I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Giuseppe Tullio Abatemarco ("Respondent").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.3 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act ("Order"), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Respondent is employed by AXA Winterthur. He is 40 years old and resides in Switzerland. AXA is a broker-dealer and investment adviser registered with the Commission.

2. Martek Biosciences Corporation was a Delaware corporation with its headquarters in Columbia, Maryland. Martek’s common stock was registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act and was quoted on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the ticker symbol MATK. Options in its common stock traded on, among other places, the following exchanges located in New York, New York: NYSE Amex operated by NYSE Amex LLC, NYSE Arca operated by NYSE Arca, Inc., and the International Securities Exchange.

3. On May 24, 2011, a final judgment was entered by consent against Respondent, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 10(b) and 14(e) of the Exchange Act and Rules 10b-5 and 14e-3 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Giuseppe Tullio Abatemarco, No. 10-cv-9527 (WHP), in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

4. The Commission’s amended complaint alleged that in the days preceding the announcement that another company would commence a cash tender offer to acquire all the outstanding shares of the common stock of Martek, Respondent purchased 2,616 Martek call option contracts based on material non-public information he acquired from the common-law wife of an employee of the offeror in violation of Sections 10(b) and 14(e) of the Exchange Act and Exchange Act Rules 10b-5 and 14e-3. The Commission further alleged that upon selling the call option contracts shortly after the announcement, Respondent netted illegal trading profits of almost $1.2 million.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, as amended by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, PL 111-203, July 21, 2010, 124 Stat. 1376, that Respondent be, and hereby is, barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Respondent be, and is hereby, barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.
Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary
Service List

Rule 141 of the Commission's Rules of Practice provides that the Secretary, or another duly authorized officer of the Commission, shall serve a copy of the Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), on the Respondent and his legal agent.

The attached Order has been sent to the following parties and other persons entitled to notice:

Honorable Brenda P. Murray
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC  20549-2557

Richard Hong, Esq.
Securities and Exchange Commission
Division of Enforcement
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549-4010-A

Mr. Giuseppe Tullio Abatemarco
c/o Douglas M. Tween, Esq.
Baker & McKenzie LLP
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

Douglas M. Tween, Esq.
Baker & McKenzie LLP
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(Counsel for Giuseppe Tullio Abatemarco)