

DISCLOSURE BROCHURE

February 12, 2018

This brochure provides information about the qualifications and business practices of Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated. This brochure focuses on our Advisory Select Programs; we also offer other wrap fee programs, financial planning, and other advisory services, each covered in a separate brochure. If you have any questions about the contents of this brochure, please contact us at the address or telephone number provided below. The information in this brochure has not been approved or verified by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") or by any state securities authority. Additional information about Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated is available on the SEC's website at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. Registration with the SEC does not imply a certain level of skill or training.

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated
501 North Broadway
St. Louis, Missouri 63102
(314) 342-2000
www.stifel.com

INVESTMENT AND INSURANCE PRODUCTS: NOT FDIC INSURED • NOT A BANK DEPOSIT • NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY • NO BANK GUARANTEE • MAY LOSE VALUE
--

MATERIAL CHANGES

Since Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated (“Stifel” or the “firm”)’s last update in March 2016, the firm has experienced the following changes which may be considered material:

- In January 2017, we standardized our fee schedules for the advisory programs covered in the brochure. Each Client pays a maximum Stifel Advisory Fee of 2.5% (as defined in the section titled “**Fees and Compensation**”). Clients should note, however, that the Stifel Advisory Fee does not include any specific product fees, including fees that may be charged by third-party investment advisers with which clients may elect to invest through certain Stifel advisory programs. *These changes did NOT result in fee increases to existing accounts.* Information on fees may be found in the section “**Fees and Compensation**” of this brochure.
- We updated the section of this brochure titled “**Fees and Compensation – Compensation to Financial Advisors**” to reflect the various benefits and compensation arrangements that we currently have with financial advisors. These arrangements include (but are not limited to) receipt by the financial advisor of a portion of the advisory account fees we receive from clients, incentive compensation and/or equity awards from our parent company determined by a financial advisor’s total client assets under management and/or total revenue produced, recognition levels that confer a variety of benefits (such as conferences and other noncash compensation) that generally increase in value with revenue generated, and, to the extent the financial advisor is also a branch manager or otherwise performs some supervisory activities, the compensation arrangement may also be based on the overall profitability of the branch. Any applicable benefits or compensation arrangement will vary by financial advisor.
- We updated the disclosures regarding the various types of other compensation that we may receive, in addition to the wrap fee, in connection with investments made by our clients, as discussed in the section titled “**Fees and Compensation – Certain Compensation in Addition to the Stifel Advisory Fee.**” These various types of compensation may include, but are not limited to: (i) 12b-1 fees (paid by mutual funds in connection with non-advisory and/or non-institutional share classes, if any, held in advisory client accounts to compensate Stifel for distribution-related expenses incurred in connection with such investments), omnibus fees (to compensate Stifel or the clearing firm for provision of various shareholder services that would otherwise have been provided by the fund), and other payments from investment companies; (ii) compensation from our affiliated bank with respect to credit line loans (also known as “SPA Loans”) that clients may take out with the affiliated bank, collateralized by the assets held in their advisory accounts; and (iii) compensation that we may earn with respect to uninvested cash in client advisory accounts prior to such cash being automatically swept to the client-selected vehicle. The section titled “**Fees and Compensation – Certain Compensation in Addition to the Stifel Advisory Fee**” also includes, where applicable, a discussion of some of the measures that we take to mitigate conflicts of interest related to these payments.
- In the section, “**Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies, and Risk of Loss,**” we clarified our process for selecting mutual funds, exchange traded funds, and investment adviser portfolios that are available in the Programs covered by this brochure, as well as those that are included on our recommended lists. Additionally, we enhanced our discussion of the risks related to non-traditional exchange traded products (which may include exchange traded funds and/or exchange traded notes). Clients in our advisory programs or portfolios within programs that permit the use of these products should carefully consider these risks before investing in these products or selecting a portfolio.
- We updated the section “**Disciplinary Information**” with respect to the following events:

On January 26, 2018, Stifel entered into a Letter of Acceptance, Waiver, and Consent (“AWC”) with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm (i) traded ahead of certain customer orders at prices that would have satisfied the customer orders; (ii) did not maintain adequate supervisory controls that were reasonably designed to achieve compliance with FINRA Rule 5320 and Supplementary Material .02 of FINRA Rule 5320; and failed to report an information barrier

identifier with its order audit trail system (OATS) submission for certain orders. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rules 2010, 3110, 7440(b)(19,) and NASD Rule 3010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure, monetary fine of \$37,500, plus interest of \$318.25, restitution payments to affected investors, and an undertaking to revise its written supervisory procedures relating to Rule 5320 and Supplementary Material .02 of FINRA to settle these allegations.

On January 26, 2018, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to report to the Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine ("TRACE") transactions in TRACE-eligible securitized products within the time required by FINRA Rule 6730. While not admitting or denying these allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$17,500.

In June 2017, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that Stifel did not provide timely disclosures to a municipal issuer in connection with its role as placement agent in a placement of bonds issued by the municipal issuer in accordance with interpretive guidance issued by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB") regarding MSRB Rule G-23. In May 2012, Stifel recommended that the issuer do a placement, in lieu of a public offering, in order to save on debt service costs. The issuer accepted Stifel's recommendation and agreed that Stifel would serve as placement agent. However, Stifel did not provide the disclosures regarding its role in a timely manner. As a result, the firm was alleged to have violated MSRB Rule G-23 by serving as both financial advisor and placement agent on the same issue. While not admitting or denying the allegations, Stifel agreed to a regulatory censure and a monetary fine of \$125,000.

- In March 2017, Stifel consented to the entry of a Cease and Desist Order ("Order") by the SEC in which Stifel was found to have violated Section 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-7 thereunder by failing to adopt or implement adequate policies and procedures to track and disclose the trading away practices of certain Investment Managers in several of Stifel's discretionary wrap fee programs, including information about additional costs incurred by clients as a result of the Investment Manager's use of another broker to execute transactions away from Stifel. Stifel neither admitted nor denied the findings contained in the Order, except those related to jurisdiction and the subject matter of the proceeding. Stifel made several undertakings enumerated in the Order related to the trading away practices of third-party managers, including a review and update of its policies and procedures, providing information to financial advisors and clients, and training financial advisors. Stifel was ordered to pay a civil penalty of \$300,000 and ordered to cease and desist from violating Section 206(4) and Rule 206(4)-7 thereunder.
- On January 4, 2017, an Administrative Consent Order ("Order") was entered against Stifel and a former registered representative associated with Stifel by the Securities Division of the Mississippi Secretary of State ("Division") resolving an investigation into certain activities occurring in two branch offices during the period of September 2000 through November 2013. Without admitting or denying the findings in the Order, Stifel agreed to the entry of the Order directing Stifel to cease and desist from violating Rule 5.15 of the Mississippi Securities Act of 2010, a books and records rule, and to pay the Division \$49,500 on its behalf as well as \$500 on behalf of the former registered representative.
- On December 6, 2016, a final judgment ("Judgment") was entered against Stifel by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-00755) resolving a civil lawsuit filed by the SEC in 2011 involving violations of several antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws in connection with the sale of synthetic collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") to five Wisconsin school districts in 2006. As a result of the Order, Stifel is required to cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of Section 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act, and Stifel and a former employee are jointly liable to pay disgorgement and prejudgment interest of \$2.44 million. Stifel was also required to pay a civil penalty of \$22.5 million. The Judgment also required Stifel to distribute \$12.5 million of the ordered disgorgement and civil penalty to the school districts involved in this matter.

- On April 8, 2016, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm used permissible customer-owned securities as collateral for bank loans procured by the firm. However, on several occasions over a period of years, prior to performing its customer reserve calculation, Stifel substituted those loans with loans secured with firm-owned collateral. The substitution thereby reduced the amount that Stifel was required to deposit into the Customer Reserve Account. FINRA found the practice to be a violation of applicable rules, including Section 15(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 15c3-3(e)(2) thereunder. Throughout the relevant period, the firm had sufficient resources to fund the Customer Reserve Account even if the substitutions had not occurred. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and fine of \$750,000.

- In the section, “**Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations,**” we updated the discussion to reflect the affiliated investment advisers and broker-dealers that we have arrangements with that apply to clients in the advisory programs covered by this brochure. For example, Sagewood Asset Management LLC is no longer one of our affiliates. Some of these affiliates serve as investment managers or otherwise provide services to clients invested in our advisory programs. Similarly, we updated the discussion of the various investment products, such as mutual funds and exchange traded funds that are affiliated with our affiliated investment advisers and/or broker-dealers and may be available to our advisory clients in certain eligible programs. If held in retirement accounts, we rebate the value representing the retirement account’s proportionate share of the compensation received by our affiliate in connection with the product. However, we generally will not provide such rebates to non-retirement accounts in our non-discretionary programs.
- In July 2016, our affiliated trust companies consolidated into two remaining entities; as a result, we deleted references to 1919 Investment Counsel & Trust Co. and the Trust Company of Sterne Agee Inc. from the section “**Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations.**”
- In the section “**Brokerage Practices – Execution of Transactions,**” we updated our discussion of trade away practices of third-party investment advisers with trading discretion over accounts (“Investment Managers”) that are available in the Stifel Score Program, Stifel Opportunity Program, Stifel Horizon Program, and Stifel Investment Management Consulting Program (i.e., Manager-Traded Portfolios). Trades executed away from Stifel may result in additional costs to Clients because the other broker-dealer may charge commissions, markups/markdowns that are embedded in the price of the securities, or other fees. *Clients should review each Investment Manager’s trading away practices before selecting, or while reviewing, a Manager-Traded Portfolio.*
- In the section “**Code of Ethics, Participation or Interest in Client Transactions, and Personal Trading,**” we updated our discussion of the conditions under which we may engage and/or cause an advisory client account to engage in cross or agency cross transactions as well as the potential conflicts associated with these transactions. A cross transaction occurs when we cause a Client account to buy securities from, or sell securities to, another Client, and our firm does not receive a commission from the transaction. An agency cross transaction occurs when our firm acts as broker for a Client account on one side of the transaction and a brokerage account or another Client account on the other side of the transaction in connection with the purchase or sale of securities by the Client account, and our firm receives a commission from the transaction.

Instead of providing an updated brochure each year to Clients, we generally provide this summary of material changes by April 30 of each year. Because it is a summary, it does not contain all of the updates that were made to the brochure. Please read the full brochure, which is available to Clients at no charge on our website at www.stifel.com under the section “Important Disclosures, or by contacting their Financial Advisor. Capitalized terms used in this section have the meanings assigned to them in the main body of this brochure.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	6
ADVISORY BUSINESS	6
ADVISORY SELECT PROGRAMS OFFERED BY STIFEL	7
STIFEL SELECT FUNDS PROGRAM	7
STIFEL SELECT MANAGERS PROGRAM	7
STIFEL SELECT APM PROGRAM	8
STIFEL SELECT ADVISORS PROGRAM	8
STIFEL SELECT INSTITUTIONAL CONSULTING PROGRAM	8
OTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROGRAMS	8
FEES AND COMPENSATION	9
PERFORMANCE-BASED FEES AND SIDE-BY-SIDE MANAGEMENT	15
ACCOUNT REQUIREMENTS AND TYPES OF CLIENTS	15
PORTFOLIO MANAGER SELECTION AND EVALUATION	15
METHODS OF ANALYSIS, INVESTMENT STRATEGIES, AND RISK OF LOSS	16
CLIENT INFORMATION PROVIDED TO PORTFOLIO MANAGERS	20
CLIENT CONTACT WITH PORTFOLIO MANAGERS	20
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	20
DISCIPLINARY INFORMATION	20
OTHER FINANCIAL INDUSTRY ACTIVITIES AND AFFILIATIONS	26
CODE OF ETHICS, PARTICIPATION OR INTEREST IN CLIENT TRANSACTIONS, AND PERSONAL TRADING	27
BROKERAGE PRACTICES	28
CASH SWEEP OPTIONS	32
REVIEW OF ACCOUNTS	32
CLIENT REFERRALS AND OTHER COMPENSATION	33
CUSTODY	34
VOTING CLIENT SECURITIES	34
FINANCIAL INFORMATION	35
ERISA RULE 408(B)(2) DISCLOSURE INFORMATION FOR QUALIFIED RETIREMENT PLANS	35

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

About Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated (“Stifel”) is a broker-dealer that has been registered with the SEC since 1936 and an investment adviser that has been registered with the SEC since May 7, 1975. Stifel is owned by Stifel Financial Corp., a publicly held company whose common stock trades under the symbol “SF.” Stifel is a leading full-service wealth management, investment advisory (“Advisory”), broker-dealer, and investment banking firm, serving the investment and capital needs of its clients. Stifel is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”), the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”), and various exchanges. Information about Stifel’s qualifications, business practices, portfolio management techniques, and affiliates is accessible on our website at www.stifel.com as well as via publicly available filings with the SEC at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov.

In this brochure, the pronouns “we,” “our,” “us,” and similar words will refer to Stifel. The pronouns “you,” “your,” and similar words will refer to you as the Client. References to the singular throughout this brochure include the plural and vice versa. Capitalized terms shall have the meanings assigned to them in this brochure.

Our parent company, Stifel Financial Corp., acquired Sterne Agee Group, Inc. on or around June 1, 2015, including the assets and liabilities of its subsidiaries, Sterne Agee Asset Management, Inc., an SEC-registered investment adviser (“SAAM”), and the brokerage business of Sterne, Agee & Leach, Inc. (“SALI”), an SEC-registered broker-dealer and a member of FINRA. Substantially all of SALI’s brokerage business and a portion of SAAM’s investment advisory business, including the Select Programs covered in this brochure, transferred to Stifel.

Services We Provide

Stifel offers both Advisory and brokerage services to our Clients. For more information about our brokerage business, please refer to the “Brokerage Practices” section of this brochure. ***It is important to understand that brokerage services are separate and distinct from Advisory services, and different laws, standards of care, and separate contracts with clients govern each. While there are similarities among brokerage and Advisory services, our firm’s contractual relationship with and legal duties to clients are subject to a number of important differences depending on whether we are acting in a brokerage or Advisory capacity.***

ADVISORY BUSINESS

Types of Advisory Services Offered by Stifel

Our services include discretionary and non-discretionary Advisory services, which generally involve account and/or portfolio management, financial planning services, and recommendation of, or assistance with the selection of, securities

and/or third-party investment advisers (“Advisers”). Such advisers may include firms that are independent of our firm (“Independent Advisers”) as well as firms owned by our parent company, Stifel Financial Corp. (“Affiliated Advisers”). We enter into written advisory agreements (each, an “Advisory Agreement”) with Clients acknowledging our Advisory relationship and disclosing our obligations and undertaking when acting in an Advisory capacity. We provide Advisory services to individuals, corporations and other businesses, pension or profit sharing plans, employee benefit plans, trusts, estates, charitable organizations, state and municipal government entities, private funds, educational institutions, insurance companies, and banks or thrift institutions (“Clients”). We generally provide Advisory services through our investment advisory representatives (“Financial Advisors”), who determine the services that are most appropriate for Clients based on each Client’s individual investment goals and financial circumstances. We may fulfill a Client’s wealth management needs by acting as broker-dealer, investment adviser, or both. Our Advisory services cover most types of debt and equity (or equity-related) securities of domestic and foreign companies, as well as national, state, and local government issuers, whether trading on an exchange or over-the-counter. In addition to stocks and fixed income securities, we may also invest Client assets in other types of investments, such as rights and warrants, options, certificates of deposit, mutual funds and other open and closed-end funds, exchange traded products (“ETPs”), including exchange traded funds (“ETFs”), unit investment trusts (“UITs”), real estate investment trusts (“REITs”), American Depositary Receipts (“ADRs”), foreign ordinary shares, publicly traded master limited partnerships (“MLPs”), private funds, such as hedge funds, and other investments deemed appropriate for our Clients.

Assets Under Management

As of December 31, 2016, we managed approximately \$38,654,524,927 of Client assets on a discretionary basis, and advised on \$15,232,717,369 on a non-discretionary basis.

Our Responsibilities as an Investment Adviser

When serving as an investment adviser to Advisory Clients, we are acting as a fiduciary and held to the legal standards set forth in the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the “Advisers Act”), certain state laws, and common law standards applicable to fiduciaries. Such standards include, but are not limited to, the duty to serve the best interests of Clients, the obligation to place Clients’ interests before our own, full disclosure of material and potential conflicts of interest, full disclosure of all compensation received from Clients or third parties for providing investment advice or advisory services to our Clients, and having a reasonable basis for believing that our investment recommendations are suitable and consistent with Client’s objectives and goals, including any restrictions placed on the account. Additional information about our fiduciary obligations, including some of the policies and procedures that we undertake to fulfill those obligations, is available throughout this brochure, including under the section entitled “Code of Ethics, Participation or Interest in Client Transactions, and Personal Trading.”

Investment Restrictions

Subject to our review for reasonableness, Clients with accounts in the programs covered in this brochure may impose restrictions on investing in specific securities or certain types of securities for such accounts. If we determine that the restrictions are reasonable and accept them, we and/or the Adviser you have selected will be responsible for implementing, and managing the account consistent with, the restrictions that you have imposed. It is important for you to understand that if the restrictions are approved and imposed on your account, the performance of the account may differ (even significantly) from the performance of other accounts in the same portfolio without similar restrictions. You may request in writing that specific mutual funds or ETFs not be purchased in your discretionary Advisory account(s); however, we cannot accommodate requests to restrict the underlying securities that may be purchased or sold by mutual funds, ETFs, private funds, or other investment companies in Advisory accounts.

In certain Advisory programs referenced below, and as outlined in the applicable Advisory Agreement(s), in the event that mutual funds, ETFs, or categories of both are restricted, the portion of the account that would have been invested in such may be invested in cash equivalents or short-term fixed income instruments at our discretion. Investments in cash equivalents or short-term fixed income instruments pursuant to such restrictions may impact the performance of the account relative to other accounts that are fully invested in mutual funds and/or ETFs.

We define and/or identify certain types of permissible account restrictions (e.g., prohibiting investments in particular industries or socially responsible categories) by reference to information provided by a third-party service provider using the provider's proprietary methodologies, which may change at any time without notice to Clients. If a Client elects to impose such types of restrictions on an account, we will apply the restrictions based on our internal policies, by referencing the third-party service provider's information.

Advisory Programs Offered by Stifel

As set forth on the cover page, we offer various Advisory programs and wrap fee programs (each, a "Program" and collectively, the "Programs"), including the Select Programs covered in this brochure. The majority of our wrap fee Programs are covered in our Wrap Fee Programs Brochure; we also offer other Programs that are covered in our Advisory Consulting Services Brochure and our Financial & Wealth Planning Services Brochure. Each of these brochures is available upon request. For the wrap Programs, we are the sponsor and, in certain Programs, the portfolio manager for Portfolios in the Program. A "wrap fee" is an annual fee paid by the Client that is intended to cover applicable services to the account, including investment advice and, where applicable, may include portfolio management, trade execution, clearing, settlements, custody, administrative, and account reporting services provided by Stifel, as well as investment advice and/or portfolio management services provided by an Adviser to the Portfolio. To the extent that portfolio management or similar services are provided by Advisers, a portion of the wrap fee paid by the Client will be paid to such Advisers for their services –

please refer to the section "Fees and Compensation" below for additional details about these our wrap fees (also called Advisory Account Fees). We generally manage accounts enrolled in wrap fee Programs with the same level of care as non-wrap fee Advisory accounts. Additional information about the Programs covered by this brochure is provided below.

Throughout this brochure and depending on the type of Program referenced, the term "Portfolio Manager" shall refer to, as applicable, i) Stifel where it or your Financial Advisor, as agent for Stifel, provides discretionary portfolio management services (e.g., in connection with our Select APM Program discussed below) and/or ii) an Independent Adviser or Affiliated Adviser to whom Stifel has delegated discretionary authority as a sub-adviser, such as manager-traded Portfolios in our Select Managers Program.

ADVISORY SELECT PROGRAMS OFFERED BY STIFEL

About our Stifel Advisory Select Programs

This brochure covers our Select Programs, which as set forth above, are "wrap fee" Programs. Additional information about the Select Programs is provided below.

STIFEL SELECT FUNDS PROGRAM

About the Stifel Select Funds Program *(This Program is closed to new accounts)*

The Stifel Select Funds Program ("Select Funds Program") provides discretionary investment management services. To implement Clients' investment objectives and risk tolerance, we utilize an offering of diversified Portfolios designed to meet various investment objectives, primarily through the use of mutual funds and ETFs. Although Portfolios vary by objective and level of risk, they typically provide exposure to fixed income and equity, domestic and international markets, across a range of capitalizations and styles. Allocations can also include exposure to other alternative asset classes. Stifel has delegated investment discretion and day-to-day portfolio management responsibility over the Select Funds Program to its affiliate, 1919 Investment Counsel, LLC ("1919ic).

STIFEL SELECT MANAGERS PROGRAM

About our Stifel Select Managers Program

Our Stifel Select Managers Program ("Select Managers Program") offers Clients access to third-party investment advisers ("Advisers") and their respective Portfolios. Once a Client has established his/her investment objectives, goals, and risk tolerance, Stifel will assist the Client in selecting one or more suitable Portfolios from those available on our platform. An Adviser's Portfolio may be used individually or in combination with other Portfolios, mutual funds, and/or ETFs to build an overall allocation that differs from the allocation offered in any one Adviser Portfolio. Each Client should carefully

review each proposed Adviser's Portfolio to understand how the Client's account will be invested, as well as the risks related to each such Portfolio.

Our Relationship With Third-Party Advisers

Our firm generally does not enter into direct agreements with the Advisers who act in either of the following capacities:

- **Manager-Traded Portfolios.** The Adviser for a Manager-Traded Portfolio assumes full discretionary portfolio management responsibilities over each Client account invested in the Portfolio (in that capacity, the Adviser will be referred to as an "Investment Manager"), including determining the securities to be bought or sold, implementing those decisions for the invested accounts, and for all other aspects of portfolio management for the accounts. An Investment Manager may implement its trade decisions through Stifel in our capacity as a broker, or may implement trades through other broker-dealers if the Investment Manager determines, in its sole discretion, that such other broker-dealer is providing best execution in light of all applicable circumstances. Please refer to the section "*Fees and Compensation - Fees and Expenses Associated With 'Trades Executed By Investment Managers Away From Stifel'*" for more information about Investment Managers' trade-away practices.
- **Model Portfolios.** Alternatively, we may enter into an arrangement under which an Adviser agrees to provide their trading models for each applicable Portfolio. In these cases, we have entered into an arrangement with a third-party service provider, Envestnet Asset Management, Inc. ("Envestnet"), which provides, in part, that where an Adviser has agreed to provide their trading model for its Portfolios, Envestnet will receive, and provide trade implementation services for, the Model Portfolios from the applicable Adviser. Envestnet is authorized to execute Model Portfolio transactions through our firm and/or through an unaffiliated broker-dealer firm, as appropriate, depending on its best execution analysis with respect to the specific transaction.

Pursuant to our agreement with Envestnet, Envestnet acts as a liaison between our firm and the various Advisers we make available to our Clients through the Select Managers Program. Envestnet enters into sub-advisory agreements with the Advisers pursuant to which they agree to manage Client accounts as Investment Managers, or to provide Model Portfolios which Envestnet will implement.

STIFEL SELECT APM PROGRAM

About our Stifel Select APM Program

Our Stifel Select APM Program ("Select APM Program") offers Clients discretionary account management by certain Financial Advisors who meet the Select APM Program certification requirements. Once the Client has established his/her investment objectives, goals, risk tolerance, and an overall asset allocation, the Financial Advisor will assist the Client in selecting the appropriate strategy for all or of part of the Client's asset allocation in the account. To implement a Client's

investment objectives and risk tolerance, a Financial Advisor may utilize fundamental, qualitative, quantitative, and/or technical research published by Stifel or another source. In the Select APM Program, Financial Advisors may recommend any of the investments listed above under the section "Advisory Business" to the extent eligible for the Program, and provide such strategies as are suitable and appropriate for the Client. The strategies Financial Advisors deploy in this Program will differ by Client and/or account, and a Financial Advisor may have more than one strategy to use in managing Client accounts.

Each Client is encouraged to discuss and review with the applicable Financial Advisor how the account will be managed, as well as the specific risks applicable to the Client's Select APM Program account.

STIFEL SELECT ADVISORS PROGRAM

About our Stifel Select Advisors Program

Under the Stifel Select Advisors ("Select Advisors Program") Financial Advisors provide non-discretionary investment advisory services by recommending and advising on the appropriateness of specific investments for Clients in accordance with their stated investment objectives and risk tolerance. In the Select Advisors Program, Financial Advisors may recommend any of the investments listed above under the section "Advisory Business" and provide such strategies as are suitable and appropriate for the Client. Clients are ultimately responsible for determining whether to implement a Financial Advisor's recommendations for the account.

STIFEL SELECT INSTITUTIONAL CONSULTING PROGRAM

Under the Stifel Select Institutional Consulting Services Program ("Select Institutional Consulting Services Program"), Clients receive investment advice from their Financial Advisor with respect to certain assets designated by the Client based upon an analysis of the Client's investment objectives and risk tolerance. Clients in the Select Institutional Consulting Services Program assume responsibility for implementing the investment advice that they receive from their Financial Advisor.

OTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROGRAMS

Where Stifel is your Portfolio Manager, if we believe it is appropriate based upon the investment Portfolio you have selected, we may recommend that you allocate, or we may take steps on a discretionary basis to allocate, as applicable, (i) your account assets to investments that meet a lower risk tolerance than the one applicable to the investment objectives you have indicated and/or (ii) a portion of your assets to cash.

As discussed above, we enter into written Advisory Agreements with Clients acknowledging our Advisory relationship,

disclosing our obligations when acting in an Advisory capacity, and describing the roles and responsibilities of each party.

FEES AND COMPENSATION

How We Charge for Advisory Services Covered in This Brochure

For the services provided under the applicable Advisory Agreement, Clients generally pay an annual asset-based “wrap” fee at the rates set forth below (the “Advisory Account Fee,” the “fee,” or the “Advisory fee”). The Advisory Account Fee consists of: (i) a fee for the services provided by Stifel and the Financial Advisor (referred to as the “Stifel Fee”) and, if applicable, (ii) a fee for the Adviser’s services with respect to each Portfolio in which a Client’s Advisory account is invested (the “Product Fee(s)").

The Stifel Fee

For the Advisory Programs described in this brochure, each Client pays an asset-based wrap fee to Stifel of up to 2.5%, which covers our administrative, account reporting, and investment advisory services, trade execution for trades through or with Stifel, compensation to the Financial Advisor, and, as applicable, custody of securities, portfolio management, and clearing services. The Stifel Fee may be negotiable, in our sole discretion.

Product Fees

If an Independent or Affiliated Adviser manages or provides Portfolios, Clients will also be responsible for the applicable Product Fees to compensate such Independent or Affiliated Adviser for its services. Product Fees vary by Program and/or Portfolio (including based on whether it is Manager-Traded or MBT), are generally not negotiable, and generally range as follows:

- Select Funds: Up to 0.50%.
- Select Managers: 0.05% to 0.85%, depending on the applicable Portfolio. Envestnet receives a portion of this fee for its services.

Product Fees set forth above are paid to the applicable Adviser on a quarterly basis.

Finally, certain investments (such as mutual funds, closed-end funds, UITs, ETFs, hedge funds, and other collective investment vehicles) that may be held in Client’s accounts have additional fees and expenses, such as management and performance fees, that are not part of the Advisory Account Fees; Clients will be separately responsible for any such fees and expenses.

How We Charge for Advisory Services Covered in This Brochure

Each Client’s Advisory Account Fee paid by each Client for an Advisory account is set forth on the fee schedule(s) of the Advisory Agreement between the Client and Stifel for that account. Actual fees charged may be negotiated or discounted in Stifel’s (and, if applicable, the Adviser’s) discretion and, therefore, may differ from those outlined above. A Client may pay more or less than seemingly-similarly situated Clients

depending on the particular circumstances of the Client (such as the pricing model, the size and scope of the Client relationship, additional or differing levels of service, and/or the asset class to which each Portfolio is attributable, as applicable). Clients that negotiate fees with different tiers, including flat fees, may end up paying a higher fee than as set forth in the applicable fee schedule set forth above as a result of fluctuations in the amount of the Client’s assets under management and account performance.

There are certain other fee schedules that are no longer offered to new Clients or are only offered to certain specific Clients depending on their individual circumstances. Additionally, certain Clients pay different fees, which may be higher or lower, than the ones referenced above and that are not currently available to all Clients. There are also other fee schedules that may apply to certain specific Portfolios in the Programs referenced above.

Calculation of Advisory Account Fees

The Advisory Account Fees for Select Program accounts, other than accounts in the Select Institutional Consulting Services Program, are due quarterly in advance. The initial fee for each account is charged in full as of the effective date (as defined in the Client Agreement) of the Advisory relationship relating to that account, in each case based on the account’s opening market value. In calculating the annual fee (or any partial period thereof), we assume a 360-day annual period. For the initial fee, the period for which the fee relates is the effective date through the last day of the calendar quarter in which the account is opened, and is prorated accordingly. Thereafter, the fee is based on the account’s closing market value on the last business day of the previous calendar quarter. The fee is generally due on the business day following the assessment day. If applicable, the two components of the Advisory Account Fee (i.e., the Stifel Fee and the related Product Fee(s) paid directly to the applicable Independent or Affiliated Adviser) are assessed as of the same day and, thereafter, are due on the same day.

In valuing assets in Client accounts held at our firm, we rely on publicly recorded information, use various vendor systems which we have reviewed and reasonably believe to be reliable, and/or rely on valuations provided by third-party entities holding assets and/or accounts that are part of a Client’s Advisory relationship with us (such as, for example, administrators or other service providers to hedge funds or other private funds in which our clients are investors or other brokerage firms, banks, or other entities serving as qualified custodians of our client assets). For assets held at Stifel, if prices are unavailable, we determine prices in good faith to reflect an understanding of the assets’ fair market value. Once the Advisory Account Fee is assessed and deducted, we do not adjust fees for fluctuations in value during the quarter due to market conditions, or as a result of intra-period transfers out of a Client’s Advisory account(s) (including, but not limited to, transfers to a commission-based account for the same Client). However, an account **will** be charged a prorated fee on additional contributions made during a quarter, to the extent such additions are valued at more than \$25,000 or would generate a pro-rated quarterly fee of more than \$25. *Each Client is responsible for monitoring his or her*

account to minimize transfers that would increase applicable fees or otherwise result in increased charges.

Any increase in the fee will be agreed upon, in writing, between you and Stifel. However, Stifel may provide you with prior written notice in any instance where a wrap fee is decreased.

Fee Householding

Each Client may request to household his/her eligible Advisory accounts held at our firm (that is, combine multiple eligible Advisory accounts for purposes of calculating the Advisor Account Fee in order to qualify for available lower fee tiers in each Program). Fee householding can result in lower overall fees to the Client if the aggregate household value is high enough to qualify for lower fee tiers in the applicable Programs. Clients can fee household advisory accounts across multiple Programs. *Clients should note, however, that it is the Client's responsibility, not Stifel's, to determine whether Client has multiple eligible Advisory accounts that could be householded and result in lower overall fees to the Client.* Clients should contact their Financial Advisor(s) for more detailed information about fee householding Advisory Accounts, including whether the Client's accounts are eligible to be grouped into a fee household for this purpose.

Deduction of Advisory Account Fees

Unless otherwise agreed to between Client and Stifel, the Advisory Account Fees are automatically deducted each quarter from available cash or cash equivalents, including money market funds, in the Client's Advisory account on the billing date. Clients grant us discretionary authority to rebalance or liquidate securities in order to generate sufficient funds to cover the fee, as necessary.

Pursuant to agreement between Client and Stifel, other permissible fee payment options may include:

- ***Letter of Authorization ("LOA"):*** Pursuant to an LOA, the Advisory Account Fee may be deducted from a separate non-retirement Stifel account on the billing date each quarter. If the designated account has insufficient funds, we reserve the right to automatically debit the Advisory account to collect the amount due.
- ***Client Invoice:*** In certain limited cases, Clients may request to receive an invoice on the billing date each quarter and agree to remit the fee payment promptly. If the fee payment is not received within a reasonable time, we reserve the right to automatically debit the Advisory account to collect the amount due. If the fee payment is debited from a qualified plan and funds are received thereafter, the receivable shall be considered a contribution.

Refund of Fees Upon Termination

In the event of a termination, Clients generally will receive a pro rata refund of any pre-paid quarterly fee based upon the number of days remaining in the quarter of termination.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, we reserve the right to retain pre-paid quarterly fees if the agreement is terminated at any time within the first quarter of the first year of service (for example, where a Client opens an Advisory account, executes multiple

trades at no transaction costs, then seeks to close the Advisory account before the end of the calendar quarter).

Compensation in Connection With the Termination of a Client's Account Relationship With Stifel

Although we do not charge additional fees in connection with the termination of an Advisory Agreement, if a Client elects to distribute or transfer all of the assets to an account at another financial institution, the Client will be charged a \$100 fee.

Client-Directed Transactions and Associated Costs

Unsupervised Assets. If a Client's account includes "unsupervised assets" that are excluded from billing (which may include, but are not limited to, positions in our parent company stock, "SF"), mutual fund shares purchased with a sales load at our firm and held for less than a prescribed period, or other assets deemed ineligible depending on the Program in which the account is enrolled), Clients should note that any such unsupervised assets are not considered part of our Advisory relationship. *Our firm specifically disclaims any fiduciary obligations with respect to unsupervised assets held in a Client's Advisory account.* This means that we do not undertake to monitor any such assets even though they are held in the Advisory account. The unsupervised assets are held in the account solely as an accommodation to the Client. Clients can request a list of the unsupervised assets held in their account(s) at any time, without charge, from their Financial Advisor.

Fees and Expenses Not Included, and Incurred in Addition to, the Advisory Account Fee

The Advisory Account Fees does not include the fees, charges, and expenses outlined below. If applicable, you will be charged said fees, charges, and expenses in addition to the Advisory Account Fees. If the investment product is offered by a prospectus or other offering document, information about the related fees, charges, and expenses is set forth in such prospectus or other offering document.

Fees and Expenses Associated With Trades Executed By Investment Managers Away From Stifel

Each Investment Manager (including Dual Contract Managers) that manages all or a portion of a Client's Advisory account retains the authority to determine the execution venue for transactions in the Client accounts. As such, Investment Managers may determine to execute trades through another broker-dealer (known as "trading away") if it determines, in its sole discretion, such trades would be in the best interests of its clients, such as to satisfy its best execution obligations. An Investment Manager may trade away for a variety of reasons, the type of securities that the Investment Manager is buying or selling, or because the Investment Manager is aggregating Stifel Client trades with other non-Stifel client accounts (as further explained below), or for some other reason determined in the sole discretion of the applicable Investment Manager. If an Investment Manager trades away from Stifel, impacted Clients may incur additional execution costs for the trade.

Clients should also ask their Financial Advisor about the Investment Manager's trading away practices before selecting, or while reviewing, a particular investment strategy.

Types of Securities Traded

Investment Managers whose Portfolios consist primarily (or substantially) of fixed income securities, foreign securities (including ADRs), ETFs, and/or small cap securities are more likely than other managers to trade away from Stifel. This means that Clients investing in such Portfolios will most likely incur execution costs (whether in the form of commissions or markup/markdowns that are built into the net price of the security) in addition to, and which will not reduce, the annual Advisory fees discussed above. Clients should therefore take these costs into consideration when selecting and/or determining whether to remain invested in the affected Portfolios.

Trade Aggregation

Investment Managers typically manage wrap client accounts for multiple sponsors using the same Portfolio, and may also manage other directly sourced accounts side-by-side with our Client accounts. In certain cases, the Investment Manager may decide to aggregate all client transactions into a block trade that is executed through a single broker-dealer, rather than separately through each participating sponsor (such as Stifel). Aggregating transactions into a single block typically enables the Investment Manager to exercise more control over the execution, including (for example) potentially avoiding an adverse effect on the price of a security that could result from simultaneously placing a series of separate, successive, and/or competing client orders.

If additional execution costs (whether as a commission or markup or markdown) are incurred, the Client will be responsible for such execution costs in addition to the Advisory Account Fee. For additional information about each Investment Manager's trade-away practices (including the related execution costs), Clients should also review each applicable Investment Manager's Form ADV Part 2A Brochure.

Other Additional Fees and Expenses

In addition to the fees and expenses explained above, the Advisory Account Fee also does not include:

- Brokerage commissions, markups, markdowns, spreads, and odd-lot differentials on transactions directed by an Investment Manager and effected through or with a broker and/or dealer other than Stifel (that is, costs relating to trades away from our firm).
- To the extent allowed in the account, markups and markdowns on agency cross trades or principal transactions effected by an Investment Manager through or with us (prices at which securities are purchased in principal transactions from other dealers and executed by us acting as agent will be computed by other dealers in the customary manner based on the prevailing inter-dealer market price).
- Any interest expense charged to the account (including, but not limited to, margin interest charged with respect to any margin loans).

- The entire public offering price (including underwriting commissions or discounts) on securities purchased from an underwriter or dealer (excluding our firm) involved in a distribution of securities.
- Exchange fees, transfer or other taxes, and other fees required by law, including (but not limited to) taxes or fees imposed by any foreign entity in connection with securities transactions in the account.
- Account, third-party administration, and/or termination fees associated with external qualified retirement plan (including IRAs).
- "Pass-through fees" charged by third parties with respect to any securities relating to the portfolio, including, but not limited to, pass-through fees charged (including any wire charges or conversion fees) in connection with ADRs by the sponsors of such ADRs as custody-related expenses.
- Wire transfer fees (including those associated with alternative investment transactions).
- Fees or expenses related to trading in foreign securities (other than commissions otherwise payable to Stifel).
- Fees, charges, or other costs and expenses related to collective investment vehicles, such as affiliated and/or unaffiliated closed-end funds, mutual funds, ETFs, index funds, investment trusts, REITs, or other pooled investment vehicles such as private funds (including, but not limited to, annual operating expenses, portfolio management, distribution and marketing, early redemption fees, or similar fees, in each case as outlined in the individual fund prospectus, private offering memorandum, or similar document).
- Any other costs associated with products or services not specifically included in the services described in the applicable Advisory Agreement.

Each Client should carefully consider the overall cost when selecting a Program or Portfolio.

Compensation to Financial Advisors, Envestnet, and Third-Party Advisers

We remit a percentage ("Payout Rate") of the Advisory Fees and, if applicable, commissions that we receive from Clients to our Financial Advisors. Payout Rates generally range from 25% to 50%. The applicable percentage paid to your Advisor will depend on your Advisor's employment agreements and arrangements with us, and the total amount of revenue your Financial Advisor generates from all clients (including non-Advisory clients). This percentage may be increased prospectively, depending on the total revenue the Financial Advisor has generated.

Some Financial Advisors are eligible for special incentive compensation and other benefits based on client assets in accounts at Stifel (including assets held in Advisory accounts) and the total revenue generated (including the Advisory Account Fees). These incentives and benefits can be in the form of recruitment and retention bonuses, and forgivable loans. These

incentives and benefits generally increase as a Financial Advisor brings more client assets to Stifel and generates more revenue.

In addition, we pay compensation to branch managers based on revenues generated by Financial Advisors in the branch office. In some cases, a portion of revenues can result in compensation to their branch manager or another advisor for supervision and/or administrative or sales support. When a supervisor is compensated based on sales of the person he or she is supervising, the supervisor has an incentive for you to make investments that generate greater compensation for the supervisor. The particular compensation arrangements between a Financial Advisor and his or her branch manager also can create incentives for the Financial Advisor to recommend transactions, investment products, and services that generate greater amounts of revenue for us, the branch manager, and the Financial Advisor.

Financial Advisors are also eligible to receive other benefits based on the revenue the Financial Advisor generates from sales of products and services. These benefits include recognition levels that confer a variety of benefits, conferences (e.g., for education, networking, training, and personal and professional development), and other noncash compensation that generally increase in value as the revenue the Financial Advisor generates increases. Such benefits also include equity awards from our parent company, Stifel Financial Corp., and payments that can be in the form of repayable or forgivable loans (e.g., for retention purposes or to assist an advisor to grow his or her securities practice). These benefits create an incentive for a Financial Advisor to recommend certain transactions, products, and services over others in order to obtain the benefits.

In general, Clients should note that their Financial Advisor's compensation creates a potential material conflict of interest for such Financial Advisor to provide Clients with recommendations that result in his or her receipt of greater compensation and benefits.

Certain Compensation in Addition to the Stifel Advisory Fee
Stifel, our Financial Advisors, and our affiliates may, from time to time, receive additional compensation in connection with certain types of assets in which Clients' Advisory accounts may be invested, as discussed in more detail below. To the extent received in connection with an Advisory account, this compensation is in addition to the Stifel Advisory Fee that a Client pays to us for our investment advisory services. The receipt of such additional compensation may create an incentive to recommend investment products based on the compensation received rather than a Clients' needs. Clients have the option to purchase investment products that we recommend through brokers who are not affiliated with us.

Brokerage Commissions

For all Programs, the Stifel Advisory Fee includes the costs associated with our execution services, with limited exceptions. We generally do not charge separate brokerage commissions for trades that we execute for accounts in the Programs covered in this brochure, unless disclosed to the affected Client (such as in the Advisory Agreement, addendums thereto, or in other

applicable documents). The majority of our Financial Advisors are licensed to provide both brokerage and Advisory services to clients. As licensed securities salespersons, Financial Advisors may effect securities transactions for commissions, in connection with brokerage accounts.

Compensation From Funds and Investment Companies

Clients will incur direct fees (e.g., management fees) and expenses for investments in mutual funds, ETFs, closed-end funds, UITs, and/or money market funds. Such fees and expenses are included in the price of a fund and are described in each fund prospectus. Depending on the type of shares held by Clients, the applicable fund or other investment company and/or its affiliates may make certain payments to us in connection with the Clients' investments in the product. We strive to invest Advisory Program assets in share classes that do not pay additional compensation for distribution and related services (see, e.g., the discussion of 12b-1 fees below – for this purpose, such shares will be referred to as “advisory” share classes). For example, we have entered into agreements with various mutual fund companies pursuant to which we have access to advisory share classes of the fund and are able to convert non-advisory share classes held in our Advisory accounts into the desired advisory share classes. Notwithstanding the foregoing, certain investment companies may not offer advisory share classes; moreover, we may allow a limited universe of legacy non-advisory share classes to be held in some of our Advisory accounts for various reasons, in our sole discretion. With respect to such non-advisory share classes, we receive various fees and compensation, including (but may not be limited to):

- (i) *Omnibus Fees.* Some mutual funds compensate us for providing record-keeping and related services associated with funds held in client accounts (both brokerage and Advisory). Our firm processes some mutual fund business with fund families on an “omnibus” basis, which means we consolidate our clients' trades into one daily trade with the fund, and therefore maintain all pertinent individual shareholder information for the fund. The compensation for these services is commonly referred to as “omnibus fees.” Not all mutual fund companies pay sub-accounting fees, and the sub-accounting fees that we receive vary by fund company. Any sub-accounting payments made to our firm are paid from investor assets in the mutual funds but, in some cases, may be subsidized in part by affiliates or the distributor of the mutual funds. We do not require our Financial Advisors to recommend mutual funds providing sub-accounting compensation, nor do our Financial Advisors share in any of the sub-accounting fees received.
- (ii) *Networking Fees.* Mutual fund families that are not traded omnibus are traded on a networked basis, which means our firm submits a separate trade for each individual client to the fund and therefore maintains certain elements of the shareholder information. Mutual funds may compensate us for providing these services. Not all mutual fund companies pay networking fees, and networking fees that we receive vary by fund company. Any networking fees that fund companies pay to us are deducted from the fund manager's assets but, in some cases, may be subsidized in part by

affiliates or the distributor of the funds. We do not require our Financial Advisors to recommend mutual funds providing networking compensation, nor do our Financial Advisors share in any of the networking fees received.

- (iii) *12b-1 Distribution Fees (“12b-1s”).* These fees may be paid by mutual funds to compensate us for providing distribution-related, administrative, and informational services, as applicable, associated with each mutual fund. Service fees are included in the “annual operating expenses” or “expense ratio” charged and reported by each fund, and such amounts are deducted directly from the funds automatically. To the extent received with respect to a Client account, we pay a portion of the 12b-1 fees to the Financial Advisor in accordance with the applicable Payout Rate for such Financial Advisor.
- (iv) *Marketing Support and Revenue-Sharing Payments.* We receive compensation from mutual funds and other investment companies for providing ongoing marketing, training, and education to our Financial Advisors with respect to the fund sponsor and its products. These revenue-sharing payments are in addition to any fees and other charges that we may earn directly from the clients. Revenue sharing is generally paid from a fund manager’s assets and does not directly reduce the amount invested by an investor, but is ultimately a cost borne by investors. Not all fund companies pay revenue sharing, and revenue sharing that is paid by a particular mutual fund companies varies. Revenue-sharing payments are subject to volume discounting, such that as total assets placed by our clients at a fund company increase, the basis points paid for those assets will decrease. Additionally, some fund families may make fixed payments in addition to the above payments or instead of those payments. We do not require our Financial Advisors to recommend funds providing revenue sharing, nor do our Financial Advisors directly share in any of the revenue-sharing payments.
- (v) *Training and Education Expense Contributions.* Investment companies and/or their affiliates may subsidize a portion of the cost of training and achievement seminars offered to our Financial Advisors through specialized and/or firm-wide programs and consulting training forums. These seminars are designed to provide education and training to Financial Advisors who recommend (or are considering recommending) the product to Clients. The subsidies may vary, and no vendor company is required to participate in the seminars or to contribute to the costs of the seminars in order to have their products or services available on our platform. A Financial Advisor’s attendance and participation in these events, as well as the increased exposure to vendors who sponsor the events, may lead the Financial Advisor to recommend the products and services of those vendors as compared to those who do not.
- (vi) *Fees Received By Our Affiliates:* As set forth above, some of our affiliates also may serve as investment adviser or model providers, or provide other services to various mutual funds, ETFs, or other investment companies that our

Financial Advisors may recommend to and/or purchase for Client accounts. These affiliates will receive fees (or a share thereof) from the product, its sponsor, or other related person, even in cases where the product is purchased and held in Stifel Advisory accounts (thereby, subject to Stifel Advisory Fees). Our firm does not directly share in any the fees received by our affiliates for their services to these funds or other investment companies. However, as part of the affiliated group, we may receive indirect benefits from such compensation through our parent company. We may limit the purchase of such products in any of our Programs. If allowed in an eligible Program, we rebate the value representing the pro-rated fee or other compensation received by our Affiliated Adviser in connection with investment products held in Client retirement accounts. We may also, in our sole discretion, decide to provide similar rebates to non-retirement accounts in certain Programs. However, we generally will not provide rebates for products held by non-retirement accounts in our non-discretionary Programs. Clients entitled to a rebate should note, however, that such rebates are determined retroactively, based on the value of the product (e.g., fund shares) in the Client account as of a pre-determined date (typically, month-end), and are paid a quarter or more in arrears. Moreover, our process only reviews whether an affiliated product is held in Advisory accounts as of the beginning of the month and, thereafter, assumes that each such product is held (or not held) in the account(s) for the remainder of the month. As such, Advisory accounts that purchase an affiliated product in the middle of the month will not receive any rebate for that month and, similarly, Advisory accounts that sell an affiliated product in the middle of the month will receive a rebate for the entire month even though the position was only held for part of the month.

Funds generally are sold by prospectus only. The prospectus contains important information about the specific fund being offered and should be reviewed carefully before investing. Although paid by a fund company (or its distributor or other affiliated person), any compensation set forth above that we receive from funds generally is derived, directly or indirectly, from fees that investors pay to the fund. The amount of compensation received will vary depending on our arrangement with the applicable fund. Each fund’s prospectus typically describes the amount of compensation to be paid for specified services provided to its shareholders. If such payments are received in connection with shares held in Advisory accounts, the fund companies will continue to pay us for the duration of the Advisory Agreements and, in some circumstances, may extend payments beyond the termination of the agreements if Clients continue to hold fund shares through brokerage accounts held at Stifel. A listing of the types and ranges of compensation that we receive from various fund companies is available under the Important Disclosures section of www.stifel.com. We highly encourage all Clients to review this information carefully. *To the extent we do receive additional compensation discussed above from funds (including, for example, 12b-1 fees), relating to an Advisory account, we generally will rebate any such additional compensation received to retirement accounts, but only to the extent the compensation is attributable to the period*

after the effective date of the Advisory arrangement for the account. Furthermore, we reserve the right to offset from the rebated amount the value of our actual costs to provide the services to such retirement accounts for which we are being paid by the applicable fund).

Interest and Similar Fees

To the extent that the automatic sweep option for available cash in a Client's account is set to one of our insured bank deposit programs, we may (depending on the type of account) receive fees from participating banks in the program in connection with such Client funds. The fees (if any) that we receive are intended to, among other things, reimburse for the costs that we incur in connection with such program. However, from time to time, the fees that we receive and retain may be more or less than the actual costs incurred.

As discussed elsewhere in this brochure, we do not allow Advisory accounts to use margin except in limited circumstances. With respect to any such margin transactions, Client that engage in such margin transactions should note that we charge interest on the amount borrowed and, if the proceeds are used to purchase securities in the Advisory account, our Advisory fees for the account are based on the market value of the account without regard to the amount borrowed. *We do not reduce our Stifel Advisory Fee by the value of any interest or similar payments that we receive from Clients in this regard.* Each Client is strongly advised to carefully review the impact (including the long-term effects) that each of these practices will have on their overall account.

Stifel and your Financial Advisor may have a financial incentive and a conflict of interest in connection with your decision to obtain collateralized non-purpose loans ("Credit Line Loans"), insofar as Stifel and your Stifel Financial Advisor may earn compensation from SB&T in connection with the Credit Line Loan. We pay a portion of any such amounts received to the Financial Advisor.

Float

As set out in the section "Cash Sweep Options" below, if we serve as custodian of a Client account, uninvested cash in the account is generally swept in accordance with the option selected by the Client. However, as part of our custody services, we retain a proportionate share of any interest earned on aggregate cash balances held in Advisory accounts that are awaiting investment (including funds from transfers into the account and assets pending distribution from the account). Such retained interest is generally at short-term investment rates. Our potential receipt of float income creates a material conflict of interest when we and/or our Financial Advisors provide Clients with a recommendation because funding of an Advisory account may result in additional compensation for us, depending on the timing of the transfer of assets to the account. For example, if we receive the cash after the close of business on a day in which the NYSE was open, we may earn interest or receive other benefits through the end of the second following business day.

Revenue Sharing With Private Funds or Their Sponsors

We may allow certain Financial Advisors to recommend investments in approved private investment funds with respect to accounts invested in certain Advisory Programs. From time to time, we may enter into revenue-sharing arrangements with such private funds or the managers or sponsors of such private funds, including, for example, with respect to shares held in our brokerage accounts. We may also enter into placement agent agreements pursuant to which our firm and our Financial Advisors receive placement fees from funds or their affiliates as compensation for recommending and/or selling the fund to Clients. To the extent that we receive placement fees and/or have a revenue-sharing arrangement with respect to private investment fund shares purchased in an Advisory account, the affected Client will typically receive, at or prior to the time the investment is made, disclosures relating to the fees and compensation that our firm and/or the Financial Advisor will receive in respect of the investment (including, to the extent applicable, any ongoing payments to be received in connection with the investment). Clients should carefully consider such arrangements in determining whether to implement a Financial Advisor's recommendations relating to private investment funds.

Insurance Commissions

In addition to being a dual registrant, our firm is also licensed as an insurance agency with various states. Some of our Financial Advisors are licensed as insurance agents and, in such capacity, are able to offer various insurance products to Clients and effect the resulting insurance transactions for separate and customary commission compensation. Clients that determine to purchase insurance products offered by our Financial Advisors should note that such products will *not* be held in our Advisory accounts and will *not* be part of the Advisory arrangement between Stifel and such Client. Our firm receives a portion of any commissions that the issuing insurance company pays with respect to insurance products sold by our Financial Advisors.

Non-Cash Compensation

Subject to the firm's policies, Financial Advisors may receive non-cash compensation in the form of occasional gifts, meals, tickets, and/or other forms of entertainment from mutual fund companies, third-party Advisers, insurance vendors, and/or sponsors of products that we distribute.

General Disclosure on Conflicts of Interest

As set forth above, the additional compensation associated with the Programs and/or investments described in the preceding section, to be paid to and retained by Stifel and/or one or more of our affiliates (and which may be shared with your Financial Advisor), may present a conflict between the interests of Clients on the one hand and those of Stifel, our affiliates, and your Financial Advisor on the other. This additional compensation may provide an incentive to Stifel and/or your Financial Advisor, in exercising discretion or making recommendations for your account, to choose or recommend investments that result in higher compensation to our firm, your Financial Advisor, and/or affiliates of Stifel. For example, for certain Programs, your Financial Advisor will receive a portion of the Stifel Advisory Fee that we retain after paying, as applicable, the Adviser its portion of the Advisory fee. *As a result, our*

Financial Advisors may have an incentive to recommend Advisory Programs in which the fee is not shared with a third-party Adviser in order to receive a higher portion of the fee. Additionally, for those Programs in which Stifel pays a portion of the Advisory Account Fee to Advisers, which tends to be less if we trade the Portfolio internally than if it is Manager-Traded, Clients should note that their Financial Advisor may have an incentive to recommend MBT Portfolios in the applicable Programs over Manager-Traded Portfolios, or Portfolios where the related Product Fee is lower, in order to retain a larger portion of the fee.

In these circumstances, it is our duty to determine that an investment made in your Account or recommended to you that results in such additional compensation is in your best interest based upon the information you have provided to us.

It is important to note that the services provided to you under the Programs described above may be obtained on an unbundled basis and may result in overall lower costs. You could use a commission-based brokerage account instead of a fee-based investment Advisory account and independently retain a third-party advisor to manage your custodial account. In certain cases, the total charges that you may pay in Advisory Account Fees may be higher than the commissions that could have been charged for brokerage-only services. There may also be cases where the wrap fees charged for Programs covered in this brochure may be higher than if the Client obtained the services covered by such wrap fee separately (that is, if you paid separately advisory services, portfolio management services, trade execution, custody, and related services). You should consider the value of Advisory services provided or to be provided under each Program when evaluating fees or the appropriateness of the Advisory account in general. The combination of brokerage and Advisory services may not be available separately or may require multiple accounts and varying forms of payment. **You are responsible for determining whether a wrap fee program is appropriate for you. Therefore, you should understand the investment strategy you have selected and the amount of anticipated trading activity in assessing the overall cost of the Program.** Relative transaction infrequency could have a bearing on whether a wrap, asset-based fee account is more appropriate for you than a commission-based account.

PERFORMANCE-BASED FEES AND SIDE-BY-SIDE MANAGEMENT

We do not charge performance-based fees for our investment advisory services.

ACCOUNT REQUIREMENTS AND TYPES OF CLIENTS

The Advisory services offered in this brochure are available to individuals, corporations, institutions, pension or profit sharing plans, employee benefit plans, trusts, estates, charitable organizations, other business and government entities, educational institutions, and banks or thrift institutions.

However, please note that not all types of investors are eligible for each Program or particular Portfolios within a Program.

Program Minimums

The following minimum account sizes are generally required to open an account in the Programs outlined in this brochure. Specific minimums depend on the Portfolio selected by the Client, and exceptions to the stated minimums can be granted in Stifel's (and, to the extent applicable, the Adviser's) sole discretion.

- Select Funds Program: \$25,000
- Select Managers Program: Between \$15,000-\$50,000
- Select APM Program: \$25,000
- Select Advisors Program: \$25,000
- Select Institutional Consulting Program: N/A

PORTFOLIO MANAGER SELECTION AND EVALUATION

Our Process for Selecting Independent and Affiliated Advisers

In selecting Advisers, we seek to identify those that represent various investment strategies across several investment styles and asset classes. Pursuant to our agreement with Envestnet, Envestnet conducts the first level of review and due diligence on potential Advisers to be made available in our Select Managers Program. This level of due diligence is generally quantitative and focuses on such issues as (among others) the Adviser's prior performance relative to its peer group. If a prospective Adviser passes Envestnet's standards, our personnel then conduct additional due diligence.

As further discussed in the section "**Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies, and Risk of Loss**" below, our staff conducts initial due diligence with respect to Advisers providing Portfolios made available in our Programs, and with respect to investment companies (or family of companies) that are seeking to make their mutual funds and/or ETFs available on our platforms. We also conduct ongoing due diligence with respect to Advisers and fund managers whose products (Portfolios, mutual funds, or ETFs) are included on our separate Recommended Lists for mutual funds, separately managed accounts ("SMAs"), and ETFs. Our Recommended Lists contain products that, in the opinion of our due diligence staff, are among the strongest offerings of their product type available at Stifel.

We generally select Independent and Affiliated Advisers and fund managers in order to provide our clients with access to investment strategies in the major asset classes, investment styles, and investment methodologies which can be used to implement strategic and tactical asset allocations or otherwise pursue their investment goals.

When evaluating potential Portfolios or fund companies to add to our platform, we request and review information from the related Adviser or fund manager which relates to the business maturity and investment resources of the Adviser or fund

manager, its ability to successfully implement the identified strategy, and the relevance of the strategy to Stifel clients.

For candidates for our Recommended Lists, we generally require the candidates to complete our proprietary Request for Proposal (“RFP”) in which they provide information about their firm, its history and personnel, Portfolio (or fund) performance, investment philosophy, and other relevant data. We may also use an Adviser’s or fund manager’s RFP form or that provided by a third party. We then consider and evaluate the information provided in order to determine whether an Adviser or fund manager and its strategy should become part of our Recommended Lists. As part of the initial review for inclusion on a Recommended List, our personnel use a vendor database of research and statistics to review the annual performance and operations of Adviser or fund manager and may conduct in-person or telephone meetings with the potential Adviser and Fund Manager. We seek to identify and retain Advisers and fund managers with a strong record and history of managing assets, taking into account certain factors, including, but not limited to, the investment style and philosophy, account minimum, assets under management, number of investment professionals on staff, and number of years in business.

Our and/or Affiliated Advisers may also serve as Investment Manager for a Program or Portfolio within a Program and, in such cases, may be responsible for trading, adjusting allocations, and rebalancing Client accounts invested in such Program or Portfolio, as appropriate, as well as implementing any applicable investment restrictions, and other portfolio management decisions. Subject to our fiduciary obligations, we generally select Affiliated Advisers to manage Programs and/or Portfolios where the Affiliated Adviser’s investment style is in line with the asset class, investment style, and investment methodology that we are looking to fill for the identified Program. It is important to note, however, that Clients note that our due diligence processes for Independent Advisers may be different than for Affiliated Advisers.

Our staff conduct ongoing due diligence of Independent and Affiliated Advisers and fund managers included on our Recommended Lists. These periodic due diligence and/or monitoring activities may include reviews of investment results and portfolio characteristics or on-site and/or telephonic reviews with the investment and other personnel of certain Advisers. Advisers and fund companies generally report performance and other events on a quarterly basis using industry sources and databases and/or questionnaires, to which we have access and review regularly.

Replacing Independent and Affiliated Advisers

We may consider replacing Independent and/or Affiliated Advisers if there are substantial changes in their investment style or if portfolio characteristics or investment performance are inconsistent with the style, philosophy, and policies upon which they were hired. Additionally, we may consider replacing Independent and/or Affiliated Advisers who have invested in prohibited securities, experienced material changes in their business structure, and/or failed to abide by Client objectives and/or restrictions, abide by the terms or conditions of the sub-

advisory agreement or any amendments thereto, or demonstrate unacceptable performance.

Independent and Affiliated Adviser Performance Information

Stifel obtains performance information regarding Advisers from a number of different sources. In addition, certain Advisers may also provide performance information directly to Stifel, or directly to Clients. In such cases, the Adviser is responsible for reviewing the information included in the report that they issue. Stifel does not independently verify or guarantee that an Adviser’s performance information is accurate or complete. As a result, performance information presented to Clients may not be calculated in a uniform and consistent basis. We typically provide performance reports to Clients on a quarterly basis using performance returns calculated by our primary performance system. More detailed information regarding our performance reports, including calculation methodology, can be found below in the section titled “*Performance Information*.”

METHODS OF ANALYSIS, INVESTMENT STRATEGIES, AND RISK OF LOSS

Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies

As discussed above, Stifel’s TPWG is responsible for the analysis, selection, and onboarding of the mutual funds, ETFs, and Advisers (including their specific Portfolios) to be made available on our platform. The TPRG is represented on the TPWG and provides due diligence, as requested, in support of such onboarding considerations. From time to time, select mutual funds, ETFs, and/or Portfolios from the broad universe of those that are approved become part of our Mutual Funds Recommended List, ETF Recommended List, and/or SMA Recommended List (discussed above) as applicable. In selecting mutual funds and/or ETFs to be made available for purchase broadly, and in choosing those to be included on the respective Recommended List, the TPWG (and, as applicable, the TPRG) considers many factors, including, but not limited to, a fund’s investment objectives and style, long-term performance records, volatility and risk levels, tracking error, and annual expense ratios (i.e., costs). In constructing and monitoring the Portfolios available in the discretionary Fundamentals and Spectrum Programs, the TPRG reviews such securities on an ongoing basis and, as applicable, adjusts such Portfolios when a current holding is downgraded or no longer recommended by our firm. Additional information about factors considered in selecting Advisers, Portfolios, or other investments to be including on the Stifel Recommended Lists is provided under the section “*Portfolio Manager Selection and Evaluation*” in our Wrap Fee Brochure.

In the cases where Financial Advisors are directing and/or recommending specific securities or investments, they generally use information obtained from various sources including financial publications, inspections of corporate activities, company press releases, research material prepared by affiliates and/or third parties, rating or timing services, regulatory and self-regulatory reports, and other public sources. In addition, our Financial Advisors may also use research provided by our Research Department and/or from third-party independent

sources relating to a broad range of research and information about the economy, industries, groups of securities and individual companies, statistical information, market data, accounting and tax law interpretations, political developments, pricing and appraisal services, credit analysis, risk measurement analysis, performance analysis, and other information which may affect the economy or securities prices. The research used may be in the form of written reports, telephone contacts, and personal meetings with research analysts, economists, government representatives, and corporate and industry spokespersons. Additional information about the various research sources that our Financial Advisors may use in connection with Advisory accounts is provided below under the section “**Brokerage Practices – Research and Other Benefits.**” Financial Advisors use any and/or a combination of fundamental, technical, quantitative, and statistical tools and valuation methodologies. As a result of these different methodologies, technical or quantitative research recommendations may differ from, or be inconsistent with, fundamental opinions for the same security.

Important issues and valuation measures that Financial Advisors may consider when selecting specific equity securities for Advisory accounts include, but are not limited to, dividend return, ratio of growth rate to price/earnings multiple, ratio of market price to book value, market capitalization to revenue ratio, relative strength, management capability and reputation, corporate restructuring trends, asset value versus market value, and other fundamental and technical analysis. With respect to fixed income securities, Financial Advisors assist the Client to determine, or recommend to the Client, the appropriate type of security (government, corporate, or municipal), the appropriate maturity and diversification, and the appropriate parameters that will apply to the fixed income securities to be purchased for a Client account.

In general, our Advisory services with respect to the Programs offered in this brochure typically combine strategic asset allocation and periodic rebalancing with the aim of growing and/or preserving principal. Financial Advisors generally assist Clients in designing portfolios with a long-term perspective, and periodically rebalance (or recommend rebalancing) the portfolios, as they deem appropriate, to manage risk.

Clients should refer to each Program and Portfolio description for more information (where available) of the investment strategies and methods of analysis used in connection with such Program or Portfolio.

Risk of Loss

Clients should understand that all investment strategies and the investments made when implementing those investment strategies involve risk of loss, and clients should be prepared to bear the loss of assets invested. The investment performance and the success of any investment strategy or particular investment can never be predicted or guaranteed, and the value of a client’s investments fluctuates due to market conditions and other factors.

Financial Advisors may recommend a wide array of investments. In general, each Program and/or Portfolio covers a wide range of securities. As such, the types of risks that each Client will be exposed to will vary depending on the particular Program and Portfolio in which the Client is enrolled, as well as the investments held in the Client’s Advisory account. We do not offer any guarantees that any of the investment recommendations made with respect to our Advisory Programs will be profitable. Moreover, Clients should note that past performance is not a guarantee of future results.

Material Risks

For the Portfolios that are part of the Programs listed above, equities, ETFs, mutual funds, options, and fixed income securities are the primary investments. Clients should always read the prospectus and other offering documents (or, in the case of an Adviser’s Portfolio, the Adviser’s Form ADV Part 2A) for a full description of risks associated with the particular investment. Clients are urged to consider all of the risks associated with the types of transactions and securities involved in the Portfolio in which they are contemplating an investment, as listed below, as well as any potential impact that engaging in any of the below transactions may have on an account’s overall performance.

The following material risks may also be applicable to Advisory accounts invested in the Programs covered in this brochure:

Adviser Management Style Risks: We generally select and/or recommend third-party Advisers based on, among other things, the Adviser’s prior risk-adjusted performance and expertise (including how the Adviser’s investment strategies and policies correlate to the Portfolio’s stated objectives and strategies). However, an Adviser’s prior performance is not a guarantee of its future results; as such, its investment strategies may fail to produce the intended results.

Model-Based Trading Risks: As outlined above, we currently use a third-party vendor, Envestnet, to implement trades in our Select Managers Program accounts, in the cases where third-party Advisers provide their trading models for their Portfolios; however, our firm is ultimately responsible for such trading activity. Consistent with our agreement, Envestnet generally implements changes to such Adviser-provided Portfolios within the timeline and/or in the lots directed by an Adviser. However, there may be times when a trade is not executed in the allocations or at the prices deemed ideal by the Adviser. There may also be times when Envestnet is entirely unable to implement a recommendation due to restrictions applicable to our firm in our capacity as a broker-dealer or otherwise. For example, we may not be able to purchase a recommended security because the security is the subject of a research report by one of our firm’s research analysts, or because our firm is involved in investment banking activities with the issuer of the security. Advisers may also manage similar Portfolios directly and/or may provide the same Portfolios to multiple sponsor firms. This means that when changes are made to a Portfolio and disseminated to Envestnet, similar changes are also disseminated to multiple sponsor firms, each of whom will attempt to implement such changes as soon as they are received. This generally will result in increased demand for the specific

securities that are covered by the Portfolio, which generally will increase the price at which each such security may be bought (or decrease the sale price, as the case may be). Clients should note that this may, in turn, adversely affect the performance of their Select Managers Program accounts. Based on all of the foregoing, Clients investing in Portfolios traded by Envestnet in the Select Managers Program should understand that the performance returns achieved by their accounts may differ (at times significantly) from the performance of the Portfolio as reported by its Adviser. Clients should carefully review each Portfolio description prior to investing to ensure that they understand how the Portfolio will be managed as well as the related risks.

Investment Company Securities Risks: A number of Portfolios covered in this brochure are heavily invested in mutual funds. In addition, Advisory accounts may invest in other investment companies, including ETFs, UITs, and/or closed-end funds. Each fund in a Portfolio may be subject to a variety of risks, depending on its investment strategies and/or the securities held by the fund. For example, mutual funds that primarily hold a portfolio of small capitalization companies will be subject to small capitalization risks, which may include increased volatility and decreased liquidity (relative to large capitalization companies). Each of these investments is subject to internal fees, which affect its net asset value and reduce the return that a Client will realize with respect to the investment.

Exchange Traded Product Risks: Exchange Traded Products (ETPs) are types of securities that derive their value from a basket of securities, such as stocks, bonds, commodities, or indices, and trade intra-day on a national securities exchange. Generally, ETPs take the form of ETFs or Exchange Traded Notes (ETNs). ETFs are discussed above under Investment Company Securities; ETNs are senior unsecured debt obligations of an issuer, typically a bank or another financial institution; however, ETNs are not categorized as typical fixed income products.

Non-traditional ETPs employ sophisticated financial strategies and instruments, such as leverage, futures, and derivatives, in pursuit of their investment objectives. Leveraged and inverse ETPs are considered risky. The use of leverage and inverse strategies by a fund increases the risk to the fund and magnifies gains or losses on the investment. You could incur significant losses even if the long-term performance of the underlying index showed a gain. Typically, these products have one-day investment objectives, and investors should monitor such funds on a daily basis. Non-traditional ETPs are generally categorized as leveraged, inverse, or leveraged-inverse:

- **Leveraged** – Uses financial derivatives and debt to multiply the returns of an underlying index, commodity, currency, or basket of assets. Leveraged ETPs may include the terms “double,” “ultra,” “triple,” or similar language in their security name/description.
- **Inverse** – Uses various derivatives to seek to profit from the decline in the value of an underlying index, commodity, currency, or basket of assets; used typically to hedge exposure to downward markets. Inverse ETPs may include the term

“contra,” “short,” or similar language in their security name/description.

- **Leveraged-Inverse** – Uses swaps, futures contracts, options, and other derivative instruments to seek to achieve a return that is a multiple of the opposite performance of the underlying benchmark or index. Leveraged-inverse ETPs may include a combination of leveraged and inverse terms, such as “ultra short,” in their security name/description.

Non-traditional ETPs are complex products that have the potential for significant loss of principal and are not appropriate for all investors. Investors should consider their financial ability to afford the potential for a significant loss. These products seek investment results for a single day only. The effect of compounding and market volatility could have a significant impact upon the investment returns. Investors may lose a significant amount of principal rapidly in these securities. Non-traditional ETPs may be volatile under certain market conditions. Investors holding non-traditional ETPs over longer periods of time should monitor those positions closely due to the risk of volatility. Non-traditional ETPs are focused on daily investment returns, and their performance over longer periods of time can differ significantly from their stated daily objective. Investors may incur a significant loss even if the index shows a gain over the long term. Non-traditional ETPs use a variety of derivative products in order to seek their performance objectives. The use of leverage in ETPs can magnify any price movements, resulting in high volatility and potentially significant loss of principal. Non-traditional ETPs may suffer losses even though the benchmark currency, commodity, or index has increased in value. Investment returns of non-traditional ETPs may not correlate to price movements in the benchmark currency, commodity, or index the ETP seeks to track. Some non-traditional ETPs may have a low trading volume, which could impact an investor's ability to sell shares quickly. Non-traditional ETPs may be less tax efficient than other ETPs. As with any potential investment, an investor should consult with his or her tax advisor and carefully read the prospectus to understand the tax consequences of non-traditional ETPs.

Fixed Income Securities Risks: Fixed income securities are subject to credit risk, interest rate risk, and liquidity risk. Credit risk is the risk the issuer or guarantor of a debt security will be unable or unwilling to make timely payments of interest or principal or to otherwise honor its obligations. Interest rate risk is the risk of losses due to changes in interest rates. In general, the prices of debt securities rise when interest rates fall, and the prices fall when interest rates rise. Duration measures the change in the price of a fixed income security based on the increase or decrease in overall interest rates. Bonds with higher duration carry more risks and have higher price volatility than bonds with lower duration. Therefore, if interest rates are very low at the time of purchase of the bonds, when interest rates eventually do rise, the price of such lower interest rate bonds will decrease, and anyone needing to sell such bonds at that time, rather than holding them to maturity, could realize a loss. High-yield debt securities (junk bonds) generally are more sensitive to interest rates. Such securities are also highly subject to liquidity risk. Liquidity risk is the risk that a particular security may be difficult to purchase or sell and that an investor

may be unable to sell illiquid securities at an advantageous time or price. There are also special tax considerations associated with investing in high-yield securities structured as zero coupon or pay-in-kind securities. Municipal bonds are also subject to state-specific risks, such as changes in the issuing state's credit rating, as well as the risk that legislative changes may affect the tax status of such bonds. Municipal bonds may also have a call feature, entitling the issuer to redeem the bond prior to maturity. A callable security's duration, or sensitivity to interest rate changes, decreases when rates fall and increases when rates rise because issuers are likely to call the bond only if the rates are low. Investors in callable bonds are therefore subject to reinvestment risk – that they will need to reinvest their proceeds at lower rates. Investments in government-sponsored entity securities also exhibit these risks, although the degree of such risks may vary significantly among the different government-sponsored entity securities. Some securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies or instrumentalities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. and may only be supported by the right of the agency or instrumentality to borrow from the U.S. Treasury.

Derivatives Risks: A number of Portfolios covered in this brochure may engage in derivative transactions, including, but not limited to, hedge funds, options, and managed futures products, for any purpose consistent with the Portfolio and/or the Client's investment objective. Generally, a derivative is a financial arrangement, the value of which is derived from, or based on, a traditional security, asset, or market index. Such transactions may be used for several reasons, including hedging unrealized gains. Hedging strategies, if successful, can reduce the risk of loss by offsetting the negative effect of unfavorable price movements in the investments being hedged. However, hedging strategies can also reduce the opportunity for gain by offsetting the positive effect of favorable price movements in the hedged investments. A Portfolio may also use derivative instruments to obtain market exposure (that is, for speculative purposes rather than hedging). A Portfolio may establish a position in the derivatives market as a substitute for buying, selling, or holding certain securities. The use of derivative transactions is a highly specialized activity that involves investment techniques and risks that may be more heightened than those associated with ordinary portfolio securities transactions. Portfolios or other accounts in various Programs may also engage in short selling. A short sale involves the sale of a security that is borrowed. Short sales expose a Client's account to the risk that it will be required to acquire, convert, or exchange securities to replace the borrowed securities (also known as "covering" the short position) at a time when the securities sold short have appreciated in value, thus resulting in a loss. An account's investment performance may also suffer if required to close out a short position earlier than initially anticipated. In addition, an account may be subject to expenses related to short sales that are not typically associated with investing in securities directly, such as margin account maintenance costs. Each Client is urged to carefully consider the impact that engaging in any of these transactions will have on the account's overall performance.

Alternative Investments Risks: Alternative investments, including (but not limited to) hedge funds, alternative mutual funds, non-traditional ETFs, managed futures products, and/or real estate (related) investments may also present unique risks, such as decreased liquidity and transparency and increased complexity. Alternative investments typically use derivative instruments (such as options, futures, or index-based instruments) and/or leveraging strategies. The use of derivative instruments involves multiple risks as discussed in more detail above. In addition, to the extent that the alternative investment uses commodities (or commodity-based derivatives) as part of its investment strategy, the investment return may also vary as a result of fluctuations in the supply and demand of the underlying commodities. Real estate-related investments will be subject to risks generally related to real estate, including risks specific to geographic areas in which the underlying investments were made. Certain alternative investments may be less tax efficient than others. Each alternative investment is typically subject to internal fees (including, but not limited to, management and/or performance fees), which affect the product's net asset value and reduce the return that a Client will realize with respect to the investment.

Additional risks may include style-specific risk, speculative investment risk, concentration risk, correlation risk, credit risk and lower-quality debt securities risk, equity securities risk, financial services companies' risk, interest rate risk, non-diversification risk, small and mid cap company risk, and special risks of mutual funds and/or ETFs, among others.

Tax-Exempt Securities Risks: Certain Portfolios may seek to invest in tax-exempt securities, including (but not limited to) municipal bonds as well as tax-exempt mutual funds and ETFs. In order to attempt to pay interest that is exempt from federal or state and local income tax, tax-exempt securities must meet certain legal requirements. Failure to meet such requirements may cause the interest received and distributed to shareholders to be taxable. In addition, income from one or more municipal bonds held in the Portfolio could be declared taxable because of unfavorable changes in tax or other laws, adverse interpretations by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), state, or other tax authorities, or noncompliant conduct of a bond issuer. Changes or proposed changes in federal or state income tax or other laws may also cause the prices of tax-exempt securities to fall. Finally, income from certain municipal bonds may be subject to the alternative minimum tax ("AMT") and/or state and local taxes, based on the investor's state of residence. In addition, as discussed in more detail under the section Cash Sweep Options below, idle cash in Advisory accounts held at Stifel, including accounts invested in "tax-exempt" Portfolios, is typically swept into one of our insured bank cash sweep programs. Any interest earned by the Client in respect of such cash balances will not be exempt from taxes.

Foreign Securities Risks: Advisory accounts may invest in foreign securities, directly or through funds that hold a portfolio of foreign securities. Foreign securities can be more volatile than domestic (U.S.) securities. Securities markets of other countries are generally smaller than U.S. securities markets. Many foreign securities may also be less liquid than U.S.

securities, and are typically subject to currency risk. Some foreign securities also may be subject to taxes and other charges imposed by the issuer's country of residence or citizenship. Certain foreign securities may be subject to additional costs and risks. As set forth elsewhere in this brochure and/or in the Advisory Agreement, such taxes and charges are in addition to (i.e., are not included in) a Client's account fees. All these factors could affect a client's realized return on the investment.

Frequent Trading and High Portfolio Turnover Rate Risk:

The turnover rate within certain discretionary Advisory accounts may be significant. In connection with Portfolios run by Investment Managers that engage in step-out trades, frequent trades may result in high transactions costs, including substantial brokerage commissions, fees, and other transaction costs. In addition, frequent trading (whether or not through step-out trades) is likely to result in short-term capital gains tax treatment. As a result, high turnover and frequent trading in an Advisory account could have an adverse effect on the cost and therefore the return on the Advisory account.

Infrequent Trading/Low Portfolio Turnover Rate Risk:

Certain Portfolios (such as fixed income Portfolios) and/or accounts in the Programs covered in this brochure may trade infrequently and experience low (in some cases extremely low) turnover. As set forth elsewhere in this brochure, wrap fees charged are intended to cover various services, including trade execution. We generally assume regular trading when setting the levels of wrap fees that may be charged with respect to the Programs covered in this brochure. If a specific Client experiences low turnover in the Client's wrap account, the Client may not realize the full benefit of the wrap fee paid with respect to such wrap account. Clients are encouraged to discuss the expected and/or historical level of trading with their Financial Advisor when evaluating the cost of a proposed or existing wrap account.

Diversification Risk: Certain Strategies or Portfolios within Stifel's Advisory Programs may have concentration in specific asset classes, sectors, or individual securities, which could result in increased exposure to the risks that can be attributed to those specific investments. Additionally, certain Portfolios may invest in a specific investment style. As a result, clients in these Portfolios may not have access to as wide a variety of management styles as clients in other portfolios. Clients may be invested in Portfolios that are primarily implemented using mutual funds and other funds that are managed by their affiliated companies, which may cause clients invested in these portfolios to only have access to the management style of the specific funds used to implement the Portfolio or Strategy.

Dependence on Key Personnel: Some of the Portfolios covered in this brochure may rely heavily on certain key personnel of our firm, our affiliates, and/or the personnel of certain Advisers available on our platform. The departure of any such key personnel or their inability to fulfill their duties may adversely affect the ability of an applicable Portfolio to effectively implement its investment program and, as a result, adversely impact the performance of the Advisory accounts enrolled in such Portfolio.

CLIENT INFORMATION PROVIDED TO PORTFOLIO MANAGERS

We typically provide information about a Client's financial condition, investment needs, and/or investment restrictions to Envestnet as well as any Adviser with full discretion over a Client's account. We may also provide annual updates (if any) to the information, or more often as available from the Client. We and/or the Financial Advisor (not Envestnet or the Adviser) are responsible for collecting data about Client investment goals and objectives and determining whether a particular Program and/or Portfolio is appropriate for the Client based on the stated goals and objectives.

CLIENT CONTACT WITH PORTFOLIO MANAGERS

While Clients may communicate directly with the third-party Adviser of the Portfolio in which the Client is invested, we strongly encourage Clients to facilitate such communications through their Financial Advisor.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

DISCIPLINARY INFORMATION

1. On January 26, 2018, Stifel entered into a Letter of Acceptance, Waiver, and Consent ("AWC") with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm (i) traded ahead of certain customer orders at prices that would have satisfied the customer orders; (ii) did not maintain adequate supervisory controls that were reasonably designed to achieve compliance with FINRA Rule 5320 and Supplementary Material .02 of FINRA Rule 5320; and failed to report an information barrier identifier with its order audit trail system (OATS) submission for certain orders. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rules 2010, 3110, 7440(b)(19) and NASD Rule 3010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure, monetary fine of \$37,500, plus interest of \$318.25, restitution payments to affected investors, and an undertaking to revise its written supervisory procedures relating to Rule 5320 and Supplementary Material .02 of FINRA to settle these allegations.
2. On January 26, 2018, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to report to the Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine ("TRACE") transactions in TRACE-eligible securitized products within the time required by FINRA Rule 6730. While not admitting or denying these allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$17,500.
3. In June 2017, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that Stifel did not provide timely disclosures to a municipal issuer in connection with its role as placement agent in a placement of bonds issued by the municipal issuer in accordance with interpretive guidance

issued by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”) regarding MSRB Rule G-23. In May 2012, Stifel recommended that the issuer do a placement, in lieu of a public offering, in order to save on debt service costs. The issuer accepted Stifel’s recommendation and agreed that Stifel would serve as placement agent. However, Stifel did not provide the disclosures regarding its role in a timely manner. As a result, the firm was alleged to have violated MSRB Rule G-23 by serving as both financial advisor and placement agent on the same issue. While not admitting or denying the allegations, Stifel agreed to a regulatory censure and a monetary fine of \$125,000.

4. In March 2017, Stifel consented to the entry of a Cease and Desist Order (“Order”) by the SEC in which Stifel was found to have violated Section 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-7 thereunder by failing to adopt or implement adequate policies and procedures to track and disclose the trading away practices of certain Investment Managers in several of Stifel’s discretionary wrap fee programs, including information about additional costs incurred by clients as a result of the Investment Manager’s use of another broker to execute transactions away from Stifel. Stifel neither admitted nor denied the findings contained in the Order, except those related to jurisdiction and the subject matter of the proceeding. Stifel made several undertakings enumerated in the Order related to the trading away practices of third-party managers, including a review and update of its policies and procedures, providing information to financial advisors and clients, and training financial advisors. Stifel was ordered to pay a civil penalty of \$300,000 and ordered to cease and desist from violating Section 206(4) and Rule 206(4)-7 thereunder.
5. On January 4, 2017, an Administrative Consent Order (“Order”) was entered against Stifel and a former registered representative associated with Stifel by the Securities Division of the Mississippi Secretary of State (“Division”) resolving an investigation into certain activities occurring in two branch offices during the period of September 2000 through November 2013. Without admitting or denying the findings in the Order, Stifel agreed to the entry of the Order directing Stifel to cease and desist from violating Rule 5.15 of the Mississippi Securities Act of 2010, a books and records rule, and to pay the Division \$49,500 on its behalf as well as \$500 on behalf of the former registered representative.
6. On December 6, 2016, a final judgment (“Judgment”) was entered against Stifel by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-00755) resolving a civil lawsuit filed by the SEC in 2011 involving violations of several antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws in connection with the sale of synthetic collateralized debt obligations (“CDOs”) to five Wisconsin school districts in 2006. As a result of the Order, Stifel is required to cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of Section 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act, and Stifel and a former employee are jointly liable to pay disgorgement and prejudgment interest of \$2.44 million. Stifel was also required to pay a civil penalty of \$22.5 million. The Judgment also required Stifel to distribute \$12.5 million of the ordered disgorgement and civil penalty to the school districts involved in this matter.
7. On April 8, 2016, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm used permissible customer-owned securities as collateral for bank loans procured by the firm. However, on several occasions over a period of years, prior to performing its customer reserve calculation, Stifel substituted those loans with loans secured with firm-owned collateral. The substitution thereby reduced the amount that Stifel was required to deposit into the Customer Reserve Account. FINRA found the practice to be a violation of applicable rules, including Section 15(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 15c3-3(e)(2) thereunder. Throughout the relevant period, the firm had sufficient resources to fund the Customer Reserve Account even if the substitutions had not occurred. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and fine of \$750,000.
8. On March 24, 2016, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm executed transactions in a municipal security in an amount that was below the minimum denomination of the issue. The conduct described was deemed to constitute a violation of applicable rules. While not admitting or denying these allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$25,000.
9. On March 3, 2016, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm, among other things, (i) traded ahead of certain customer orders, (ii) failed to mark proprietary orders with required notations, (iii) failed to yield priority, parity, and/or precedence in connection with customer trades submitted with proprietary orders, (iv) failed to disclose required information in writing to affected customers, and (v) failed to reasonably supervise and implement adequate controls in connection with these trades. These allegations were considered to be violations of New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) Rules 90, 92, 410(b), and 2010 as well as Section 11(a) of the Exchange Act. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and fine of \$275,000.
10. On January 5, 2016, Stifel, along with one of its employees, entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that Stifel and the employee (i) failed to adequately supervise the written communication of a registered institutional salesperson who circulated communications about companies that were subject to Stifel research, and (ii) failed to implement a supervisory system designed to supervise the distribution, approval, and maintenance of research reports and institutional sales material. These allegations were considered violations of various NASD Rules (including, but not limited to Rule 2711(a)(9), 2210(d)(1), and 3010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and fine of \$200,000.

11. On October 27, 2015, Stifel was one of many firms, to enter into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm (i) disadvantaged certain customers that were eligible to purchase Class A shares in certain mutual funds without a front-end sales charge, but were instead sold Class A shares with a front-end sales charge or Class B or C shares with back-end sales charges and higher ongoing fees, and (ii) failed to establish and maintain a supervisory system and procedures to ensure that eligible customers who purchased mutual fund shares received the benefit of applicable sales charge waivers. These allegations were considered to be violations of NASD Rule 3010 and FINRA Rules 3110 and 2010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and to pay \$2.9 million in restitution to the eligible customers.
12. On June 18, 2015, Stifel, together with 39 other financial services firms, consented to the entry of a Cease and Desist Order by the SEC following voluntary participation in the SEC's Municipalities Continuing Disclosure Cooperative Initiative (MCDCI). The SEC alleged that each participating firm generally violated federal securities laws and regulations (including certain anti-fraud provisions thereof) in connection with municipal securities offerings in which the firm (i) acted as either senior or sole underwriter and in which the offering documents contained false or misleading statements by the issuer about the issuer's prior compliance with certain federal securities laws or regulations, (ii) failed to conduct adequate due diligence about the issuer in connection with such offerings and, (iii) as a result, failed to form a reasonable basis for believing the truthfulness of the statements made by the issuers in the offerings, in each case as required by applicable securities laws and regulations. While not admitting or denying the allegations, Stifel consented to a fine of \$500,000, and to retain a consultant to conduct a review of its policies and procedures relating to municipal securities underwriting due diligence.
13. On June 10, 2015, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that (i) the firm failed to report the correct symbol indicating whether a transaction was buy, sell, or cross and inaccurately appended a price override modifier to 50,076 last sale reports of transactions that were reported to the FINRA/NASDAQ Trade Reporting Facility and (ii) the firm's supervisory system did not provide for supervision reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable securities laws and regulations as well as FINRA rules concerning trade reporting. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rule 7230A(d)(6), FINRA Rule 2010, and NASD Rule 3110. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to censure and a fine of \$40,000.
14. On June 8, 2015, Stifel entered into a settlement agreement with the Chicago Board of Options Exchange, Incorporated to settle allegations that the firm failed to register individuals, by the required deadline, who were otherwise required to register as proprietary trader principals. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to censure and a fine of \$35,000.
15. On March 4, 2015, Stifel entered into an AWC with The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC to settle allegations that the firm failed to immediately display certain customer limit orders in NASDAQ securities in the firm's public quotation when (i) the order price was equal to or would have improved the firm's bid or offer and/or the national best bid or offer for such security and (ii) the size of the order represented more than a de minimis change in relation to the size associated with the firm's bid or offer in each such security. In addition, The NASDAQ also alleged that the firm's supervisory system did not provide for supervision reasonably designed to achieve compliance with respect to the applicable securities laws and regulations, and the Rules of NASDAQ concerning limit order display. These allegations were considered to be violations of Rule 604 of Regulation NMS and NASDAQ Rules 3010 and 2010A. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and a fine of \$15,000.
16. On December 23, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm (i) failed to execute orders fully and promptly and (ii) failed to use reasonable diligence to ascertain the best inter-dealer market and to buy or sell in such market so that the resultant price to its customer was as favorable as possible under prevailing market conditions. In addition, FINRA also alleged that the firm's supervisory system did not provide for supervision reasonably designed to achieve compliance with respect to certain applicable securities laws and regulations, and/or the Rules of FINRA. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rules 5320 and 2010 and NASD Rules 2320 and 3010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$55,000.
17. On November 3, 2014, the SEC issued a Cease-and-Desist Order and entered into a settlement agreement with Stifel to settle allegations that Stifel executed a transaction in the Puerto Rico bonds with a customer in the amount below the \$100,000 minimum denomination of the issue. The conduct described was deemed to constitute a violation of MSRB Rule G-15(f). While not admitting or denying these allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$60,000.
18. On October 21, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm (i) failed to report to the FINRA/NASDAQ Trade Reporting Facility the capacity in which the firm executed certain transactions in Reportable Securities, (ii) failed to disclose to its customers the correct reported trade price in certain transactions, and its correct capacity in each transaction, (iii) incorrectly included an average price disclosure in certain transactions, (iv) inaccurately disclosed the commission or commission equivalent in certain transactions, (v) accepted a short sale in an equity security for its own account, without (1) borrowing the security or entering into a bona-fide

arrangement to borrow the security or (2) having reasonable grounds to believe that the security could be borrowed so that it could be delivered on the date delivery is due and (3) documenting compliance with Rule 203(b)(1) of Regulation SHO. In addition, FINRA also alleged that the firm's supervisory system did not provide for supervision reasonably designed to achieve compliance with respect to the above-noted issues. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rule 7230A, SEC Rule 10b-10, Rule 203(b)(1) of Regulation SHO, SEC Rule 605 of Regulation NMS, NASD Rule 3010, and FINRA Rule 2010, respectively. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a censure and a fine of \$32,500.

19. On September 25, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC to settle allegations that the firm failed to immediately display certain customer limit orders in NASDAQ securities in the firm's public quotation, when (i) the order price was equal to or would have improved the firm's bid or offer and/or the national best bid or offer for such security and (ii) the size of the order represented more than a de minimis change in relation to the size associated with the firm's bid or offer in each such security. The conduct described was deemed to constitute a violation of Rule 604 of Regulation NMS. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and a fine of \$12,500.
20. On September 22, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations on two separate items. The first, that the firm failed to establish and implement an anti-money laundering ("AML") Program reasonably designed to detect and cause the reporting of certain suspicious activity during a period when the firm executed for its customers unsolicited purchases and sales of at least 2.5 billion shares of low-priced securities ("penny stocks") which generated at least \$320 million in proceeds. As a result, the firm was deemed to have violated NASD Rule 3011(a) and FINRA Rule 3310(a). The second allegation was that the firm failed to establish, maintain, and enforce a supervisory system reasonably designed to ensure compliance with Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 and the applicable rules and regulations with respect to the distribution of unregistered and non-exempt securities. As a result, the firm was deemed to have violated NASD Rule 3010 and FINRA Rule 2010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm consented to a censure and a fine of \$300,000.
21. On February 27, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to report TRACE transactions in TRACE-eligible debt securities for agency bond new issue offerings during the period May 10, 2011, through September 30, 2011. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to (i) a censure, (ii) a fine of \$22,500, and (iii) to revise the firm's written supervisory procedures relating to supervision of compliance with FINRA Rule 6760.
22. On January 9, 2014, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that, among other things, (i) the firm allowed certain of its registered representatives to recommend nontraditional ETFs to customers without such representatives conducting adequate due diligence on the recommended products, (ii) the firm did not provide adequate formal training to its representatives or their supervisors regarding nontraditional ETFs before permitting such persons to recommend and/or supervise the sale of nontraditional ETFs to customers, and (iii) the firm failed to establish and maintain a supervisory system of controls, including written procedures specifically tailored to address the unique features and risks associated with nontraditional ETFs, or one that was reasonably designed to ensure that the sale of such nontraditional ETFs complied with applicable securities laws and regulations. The firm consented to a regulatory censure, a fine of \$450,000, and restitution to the 59 affected customers in the amount of \$338,128.
23. On December 23, 2013, Stifel and one of its representatives entered into a Stipulation and Consent Agreement with the State of Florida Office of Financial Regulation to settle allegations that the Stifel representative engaged in investment advisory business within the State of Florida without due registration as an investment advisory representative. Stifel agreed to an administrative fine of \$15,000. For its part, the State of Florida approved the individual's investment advisory representative registration.
24. On December 20, 2013, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that, among other things, (i) the firm accepted and held customer market orders, (ii) traded for its own account at prices that would have satisfied the customer market orders, (iii) failed to immediately execute the customer market orders up to the size and at the same price at which it traded for its own account or at a better price, and (iv) failed to execute orders fully and promptly and, in addition, some of the instances resulted in prices to the customers that were not as favorable as possible under prevailing market conditions. The firm consented to a censure and fine of \$80,000.00 and to pay restitution of \$4,416.74 to the affected customers.
25. On September 27, 2013, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations relating to a Trading and Market-Making Surveillance Examination for trades dated in 2010 – specifically, that (i) the firm reported inaccurate information on customer confirmations relating to distinguishing compensation from handling fees, failing to include market-maker disclosure, and incorrectly including average price disclosure, (ii) the firm made available a report on the covered orders in national market system securities that included incorrect information regarding the size of orders, and classification of orders in incorrect size buckets, (iii) the firm's written supervisory procedures failed to provide adequate written supervisory procedures relating to supervisory systems, procedures and qualifications, short sale transactions, backing away and multiple quotations, information barriers, and minimum quotation requirements, and (iv) the firm failed to provide

documentary evidence that it performed the supervisory reviews set forth in its written supervisory procedures concerning order handling, anti-intimidation coordination, soft dollar accounts and trading, Order Audit Trail System (OATS) reporting, books and records, and monitoring electronic communications. These allegations were considered to be violations of SEC Rule 10b-10, SEC Rule 605 of Regulation NMS, NASD Rule 3010, and FINRA Rule 2010, respectively. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a regulatory censure and a fine of \$20,000. The firm also agreed to revise its written supervisory procedures.

26. On August 6, 2013, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to properly indicate whether certain orders were buy, short sales, or long sales and, further, failed to indicate the correct capacity of certain orders into the NASDAQ/SingleBook System, in violation of NASDAQ Rules 4755 and 4611(a)(6), respectively. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a regulatory censure and an aggregate fine of \$10,000.
27. On August 6, 2013, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations relating to three separate reviews from 2008, 2009, and 2010 regarding fair pricing of fixed income securities – specifically, that (i) for certain of those periods, the firm failed to buy or sell corporate bonds at a fair price, (ii) the firm bought or sold municipal securities for its own account and/or sold municipal securities to a customer at an aggregate price that was not fair and reasonable, and (iii) the firm failed to use reasonable diligence to ascertain the best inter-dealer market price for certain identified transactions and/or to buy and sell in such market such that the price to its customers was as favorable as possible under prevailing market conditions. These allegations were considered to be violations of FINRA Rule 2010, NASD Rules 2110, 2320, 2440, Interpretive Materials -2440-1 and -2440-2, and MSRB Rules G-17 and G-30(A). To settle each of these separate allegations, the firm agreed to be censured and fined \$92,500 in the aggregate, and to pay restitution to clients of \$53,485.96 (of which \$36,762.73 had already been paid by the firm, of its own accord, to the affected clients) plus interest.
28. Stifel entered into an AWC dated August 6, 2013, for violations of SEC, FINRA, and NASD rules. The allegations were the result of four separate reviews FINRA conducted during 2008 and 2009 involving OATS reporting, market order timeliness, and market making. Without admitting or denying the findings, the firm consented to the described sanctions and was censured and fined \$52,500 for the violations found during the four separate reviews. The firm also agreed to revise its written supervisory procedures and to pay restitution in the amount of \$1,791.33 to its customers.
29. On May 29, 2013, Stifel entered into a settlement agreement with the Chicago Board of Options Exchange, Incorporated to settle allegations that the firm failed to register individuals that were otherwise required to register as proprietary trader principals by the required deadline. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a regulatory censure and a fine of \$5,000.
30. On September 28, 2012, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to report TRACE 29451 transactions in TRACE-eligible debt securities within 15 minutes of the time of execution, in violation of FINRA Rule 6730(A) and Rule 2010. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to pay a fine of \$5,000.
31. On March 26, 2012, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA to settle allegations that the firm failed to adequately supervise a former Missouri agent who sold unregistered securities, and failed to detect or respond adequately to warning signs and/or evidence that should have alerted the firm to the agent's misconduct. Stifel neither admitted nor denied FINRA's findings. The firm consented to findings, a censure, and agreed to pay a regulatory fine of \$350,000 and restitution in an amount not to exceed \$250,000 plus interest to customers affected by the agent's misconduct (subject to various other procedural requirements).
32. On January 24, 2012, Stifel entered into a consent order with the Missouri Securities Division to settle allegations that the firm failed to supervise a former Missouri agent who sold unregistered securities, failed to disclose material facts, made material misstatements, and who engaged in an act, practice, or course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit. The Division further found that Stifel failed to make, maintain, and preserve records as required under the Securities and Exchange Act and Stifel's written supervisory procedures. Stifel neither admitted nor denied the Division's findings. The firm consented to findings, a censure, and agreed to pay \$531,385 in restitution and interest to investors, \$500,000 to the Missouri Secretary of State's Investor Education and Protection Fund, and \$70,000 as costs of the Division's investigation. In addition, Stifel is required to retain an outside consultant to review and report to Stifel concerning certain of the firm's policies and procedures. The report will be made available to the Division.
33. In 2009, 2010, and 2011, Stifel entered into consent agreements with a number of state regulatory authorities regarding the sale of securities commonly known as "Auction Rate Securities" (ARS). The state regulatory authorities claimed that Stifel failed to reasonably supervise the sales of ARS by failing to provide sufficient information and training to its registered representatives and sales and marketing staff regarding ARS and the mechanics of the auction process applicable to ARS. As part of some or all of the consent agreements, Stifel agreed to pay various levels of fines to the states, to accept the regulator's censure, to cease and desist from violating securities laws and regulations, to retain at Stifel's expense a consultant to review the firm's supervisory and compliance policies and

procedures relating to product review of nonconventional investments, and/or repurchase certain auction rate securities from the firm's clients. The states with which Stifel entered into agreements of consent and the amounts of the fines paid to the respective states are:

<u>STATE</u>	<u>DATE RESOLVED</u>	<u>FINE PAID</u>
VIRGINIA	09/18/09	\$ 17,500.00
MISSOURI	01/22/10	\$250,000.00
NORTH DAKOTA	04/12/10	\$ 1,050.00
INDIANA	04/14/10	\$173,323.36
SOUTH DAKOTA	04/19/10	\$ 1,050.00
IOWA	04/19/10	\$ 2,172.71
VERMONT	04/22/10	\$ 1,116.04
WASHINGTON	04/26/10	\$ 1,512.29
KENTUCKY	04/27/10	\$ 7,984.40
MONTANA	05/04/10	\$ 1,050.00
CALIFORNIA	05/05/10	\$ 11,220.45
NEBRASKA	05/10/10	\$ 1,248.13
ALABAMA	05/13/10	\$ 1,050.00
MISSISSIPPI	05/18/10	\$ 2,833.13
LOUISIANA	05/25/10	\$ 1,116.04
UTAH	06/01/10	\$ 1,116.04
TENNESSEE	06/16/10	\$ 3,889.80
PUERTO RICO	06/23/10	\$ 1,050.00
WEST VIRGINIA	06/28/10	\$ 1,050.00
DELAWARE	07/08/10	\$ 1,182.08
OKLAHOMA	07/14/10	\$ 1,050.00
COLORADO	08/24/10	\$ 24,720.67
KANSAS	08/19/10	\$ 13,597.95
RHODE ISLAND	08/10/10	\$ 1,050.00
U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS	09/14/10	\$ 1,050.00
CONNECTICUT	09/23/10	\$ 8,512.73
MAINE	09/24/10	\$ 1,116.04
MICHIGAN	09/29/10	\$ 35,788.02
SOUTH CAROLINA	10/04/10	\$ 1,446.25
ARKANSAS	10/19/10	\$ 1,314.17
NEW JERSEY	10/29/10	\$ 15,381.10
ALASKA	10/27/10	\$ 1,446.25
WISCONSIN	12/08/10	\$ 18,286.93
OREGON	02/17/11	\$ 2,502.92
MINNESOTA	01/31/11	\$ 5,805.01
NEVADA	02/03/11	\$ 17,164.21
OHIO	04/14/11	\$ 15,645.25
MARYLAND	05/13/11	\$ 16,663.56
FLORIDA	04/23/12	\$ 29,617.71
GEORGIA	05/01/12	\$ 2,040.63
PENNSYLVANIA	08/10/12	\$ 9,450.00
ILLINOIS	08/29/12	\$ 32,619.00

34. On October 27, 2010, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA resolving FINRA's claim that Stifel failed to buy or sell corporate bonds at a price that was fair, taking into account all relevant circumstances; that the firm transmitted reportable order events to OATS that were rejected by OATS for repairable context or syntax errors that went uncorrected by the firm; that the firm incorrectly reported principal trade transactions as "agent" or "riskless principal" trades; and that the firm failed to report correctly the first leg of riskless principal transactions as "principal." While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a regulatory censure and to pay a \$32,500 fine.
35. On September 23, 2010, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA resolving FINRA's claim that, prior to its acquisition by Stifel, Ryan Beck & Co., Inc. had failed to establish an effective supervisory system and written supervisory procedures reasonably designed to ensure that discounts were correctly applied on eligible UIT purchases. FINRA alleged that Ryan Beck failed to identify and appropriately apply sales charge discounts and misstated to certain clients that discounts and breakpoint advantages had been properly applied. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to an undertaking by which the firm would submit to FINRA a proposed plan of how it will identify and compensate customers who qualified for, but did not receive, the applicable UIT sales charges discount. The firm will determine the excess sales charges paid by customers and calculate monies owed, plus interest, and provide FINRA with a schedule of the same and a Program of restitution.
36. On March 10, 2010, Stifel agreed to pay a \$133,000 fine to the State of Missouri and disgorgement of customer commissions in the amount of \$78,617 arising out of the State's allegations that the firm failed to reasonably supervise a Missouri-registered agent with regard to transactions in certain securities accounts of three Missouri residents.
37. On April 6, 2009, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA resolving FINRA's claim that the firm had failed to report to NASDAQ Market Center last sale reports of transactions in designated securities and failed to report to NMC the second leg of "riskless principal" transactions. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to pay a fine of \$5,000.
38. On September 18, 2007, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA resolving FINRA's claim that the firm failed to make available a report on the covered orders in National Market Securities that it received for execution from any person. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a regulatory censure and to pay a fine of \$7,500.
39. On September 12, 2007, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA resolving FINRA's claim that the firm failed to report information about the purchase and sale transactions effected in municipal securities to the Real-Time

Transaction Reporting System (RTRS) in a manner prescribed by MSRB Rule G-14. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to pay a fine of \$5,000.

40. On August 21, 2007, Stifel entered into an AWC with FINRA resolving FINRA's claim that the firm had failed to immediately display a limited number of customer limit orders in NASDAQ securities in its public quotation when such order was equal to or would have improved the firm's bid or offer and the national best bid or offer for such security and the size of such order represented more than a de minimis change in relation to the size associated with the firm's bid or offer in each such security. While not admitting or denying the allegations, the firm agreed to a regulatory censure and to pay a fine of \$10,000.

OTHER FINANCIAL INDUSTRY ACTIVITIES AND AFFILIATIONS

As set forth above, our firm is dual registered as an investment adviser and a broker-dealer, and is also a licensed insurance agency with various states.

We have a number of affiliates that are registered as investment advisers or broker-dealers (or both). In addition to being registered representatives of Stifel, some of our management persons may be registered representatives of these affiliated broker-dealers. Similarly, some of our management persons may be management persons of our affiliates, included Affiliated Advisers. Finally, some of our management persons may be licensed to practice law in various states. These individuals do not provide legal services to Advisory Clients. We prohibit our Financial Advisors from purchasing our parent company securities in Advisory accounts. (ticker: SF).

The following affiliates may be involved, directly or indirectly, in the Advisory services provided to Clients in the Programs covered in this brochure:

Affiliated Investment Advisers and Broker-Dealers – We have a number of arrangements with our Affiliated Advisers and broker-dealers that are applicable to Clients enrolled in a number of our Advisory Programs, including certain Select Programs. As of the date of this brochure, our Affiliated Advisers included Ziegler Capital Management, LLC (“Ziegler”), 1919 Investment Counsel, EquityCompass, and WCA. These Affiliated Advisers provide Model Portfolios, serve as Research Sources, and/or manage Portfolios on a discretionary basis in a number of our Programs. We have a conflict of interest when our Financial Advisors recommend Affiliated Advisers rather than unaffiliated entities since, to the extent any portion of the portfolio management fees goes to an affiliate, such funds remain within the Stifel umbrella and may have a positive impact on the performance of our parent company stock (of which the Financial Advisor is likely a shareholder). Moreover, our Financial Advisors may develop close personal relationships with employees and associated persons of our Affiliated Advisers and, as a result, may have an incentive to recommend

such Affiliated Advisers over Independent Advisers. To mitigate this risk, we do not pay our Financial Advisors on the basis of recommendations of Affiliated Advisers or other affiliated products. In addition, we pay our Affiliated Advisers in the same range as unaffiliated Advisers (i.e., the Product Fee to utilize the services and/or Portfolios of Affiliated Advisers is comparable to the Product Fee associated with Independent Advisers).

We also serve as clearing broker and custodian to accounts sourced by our affiliate, Century Securities Associates. We may also provide portfolio management services to some of these clients to the extent they are enrolled in our discretionary Wrap Fee Programs covered in a separate brochure. We receive a share of the fees and/or charges paid by Century clients in connection with the services that we provide.

Affiliated Trust Companies – Our affiliates, Stifel Trust Company, N.A. and Stifel Trust Company Delaware, N.A., each provide personal trust services (including serving as trustee or co-trustee or custodian) for individuals and organizations. From time to time, as trustee or co-trustee, these trust affiliates may open an Advisory account with us. In such cases, the fees charged by our trust affiliates are structured in a manner that is consistent with applicable fiduciary principles.

Keefe, Bruyette & Woods (“KBW”) – Our Financial Advisors may, from time to time, use research provided by our affiliate, KBW, in connection with the services provided to Clients with Advisory accounts. Clients are not charged for the value of such research. Stifel does not use KBW to execute Client trades or otherwise provide services to Client accounts.

Affiliated Mutual Funds and Other Products – From time to time, Client assets may be invested in shares of mutual funds managed or sub-advised by our Affiliated Advisers. In each such case, the Affiliated Adviser either receives a management fee from the mutual fund, or receives compensation from the product or issuer or other related person of the fund as remuneration for the services provided that is based on client assets invested in the fund, including investments made through our Advisory Programs. Similarly, a number of our affiliates (including Affiliated Advisers) may also receive licensing and other fees from ETFs in connection with which the affiliate provides the constituent index or other services. Such licensing and other fees depend on the amount of assets invested in the ETF and the amounts of shares outstanding, including (but not limited to) investments made, and share held, through our Advisory Programs. Our Financial Advisors may also recommend any of these products to our Advisory Clients and/or Affiliated Advisers may purchase one or more of these affiliated products in a Portfolio made available in our Advisory Programs. We may limit the purchase of such products in any of our Programs. If allowed in an eligible Program, we rebate the value representing the pro-rated fee or other compensation received by our Affiliated Advisers in connection with affiliated products held in retirement accounts. We may also, in our sole discretion, decide to provide similar rebates to non-retirement accounts in certain Programs. However, we generally will **not** provide rebates for affiliated products held by non-retirement

accounts in our non-discretionary Programs. Clients entitled to a rebate should note, however, that we determine such rebates retroactively, based on the value of the product (e.g., fund shares) in the Client account as of a pre-determined date (typically, month-end), and pay the rebates a quarter or more in arrears. Moreover, our process only reviews whether an affiliated product is held in Advisory accounts as of the beginning of the month and, thereafter, assumes that each such product is held (or not held) in the account(s) for the remainder of the month. As such, an eligible Advisory account that purchases an affiliated product in the middle of the month will **not** receive any rebate for that month and, similarly, an eligible Advisory account that sells an affiliated product in the middle of the month will receive a rebate for the entire month even though the position was only held for part of the month.

Stifel Bank & Trust (“SB&T”) – In connection with the insured bank deposit programs offered as cash sweep options for our Client accounts (discussed below in the section titled “Cash Sweep Options”), our affiliate, SB&T, is either the sole participating bank, or one of the participating banks (and typically the first bank), into which idle cash swept from eligible Client accounts may be swept. In addition, from time to time, Advisory Clients may also have a direct relationship with SB&T and hold other personal deposit and/or bank accounts at SB&T, in which case, such Clients are solely responsible for any customary fees that are charged with respect to such deposit or other bank accounts. Finally, as set forth under the section “Credit Lines” below, SB&T compensates us in connection with Credit Line Loans that Clients hold at SB&T. Clients should therefore note that the Financial Advisor may have an incentive to recommend such Credit Line Loans and, as such, should carefully review the terms of any proposed Credit Line Loan prior to taking out any such Loan.

Limited Partnerships and Other Private Funds – Our firm may, directly or through an affiliate, act as general partner or manager to various investment partnerships or other entities. These investment partnerships are offered to eligible investors, some of whom may have Advisory accounts with us. Solicitation activities for these entities are typically made via an offering circular or prospectus and may only be made to clients for whom the partnership interests are deemed suitable. As with other pooled investment vehicles, each private fund charges its own fees and expenses. With limited exceptions, Clients that invest in any such private fund are required to hold their private fund interests in their brokerage accounts. To the extent the shares are held in brokerage accounts, these clients are not charged Advisory Account Fees with respect to the holdings, but may be charged transaction-specific brokerage commissions (in addition to any management or related fee that Stifel may be entitled for its services to the private fund). If an exception is granted for a Client to purchase and/or hold such interests in their Advisory account, depending on the particular investment and/or the specific class or series of securities held, Stifel may charge an Advisory Account Fee with respect to the shares held in the Advisory account, which Advisory Account Fee will be separate and in addition to any fees charged by the entity and any underlying funds held in which it invests.

Stifel Nicolaus Insurance Agency, Incorporated – As set forth above, our firm is licensed as an insurance agency in a number of states and, as such, is able to sell insurance products to clients directly. However, in a few states, insurance products are sold through our affiliate, Stifel Nicolaus Insurance Agency, Incorporated. In such cases, the affiliate, and not our firm, will receive customary commissions paid by the insurance companies issuing Client policies. Financial Advisors who sell insurance products in such states typically are licensed as agents of the affiliate and will receive a portion of the insurance commissions paid.

* * * *

Each Client should note that each relationship set forth above creates a conflict of interest for our firm and/or Financial Advisors. Our firm acts as a fiduciary with respect to all Advisory services. As a fiduciary, we take reasonable steps to ensure that all material conflicts are fully disclosed to our Clients.

CODE OF ETHICS, PARTICIPATION OR INTEREST IN CLIENT TRANSACTIONS, AND PERSONAL TRADING

Code of Ethics

In addition to Stifel Financial Corp.’s Code of Ethics Policy, which is applicable to all Stifel personnel, our Advisory personnel are also subject to our Investment Advisory Code of Ethics (“IA Code of Ethics”). A copy of the IA Code of Ethics is available upon request. Set forth in the IA Code of Ethics are standards reasonably designed to promote honest and ethical conduct, comply with federal securities laws and governmental rules and regulations, maintain privacy of Client information, protect nonpublic information, and encourage associates to report any known violations. Such standards include placing Client interests first, avoiding any material or potential conflicts of interest, and ensuring that personal securities transactions are conducted appropriately. Compliance periodically reviews the IA Code of Ethics to ensure adequacy and effectiveness in complying with applicable regulations.

Participation or Interest in Client Transactions

To the extent we execute transactions for Client accounts, Advisory transactions are typically executed on an agency basis. However, our firm may trade with Clients and seek to earn a profit for its own account (such trades generally are referred to as “principal transactions”). Principal transactions are executed at prices and commission rates which we believe are competitive and in accordance with industry practice. Although we may be able to provide a more favorable price to a Client if we purchase from or sell to our inventory of securities, we generally are not able to engage in such transactions with Advisory accounts due to regulatory requirements, which require written disclosure and consent on a trade-by-trade basis. However, if the account is managed by an Independent Adviser who is directing the trade, we may, as broker, trade from our inventory without having to obtain Client consent for the transaction. We generally do not permit Advisory accounts to purchase securities in syndicated offerings from our firm or our affiliates.

When permitted by applicable law and firm policy, we may cause Client accounts to engage in cross and agency cross transactions. A cross transaction occurs when we cause a Client account to buy securities from, or sell securities to, another Client and our firm does not receive a commission from the transaction. We may (but are under no obligation to) cause Client accounts to engage in cross transactions. An agency cross transaction occurs when our firm acts as broker for a Client account on one side of the transaction and a brokerage account or another Client account on the other side of the transaction in connection with the purchase or sale of securities by the Client account and our firm receives a commission from the transaction. We will have a potentially conflicting division of loyalties and responsibilities to the parties to cross and agency-cross transactions, including with respect to a decision to enter into such transaction as well as with respect to valuation, pricing, and other terms. We have adopted policies and procedures in relation to such transactions and conflicts. However, there can be no assurance that such transactions will be effected in the manner that is most favorable to a Client account that is a party to any such transaction. Cross transactions may disproportionately benefit some Client accounts relative to other Client accounts due to the relative amount of market savings obtained by the client accounts. Cross or agency cross transactions are effected in accordance with fiduciary requirements and applicable law (which may include providing disclosure and obtaining client consent). To the extent such consent is provided in advance of the cross or agency cross transactions, Clients may revoke the consent at any time by written notice to Stifel or their Financial Advisor, and any such revocation will be effective once we have received and have had a reasonable time to act on it.

Certain of our Financial Advisors may recommend securities of issuers that our firm has otherwise sponsored or promoted (including initial public offerings and other syndicated offerings). Client transactions in such offerings are required to be effected in brokerage accounts, not Advisory accounts. Clients who participate in such transactions should note, therefore, that neither Stifel nor the Financial Advisor is, in any way, acting as a fiduciary with respect to any such transactions. As associated persons of a registered broker-dealer, our Financial Advisors are generally prohibited from participating in these offerings. However, some of our affiliates may, for their own accounts or for accounts of their clients, take substantial positions in such securities. In such cases, the affiliate may indirectly benefit from our Financial Advisor's investment recommendations if (for example) the later purchase by our Client accounts of the securities (i.e., in the secondary market) causes the price of those securities to rise. In general, our policies prohibit Stifel personnel from sharing information relating to investments made for Client accounts with affiliates or other parties, unless such parties need to know such information in order to provide services to any affected client accounts and such disclosure is permitted by law. To the extent that associated persons obtain information relating to investments by Stifel and/or an affiliate, such associated persons are prohibited from (i) passing such information to any other person who does not need to know the information in order to

perform required duties and (ii) using such information to benefit a Financial Advisor or Client.

Our officers and/or employees (including our Financial Advisors) may serve on the boards of companies in Clients' portfolios. In addition, our firm or affiliates may provide services to such portfolio companies. The portfolio companies may compensate us (or our affiliates) for services with options to purchase stock or other equity interests of the portfolio companies. If an affiliate owns options or other securities issued by portfolio companies, a conflict of interest may arise between the timing of any exercise or sale of these options, and our decisions about the same portfolio securities for Client accounts. We do not solicit such information from any affiliate.

Our firm, Financial Advisors, and affiliates frequently have access to non-public information about publicly traded companies. When this occurs, our Financial Advisors (and therefore, their Client accounts) may be prohibited from trading an existing position at a time that would be beneficial to such Clients, resulting in investment losses or the failure to achieve investment gains. In other cases, we may purchase or sell the securities of an issuer at a time when an affiliate or its employees have material non-information about such securities or their issuers if the affiliates have not otherwise notified us of their possession of such information. Our affiliates and their respective employees have no duty to make any such information available to us, and we have no duty to obtain such information from the affiliates and do not otherwise solicit such information.

Personal Trading

Our employees and affiliates may invest in any Select Program that we offer. Our written supervisory procedures are designed to detect and prevent the misuse of material, non-public information by employees. Our firm and affiliates, directors, officers, stockholders, employees, and members of their families may have positions in and, from time to time, buy or sell securities that we recommend to Advisory accounts. We prohibit transactions in our firm account(s) and accounts of associated persons in any security that is the subject of a recommendation of our Research department until the recommendation has been disseminated to Clients and a reasonable time has elapsed following the dissemination. Our directors, officers, and employees are prohibited from buying or selling securities for their personal accounts if the decision to do so is substantially derived, in whole or in part, by reason of their employment, unless the information is also available to the investing public or through reasonable inquiry. We maintain and regularly review securities holdings in the accounts of persons who may have access to Advisory recommendations.

BROKERAGE PRACTICES

About Our Broker-Dealer

Our firm's principal business in terms of revenue and personnel is that of a securities broker-dealer. As a broker-dealer, we execute securities transactions per client instructions. As an integral part of the services offered, when providing brokerage

services, Financial Advisors may assist clients in identifying investment goals, creating strategies that are reasonably designed to meet those goals, and making suitable buy, hold, and sell recommendations based on risk tolerance and financial circumstances. However, Financial Advisors do not provide investment advice, make investment decisions on behalf of clients, and do not charge any fees for any incidental advice given when providing brokerage services. *Absent special circumstances, Financial Advisors are not held to fiduciary standards when providing brokerage services.* Legal obligations to disclose detailed information about the nature and scope of our business, personnel, commissions charged, material or potential conflicts of interest, and other matters, are limited when acting as a broker-dealer.

Our Responsibilities as a Broker-Dealer

As a broker-dealer, Stifel is held to the legal standards of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, FINRA rules, and state laws, where applicable. Such standards include fair dealings with Clients, reasonable and fair execution prices in light of prevailing market conditions, reasonable commissions and other charges, and reasonable basis for believing that securities recommendations are suitable.

Brokerage clients pay commission charges on a per transaction basis for securities execution services in their brokerage accounts. As set forth elsewhere in this brochure, with limited exceptions, Advisory accounts enrolled in the Programs above generally pay a wrap fee that covers Stifel's custodial, execution, and administrative services, as well as other applicable advisory and portfolio management services by Advisers. See "Fees and Compensation" for more details about the wrap fee.

Execution of Transactions

As set forth above, as wrap sponsor, we typically execute trades for accounts in the Programs covered in this brochure to the extent the accounts are non-discretionary, or if we have trading discretion and/or if Investment Managers direct trades to our firm. However, we may determine to effect transactions through other broker-dealers if we determine, in light of all applicable factors, that executing through the other broker-dealer would provide better execution than would be the case if we self-executed. Investment Managers in the Opportunity, Horizon (Dual Contract), Select Manager, IMC Programs, and certain Score Portfolios have discretion to effect trades on behalf of Clients through broker-dealers other than Stifel. An Investment Manager may trade away if it determines, in its sole discretion, such trades would be in the best interests of its clients, such as to satisfy its best execution obligations. As set forth above, Clients in our Programs pay fees to Stifel and, as applicable, the Investment Manager for services, which include costs related to transactions in client accounts effected through Stifel. However, for all transactions executed through other broker-dealers, Clients will likely, but will not necessarily, incur additional costs, such as commissions or markups/markdowns embedded in the price of the security that are **in addition to, and not included in**, the wrap fee. As such, Clients are separately responsible for any execution costs incurred in connection with such trades. These additional costs are not reflected on Client account statements; however, if the Investment Manager has

provided the appropriate information to us regarding such additional costs, they may be indicated on Client trade confirmations, or on Client quarterly transaction confirmation reports provided to those Clients who have elected to suppress immediate trade confirmations.¹

¹ *All other information shown does not reflect any additional execution costs resulting from trades executed through other broker-dealers.*

As Advisers, Investment Managers have a fiduciary obligation to act in the best interests of their advisory clients and are therefore required to seek to obtain "best execution" in effecting trades on behalf of such clients. Under the Advisers Act, "best execution" generally means executing transactions in a manner such that the client's total cost or proceeds are the most favorable under the circumstances. Although it is important for Investment Managers to seek the best price for a security in the marketplace and minimize unnecessary brokerage costs in satisfying its obligations, these are not the only factors used to determine whether the Investment Manager has satisfied its obligations. It is not an obligation to get the lowest possible commission cost, or to solicit competitive bids for each transaction, but rather, the Investment Manager should determine whether the transaction represents the best qualitative execution for its clients. In selecting a broker-dealer, Investment Managers should consider the full range and quality of services offered by the broker-dealer, including the value of the research provided (if any), execution capability, commission rate charged, the broker-dealer's financial responsibility, and its responsiveness. *It is also important to note that Stifel does not monitor, review, or otherwise evaluate whether an Investment Manager is satisfying its best execution obligations to clients.*

Types of Securities Traded. Investment Managers whose strategies consist primarily (or substantially) of fixed income securities, foreign securities (including American Depositary Receipts or ordinary shares), exchange traded funds, and/or small-cap securities are generally more likely to trade away from Stifel. This means that clients investing in such strategies are more likely to incur execution costs in addition to the wrap fee paid to Stifel. Clients should, therefore, take these costs into consideration when selecting and/or deciding to remain invested in the affected strategies.

Trade Aggregation. Investment Managers typically manage wrap client accounts for multiple firms using the same strategy, and may also manage other directly sourced accounts side-by-side with wrap client accounts. In certain cases, an Investment Manager may decide to aggregate all transactions for clients in its Portfolio(s) into a block trade that is executed through one broker-dealer, rather than separately through each participating firm (such as Stifel). Aggregating transactions into a single block may enable the Investment Manager to obtain a better price or additional investment opportunities for its clients, as well as allow the Investment Manager to exercise more control over the execution, including (for example) potentially avoiding an adverse effect on the price of a security that could result from simultaneously placing a number of separate, successive, and/or competing client orders.

Investment Managers' Historical Trading Away Practices.

We maintain a list of Investment Managers with trading discretion over Client accounts that have notified us that they traded away from Stifel during the previous year – the list is typically available no earlier than the second quarter of the following year. The list includes the names of the applicable Portfolios, information about the trade-away practices of the Investment Manager for a particular Portfolio, and the average associated costs (if any) during the applicable year. The information is provided to existing investors in the affected Portfolios, as well as to Clients that enroll into each affected Portfolio after such information is available. However, the information contained in the list is based solely upon information provided to Stifel by each Investment Manager and is not independently verified by Stifel. As a result, Stifel does not make any representations as to the accuracy of the information presented. The information in the list regarding an Investment Manager's prior trade-away practices is not a guarantee that a particular Investment Manager will exercise or repeat the same practices in the future, in general, and/or with the same frequency. It is possible that Investment Managers could trade away more or less frequently, or at a higher or lower commission rate, fee, or other expenses, resulting in greater or lesser costs than those indicated. Individual clients enrolled in the Portfolios noted may experience different results. Similarly, it is possible that Investment Managers that have not previously, or recently, traded away from Stifel will do so in the future.

Additional information about an Investment Manager's brokerage practices, including the factors that the Investment Manager considers in satisfying its best execution obligations, which may vary according to the type(s) of securities traded, is contained in each Investment Manager's Form ADV Part 2A Brochure. Clients should review each Investment Manager's trading away practices before selecting, or while reviewing, a particular Portfolio.

Orders for most Advisory Programs are routed for agency execution. Our firm does not impose commissions (including markups or markdowns) on transactions that we execute for fee-based advisory accounts; however, as agency transactions, the broker on the other side of the transaction may charge a markup or markdown that may be equal to, or greater, than any markup or markdown we would have charged if we executed the trade in a principal capacity). Where permissible by applicable law (for example, in our Opportunity Program where an Independent Adviser is directing the trade), we may act as broker for the transaction and, at the same time, purchase and/or sell securities for a Client transaction from our inventory. Consistent with applicable regulations, such inventory trades are not considered "principal transactions" to the extent that an Investment Manager (not Stifel) determines that purchasing the securities from Stifel inventory is in the underlying Clients' best interest.

On the execution end, Advisory account orders are generally treated with the same priority and procedural flow as non-advisory brokerage trades (except, such orders are not routed to our market makers and may be done as a block order, which may have different rules and priorities). We generally use automated systems to route and execute orders for the purchase and sale of

securities for most Advisory accounts, unless directed by Clients to do otherwise. We use a reasonable diligence to ascertain the best markets for a security and to buy and sell in such markets so that the resultant price to the Client is as favorable as possible under prevailing market conditions. Certain large orders that require special handling may be routed to a market center for execution via telephone or other electronic means. We regularly monitor existing and potential execution venues and may route orders in exchange listed or over-the-counter ("OTC") securities to other venues if it is believed that such routing is consistent with best execution principles. For equity securities, we monitor the performance of competing market centers and generally route orders to those that consistently complete transactions timely and at a reasonable cost and which normally execute at the national best bid or offer. Whenever possible, orders are routed to market centers that offer opportunities for price improvement through automated systems. We execute mutual fund transactions for Advisory accounts through traditional omnibus vendors, or through clearing arrangements with other brokerage firms under so-called super-omnibus arrangements.

Aggregation of Trades in Advisory Portfolios

To the extent possible, and in order to seek a more advantageous trade price, we may (but are not required to) aggregate orders for the purchase of a security for the Accounts of several discretionary Client accounts for execution in a single transaction ("block trades"). However, Clients in our Select APM Program should be aware that we do not require Financial Advisers who manage Select APM accounts to aggregate orders for Client accounts into block trades. As a result, Clients with Select APM accounts managed by the same Financial Advisor (including, for example, in the same Select APM Portfolio) may receive different execution prices even when trading in the same security on the same day. Additionally, we may not be able to execute block trades notwithstanding that one or more MBT Portfolios are trading in the same securities on the same day. Clients should, therefore, understand that discretionary accounts in one or more MBT Portfolios and/or Programs may get different prices even if such accounts trade in the same security on the same day. When used, block trading generally allows us to execute equity trades in a timely, equitable manner. The related transaction costs are shared equally at an average price per share and on a pro rata basis between all accounts included in the block trade. Orders that cannot be filled in the same block trade or at the same average price are assigned to accounts in a manner that ensures no bias towards any Client. This practice does not ordinarily affect or otherwise reduce fees, commissions, or other costs charged to Clients for these transactions, but may provide price improvement. A partial fill of a block trade may be allocated among Client accounts randomly, pro rata, or by some other equitable procedure. In certain cases, Advisers on our Advisory platform may use computer systems that allocate purchase and sale transactions either on a random or pro rata basis. In any case, Clients may pay higher or lower prices for securities than may otherwise have been obtained.

In connection with the handling of block orders, our firm may engage in hedging, offsetting, liquidating, facilitating, or positioning transactions ("risk-mitigating transactions") that may occur at the same time or in advance of a client order, and these

activities may have impact on market prices. Beyond these risk-mitigating transactions, our firm and/or affiliates will refrain from any conduct that could disadvantage or harm the execution of client orders or that would place our financial interests ahead of clients.

Unless we are informed in writing (“opt out”), we will conclude that clients understand that we may engage in risk-mitigating transactions in connection with client orders and will conclude that clients have given us (including our affiliates) consent to handle block transactions as described above. Clients can contact their Financial Advisor for instructions on how to opt out.

Directed Brokerage

Some Independent or Affiliated Advisers acting as Investment Manager may require Clients to direct brokerage. When Clients direct brokerage away from Stifel, it generally will result in higher costs. The wrap fee for Advisory services do not cover, and Clients are responsible for, brokerage commissions, markups, markdowns, and/or other costs associated with transactions effected through or with third-party broker-dealer firms.

Payment for Order Flow

Payment for order flow is defined as any monetary payment, service, property, or benefit that results from remuneration, compensation, or consideration to a broker-dealer from another broker-dealer in return for routing customer orders to that broker-dealer. While Stifel does not receive payment for order flow from other broker-dealers, Stifel does receive certain rebates for routing orders to the exchanges that execute such orders. The rebate varies on the order type.

Trade Error Correction

It is our firm’s policy that if there is a trade error for which we, our vendor, and/or a third-party Adviser is responsible, trades will be adjusted or reversed as needed in order to put the Client’s account in the position that it would have been in if the error had not occurred. Errors will be corrected at no cost to Client’s account, with the Client’s account not recognizing any loss from the error. The Client’s account will be fully compensated for any losses incurred as a result of an error. If the trade error results in a gain, our firm typically retains the gain.

We offer many services and, from time to time, may have other Clients in other Programs trading in opposition to Clients’ accounts. To avoid favoring one Client over another Client, we attempt to use objective market data in the correction of any trading errors.

Research and Other Benefits

Financial Advisors and Clients have access to research published by our firm’s research analysts (“Stifel Research”), the primary source of our research. Subject to certain exceptions, we incorporate the insights and economic perspectives of Stifel Research, where appropriate, into our products and services. *Clients should be aware that our firm may have conflicts of interest in connection with research reports published.* Stifel and other affiliates may have long or short positions, or deal as

principal or agent, in relevant securities, or may provide Advisory or other services to issuers of relevant securities or to companies connected with issuers covered in research reports issued by Stifel Research. Our research analysts’ compensation is not based on investment banking revenues; however, their compensation may relate to revenues or profitability of Stifel business groups as a whole, which may include investment banking, sales, and trading services. Financial Advisors also have access to proprietary models covering equities, fixed income, mutual funds, and municipal securities developed by our firm’s various business areas.

Our firm may also use research obtained from other financial institutions, including our affiliate, KBW, as well as from other affiliated or unaffiliated broker-dealers and/or investment advisers. In general, we seek third-party research that is in-depth fundamental corporate research to assist in providing advisory services to clients. We do not use commission dollars from Program accounts to pay for research, but generally pay for such research using hard dollars. However, our Financial Advisors may obtain research from firms that make such research available because the firm also provides other products and services to us (for example, an Adviser on an Affiliate’s platform may make its research reports available to our Advisers). Clients should be aware that our receipt of these research services may present a conflict of interest by creating an incentive for our firm and/or Financial Advisors to recommend the investment products offered by the research provider firms (or by their affiliates). Our personnel generally do not recommend products based on the value of research services received directly from a research provider or their affiliates. Research services are generally used to benefit all client accounts, whether or not such research was generated by the applicable client account. However, not all research services will be used for all client accounts; the type of research used with respect to any one account will depend on, among other things, the types of investments that are deemed suitable for the account.

Finally, some of our Financial Advisors may also obtain research from other independent sources. Generally such research is publicly available and the Financial Advisors do not pay extra to receive such research. However, in certain cases, Financial Advisors may pay for third-party research which may be used in connection with services provided to Client accounts. In general, Stifel does not use any such financial institution in connection with trade executions in Client accounts.

Margin

We do not allow the use of margin in Advisory accounts except in limited cases. For those Clients that are specifically permitted to use margin strategies in eligible non-retirement Advisory accounts held at Stifel. *The use of leverage, or investing with borrowed funds, is generally not recommended or permitted in the Select Programs;* however, it may be approved on a limited exception basis when specifically requested by individual Clients, or for use in specialized strategies. Certain eligibility requirements must be met and documentation in the form of a separate margin agreement must be completed prior to using leverage in Advisory accounts. Only Clients can authorize the

use of leverage in a Select Program account (that is, neither our firm nor our Financial Advisors can use discretion to set up a margin arrangement or privileges for a Client's Select Program account). In making the decision to authorize margin privileges for their Advisory account, it is important Clients understand the risks associated with employing margin strategies, the impact the use of borrowed funds may have on a Select Program account, and how investment objectives may be negatively affected. Employing margin in Select Program accounts is a more aggressive, higher risk approach to pursuing investment objectives. Clients should carefully consider whether the additional risks are appropriate prior to employing margin strategies due to the increased potential for significantly greater losses associated with using margin strategies. The use of margin also involves higher costs; Clients pay interest to our firm on the outstanding loan balance of their original margin loan. Furthermore, fees are calculated as a percentage of total assets in the account; therefore, employing margin strategies to buy securities in Select Program accounts generally increases the amount of, but not the percentage of, fees. *This results in additional compensation to us. The amount of the margin loan is not deducted from the total value of the investments when determining account value for purposes of calculating the Advisory Account Fees.* As a result, Clients may lose more than their original investments. Likewise, a positive or negative performance, net of interest charges and fees, is magnified. Gains or losses are greater than would be the case in accounts that do not employ margin strategies. *Clients generally will not benefit from employing margin strategies if the performance of individual accounts does not exceed interest expenses on the loan plus fees incurred as a result of depositing the proceeds of the loan.*

Credit Lines

Clients may apply for Credit Line Loans from our affiliate, SB&T, using eligible securities accounts, including eligible Advisory accounts, as collateral. The proceeds of such loans may not be used (a) purchase, carry, or trade in securities, (b) to repay or retire any indebtedness incurred to purchase, carry, or trade in securities, or (c) to repay or retire any debt to (or otherwise purchase any product or service from or any security or other asset issued by) any affiliate of SB&T. If Advisory accounts are used to collateralize Credit Line Loans, the accounts are pledged to support any Credit Line Loans extended and Clients are not permitted to withdraw funds unless sufficient amounts of collateral remain to continue supporting the Credit Line Loans (as determined by SB&T, in its sole discretion). Although Clients are required to satisfy such collateral requirements, they may terminate their Advisory relationship with Stifel, at which time these funds will be maintained in a brokerage account at Stifel. Clients pay interest to SB&T on Credit Line Loans at customary rates. Certain eligibility requirements must be met and loan documentation must be completed prior to applying for Credit Line Loans.

Credit Line Loans extended by SB&T are demand loans and subject to collateral maintenance requirements. SB&T may demand repayment at any time. If the required collateral value is not maintained, SB&T may require additional collateral, partial or entire repayment of any Credit Line Loans extended,

and/or sale of securities to satisfy collateral maintenance requirements. Clients may need to deposit additional cash or collateral or repay a partial or entire amount of the funds borrowed if the value of their portfolio declines below the required loan-to-value ratio. Failure to promptly meet requests for additional collateral or repayment, or other circumstances including a rapidly declining market, may cause SB&T to instruct us to liquidate some or all of the collateral supporting any Credit Line Loan in order to meet collateral maintenance requirements. Depending on market circumstances, the prices obtained for the securities may be less than favorable. Any required liquidations may interrupt long-term investment strategies and may result in adverse tax consequences.

Stifel and your Financial Advisor may have a financial incentive and a conflict of interest in connection with your decision to obtain a Credit Line Loan, insofar as Stifel and your Stifel Financial Advisor may earn compensation from SB&T in connection with the Credit Line Loan.

Other Important Considerations Relating to the Use of Margin or Credit Line Loans in Connection With Advisory Accounts.

None of the firm, SB&T, or our Financial Advisors provide legal or tax advice. Clients should consult legal counsel and tax advisors before using borrowed funds as collateral for loans. Neither our firm nor our affiliates act as investment adviser with respect to the liquidation of securities held in Advisory accounts to meet margin calls or Credit Line Loan demands, and as creditors, our firm and our affiliates may have interests that are adverse to Clients. There are substantial risks associated with the use of borrowed funds for investment purposes and the use of securities as collateral for loans. Additional limitations and availability may vary by state.

CASH SWEEP OPTIONS

We offer one or more sweep options for the available cash balances in Client accounts, depending on the type of account that a Client is establishing (i.e., retirement versus non-retirement). Clients should review the sections "The Stifel Automatic Cash Investment Service" and "Disclosure Documents for Automatic Cash Investment of the Brokerage Account Agreement and Disclosure Booklet (the "Brokerage Account Agreement") for the terms, conditions, and other important information relating to the applicable sweep options, including a discussion of the various conflicts that we may have in connection with such options as well as how we seek to mitigate such conflicts. Clients may access the Brokerage Account Agreement, as amended from time to time, under the "Important Disclosures" section of www.stifel.com or may request a copy from their Financial Advisor.

REVIEW OF ACCOUNTS

Account Review

Each new Select Program account is reviewed by the applicable Financial Advisor's supervisor prior to account opening.

Thereafter, Financial Advisors perform account reviews regularly.

Performance Information

We offer Clients in Select Programs the *option* of requesting to receive quarterly analyses of their account's performance relative to comparable market indices or other selected benchmarks. With respect to the performance reports which Clients have the option to receive, we use performance returns calculated by our primary performance system. Our primary system calculates total performance returns (after deduction of actual trading expenses) using a daily calculation methodology that adjusts for cash flows in the account as of the applicable date. In certain limited cases, we may calculate Client account performance using one of our secondary performance systems. Our secondary performance systems generally calculate performance returns using the Modified Dietz Method, which is a time-weighted method that also identifies and accounts for cash flows in the account. If the date of a cash flow is not known, we will assume a mid-month date for cash flows. Regardless of the system from which performance is calculated, our policies require our personnel to periodically review a sampling of the performance returns to confirm their accuracy or compliance with presentation standards.

We rely on publicly recorded information, use various vendor systems, and/or rely on valuations provided by third-party custodians holding assets and/or accounts that are part of a Client's Advisory relationship with us in determining the values used in our performance reports. If Client accounts include privately issued alternative investments, we rely on values provided by the management, administrators, and sponsors of each such investment and may, as a result of delays in getting information from such parties, use estimated values in reporting the performance of such investments. ***Stifel does not independently verify any such valuations.*** The actual value, once determined, may differ from the estimates previously provided by the third party to Stifel and, therefore, used by Stifel in previous reports and calculations. Clients may, thus, not be able to realize the same value as shown for such assets upon a sale or redemption of the same. ***Each Client should also note that Stifel will not amend previously issued calculations or reports as a result of changes in the valuation figures provided by such third parties.***

Clients may notice a difference in the ending market values displayed on the quarter-end custodial statements issued by our firm versus our performance reports for the same account. Performance reports generally include any income that is earned (accrued) but not yet posted to Client accounts. Custodial statements also include accrued income, but the calculation may not match the calculation used for performance reporting purposes. Clients should contact their Financial Advisors if they have any questions relating to figures shown on their performance reports.

Transaction Statements

Clients with discretionary accounts held at Stifel typically receive monthly (but in no event less than quarterly) statements that identify buys, sells, dividends, interest, deposits, and

disbursements in their accounts during the previous month, as well as the overall market value of the portfolio at month's end. A summary of portfolio holdings as of the end of each reported quarter is also listed. Clients may not waive receipt of account statements. Clients whose accounts are held away from Stifel, with a qualified custodian (but who trade through Stifel), will receive a statement with respect to each month in which a transaction is effected in their Stifel account. However, if no transactions are effected in accounts held away from Stifel, such Clients may receive their statements on a quarterly basis. All other clients utilizing an unaffiliated, third-party custodian will receive statements from their applicable custodian based on the custodian's own delivery schedule.

Realized Gain/Loss Summary

Custodial statements from Stifel include annual listings of all closed transactions in their accounts during each calendar year, as well as the offsetting cost of each transaction and, thus, the realized gains/losses for each closing transaction.

Year-End Tax Report

With respect to those accounts for which our firms acts as custodian, we provide such Clients 1099 statements for the previous tax year. 1099 statements include both reportable and non-reportable information, cost basis for securities that have been sold, and additional information to assist with tax preparation.

Transaction Confirmations

Clients with discretionary accounts may elect to receive trade confirmations immediately upon execution in their accounts, or defer confirmations until the end of each quarter. Eligible Clients who elect to defer confirmations receive summary reports at the end of each quarter outlining the transactions posted to their accounts during the most recent calendar quarter. The election to receive confirmations immediately or quarterly may be changed at any time upon the Client's written notice. Clients of non-discretionary programs are not eligible to defer confirmations. Clients that have signed up for online access to their Advisory accounts may review their transaction confirmations through the online portal.

CLIENT REFERRALS AND OTHER COMPENSATION

In general, we require that all solicitation or referral arrangements comply with applicable regulatory requirements, including, but not limited to, disclosures to Clients about the referral arrangement as well as any fees received (or paid) in connection with such referral at the time of the referral or execution of the Advisory Agreement. We have policies and procedures designed to assure that proper disclosures are provided to Clients at the time of solicitation and/or account opening, as well as that all Clients sign appropriate disclosure delivery receipts. Each affected Client will receive disclosures from the applicable solicitor disclosing the solicitation arrangement, as well as the fee paid by Stifel to such solicitor (or received by Stifel) in respect of the solicitation.

Our firm may enter into solicitation arrangements with one or more of our Affiliated Advisers, for us to act as solicitor for the Affiliated Adviser and/or the Affiliated Adviser to act as solicitor for our firm. In either case, the solicited clients should be aware that our Financial Advisors may have an incentive to recommend Affiliated Advisers over Independent Advisers, as the Affiliated Adviser's receipt of additional revenues for portfolio management services not otherwise available with the Financial Advisor may have a positive impact on our affiliated group. Similarly, our Affiliated Adviser may have an incentive to recommend our firm over other financial institutions. As of the date of this brochure, our firm had entered into solicitation arrangements with the following Affiliated Advisers in which we have agreed to act as solicitor: Ziegler and 1919 Investment Counsel. These arrangements generally do not relate to the Select Programs covered in this brochure.

In addition to the arrangements set forth above, our firm also participates in the following solicitation or referral arrangements applicable to our Advisory services covered in this brochure:

Stifel Alliance Program

Under the Stifel Alliance Program ("Alliance"), we may directly or indirectly compensate individuals or companies for Client referrals by sharing a portion of the fees charged by our firm. Our policies prohibit our Financial Advisors from up-charging any Client to make up for the portion paid to or otherwise expended in connection with an Alliance solicitor. We and/or our associated persons may pay for registration costs (if any) relating to the solicitor to facilitate the solicitor's state registration (if required). As a result, such solicitors may have incentive to refer clients to Stifel over other firms.

Compensation for Client Referrals

Our firm and/or Financial Advisors may be compensated by third-party advisers for referring advisory clients to such third-party investment advisers, although generally such arrangements do not relate to the Select Programs covered in this brochure. If our firm enters into referral arrangements with third-party advisers, the compensation received in such arrangements is generally on a percentage of the total fees paid by each client to the third-party adviser, typically for the duration of such Client's arrangement with the third-party adviser. In other cases, a third-party adviser may agree to use our trade execution and custodial services for all referred clients, and may also agree to open brokerage accounts for clients not introduced by us. By providing trade execution and custodial services to such Advisers, our firm and/or our Financial Advisors act in a brokerage capacity and may receive brokerage compensation. As such, Financial Advisors have an incentive to recommend third-party Advisers with whom the Financial Advisor and/or Stifel has a referral arrangement over those with no such arrangement. To the extent that such arrangements affect Clients' Advisory accounts, the Financial Advisor's brochure supplement generally will include a discussion of the applicable referral arrangements (if any).

As set forth above, our firm has entered into solicitation arrangements with certain of our Affiliated Advisers, pursuant to which we (or our Financial Advisors) receive compensation for

referrals made to such Affiliated Advisers. In addition, our Financial Advisors also may receive nominal compensation for referring clients to our other affiliates, including, but not limited to, our banking affiliates.

Other Compensation

As set forth above under "Fees and Compensation," we may receive Revenue Sharing from private fund sponsors or managers to whom we refer Clients for investments. We may similarly receive payments from mutual funds in which Clients invest. Clients should also refer to the "Brokerage Practices" section above for a discussion of research services that certain Advisers may provide to our firm and Financial Advisors.

CUSTODY

Unless agreed upon otherwise, we maintain custody of Client assets in the Select Programs. We have adopted policies and procedures that are designed to mitigate risks involved in being a self-custodial firm in an effort to ensure that our Clients' assets are protected. Among other things, we undergo a separate examination by an independent auditor, the purpose of which is to obtain the auditor's report on our internal controls designed to safeguard Clients' assets held at our firm. Our firm also undergoes an annual surprise audit by an independent registered accounting firm, which audit is designed to verify the Clients' assets. At the conclusion of the annual surprise audit, the independent auditor files a report with the SEC attesting to, among other things, our compliance with regulatory requirements.

Certain of our affiliates may also serve as qualified custodians of our Client assets. In such cases, consistent with applicable regulations, we generally receive a report issued by an independent registered public accountant relating to the affiliate's internal controls in connection with its custody services.

VOTING CLIENT SECURITIES

Clients may grant Stifel proxy voting authority over securities held in their Select Program accounts. Clients may revoke this grant of authority at any time upon written notice to us.

In voting proxies, we have a fiduciary responsibility to make investment decisions that are in the best interest of Clients and vote Client securities accordingly. As required by applicable regulations, we have adopted policies and procedures to govern the proxy voting process. Our policies provide that, in general, we will vote with management on routine issues and will vote non-routine issues in a manner calculated and intended to maximize shareholder value. We have retained a third-party proxy voting service to provide independent, objective research and voting recommendations, and to vote proxies on our behalf. In the event of a conflict between our firm's interests and the interests of our Clients, we may decline to vote a proxy if the independent proxy voting service is unable to provide a voting recommendation and vote the securities on our behalf. In such

cases, we generally will forward the proxies to the applicable Client for voting.

Clients may request a copy of our Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures at any time, including a record of the proxies voted in respect of their account.

For Clients with a Select Managers Program account, such third-party Advisers typically vote proxies held in Client accounts enrolled in their applicable Portfolios, provided that the Client has elected to grant the third-party Adviser proxy voting authority. To the extent applicable, each Client enrolled in a Portfolio managed directly by the third-party Adviser receives a copy of the Investment Manager's proxy voting policy as part of the account opening process. Clients should carefully review each such proxy voting policy to ensure a good understanding of the process and the related risks prior to granting proxy voting authority to the third-party Adviser.

We generally do not vote any proxies for Clients whose custodial accounts are held by third-party custodians.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Stifel does not have any adverse financial conditions to disclose under this Item.

ERISA RULE 408(b)(2) DISCLOSURE INFORMATION FOR QUALIFIED RETIREMENT PLANS

This section generally describes the fiduciary status of investment advisory services provided by, and compensation paid to, Stifel with respect to ERISA qualified retirement plans (each, a "Plan").

General Description of Status and Services Provided by Stifel to Plans

As set forth above in the section titled "*Advisory Select Programs Offered by Stifel*" of this brochure, we offer and provide a variety of investment advisory Programs that are intended to assist responsible Plan fiduciaries with their prudent investment duties under ERISA. A thorough description of the services provided to a specific Plan is set forth in the applicable Advisory Agreement and may include investment management, trading, and/or custody services, as well as participant education and guidance.

Discretionary Investment Management Services – We offer and provide discretionary ERISA fiduciary investment advisory services through a variety of Programs covered in this brochure. These Programs are as follows: Select Managers, Select Funds, and Select APM Programs. Depending on the Program, discretionary portfolio management services may be provided directly through a Stifel Financial Advisor, by our home office personnel, or we may provide the Plan access to an Independent or Affiliated Adviser that provides such discretionary investment management services.

Non-Discretionary Advisory Services – We also offer and provide non-discretionary investment advisory services through our Select Advisors Program, as detailed above. Non-discretionary investment advisory services are provided directly by your Financial Advisor

More detailed information about these services and Programs is provided in, and each Plan Client should review, the section above entitled "*Advisory Business - Advisory Programs Offered by Stifel*."

General Description of Compensation Paid to Stifel

Our firm accepts direct compensation in the form of fees paid pursuant to the Advisory Agreement entered into with the Plan at the time of account opening. Plan Clients should refer to the applicable Advisory Agreement for the fee calculation formula specific to the Plan account.

As set forth above under "Trade Error Correction," our policy is to put a Client's account in the position that it would have been in if an error had not occurred. As a result, to the extent a trade error results in a gain, Stifel will retain the resulting gain. Pursuant to applicable guidelines, such gains may be deemed additional compensation. We maintain a record of any losses and/or gains resulting from trade errors in any account and will provide such information to an account holder upon request.

Plan accounts that invest in ADRs may also incur pass-through fees, which are typically charged by the sponsors of certain ADRs as custody-related expenses. When applicable, Stifel collects ADR pass-through fees from applicable Plan assets, then forwards all such ADR pass-through fees to the Depository Trust Company (or other applicable central securities depository).

Accounts Managed by Third-Party Managers

Plan accounts enrolled in our Select Managers, Select Funds, Opportunity, IMC Program, and/or Horizon Program may utilize the services of an Independent or Affiliated Adviser (which, for purposes of this section, will encompass Investment Managers and Dual-Contract Managers, as defined above) that is engaged to provide discretionary investment management services to the Plan. As the Independent Adviser for the Plan, such Independent Adviser is a fiduciary to the Plan for purposes of both the Advisers Act and ERISA. For our Opportunity Program, the Independent Adviser's direct compensation is bundled into the fee set forth in the applicable Advisory Agreement with Stifel; in our Horizon Program, the Independent Adviser's fee is in addition to the Horizon fee. In addition to the management fee, an Independent Adviser may also receive indirect compensation, often referred to as "soft dollars" or other benefits, from other brokerage firms with which the Independent Adviser executes trades for its client accounts. These benefits may or may not relate to trades effected for the Plan account. Plan Clients should refer to the applicable Independent Adviser's separate Rule 408(b)2 disclosure statement or Form ADV Part 2A for information about whether or not the Independent Adviser receives soft dollars or similar benefits and, if so, the specific benefits received.