

Item 1. Cover Page

CNK Capital Management, L.L.C.

**2865 Sand Hill Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
650-798-5000**

Part 2A of Form ADV: Firm Brochure
May 8, 2018

This brochure provides information about the qualifications and business practices of CNK Capital Management, L.L.C.. If you have any questions about the contents of this brochure, please contact us at scott@a16z.com. The information in this brochure has not been approved or verified by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) or by any state securities authority.

Additional information about CNK Capital Management, L.L.C. also is available on the SEC’s website at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. An investment adviser’s registration with the SEC does not imply a certain level of skill or training.

Item 2. Material Changes

Item 2 is not applicable to CNK Capital Management, L.L.C.

Item 3. Table of Contents

<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Cover Page	1
2	Material Changes	2
3	Table of Contents	3
4	Advisory Business	4
5	Fees and Compensation	4
6	Performance-Based Fees and Side-By-Side Management	9
7	Types of Clients	9
8	Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies and Risk of Loss	9
9	Disciplinary Information	24
10	Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations	24
11	Code of Ethics, Participation or Interest in Client Transactions and Personal Trading	24
12	Brokerage Practices	44
13	Review of Accounts	45
14	Client Referrals and Other Compensation	45
15	Custody	46
16	Investment Discretion	46
17	Voting Client Securities	46
18	Financial Information	47
19	Requirements for State-Registered Advisers	47

Item 4. Advisory Business

For purposes of this brochure, the “Adviser” means CNK Capital Management, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, together (where the context permits) with its affiliated general partners of the Funds (as defined below). The Adviser provides investment supervisory services to investment vehicles (“Funds”) that are exempt from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act”) and whose securities are not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”). Currently the Adviser advises only one Fund.

The Funds invest primarily in privately held companies that operate in the crypto, blockchain, distributed ledger or similar technology industries. The Adviser’s advisory services consist of investigating, identifying and evaluating investment opportunities, structuring, negotiating and making investments on behalf of the Funds, managing and monitoring the performance of such investments and disposing of such investments.

The Adviser provides investment supervisory services to the Funds in accordance with the limited partnership agreement of the Fund and separate management services agreement (each, an “Advisory Agreement”).

Investment advice is provided directly to the Funds, subject to the discretion and control of the applicable general partner, and not individually to the investors in the Fund. Services are provided to the Fund in accordance with the Advisory Agreements with the Fund and/or organizational documents of the Fund. Investment restrictions for the Fund, if any, are established in the organizational or offering documents of the Fund, Advisory Agreements and/or side letter agreements negotiated with investors in the Fund (such documents collectively, the Fund’s “Organizational Documents”).

The principal owner of CNK Capital Management, L.L.C. is Christopher Dixon. The Adviser has been in business since 2018. As of May 8, 2018, the Adviser manages \$0 of client assets.

Item 5. Fees and Compensation

The Adviser receives Advisory Fees and Carried Interest (each as defined below) from the Funds. A Fund and/or its portfolio companies may also make other payments to the Adviser or its affiliates for services provided to the portfolio companies which, in certain circumstances, may reduce the Advisory Fees payable to the Adviser. Additionally, consistent with each Fund’s Organizational Documents, the Funds bear certain out-of-pocket expenses incurred by the Adviser in connection with the services provided to the Funds and/or their portfolio companies. Further details about such fees and expenses are set forth below.

Advisory Fees

As compensation for investment supervisory services rendered to the Fund, the Adviser receives an advisory fee (an “Advisory Fee”) calculated based on the Fund’s committed capital, invested capital, or net asset value. Advisory Fees may be reduced during the life of a Fund. Advisory Fees paid by a Fund may also be reduced by other fees or compensation received by the Adviser

or its affiliates that relate to such Fund's activities and investments, or by certain organizational or other expenses borne by such Fund, as described in more detail below. Advisory Fees paid by a Fund are indirectly borne by investors in such Fund.

Advisory Fees billed to and received from the Funds are payable quarterly in advance.

The precise amount of, and the manner and calculation of, the Advisory Fees for the Fund are established by the Adviser and are set forth in the Fund's Organizational Documents, which are received by each investor prior to investment in the Fund. The Advisory Fees and other fees and distributions described herein are generally subject to modification, waiver or reduction by the Adviser in its sole discretion. The fee structures described herein may be modified from time to time.

Upon termination of an Advisory Agreement, Advisory Fees that have been prepaid are generally returned on a prorated basis.

Certain investors in the Funds that are employees, business associates and other "friends and family" of the Adviser or its personnel ("Adviser Investors") will not typically pay Advisory Fees in connection with their investment in the Fund. Notwithstanding that Adviser Investors will generally not pay Advisory Fees, Adviser Investors will pay for their pro rata share of certain Fund expenses or the pro rata portion of such Adviser Investors' expenses will be allocated to the Adviser or the general partner of the Fund.

The Advisory Fees paid by the Fund will generally be reduced by: (1) the amount of fees paid by the Fund to persons acting as a placement agent in connection with the offer and sale of interests in the Fund to certain potential investors and (2) the fees incurred by the Adviser in connection with the organization of the Fund that exceed a limit specified in the Fund's Organizational Documents.

In addition, while the Adviser does not currently anticipate receiving transaction fees, monitoring fees, consulting fees, director fees, break-up fees or similar fees from actual or prospective portfolio companies of the Funds ("Other Fees"), to the extent the Adviser or its affiliates do receive Other Fees, the Advisory Fees paid by the Fund will generally be reduced by the full amount of such Other Fees. The amount and manner of the foregoing reductions are set forth in the Organizational Documents of the Funds. To the extent a reduction relates to more than one Fund, the Adviser shall allocate the resulting Advisory Fee reduction among the applicable Fund(s) in proportion to their interest (or prospective interest) in the relevant investment. Generally, the portion of Other Fees allocable to capital invested by a co-investment vehicle or third-party co-investor that does not pay Advisory Fees will be retained by the Adviser and such amounts will not offset any Advisory Fee. Due to the timing of receipt of compensation subject to offsets, Fund investors may not receive the full benefit of reductions or offsets. Other Fees may be substantial and may be paid in cash, in securities of the portfolio companies or investment vehicles (or rights thereto) or otherwise. In many cases with respect to the implementation of the arrangements described above, there is not an independent third-party involved on behalf of the relevant portfolio company. Therefore, a conflict of interest exists in

the determination of any such fees and other related terms in the applicable agreement with the portfolio company.

Other Fees do not include fees received by any individual whose primary relationship with the Adviser is as a mere “venture partner”, “entrepreneur-in-residence”, “executive-in-residence”, consultant, contractor, or adviser (as those terms are generally understood in the venture capital industry), even if such individual technically qualifies as an “employee” of the Adviser or the Fund’s general partner under applicable law; provided, that fees received by full-time, permanent employees of the Adviser or the Fund’s general partner will be considered Other Fees to the extent they otherwise satisfy the definition of “Other Fees” included above.

Expenses

Adviser Expenses

To the extent provided in the Organizational Documents of the Fund, the Adviser will pay out of Advisory Fees the following normal overhead and administrative expenses incurred by the Adviser or its affiliates in connection with the management of the Fund: (i) salaries and wages of employees of the Fund, its general partner, the Adviser and their respective affiliates (other than Carried Interest described in Item 6 below), (ii) travel and entertainment expenses of the Fund’s general partner, the Adviser and their respective members, offices and employees, (iii) rentals payable for space used by the Adviser or the Fund, and (iv) expenditures for equipment by the Adviser or the Fund.

Fund Expenses

Consistent with the Organizational Documents of the Funds, each Fund will bear all costs and expenses incurred by the Fund, its General Partner, and the Adviser on behalf of the Fund (except for those expenses borne by the Adviser, as noted above), including, without limitation, all costs and expenses incurred in respect of: the purchase, holding or sale or exchange or other disposition of Fund investments, including, but not by way of limitation, reasonable private placement and finder’s fees in contemplation of an investment by the Fund paid to persons other than the General Partner or members of the General Partner; real property or personal property taxes on investments; brokerage fees; taxes applicable to the Fund on account of its operations; fees incurred in connection with the maintenance of bank or custodian accounts; legal, audit, and other expenses incurred in connection with the registration of the Fund’s investments under the Securities Act of 1933; expenses incurred with respect to legal and compliance services provided to the Fund, the General Partner or the Adviser by a third party (including, without limitation, expenses or fees (including legal fees) related to preparing and filing Form ADV and Form PF, the Adviser’s regulatory compliance and any SEC examinations); fees and expenses incurred in connection with the investigation, purchase or sale or exchange or other disposition of Fund investments (whether or not such purchase, sale, exchange or other disposition is ultimately consummated); and fees and expenses of investment advisers and independent consultants incurred in investigating and evaluating investment opportunities. The Funds will also bear the fees of the independent certified public accountant incurred in connection with the annual audit of the Fund’s books and the preparation of the Fund’s annual tax return, costs of independent

appraisers, accounting, bookkeeping and similar expenses paid to third parties for the maintenance of the Fund's books and records and preparation and delivery of reports and notices, legal expenses of the Fund, premiums associated with insurance, if any, to insure against any claims that could be made directly against the Fund, the General Partner, the Adviser or any indemnified persons or that could give rise to a Fund liability pursuant to the Fund's Organizational Documents, preparation and other expenses associated with annual and other reports to the partners, costs associated with any Fund information meetings, expenses of the advisory board meetings and reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket costs for the advisory board members and the General Partner to attend such meetings, and all legal fees and expenses incurred in prosecuting or defending administrative or legal proceedings relating to the Fund brought by or against the Fund, the Adviser or the General Partner, or the members, partners, employees or agents or former members, partners, employees or agents of any of the foregoing. The Funds will also bear all of the organization costs, fees and expenses incurred by or on behalf of the Fund in an amount not to exceed a threshold specified in the Funds' Organizational Documents as well as all liquidation costs, fees and expenses incurred by the General Partner, the Adviser, or members of the Adviser in connection with the liquidation of the Fund's assets.

In addition, the Adviser, from time to time, engages one or more fund administrators or similar service providers to perform certain functions in relation to the Fund, which services may include coordination of the Fund's legal entity management function, execution and recordkeeping associated with applicable tax elections and filings, support for the valuation process and investor correspondence, investor data management and reporting requests as well as data collection required for various regulatory reporting which with the Funds are required to comply. In certain instances, employees of such service providers dedicate substantially all of their time to the Funds or spend all or a significant majority of their business time at the Adviser's offices. These expenses related to such service provider employees are borne by the Fund.

From time to time, the general partner of the Fund may create certain "special purpose vehicles" or similar structuring vehicles for purposes of accommodating certain tax, legal and regulatory considerations of investors ("SPVs"). In the event the general partner creates an SPV, consistent with the Organizational Documents of the Fund, the SPV, and indirectly, the investors thereof, will typically bear all expenses related to its organization and formation and other expenses incurred solely for the benefit of the SPV. Expenses of the types borne by the Fund but associated with any feeder fund or similar vehicle organized to facilitate the participation of certain investors in the Fund (including, without limitation, expenses of accounting and tax services) may be borne by the Fund.

Co-Investment Vehicle Expenses

In certain cases, a co-investment vehicle, or other similar vehicle established to facilitate the investment by investors to invest alongside the Fund may be formed in connection with the consummation of a transaction. In the event a co-investment vehicle is created, the investors in such co-investment vehicle will typically bear all expenses related to its organization and formation and other expenses incurred solely for the benefit of the co-investment vehicle. The

co-investment vehicle will generally bear its pro rata portion of expenses incurred in the making an investment.

If a proposed transaction is not consummated, no such co-investment vehicle generally will have been formed, and the full amount of any expenses relating to such proposed but not consummated transaction (“Dead Deal Costs”) would therefore be borne by the Fund. Similarly, co-investment vehicles are not typically allocated any share of Break-Up Fees paid in connection with such an unconsummated transaction. As a general matter, no co-investor will bear Dead Deal Costs or receive any portion of Break-Up Fees until they are contractually committed to invest in the prospective investment. Furthermore, to the extent a co-investment vehicle is formed in connection with a proposed transaction, costs and expenses relating to such co-investment vehicle may, in certain situations, be borne by the Fund, regardless of whether such proposed transaction is consummated.

Allocation of Expenses

From time to time the Adviser will be required to decide whether certain fees, costs and expenses should be borne by the Fund, on the one hand, or the Adviser on the other hand, and/or whether certain fees, costs and expenses should be allocated between the Fund and other parties. Certain expenses may be the obligation of the Fund and may be borne by the Fund or, expenses may be allocated among the Fund and other entities. In exercising its discretion to allocate fees and expenses, the Adviser may be faced with a variety of potential conflicts of interest. Such allocation determinations are inherently subjective and give rise to conflicts of interest due to the inherent biases in the process.

The appropriate allocation between the Fund, Adviser Investors and Third Parties of expenses and fees generated in the course of evaluating potential investments which are not consummated, such as out-of-pocket fees associated with due diligence, attorney fees and the fees of other professionals, will be determined by the Adviser and its affiliates in their good faith discretion, consistent with the Organizational Documents of the Fund, as applicable.

With respect to allocating other expenses among Fund(s), co-investment vehicles, Adviser Investors and/or Third Parties, as appropriate, to the extent not addressed in the Organizational Documents of a Fund, the Adviser will make any such allocation determination in a fair and reasonable manner using its good faith judgment, notwithstanding its interest (if any) in the allocation. The Adviser will make any corrective allocations and take any mitigating steps if it determines such corrections are necessary or advisable. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the portion of an expense allocated to a Fund for a particular service may not reflect the relative benefit derived by such Fund from that service in any particular instance.

Carried Interest Payments

Please see Item 6 below regarding “Carried Interest” that the Funds may pay.

Brokerage Fees

Although the Adviser does not generally utilize the services of broker-dealers to effect portfolio transactions for the Fund, in the event that it chooses to use a broker-dealer for limited purposes

relating to a particular Fund, such Fund will incur brokerage and other transaction costs. For additional information regarding brokerage practices, please see Item 12 below.

Item 6. Performance-Based Fees and Side-By-Side Management

A portion of the profits of the Fund are distributed to its general partner as “carried interest” (the “Carried Interest”). The general partner is a related person of the Adviser. Carried Interest paid by the Fund is indirectly borne by investors in the Fund.

The payment by some, but not all, Funds of Carried Interest or the payment of Carried Interest at varying rates (including varying effective rates based on the performance of a Fund) may create an incentive for the Adviser to disproportionately allocate time, services or functions to Funds paying Carried Interest or Funds paying Carried Interest at a higher rate, or allocate investment opportunities to such Funds. Generally, and except as may be otherwise set forth in the Organizational Documents of the Funds, this conflict is mitigated by certain limitations on the ability of the Adviser to establish new investment fund and contractual provisions and procedures setting forth investment allocation requirements. Please also see Item 11 below regarding allocation for additional information relating to how conflicts of interest are generally addressed by the Adviser.

Item 7. Types of Clients

The Adviser currently provides investment supervisory services to the Fund. Investment advice is provided directly to the Fund (subject to the direction and control of the general partner of the Fund) and not individually to investors in the Fund.

Interests in the Fund are offered pursuant to applicable exemptions from registration under the Securities Act and the 1940 Act. Investors in the Funds are generally “qualified purchasers” as defined in the 1940 Act, and may include, among others, high net worth individuals, banks, thrift institutions, pension and profit sharing plans, trusts, estates, charitable organizations, university endowments, corporations, limited partnerships and limited liability companies or other entities.

The Adviser does not have a minimum size for a Fund.

Item 8. Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies and Risk of Loss

Methods of Analysis and Investment Strategies

The Funds may invest in cryptocurrencies, decentralized application tokens, protocol tokens and other cryptofinance coins, tokens and digital assets and instruments that are based on blockchain, distributed ledger or similar technologies, as well as investments in equity, equity-based securities or convertible securities in companies operating in such industries (“Digital Assets”). The size and nature of the investments will be varied. In some cases, investments will be made in pure equity transactions through which the Funds would own an equity interest in the underlying company sponsor. The Funds may also seek to couple an equity investment with an option to purchase crypto tokens in the future or structure a transaction to acquire equity that may convert

at some point into crypto tokens. For existing tokens, the Funds may make investments via purchases in the secondary market or via primary issuances from the network sponsor. To the extent the Funds invest in equity or equity-based securities, the Funds would be able to return capital to investors only to the extent that the issuer of the securities chooses to register those securities via an initial public offering or via an acquisition of those securities by another issuer, including on a secondary basis. If the Funds purchase crypto tokens, or otherwise receives crypto tokens in connection with an investment, the ability to return capital to investors will be a function of the existence of secondary markets via which the Funds can convert crypto tokens into fiat currency. While the size and development stage of companies and projects into which the Funds may invest will vary, the Funds anticipate making a substantial portion of their investments in companies or projects that are in early, developmental stages. Whether those early stage projects will ever develop into commercial projects that provide appreciation of the original investment is unknown.

Risks

Investing in securities involves a substantial degree of risk. A Fund may lose all or a substantial portion of its investments, and investors in the Funds must be prepared to bear the risk of a complete loss of their investments.

In addition, material risks relating to the investment strategies and methods of analysis described above, and to the types of securities typically purchased by or for the Funds, include the following:

Digital Asset Investments. Digital Assets are loosely regulated and there is no central marketplace for currency exchange. Supply is determined by a computer code, not by a central bank, and prices have been extremely volatile. Digital Asset exchanges have been closed due to fraud, failure or security breaches. Any of a Fund's funds that reside on an exchange that shuts down may be lost. Several factors may affect the price of Digital Assets, including, but not limited to: supply and demand, investors' expectations with respect to the rate of inflation, interest rates, currency exchange rates, overall market sentiment or future regulatory measures that restrict the trading of Digital Assets or the use of Digital Assets as a form of payment. There is no assurance that Digital Assets will maintain their long-term value in terms of purchasing power in the future, or that acceptance of Digital Asset payments by mainstream retail merchants and commercial businesses will continue to grow.

Diversification Policies. The Funds have no diversification policies with respect to Digital Assets and may concentrate investments in particular types of positions. The investment risk of a portfolio that is concentrated in particular positions is greater than if the portfolio is invested in a more diversified manner.

Hedging Transactions. The Adviser will not, in general, attempt to hedge all market or other risks inherent in such Fund's portfolio positions, and will hedge certain risks, if at all, only partially. A Fund may choose not, or may determine that it is economically unattractive, to hedge certain risks – either in respect of particular positions or in respect of its overall portfolio. A Fund's portfolio composition will commonly result in various directional market risks remaining unhedged. Even if the Adviser is successful in reducing or controlling risk through

hedging, the cost of hedging may have the effect of reducing returns. Furthermore, it is possible that such hedging strategies will not be effective in controlling risk, due to unexpected non-correlation (or even positive correlation) between the hedging instrument and the position being hedged, increasing rather than reducing both risk and losses.

Lack of Diversification. Although the Funds will structure their portfolios so that investments (both individually and in the aggregate) have desirable risk/reward characteristics, the Funds are not subject to any restrictions. Each Fund will have a non-diversified portfolio, with all of such Fund's assets invested in Digital Assets and/or in the securities or other financial instruments of companies in Digital Asset-related industries. Such lack of diversification substantially increases the risk of loss associated with an investment in a Fund.

Digital Asset Trading is Volatile and Speculative. Digital Assets represent a speculative investment and involve a high degree of risk. As relatively new products and technologies, Digital Assets have not been widely adopted as means of payment for goods and services by major retail and commercial outlets. Conversely, a significant portion of the demand for Digital Assets is generated by speculators and investors seeking to profit from the short- or long-term holding of Digital Assets. The relative lack of acceptance of Digital Assets in the retail and commercial marketplace limits the ability of end-users to pay for goods and services with Digital Assets. A lack of expansion by Digital Assets into retail and commercial markets, or a contraction of such use, may result in increased volatility.

Custody of the Fund's Digital Assets. The general partner of a fund will be responsible for arranging for custody of such Fund's Digital Assets, including by storage in one or more "cold wallets" and/or on various Digital Asset exchanges. Digital Asset exchanges may require the General Partner to provide control of applicable private keys when such exchanges are utilized by a Fund. The Adviser will take such steps as it determines are necessary to maintain access to these keys and to prevent their exposure to hacking, malware and general security threats, but there can be no assurance that such steps will be adequate to protect such keys or a Fund's Digital Assets from such threats or that there will be no failure or penetration of the applicable security systems. There also can be no assurance that, to the extent the Funds utilize third-party custodial services, such third parties maintain required certifications with the SEC or other regulatory agencies, the loss of which could cause such custodians to not be deemed qualified custodians by various regulatory agencies.

Risk of Loss of Private Keys. Various Digital Assets are controllable only by the possessor of unique private keys relating to the addresses in which the Digital Assets are held. The theft, loss or destruction of a private key required to access a Digital Asset is irreversible, and any such private key would not be capable of being restored by a Fund. Any loss of private keys relating to digital wallets used to store a Fund's Digital Assets could result in the loss of such Digital Assets, and a Limited Partner could incur substantial, or even total, loss of capital.

Risk of Loss due to Incapacitation of Key Personnel. Christopher Dixon, as Managing Member of the Adviser, and other members of the Funds' teams will be the sole individuals in possession of the unique private keys required to access the Digital Assets held by each Fund. The simultaneous incapacitation of such individuals would likely result in the loss of the private keys and, consequently, the loss of the Digital Assets held by each Fund. Although the general

partner of each Fund will have a disaster recovery plan in place, there is a risk of such a plan failing. In the event of both incapacitation of the principals of the general partner of each Fund who hold such private keys and failure of the Fund's disaster recovery plan, a Limited Partner could incur substantial, or even total, loss of capital.

Technology and Security. Any security breach caused by hacking, which involves efforts to gain unauthorized access to information or systems, or to cause intentional malfunctions or loss or corruption of data, software, hardware or other computer equipment, and the inadvertent transmission of computer viruses, could result in the halting of a Fund's operations or a loss of Fund assets. Furthermore, each Fund must adapt to technological change in order to secure and safeguard client accounts. While the Adviser intends to develop an appropriate security system reasonably designed to safeguard each Fund's Digital Assets from theft, loss, destruction or other issues relating to hackers and technological attack, there can be no assurance that any such solution will provide sufficient security. As technological change occurs, the security threats to each Fund's Digital Assets will likely adapt and previously unknown threats may emerge. Furthermore, the general partner of each Fund believes that each Fund may become a more appealing target of security threats as the size of such Fund's assets grows. To the extent that a Fund is unable to identify and mitigate or stop new security threats, such Fund's Digital Assets may be subject to theft, loss, destruction or other attack, which could have a negative impact on the performance of such Fund or result in loss of such Fund's assets.

Digital Asset Exchanges. The Digital Asset exchanges on which Digital Assets trade are relatively new and largely unregulated and may therefore be more exposed to theft, fraud and failure than established, regulated exchanges for other products. In general, Digital Asset exchanges are currently start-up businesses with no institutional backing, limited operating history and no publicly available financial information. Exchanges generally require cash to be deposited in advance in order to purchase Digital Assets, and no assurance can be given that those deposit funds can be recovered.

Additionally, upon sale of Digital Assets, cash proceeds may not be received from the exchange for several business days. The participation in exchanges requires users to take on credit risk by transferring Digital Assets from a personal account to a third-party's account. The Funds will take credit risk of an exchange every time it transacts.

Digital asset exchanges may impose daily, weekly, monthly or customer-specific transaction or distribution limits or suspend withdrawals entirely, rendering the exchange of Digital Assets for fiat currency difficult or impossible. Additionally, Digital Asset prices and valuations on Digital Asset exchanges have been volatile and subject to influence by many factors including the levels of liquidity on exchanges and operational interruptions and disruptions. The prices and valuation of Digital Assets remain subject to any volatility experienced by Digital Asset exchanges, and any such volatility can adversely affect an investment in the Funds.

Digital Asset exchanges are appealing targets for cybercrime, hackers and malware. It is possible that while engaging in transactions with various Digital Asset exchanges located throughout the world, any such exchange may cease operations due to theft, fraud, security breach, liquidity issues, or government investigation. In addition, banks may refuse to process wire transfers to or from exchanges. Over the past several years, many exchanges have, indeed,

closed due to fraud, theft (*e.g.*, Mt. Gox voluntarily shutting down because it was unable to account for over 850,000 Bitcoin), government or regulatory involvement, failure or security breaches (*e.g.*, the voluntary temporary suspensions by Mt. Gox of cash withdrawals due to distributed denial of service attacks by malware and/or hackers), or banking issues (*e.g.*, the loss of Tradehill's banking privileges at Internet Archive Federal Credit Union).

Any financial, security or operational difficulties experienced by such exchanges may result in an inability of the Funds to recover money or Digital Assets being held by the exchange, or to pay investors upon redemption. Further, the Funds may be unable to recover Digital Assets awaiting transmission into or out of the Funds, all of which could adversely affect an investment in the Funds. Additionally, to the extent that the Digital Asset exchanges representing a substantial portion of the volume in Digital Asset trading are involved in fraud or experience security failures or other operational issues, such Digital Asset exchanges' failures may result in loss or less favorable prices of Digital Assets, or may adversely affect the Funds, its operations and investments, or the Investors.

Trading on Digital Asset Networks. Each Fund will convert U.S. dollar contributions made by Limited Partners to Digital Assets over specific networks, as applicable. Each Fund may use certain Digital Assets to purchase other Digital Assets. Many Digital Asset networks are online end-user-to-end-user networks that host a public transaction ledger, known as the blockchain, and the source code that comprises the basis for the cryptographic and algorithmic protocols governing such networks. In many Digital Asset transactions, the recipient of the Digital Asset must provide its public key, which serves as an address for a digital wallet, to the party initiating the transfer. In the data packets distributed from Digital Asset software programs to confirm transaction activity, each Digital Asset user must "sign" transactions with a data code derived from entering the private key into a "hashing algorithm," which signature serves as validation that the transaction has been authorized by the owner of such Digital Asset. This process is vulnerable to hacking and malware, and could lead to theft of a Fund's digital wallets and the loss of such Fund's Digital Assets. Many Digital Asset exchanges have been closed due to fraud, failure or security breaches. In many of these instances, the customers of such Digital Asset exchanges were not compensated or made whole for the partial or complete losses of their account balances in such Digital Asset exchanges.

Intellectual Property Rights Claims May Adversely Affect the Operation of Digital Asset Networks. Third parties may assert intellectual property claims relating to the operation of various Digital Assets and their source codes relating to the holding and transfer of such assets. Regardless of the merit of any intellectual property or other legal action, any threatened action that reduces confidence in a Digital Asset's long-term viability or the ability of end-users to hold and Digital Assets may adversely affect an investment in a Fund. Additionally, a meritorious intellectual property claim could prevent a Fund and other end-users from accessing a Digital Asset network or holding or transferring their Digital Assets, which could force such Fund to terminate and liquidate such Fund's Digital Assets (if such liquidation of such Fund's Digital Assets is possible). As a result, an intellectual property claim against a Fund could adversely affect an investment in such Fund.

Stolen or Incorrectly Transferred Digital Assets May Be Irretrievable. Once a transaction has been verified and recorded in a block that is added to the blockchain, an incorrect transfer of

Digital Assets or a theft of Digital Assets generally will not be reversible and a Fund may not be capable of seeking compensation for any such transfer or theft. It is possible that, through computer or human error, or through theft or criminal action, a Fund's Digital Assets could be transferred in incorrect amounts or to unauthorized third parties. To the extent that a Fund is unable to seek a corrective transaction with such third party or is incapable of identifying the third party which has received such Fund's Digital Assets through error or theft, such Fund will be unable to revert or otherwise recover incorrectly transferred Digital Assets. To the extent a Fund is unable to seek redress for such error or theft, such loss could adversely affect an investment in such Fund.

Risks of Flawed or Ineffective Source Code. If the source code or cryptography underlying a digital currency held by a Fund proves to be flawed or ineffective, malicious actors may be able to steal the Fund's Digital Assets. In the past, flaws in the source code for digital currencies have been exposed and exploited. Several errors and defects have been publicly found and corrected, including those that disabled some functionality for users and exposed users' personal information. Discovery of flaws in, or exploitations of, the source code that allow malicious actors to take or create money in contravention of known network rules have occurred. In addition, the cryptography underlying a digital currency could prove to be flawed or ineffective, or developments in mathematics and/or technology, including advances in digital computing, algebraic geometry and quantum computing, could result in such cryptography becoming ineffective. In any of these circumstances, if a Fund holds the affected digital currency, a malicious actor may be able to steal the Fund's Digital Assets, which would adversely affect an investment in the Fund. Even if the Fund did not hold the affected digital currency, any reduction in confidence in the source code or cryptography underlying digital currencies generally could negatively affect the demand for digital currencies and therefore adversely affect an investment in the Fund.

Risk to Digital Asset Networks from Malicious Actors. If a malicious actor or botnet (a volunteer or hacked collection of computers controlled by networked software coordinating the actions of the computers) obtains a majority of the processing power dedicated to mining on certain Digital Asset networks, it may be able to alter the blockchain on which the Digital Asset transaction relies by constructing alternate blocks if it is able to solve for such blocks faster than the remainder of the miners on the Digital Asset network can add valid blocks. In such alternate blocks, the malicious actor or botnet could control, exclude or modify the ordering of transactions, though it could not generate new Digital Assets or transactions using such control. Using alternate blocks, the malicious actor could double-spend its own Digital Assets and prevent the confirmation of other users' transactions for so long as it maintains control. To the extent that such malicious actor or botnet does not yield its majority control of the processing power on various Digital Asset networks or the Digital Asset community does not reject the fraudulent blocks as malicious, reversing any changes made to the blockchain may not be possible. Such changes could adversely affect an investment in a Fund or the ability of such Fund to transact.

Risk of a Blockchain "Fork". A temporary or permanent blockchain "fork" could adversely affect an investment. Some digital currencies, including Bitcoin and Ether, are open source, meaning that any user can download the software, modify it and then propose that the users and miners of the currency adopt the modification. When a modification is introduced and a

substantial majority of users and miners consent to the modification, the change is implemented and the network remains uninterrupted. However, if less than a substantial majority of users and miners consent to the proposed modification, and the modification is not compatible with the software prior to its modification, the consequence would be what is known as a "fork" of the network, with one prong running the premodified software and the other running the modified software. The effect of such a fork would be the existence of two versions of the digital currency running in parallel, yet lacking interchangeability.

Forks may occur after a significant security breach. In June of 2016, a smart contract using the Ethereum network was hacked, which resulted in most participants in the Ethereum ecosystem electing to adopt a "hard fork" that effectively reversed the hack. However, a minority of users continued to develop the old blockchain, now referred to as "Ethereum Classic" with the digital currency on that blockchain now referred to as Classic Ether, or ETC. Classic Ether remains traded on several digital currency exchanges.

Additionally, a fork could be introduced by an unintentional, unanticipated software flaw in the multiple versions of otherwise compatible software users run. Such a fork could adversely affect the digital currency's viability. It is possible, however, that a substantial number of users and miners could adopt an incompatible version of the currency while resisting community-led efforts to merge the two chains. This would result in a permanent fork, as in the case of Ether and Classic Ether. If a permanent fork were to occur, then a Fund could hold amounts of both the original digital currency and the new alternative.

Furthermore, a hard fork can introduce new security risks. For example, when Ether/Classic Ether split in July 2016, replay attacks, in which transactions from one network were rebroadcast to nefarious effect on the other network, plagued Ethereum exchanges through at least October 2016. An Ethereum exchange announced in July 2016 that it had lost 40,000 Classic Ether, which was worth about \$100,000 at that time, as a result of replay attacks. Another possible result of a hard fork is an inherent decrease in the level of security. After a hard fork, it may become easier for an individual miner or mining pool's hashing power to exceed 50% of the processing power of the digital currency network, thereby making digital currencies that rely on proof of work more susceptible to attack.

Additionally, it may be unclear following a fork which fork represents the original asset and which is the new asset. Different metrics adopted by industry participants to determine which is the original asset include: wishes of the core developers of a digital currency, the blockchain with the greatest amount of hashing power contributed by miners or validators, or the blockchain with the longest chain. To the extent that a Fund must decide which fork is a continuation of an original asset and which is a new asset, the Fund will not look to any one factor as being dispositive and instead will seek to determine which asset is generally accepted as being the continuation of the original asset by looking at a number of factors, including those listed above, the actions of market participants, discussions on relevant forums, and the relevant spot and futures prices of the assets, among other factors.

A fork in the network of a particular digital currency could adversely affect an investment in a Fund or the ability of the Fund to operate.

Inability to Realize Benefits of Hard Forks or "Air Drops". A Fund may not be able to realize the economic benefit of a hard fork or "air drop," either immediately or ever, which could adversely affect an investment. If the Fund holds a Digital Asset at the time of a hard fork into two Digital Assets, it would be expected to hold an equivalent amount of the old and new assets following the hard fork. However, the Fund may not be able, or it may not be practical, to secure or realize the economic benefit of the new asset for various reasons. For instance, a custodian or security service provider may not agree to provide the Fund access to the new asset. In addition, the Fund may determine that there is no safe or practical way to custody the new asset, or that trying to do so may pose an unacceptable risk to the Fund's holdings in the old asset, or that the costs of taking possession and/or maintaining ownership of the new digital currency exceed the benefits of owning the new digital currency.

Additionally, laws, regulation or other factors may prevent a Fund from benefitting from the new asset even if there is a safe and practical way to custody and secure the new asset. For example, it may be illegal for the Fund to sell the new asset, or there may not be a suitable market into which the Fund can sell the new asset (either immediately after the fork or ever).

