

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
May 29, 2009

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-13494

In the Matter of

STANLEY JOHNSON,

Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,
AND NOTICE OF HEARING

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that a public administrative proceeding be, and hereby is, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Stanley Johnson (“Johnson” or “Respondent”).

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. RESPONDENT

1. Stanley Johnson is the CEO and majority owner of Advance Body Imaging, LP (“Advance Body Imaging”), a California limited partnership with its principal place of business in Orange, California, and the CEO and sole owner of Consulting Dynamics, Inc. (“Consulting Dynamics”), a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Orange, California. Consulting Dynamics is the general partner of Advance Body Imaging. Through his control of Consulting Dynamics, Johnson controlled Advance Body Imaging. Neither Johnson nor Consulting Dynamics is registered as a broker-dealer under the Exchange Act. Johnson, age 51, is a resident of Fullerton, California.

B. ENTRY OF THE INJUNCTION

2. On May 15, 2009, a Revised Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction and other relief was entered against Johnson, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 5 and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, and Sections 10(b) and 15(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, and ordering him to pay disgorgement, prejudgment interest, and civil penalties, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Advance Body Imaging, LP, et al., Civil Action No. 07-CV-1140-DOC (JTLx), in the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

3. The Commission's complaint alleged that, among other things, from July 2004 through March 2007, Johnson, Consulting Dynamics, Advance Body Imaging (collectively, the "Defendants") and their sales agents offered and sold limited partnership units in Advance Body Imaging to more than 100 investors in at least 25 states, raising more than \$3.1 million from those sales. The complaint also alleged that the Defendants and their sales agents, using investor lead lists purchased from lead list brokers, cold-called prospective investors using the telephone, and solicited them to purchase limited partnership units in Advance Body Imaging. In addition, the complaint alleged that in 2005 and 2006, the Defendants maintained a website, www.advancedactivecare.com, promoting Advance Body Imaging's purported diagnostic imaging services and the radiologists and cardiologists purportedly employed to administer those services, provided a telephone number for prospective investors to call for additional information regarding the opportunity to invest in Advance Body Imaging, and allowed prospective investors to provide Advance Body Imaging with their contact information. The complaint further alleged that the Defendants or their sales agents would then contact prospective investors by telephone and attempt to solicit their investment.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations set forth in Section II are true and, in connection therewith, afford Respondent an opportunity to establish any defense to such allegations; and

B. What, if any, remedial action is appropriate in the public interest against Johnson pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act.

IV.

IT IS ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.110.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within twenty (20) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.220.

If Respondent fails to file the directed Answer, or fails to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondent may be deemed in default and the proceeding may be determined against him upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f) and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f) and 201.310.

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondent personally or be certified mail.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 210 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rule of Practice.

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not "rule making" within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary