I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") and Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act") against Aaron Donald Vallett ("Respondent" or "Vallett").

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:
A. RESPONDENT

1. Vallett, age 32, is the owner and associated person of A. D. Vallett & Co., LLC (“Vallett & Co.”), an investment advisory firm located in Brentwood, Tennessee that is registered in the State of Tennessee. For at least a portion of the time in which he engaged in the conduct underlying the complaint described below, Vallett was a registered representative for Institutional Capital Management, Inc., a broker-dealer.

B. ENTRY OF THE INJUNCTION

2. On June 9, 2010, an order of permanent injunction was entered against Vallett, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, and Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Aaron Donald Vallett and A.D. Vallett & Co., LLC., Civil Action Number 3:10-CV-00551, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee. Vallett consented to the order.

3. The Commission’s complaint in the district court action alleged that, between September 2008 and April 2010, Vallett raised approximately $5.5 million from around 20 investors through three unregistered offerings. According to the complaint, many of the investors were advisory clients of Vallett & Co. The complaint alleged that Vallett and his firm misrepresented that investor funds would be secured and would be used to make various investments, including investments in various real estate ventures. According to the complaint, Vallett used substantial investor funds to pay prior investors or to pay personal or business expenses.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations set forth in Section II are true and, in connection therewith, to afford Respondent an opportunity to establish any defenses hereof to such allegations; and

B. What, if any, remedial action is appropriate in the public interest against Respondent pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act;

C. What, if any, remedial action is appropriate in the public interest against Respondent pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act.
IV.

IT IS ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.110.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within twenty (20) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.220.

If Respondent fails to file the directed answer, or fails to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondent may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against him upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f) and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f) and 201.310.

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondent personally or by certified mail.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 210 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice.

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not “rule making” within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary
Service List

Rule 141 of the Commission's Rules of Practice provides that the Secretary, or another duly authorized officer of the Commission, shall serve a copy of the Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and Notice of Hearing ("Order"), on the Respondent and his legal agent.

The attached Order has been sent to the following parties and other persons entitled to notice:

Honorable Brenda P. Murray  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
Securities and Exchange Commission  
100 F Street, N.E.  
Washington, DC 20549-2557

M. Graham Loomis, Esq.  
Atlanta Regional Office  
Securities and Exchange Commission  
3475 Lenox Road, Suite 500  
Atlanta, GA 30326

Mr. Aaron D. Vallett  
c/o Gregory Bartko, Esq.  
3475 Lenox Road, Suite 400  
Atlanta, GA 30326

Gregory Bartko, Esq.  
3475 Lenox Road, Suite 400  
Atlanta, GA 30326  
(Counsel for Aaron D. Vallett)