UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 58338 / August 11, 2008

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-13125

In the Matter of
James M. Jordan,
Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the
public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to
Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against James M. Jordan
("Jordan" or "Respondent").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, the Respondent has submitted an
Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the
purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the
Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings
herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these
proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent
consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions
("Order"), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and the Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:


2. On May 5, 2008, an order was entered against Jordan permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, Sections 10(b) and 15(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. William P. Sauer, James M. Jordan and Phil D. Kerley, Civil Action Number 1:02-CV-2191, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. Jordan consented to the order.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that, in connection with the unregistered sale of investment contracts, Jordan fraudulently sold at least $84 million of the ETS investment and at least $10 million of the GTS investments. According to the complaint, the ETS and GTS investment agreements were substantially similar in structure, although each investment had a different purchase price and promised investors a slightly different return varying from 14 percent to 15 percent. The complaint also alleged that ETS and GTS depended on the sale of new investments in order to meet their current financial obligations, such as investor lease payments and refunds. The complaint alleged that Jordan knew, or was severely reckless in failing to discover, that ETS, GTS and GCC were functioning as Ponzi schemes. The Commission’s complaint also alleged that Jordan knew, or was severely reckless in not knowing, that his representations that ETS and GTS were safe investments and that ETS and GTS were profitable companies, were false.

4. The complaint also alleged that by virtue of his conduct, Jordan engaged in business as a broker-dealer and induced and attempted to induce the purchase and sale of securities. Jordan was not registered with the Commission as a broker or dealer, and was not associated with any broker or dealer.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Jordan’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Respondent Jordan be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker or dealer.
Any reapplication for association by the Respondents will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondents, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Florence E. Harmon
Acting Secretary