

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 65640 / October 27, 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-14604

In the Matter of

**Accesspoint Corp.,
Aero Performance Products, Inc.,
Apex Resources Group, Inc.,
Aradyme Corp.,
Bancroft Uranium, Inc.,
Fightersoft Multimedia Corp.,
Fortress Financial Group, Inc., and
Global Aircraft Solutions, Inc.,**

Respondents.

**ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE
PROCEEDINGS AND NOTICE
OF HEARING PURSUANT TO
SECTION 12(j) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Respondents Accesspoint Corp., Aero Performance Products, Inc., Apex Resources Group, Inc., Aradyme Corp., Bancroft Uranium, Inc., Fightersoft Multimedia Corp., Fortress Financial Group, Inc., and Global Aircraft Solutions, Inc.

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. RESPONDENTS

1. Accesspoint Corp. (“ASAP”) ¹ (CIK No. 1104174) is a Nevada corporation located in Las Vegas, Nevada with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). ASAP is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form

¹The short form of each issuer’s name is also its stock symbol.

10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 2005, which reported a net loss of \$297,775 for the prior nine months. As of October 24, 2011, the common stock of ASAP was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the piggyback exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

2. Aero Performance Products, Inc. (“AERP”) (CIK No. 1160598) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Bluffdale, Utah with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). AERP is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, which reported a net loss of \$512,201 for the prior nine months. On September 29, 2008, AERP consented to the entry of an order that it cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of Sections 17(g), 18(a), 18(d) and 18(i) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 and Rule 17g-1 thereunder, and also permanently suspending its exemption under Regulation E under the Investment Company Act of 1940. *Aero Performance Products, Inc.*, Admin. Proc. File No. 3-13259 (Sept. 29, 2008). As of October 24, 2011, the common stock of AERP was quoted on OTC Link, had eight market makers, and was eligible for the piggyback exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

3. Apex Resources Group, Inc. (“APXR”) (CIK No. 742248) is a Utah corporation located in Butte, Montana with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). APXR is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008, which reported a net loss of \$415,992 for the prior nine months. As of October 24, 2011, the common stock of APXR was quoted on OTC Link, had seven market makers, and was eligible for the piggyback exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

4. Aradyne Corp. (“ADYE”) (CIK No. 1123580) is an expired Utah corporation located in Salt Lake City, Utah with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). ADYE is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended June 30, 2007, which reported a net loss of \$625,548 for the prior nine months. As of April 19, 2011, the common stock of ADYE was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the piggyback exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

5. Bancroft Uranium, Inc. (“BCFT”) (CIK No. 1170103) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Scottsdale, Arizona with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). BCFT is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2008, which reported a net loss of \$5,761,583 for the prior nine months. As of October 24, 2011, the common stock of BCFT was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the piggyback exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

6. Fightersoft Multimedia Corp. (“FTMC”) (CIK No. 1343557) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Las Vegas, Nevada with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). FTMC is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008, which reported a net loss of \$23,230 for the prior six months. As of October 24, 2011, the common stock of FTMC was quoted on OTC Link, had three market makers, and was eligible for the piggyback exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

7. Fortress Financial Group, Inc. (“FFGO”) (CIK No. 802206) is a Wyoming corporation located in Las Vegas, Nevada with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). FFGO is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 2005, which reported a net loss of \$1,757,919 for the prior nine months. As of October 24, 2011, the common shares of FFGO were quoted on OTC Link, had eight market makers, and were eligible for the piggyback exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

8. Global Aircraft Solutions, Inc. (“GACFQ”) (CIK No. 854171) is a defaulted Nevada corporation located in Tucson, Arizona with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). GACFQ is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2008, which reported a net loss of \$7,381,683 for the prior nine months. On January 30, 2009, GACFQ filed a Chapter 11 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona, which was still pending as of October 24, 2011. As of October 24, 2011, the common stock of GACFQ was quoted on OTC Link, had nine market makers, and was eligible for the piggyback exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

B. DELINQUENT PERIODIC FILINGS

9. As described in more detail above, all of the Respondents are delinquent in their periodic filings with the Commission, have repeatedly failed to meet their obligations to file timely periodic reports, and failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Division of Corporation Finance requesting compliance with their periodic filing obligations or, through their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission as required by Commission rules, did not receive such letters.

10. Exchange Act Section 13(a) and the rules promulgated thereunder require issuers of securities registered pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12 to file with the Commission current and accurate information in periodic reports, even if the registration is voluntary under Section 12(g). Specifically, Rule 13a-1 requires issuers to file annual reports, and Rule 13a-13 requires domestic issuers to file quarterly reports

11. As a result of the foregoing, Respondents failed to comply with Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations contained in Section II hereof are true and, in connection therewith, to afford the Respondents an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and,

B. Whether it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months, or revoke the registration of each class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act of the Respondents identified in Section II hereof, and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 or 12g-3, and any new corporate names of any Respondents.

IV.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.110].

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within ten (10) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b)].

If Respondents fail to file the directed Answers, or fail to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondents, and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 or 12g-3, and any new corporate names of any Respondents, may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against it upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f), and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f), and 201.310].

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondents personally or by certified, registered, or Express Mail, or by other means permitted by the Commission Rules of Practice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 120 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.360(a)(2)].

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not “rule making” within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary