ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
AND SECTION 203(f) OF THE
INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) and Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (“Advisers Act”) against Barry M. Kornfeld (“Kornfeld” or “Respondent”).

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings
herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. From January 2004 to June 2007, Kornfeld was a registered representative in the Coral Springs office of Brookstreet Securities Corp. ("Brookstreet"). Brookstreet was a broker-dealer and investment adviser dually registered with the Commission. Kornfeld holds series 4, 7, 24, 31, 63, and 66 licenses. Kornfeld, age 46, resides in Parkland, Florida.

2. On September 9, 2009, a judgment was entered by consent against Kornfeld, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. William Betta, Jr., et al., Civil Action Number 9:09-cv-80803-KAM, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that, in connection with the offer, sale, or purchase of certain types of Collateralized Mortgage Obligations ("CMOs"), Kornfeld told his customers that the CMOs in which they would invest were safe, secure, liquid investments that were suitable for retirees, retirement accounts, and investors with conservative investment goals. Contrary to what he told customers, between 2004 and 2007, Kornfeld invested his customers’ funds in risky types of CMOs that: (1) were not all guaranteed by the United States government; (2) jeopardized customers’ yield and principal; (3) were largely illiquid; and (4) were only suitable for sophisticated investors with a high-risk investment profile. In addition, Kornfeld margined customers’ accounts, making the CMOs in which his customers invested even more sensitive to changes in interest rates and downturns in the CMO market.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Kornfeld’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act and Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act, that Respondent Kornfeld be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, or investment adviser.
Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary