I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted against Travis W. Vrbas ("Respondent" or "Vrbas") pursuant to Rule 102(e)(3)(i) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice.  

1 Rule 102(e)(3)(i) provides, in relevant part, that:

The Commission, with due regard to the public interest and without preliminary hearing, may, by order, . . . suspend from appearing or practicing before it any . . . accountant . . . who has been by name . . . permanently enjoined by any court of competent jurisdiction, by reason of his or her misconduct in an action brought by the Commission, from violating or aiding and abetting the violation of any provision of the Federal securities laws or of the rules and regulations thereunder.
II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.C. below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Rule 102(e) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

A. Vrbas served as chief financial officer of Brooke Corporation (“Brooke”) from March 10, 2008 until October 30, 2008, and as chief financial officer of Brooke Capital Corp. (“Brooke Capital”) from August 15, 2008 until October 30, 2008. Prior to August 15, 2008, Vrbas was the primary accountant in charge of preparing Brooke Capital’s financial statements.

B. Brooke was, at all relevant times, a Kansas corporation with its principal place of business in Overland Park, Kansas. Brooke was a provider of banking, insurance, and other financial services. At all relevant times, Brooke’s common stock was registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”), and traded on the NASDAQ Global Market. Brooke Capital was, at all relevant times, a Kansas corporation with its principal place of business in Overland Park, Kansas. Brooke Capital operated a franchise network of insurance agents. At all relevant times, Brooke Capital’s common stock was registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act, and traded on the American Stock Exchange.

C. On July 13, 2011, a final judgment was entered against Vrbas, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 17(a)(1) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”), Sections 10(b) and 13(b)(5) of the Exchange Act, Rules 10b-5, 13a-14, and 13b2-1 thereunder, and aiding and abetting violations of Sections 13(a), 13(b)(2)(A) and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Travis W. Vrbas, Case No. 11-CV-2251 WEB/KGG, in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas. Vrbas was also ordered to pay a $130,000 civil money penalty.

D. The Commission’s complaint alleged, among other things, that Vrbas was responsible for Brooke filing materially false and misleading financial statements in the company’s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, and in the company’s Forms 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008, the period ended June 30, 2008 and Amended 2008 Form 10-Q for that period, and Form S-3 filed May 28, 2008, and for Brooke Capital filing materially false and...
misleading financial statements in the company’s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, and in the company’s Forms 10-Q for the periods ended March 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008. The Complaint alleged that Vrbas knew that Brooke Capital had improperly recognized loan fee revenue on unfunded loans in a departure from generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), which materially misstated the net income of Brooke and Brooke Capital.

E. In addition, the Complaint alleged that Vrbas made certifications of Brooke’s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, and in the company’s Forms 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008, the period ended June 30, 2008 and Amended 2008 Form 10-Q for that period, and Brooke Capital’s Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2008, which contained untrue statements of material fact, and omitted material facts necessary to make the statements made therein, in light of the circumstances under which the statements were made, not misleading.

F. In addition, the Complaint alleged that Vrbas reviewed and signed Brooke’s Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007, Forms 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008, the period ended June 30, 2008 and Amended 2008 Form 10-Q for that period, and Form S-3 filed May 28, 2008, and Brooke Capital’s Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2008, which contained material misrepresentations and omitted material facts. Those misrepresentations and omissions included, among other things:

1. the extent to which franchise location numbers and growth included abandoned and failed locations;

2. the nature and extent of Brooke Capital’s financial assistance to franchisees, including, the number of franchisees receiving financial assistance, the long-term and recurring nature of such financial assistance to some franchisees, the dependence of some franchisees on such financial assistance to continue operations, and Brooke Capital’s payment of principal and interest on some franchisee loans to Aleritas; and

3. the extent to which Brooke and Brooke Capital were profitable, liquid, and able to cash flow.

G. In addition, the Complaint alleged that Vrbas failed to make and keep accurate books and records of Brooke and Brooke Capital with regards to the misstatements of their financial statements.

H. In addition, the Complaint alleged that Vrbas failed to devise and maintain a system of internal accounting controls sufficient to prevent, detect and correct the misstatements of the financial statements of Brooke and Brooke Capital.
IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanction agreed to in Respondent Vrbas’ Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED, effective immediately, that:

Vrbas is suspended from appearing or practicing before the Commission as an accountant.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary