In the Matter of
Kenneth B. Janeski, CPA

ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION FOR
REINSTATEMENT TO APPEAR AND PRACTICE
BEFORE THE COMMISSION AS AN ACCOUNTANT

On October 20, 2004, Kenneth B. Janeski ("Janeski") was denied the privilege of appearing or practicing as an accountant before the Commission as a result of settled public administrative proceedings instituted by the Commission against him pursuant to Rule 102(e)(1)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice.¹ This order is issued in response to Janeski’s application for reinstatement to appear and practice before the Commission as an accountant.

Janeski was found to have engaged in improper professional conduct in connection with performing the reviews and audits of the financial statements filed by Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc. ("Gemstar") from the quarter ended September 30, 1999 through the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002. During this time, Janeski was employed as the SEC reviewing partner for KPMG, LLP’s audits and reviews of the financial statements of Gemstar. As the SEC reviewing partner, Janeski failed to exercise professional care and skepticism, failed to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter and over-relied on Gemstar’s management representations with respect to the audits and reviews of Gemstar’s financial statements. In addition, Janeski failed to take appropriate action to correct disclosures that did not comply with GAAP and were inconsistent with Gemstar’s financial statements. Finally, Janeski failed to render accurate audit reports.

¹ See Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release No. 2125 dated October 20, 2004. Janeski was permitted, pursuant to the order, to apply for reinstatement after one year upon making certain showings.
Janeski has met all of the conditions set forth in his suspension order and, in his capacity as an independent accountant, has stated that he will comply with all requirements of the Commission and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, including, but not limited to all requirements relating to registration, inspections, concurring partner reviews and quality control standards. In his capacity as a preparer or reviewer, or as a person responsible for the preparation or review, of financial statements of a public company to be filed with the Commission, Janeski attests that he will undertake to have his work reviewed by the independent audit committee of any company for which he works, or in some other manner acceptable to the Commission, while practicing before the Commission in this capacity.

Janeski is currently subject to probation under the California Board of Accountancy that is scheduled to end in March, 2008. Failure to abide by the terms of his probation could result in the revocation of Janeski’s CPA license pending notice and an opportunity to be heard by the California Board of Accountancy. Janeski has attested that he will notify the Commission if he is found to have violated the terms of the probation. He has also attested that he understands that the revocation of his CPA license could result in the revocation of the reinstatement of his privilege to appear or practice before the Commission as an accountant.

Rule 102(e)(5) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice governs applications for reinstatement, and provides that the Commission may reinstate the privilege to appear and practice before the Commission “for good cause shown.” This “good cause” determination is necessarily highly fact specific.

On the basis of information supplied, representations made, and undertakings agreed to by Janeski, it appears that he has complied with the terms of the October 20, 2004 order denying him the privilege of appearing or practicing before the Commission as an accountant, that no information has come to the attention of the Commission relating to his character, integrity, professional conduct or qualifications to practice before the Commission that would be a basis for adverse action against him pursuant to Rule 102(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, and that Janeski, by undertaking to have his work reviewed by the independent audit committee of any company for which he works, or in some other manner acceptable to the Commission, in his practice before the Commission as a preparer or reviewer of financial statements required to be filed with the Commission, and that Janeski, by undertaking to comply with all requirements of the Commission and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, including, but not limited to, all requirements relating to registration, inspections, concurring partner reviews and quality control standards, in his practice before the Commission as an independent accountant has shown good cause for reinstatement. Therefore, it is accordingly,

2 Rule 102(e)(5)(i) provides:

“An application for reinstatement of a person permanently suspended or disqualified under paragraph (e)(1) or (e)(3) of this section may be made at any time, and the applicant may, in the Commission’s discretion, be afforded a hearing; however, the suspension or disqualification shall continue unless and until the applicant has been reinstated by the Commission for good cause shown.” 17 C.F.R. § 201.102(e)(5)(i).
ORDERED pursuant to Rule 102(e)(5)(i) of the Commission's Rules of Practice that Kenneth B. Janeski, CPA is hereby reinstated to appear and practice before the Commission as an accountant.

By the Commission.

Nancy M. Morris
Secretary