

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**  
**Before the**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**Release No. 58409 / August 22, 2008**

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING**  
**File No. 3-13145**

**In the Matter of**

**RAYMOND L. LEONARD, JR.**

**Respondent.**

**ORDER INSTITUTING  
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934, MAKING FINDINGS, AND  
IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS**

**I.**

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Raymond L. Leonard, Jr. (“Leonard”).

**II.**

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

**III.**

On the basis of this Order and Respondents’ Offer, the Commission finds that

1. Leonard has engaged in the business of effecting transactions in securities, labeled as “joint venture” interests, for the accounts of others. Leonard has never been registered

with the Commission as a broker or dealer, as required by Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act. Leonard, 48 years old, is a resident of Rancho Santa Margarita, California.

2. On June 26, 2008, a permanent injunction was entered by consent against Leonard, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, Sections 10(b) and 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Consolidated Management Group, LLC, et al. Civil Action Number 08-cv-1159-JTM-DWB, in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas.

3. The Commission's complaint alleged that Leonard sold investments in securities of Consolidated Management Group, LLC, Alliance Leasing LLC, Garner Management, LLC and Golden Belt Transportation, LLC. According to the complaint, these investments were called "joint ventures," but were actually investment contracts and, therefore, securities within the meaning of the federal securities laws. The complaint alleged that Leonard misrepresented numerous material facts concerning, among other things, the purported success and profitability of the ventures, the background and experience of management, and the safety, risks and probable return of the investments, and otherwise engaged in a variety of conduct that operated as a fraud and deceit on investors. The complaint also alleged that Leonard sold unregistered securities.

#### IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent's Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Respondent Leonard be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker or dealer.

Any reapplication for association by Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Florence E. Harmon  
Acting Secretary