of the criminal indictment to which Longueuil pled guilty alleged, inter alia, that Longueuil, and others, participated in a scheme to defraud by executing securities trades based on material nonpublic information that had been disclosed or misappropriated in violation of duties of trust and confidence, and that he unlawfully, willfully and knowingly did so, directly and indirectly, by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, and of the mails, and of the facilities of national securities exchanges, in connection with the purchase and sale of securities.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Longueuil’s Offer.
Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act that Respondent Longueuil be, and hereby is:

barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary