ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE AND CEASE-AND-DESIST PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 203(e) OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940 AND SECTIONS 9(b) AND 9(f) OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940, MAKING FINDINGS AND IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS AND A CEASE-AND-DESIST ORDER

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative and cease-and-desist proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 203(e) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act") and Sections 9(b) and 9(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Investment Company Act") against Salomon Brothers Asset Management Inc. ("Respondent" or "Salomon Brothers").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement ("Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over it and the subject matter of these proceedings, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative and Cease-and-Desist Proceedings Pursuant to Section 203(e) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and Sections 9(b) and 9(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, Making Findings and Imposing Remedial Sanctions and a Cease-and-Desist Order ("Order"), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds\(^1\) that:

**Summary**

This matter concerns violations of Section 19(a) of the Investment Company Act and Rule 19a-1 thereunder by two closed-end funds, Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc. and Salomon Brothers High Income Fund II Inc. (collectively, the “Funds”). Section 19(a) of the Investment Company Act and Rule 19a-1 thereunder require funds to provide shareholders with contemporaneous written statements identifying the source of distributions to shareholders if any portion of the distributions is from a source other than the fund’s net income. The purpose of Section 19(a) and Rule 19a-1 is to provide shareholders adequate disclosure of the sources from which distributions are made.

This matter also concerns violations of Section 34(b) of the Investment Company Act, which makes it unlawful for any person to make an untrue statement in a report filed with the Commission, or to omit to state material facts necessary in order to prevent the statements made therein from being materially misleading.

During the period from January 1, 2001 through April 30, 2003 (the “relevant period”), Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc. made twenty-three distributions to shareholders from shareholder capital, while Salomon Brothers High Income Fund II Inc. made twenty-four distributions from shareholder capital. None of the distributions was accompanied by a notice that contained the information required by Rule 19a-1. The Funds therefore violated Section 19(a) and Rule 19a-1 thereunder. Pursuant to advisory and administrative agreements with the Funds, Salomon Brothers was responsible for providing Section 19(a) notices to shareholders of the Funds. Although Salomon Brothers, which regularly tracked the sources of distributions for the Funds, knew or was reckless in not knowing that the Funds’ distributions were partly funded from shareholder capital during the relevant period, it failed to provide contemporaneous notices containing the information required by Rule 19a-1 to the Funds’ shareholders. Salomon Brothers thus caused and willfully\(^2\) aided and abetted the Funds’ violations of Section 19(a) and Rule 19a-1 thereunder.

Salomon Brothers was also responsible for filing annual reports with the Commission for the Funds during the relevant period. Although the Financial Highlights section of the Funds’ annual reports disclosed that during the relevant period the Funds’ distributions included returns of shareholder capital, the Management Discussion of Fund Performance (“MDFP”) section of the 2002 annual reports for both of the Funds reported an annual dividend without disclosing that a

\(\footnote{1}{\text{The findings herein are made pursuant to Respondent's Offer of Settlement and are not binding on any other person or entity in this or any other proceeding.}}\)

\(\footnote{2}{\text{“Willfully,” as used in this paragraph and in paragraph 10 of this Order means intentionally committing the act that constitutes the violation. See Wonsover v. SEC, 205 F.3d 408, 414 (D.C. Cir. 2000); Tager v. SEC, 344 F.2d 5, 8 (2d Cir. 1965).}}\)
portion thereof included a return of shareholder capital. Likewise, the MDFP section of the 2002 annual reports for both of the Funds provided annualized yield figures that assumed a dividend paid entirely from net income, although actual distributions were partly from shareholder capital. By failing to disclose that a portion of the reported dividends came from shareholder capital, the statements were untrue in that they implied that distributions were entirely from net investment income and thus that investments in the Funds reflected greater returns than was the case. By filing annual reports that contained material omissions or misstatements regarding these two measures of fund performance, Salomon Brothers violated Section 34(b).

Respondent

1. Salomon Brothers, an investment adviser registered with the Commission under Section 203(c) of the Advisers Act, provided investment management and administrative services to a number of closed-end investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act, including Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc. and Salomon Brothers High Income Fund II Inc. Salomon Brothers’ principal place of business is New York, New York. From 2001 through 2003, Salomon Brothers was part of Citigroup’s Asset Management group (“CAM”), a subsidiary of Citigroup Inc., a publicly traded company. Legg Mason, Inc. acquired CAM on December 1, 2005, and is currently in the process of winding down the activities of Salomon Brothers. The Funds are currently managed by Legg Mason Partners Fund Advisor, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Legg Mason, Inc.

Other Relevant Entities

2. Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc., a closed-end, diversified management investment company registered under the Investment Company Act, was incorporated under the laws of Maryland on September 14, 1992. Its shares trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol HIF. Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc., which seeks a high level of current income with capital appreciation as a secondary objective, pays distributions monthly. Its fiscal year ends on December 31.

3. Salomon Brothers High Income Fund II Inc., a closed-end, diversified management investment company registered under the Investment Company Act, was incorporated under the laws of Maryland on March 19, 1998. Its shares trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol HIX. Salomon Brothers High Income Fund II Inc., which seeks a high level of current income with capital appreciation as a secondary objective, pays distributions monthly. Its fiscal year ends on April 30.

Section 19(a) Violations

4. Section 19(a) of the Investment Company Act prohibits investment companies such as closed-end funds from paying distributions from any source other than net income unless the payments are accompanied by contemporaneous written statements to shareholders disclosing the sources of the distributions. Rule 19a-1 specifies that the written statement must be on a separate paper and clearly indicate what portion of the payment is from: 1) net income (not including capital
gains); 2) capital gains; and 3) paid-in surplus or other capital source. The purpose of Section 
19(a) and Rule 19a-1 is to afford shareholders adequate disclosure of the sources from which the 
payments are made so shareholders will not believe that a fund portfolio is generating investment 
income when, in fact, distributions are paid from other sources, such as shareholder capital or 
capital gains.\(^3\)

5. Salomon Brothers provided investment advisory and administrative services to the 
Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc. and the Salomon Brothers High Income Fund II Inc. 
Pursuant to agreements with the Funds, Salomon Brothers was responsible for the Funds’ 
administrative operations and was required to perform its duties consistent with the requirements 
of the Investment Company Act, including Section 19(a).

6. During the relevant period, Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc. and Salomon 
Brothers High Income Fund II Inc. both made distributions to shareholders from shareholder 
capital, as shown below on a per share basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Income Fund Inc.</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Investment Income</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>$1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shareholder Capital(^4)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>$.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Income Fund II Inc.</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Investment Income</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>$1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shareholder Capital(^5)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>$.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. During the relevant period, Salomon Brothers failed to provide notices containing the 
information required by Rule 19a-1 to the Funds’ shareholders, even though the Funds repeatedly 
distributed distributions from shareholder capital. In 2001 and 2002, Salomon Brothers sent written 
notices with distributions for the High Income Fund Inc., but the notices did not inform 
shareholders that the distributions were partly from shareholder capital. Likewise, in 2002 and 
2003, Salomon Brothers sent written notices with distributions for the High Income Fund II Inc., 
but the notices also failed to inform shareholders that the distributions were partly from 
shareholder capital.

---

\(^3\) Rule 19a-1(g) states: “[t]he purpose of this section, in the light of which it shall be construed, is to afford security 
holders adequate disclosure of the sources from which dividend payments are made.” See SEC Release No. 71, 
1941 WL 37715 (Feb. 21, 1941) (“An important feature of the rule is the extent to which it requires explicit and 
affirmative disclosure whenever a dividend is being paid from a capital source.”).

\(^4\) During fiscal years 2001 and 2002, a portion of twenty-three of Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc.’s 
twenty-four monthly distributions came from shareholder capital.

\(^5\) During fiscal years 2002 and 2003, a portion of all twenty-four of Salomon Brothers High Income Fund II Inc.’s 
monthly distributions came from shareholder capital.
8. By paying distributions to shareholders from sources other than net income without properly disclosing the source of those distributions in a notice that accompanied the distributions, the High Income Fund Inc. and High Income Fund II Inc. violated Section 19(a) of the Investment Company Act and Rule 19a-1 thereunder.

9. Salomon Brothers, which closely monitored the sources of distributions for the Funds throughout the year, knew or was reckless in not knowing at the time the Funds’ distributions were paid that such distributions were partly from shareholder capital. Yet Salomon Brothers failed to provide contemporaneous notices that complied with Section 19(a) in 2001, 2002, and 2003.6

10. As a result of the conduct described above, Salomon Brothers caused and willfully aided and abetted the Funds’ violations of Section 19(a) of the Investment Company Act and Rule 19a-1 thereunder.

