

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 60157 / June 22, 2009**

**INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940
Release No. 2895 / June 22, 2009**

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-13526**

**In the Matter of
RUBEN SERRANO,
Respondent.**

**ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
AND SECTION 203(f) OF THE
INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS**

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) and Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (“Advisers Act”) against Ruben Serrano (“Serrano” or “Respondent”).

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. In or about and between May 2005 and January 2006 (the “Relevant Period”), Serrano was a registered representative with Maxim Group LLC (“Maxim”), a broker-dealer registered with the Commission that also operated a registered investment advisor, Maxim Financial Advisors LLC. Serrano, 56 years old, is a resident of Jersey City, New Jersey.

2. On May 19, 2009 Serrano pled guilty to conspiracy to commit securities fraud in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 371, and securities fraud in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b), 78ff, and 17 C.F.R. 240.10b-5 before the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, in United States v. Dennis Michael Nouri, et al., Crim. Information No. 07-CR-1029.

3. The counts of the criminal indictment to which Serrano pled guilty alleged, *inter alia*, that Serrano, while associated with Maxim, knowingly and willfully employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud and engaged in acts, practices, and courses of business which would and did operate as a fraud and deceit upon members of the investing public, in connection with the purchases and sales of securities, and by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and the mails.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Serrano’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act and Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act, that Respondent Serrano be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, or investment adviser;

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary