
II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. RESPONDENTS

1. AdAl Group, Inc. (“ADGR”) ¹ (CIK No. 810370) is a void Delaware corporation located in New York, New York with a class of securities registered with the Commission

¹The short form of each issuer’s name is also its stock symbol.
pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). ADGR is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 2005, which reported a net loss of $3,813,000 for the prior nine months. As of March 3, 2011, the common stock of ADGR was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

2. Com/Tech Communication Technologies, Inc. (“CMTK”) (CIK No. 945640) is a dissolved New York corporation located in New York, New York with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). CMTK is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended December 31, 1996, which reported a net loss of $1,361,053 for the prior nine months. As of March 3, 2011, the common stock of CMTK was quoted on OTC Link, had four market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

3. Dialog Group, Inc. (“DLGO”) (CIK No. 1051059) is a void Delaware corporation located in New York, New York with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). DLGO is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 2007, which reported a net loss of $648,002 for the prior nine months. As of March 3, 2011, the common stock of DLGO was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

4. Eurogas, Inc. (“EUGS”) (CIK No. 783209) is a Utah corporation located in New York, New York with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). EUGS's Forms 10-K for the periods ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009 failed to include audited financial statements as required by Commission rules. Similarly, the financial statements filed with EUGS's Forms 10-Q for the interim periods from March 31, 2007 through September 30, 2010, inclusive, were not reviewed by an independent auditing firm, as required by Commission rules. EUGS's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010 reported a net loss of $1,267,550 for the prior nine months. On November 23, 2004, EUGS was the subject of an involuntary Chapter 7 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Utah, which was closed on March 19, 2007. As of March 3, 2011, the common stock of EUGS was quoted on OTC Link, had fifteen market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

5. Golden Books Family Entertainment, Inc. (n/k/a GB Holdings Liquidation, Inc.) (“GBKF”) (CIK No. 790706) is a forfeited Delaware corporation located in New York, New York with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). GBKF is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2001, which reported a net loss of $9,004,000 for the prior three months. On June 4, 2001, GBKF filed a Chapter 11 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, which was terminated on March 3, 2003. As of March 3, 2011, the common stock of GBKF was quoted on OTC Link, had four market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).
6. Information Management Technologies Corporation ("IMTKA") (CIK No. 824578) is a forfeited Delaware corporation located in New York, New York with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). IMTKA is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 1999, which reported a net loss of $67,304 for the prior three months. On October 7, 1992, IMTKA and its wholly owned subsidiary, INSCI Corp., consented to the entry of an order permanently enjoining them from committing or aiding and abetting any violations of Exchange Act Sections 10(b), 13(a), 13(b), 17A, and Rules 10b-5, 12b-20, 13a-1, 13a-13 and 13b2-1 thereunder. SEC v. Information Management Technologies Corp., et al., 92-Civ-7108 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 7, 1992). See also Litigation Rel. No. 13398, (Oct. 6, 1992). As of March 3, 2011, the common stock of IMTKA was quoted on OTC Link, had three market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

7. Interiors, Inc. ("INRSA") (CIK No. 921563) is a void Delaware corporation located in Braintree, Massachusetts with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). INRSA is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended March 31, 2002, which reported a net loss of $25,179,000 for the prior nine months. As of March 3, 2011, the common stock of INRSA was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3). As of March 3, 2011, the preferred shares of INRSA (symbol "INRSP") were quoted on OTC Link, had two market makers, and were eligible for the "“piggyback”" exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

8. SFG Financial Corp. ("SFGF") (CIK No. 751418) is a void Delaware corporation located in New York, New York with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). SFGF is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-KSB for the period ended January 31, 2008, which reported a net loss of $15,385,370 for the prior year. As of March 3, 2011, the common stock of SFGF was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

B. DELINQUENT PERIODIC FILINGS

9. As discussed in more detail above, all of the Respondents are delinquent in their periodic filings with the Commission, have repeatedly failed to meet their obligations to file timely periodic reports, and failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Division of Corporation Finance requesting compliance with their periodic filing obligations or, through their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission as required by Commission rules, did not receive such letters.

10. Exchange Act Section 13(a) and the rules promulgated thereunder require issuers of securities registered pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12 to file with the Commission current and accurate information in periodic reports, even if the registration is voluntary under Section 12(g). Specifically, Rule 13a-1 requires issuers to file annual reports, and Rule 13a-13 requires issuers to file quarterly reports.
11. As a result of the foregoing, Respondents failed to comply with Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations contained in Section II hereof are true and, in connection therewith, to afford the Respondents an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and,

B. Whether it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months, or revoke the registration of each class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act of the Respondents identified in Section II hereof, and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 or 12g-3, and any new corporate names of any Respondents.

IV.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.110].

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within ten (10) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220(b) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b)].

If Respondents fail to file the directed Answers, or fail to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondents, and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 or 12g-3, and any new corporate names of any Respondents, may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against it upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f), and 310 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f), and 201.310].

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondents personally or by certified, registered, or Express Mail, or by other means permitted by the Commission Rules of Practice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 120 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.360(a)(2)].

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not “rule
making” within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not
deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final
Commission action.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary
Service List

Rule 141 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice provides that the Secretary, or another duly authorized officer of the Commission, shall serve a copy of the Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings and Notice of Hearing Pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Order”), on the Respondents and their legal agents.

The attached Order has been sent to the following parties and other persons entitled to notice:
The Honorable Brenda P. Murray
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20549-2557

David S. Frye, Esq.
Neil J. Welch, Jr., Esq.
Division of Enforcement
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20549-6010

By Express Mail:
AdAl Group, Inc.
67 Wall Street, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10005-3101

AdAl Group, Inc.
c/o United States Corp. Co.
Registered Agent
2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400
Wilmington, DE 19808

By Express Mail:
Com/Tech Communication Technologies, Inc.
770 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10021

Com/Tech Communication Technologies, Inc.
c/o Ms. Kathleen Harper
DOS Process Address
149 E. 61st Street
New York, NY 10021

By Express Mail:
Dialog Group, Inc.
91 French Avenue
Braintree, MA 02184

Interiors, Inc.
c/o The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc.
Registered Agent
2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400
Wilmington, DE 19808

By Express Mail:
SFG Financial Corp.
575 Madison Avenue, 8th Floor
New York, NY 10022

SFG Financial Corp.
c/o The Corporation Trust Co.
Registered Agent
1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

[The Division of Enforcement will also have a process server attempt personal service on all respondents.]