Section 34(b) Violations

11. Section 34(b) of the Investment Company Act makes it unlawful for any person to make an untrue statement of material fact, or omit material information necessary to make other statements made not misleading, in any registration statement, application, report, account, record, or other document filed with the Commission pursuant to the Investment Company Act.

12. Salomon Brothers was responsible for filing annual reports with the Commission for both of the Funds. In 2002, Salomon Brothers filed annual reports for the Funds in which the MDIP section of the report disclosed an annual dividend without indicating that the figure included returns of shareholder capital. For example, although the Financial Highlights section of Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc.’s 2002 annual report showed that $.14, or 14% of the $1.00 per share annual distribution was from shareholder capital on a tax basis, the MDIP section stated only that “[d]uring the year ended December 31, 2002, the Fund distributed dividends to shareholders totaling $1.00 per share.” Likewise, the MDIP section of Salomon Brothers High Income Fund II Inc.’s 2002 annual report reported a per share annual dividend of $1.38 without disclosing, as the Financial Highlights showed, that $.20, or 14%, of the distribution was from shareholder capital. By failing to disclose that a portion of the reported dividends came from shareholder capital, the statements implied that distributions were entirely from fund net income.

13. Although the Financial Highlights section of the Funds’ 2002 annual reports disclosed that the Funds’ 2002 distributions included returns of shareholder capital on a tax basis, the yield figures in the MDIP sections of the annual reports assumed an annual dividend paid entirely from net income. For example, Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc.’s 2002 annual report stated that the fund had an annualized distribution rate of 10.64% of NAV, calculated based on “the Fund’s current monthly income dividend rate, annualized, and then divided by the NAV or the market price noted in this report. The annualized distribution rate assumes a current monthly income dividend rate of $0.080 [per share] for 12 months.” In fact, as the Financial Highlights showed, 14% of the Salomon Brothers High Income Fund Inc.’s 2002 distributions was a return of

---

6 During the relevant period, the Funds provided shareholders with Internal Revenue Service Forms 1099-DIV that identified the source of the shareholders’ distributions for the prior calendar year. Such notices did not comply with Section 19(a) and Rule 19a-1 because they were not made contemporaneously with each distribution.
shareholder capital on a tax basis. Likewise, although the Financial Highlights section of the Fund’s annual report disclosed that the Fund’s distributions included a return of shareholder capital on a tax basis, Salomon Brothers calculated the annualized distribution rate for Salomon Brothers High Income Fund II Inc.’s 2002 annual report based on the assumption that the dividend was entirely from net income, when that Fund paid 14% of its distributions from shareholder capital. By including return of shareholder capital in the calculation of annualized distribution rates, the statements implied that the current monthly distributions were entirely from fund net income, when they were not.

14. As a result of the conduct described above, Salomon Brothers willfully violated Section 34(b) of the Investment Company Act.

**Respondent’s Cooperation and Remedial Efforts**

15. In determining to accept the Offer, the Commission considered the remedial acts undertaken by Respondent and cooperation afforded to the Commission staff.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Salomon Brothers’ Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Sections 9(b) and 9(f) of the Investment Company Act and Section 203(e) of the Advisers Act that:

A. Salomon Brothers shall cease and desist from causing any violations and any future violations of Section 19(a) of the Investment Company Act and Rule 19a-1 thereunder and from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of Section 34(b) of the Investment Company Act; and

7 “Willfully,” as used in paragraph 14 of this Order means intentionally committing the act that constitutes the violation. See Wonsover v. SEC, 205 F.3d 408, 414 (D.C. Cir. 2000); Tager v. SEC, 344 F.2d 5, 8 (2d Cir. 1965). There is no requirement that the actor also be aware that he or she is violating one of the Rules or Acts.
B. Salomon Brothers shall, within ten (10) days of the entry of this Order, pay a civil money penalty in the amount of $450,000 to the United States Treasury. Such payment shall be: (A) made by United States postal money order, certified check, bank cashier’s check or bank money order; (B) made payable to the Securities and Exchange Commission; (C) hand-delivered or mailed to the Office of Financial Management, Securities and Exchange Commission, Operations Center, 6432 General Green Way, Stop 0-3, Alexandria, VA 22312; and (D) submitted under cover letter that identifies Salomon Brothers Asset Management Inc. as a Respondent in these proceedings, the file number of these proceedings, a copy of which cover letter and money order or check shall be sent to Mark Kreitman, Esq., Division of Enforcement, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549-4628.

By the Commission.

Nancy M. Morris
Secretary