In addition, a Digital Asset held by the Fund may become subject to a similar occurrence known as an "air drop." In an air drop, the promoters of a new digital currency announce to holders of another digital currency that they will be entitled to claim a certain amount of the new digital currency for free. For example, in March 2017 the promoters of Stellar Lumens announced that anyone that owned Bitcoin as of June 26, 2017 could claim, until August 27, 2017, a certain amount of Stellar Lumens. For the same reasons as described above with respect to hard forks, the Fund may or may not choose, or be able, to participate in an air drop, or may or may not be able to realize the economic benefits of holding the new Digital Asset. The timing of any such occurrence is uncertain and a Fund's participation would be subject to the discretion of the Adviser. Any inability to recognize the economic benefit of a hard fork or an air drop could adversely affect an investment.

Risks of Internet Disruptions. A disruption of the internet may affect the use of digital currencies and subsequently the value of an investor's interest. Many digital currencies are dependent upon the internet. A significant disruption in internet connectivity could disrupt a currency's network operations until the disruption is resolved and have an adverse effect on the price of digital currencies. In particular, some variants of digital currency have been subjected to a number of denial-of-service attacks, which have led to temporary delays in block creation and in the transfer of the currency. While in certain cases in response to an attack, an additional "hard fork" has been introduced to increase the cost of certain network functions, the relevant network has continued to be the subject of additional attacks. Moreover, it is possible that as digital currencies increase in value, they may become more attractive targets for hackers and subject to more frequent hacking and denial-of-service attacks.

Digital currencies are also susceptible to border gateway protocol hijacking, or BGP hijacking. Such an attack can be a very effective way for an attacker to intercept traffic en route to a legitimate destination. BGP hijacking impacts the way different nodes and miners are connected to one another to isolate portions of them from the remainder of the network, which could lead to a risk of the network allowing double-spending and other security issues. If BGP hijacking occurs on a digital currency network, participants may lose faith in the security of digital

currencies, which could affect the value of those digital currencies and consequently the value of an investment.

Any future attacks that affect the ability to transfer the digital currency could have a material adverse effect on the price of the currency and the value of an investment.

Risks of Open-Source Structure. The open-source structure of many of the digital currency network protocols means that certain core developers and other contributors may not be directly compensated for their contributions in maintaining and developing the network protocol. A failure to properly monitor and upgrade network protocol could damage the digital currency networks. Certain digital currency networks operate based on open-source protocol maintained by the groups of core developers. As these network protocols are not sold and their use does not generate revenue for development teams, core developers may not be directly compensated for maintaining and updating the network protocols. Consequently, developers may lack a financial incentive to maintain or develop the network, and the core developers may lack the resources to adequately address emerging issues with the networks. There can be no guarantee that developer support will continue or be sufficient in the future. Additionally, some development and developers are funded by companies whose interests may be at odds with other participants in the network or with investors' interests. To the extent that material issues arise with certain digital currency network protocols and the core developers and open-source contributors are unable or unwilling to address the issues adequately or in a timely manner, the digital currency networks and an investment in a Fund may be adversely affected.

Nascent Development of Smart Contracts. The nascent nature of smart contract development may magnify initial problems, increase volatility and reduce interest in smart contracts, which could have an adverse impact on the value of Ether or other digital currencies. Smart contracts are computer protocols that facilitate the negotiation or performance of a contract and have only very recently been implemented. Since smart contracts typically cannot be stopped or reversed, bugs in their programming can have catastrophic effects. For example, a bug in the smart contracts underlying The DAO, a distributed autonomous organization for venture capital funding, allowed an attack by a hacker who drained \$50 million from its accounts. The theft was reversed only by the developers making a "hard fork" of Ethereum. See "Risk of a Blockchain 'Fork'" above. Nevertheless, the price of Ether dropped 35% because of the attack and also the fork. In addition, in July 2017, a vulnerability in a smart contract for a multi-signature wallet software provided by Parity led to a \$30 million theft of Ether. Initial problems and continued setbacks with the implementation and development of smart contracts may have an adverse effect on the value of Ether and other digital currencies.

Counterparty Risk. Some of the markets in which the Adviser may effect transactions are "over-the-counter" or "interdealer" markets. The participants in such markets are typically not subject to the same credit evaluation and regulatory oversight as are members of "exchange-based" markets. In addition, many of the protections afforded to participants on some organized exchanges, such as the performance guarantee of an exchange clearinghouse, might not be available in connection with such OTC transactions. This exposes a Fund to the risk that a counterparty will not settle a transaction in accordance with its terms and conditions because of a dispute over the terms of the contract (whether or not bona fide) or because of a credit or liquidity problem, thus causing such Fund to suffer a loss. The Adviser is not restricted from

dealing with any particular counterparty or from concentrating any or all of the Fund's transactions with one counterparty. The ability of a Fund to transact business with any one or number of counterparties, the lack of any meaningful and independent evaluation of such counterparties' financial capabilities and the absence of a regulated market to facilitate settlement may increase the potential for losses by such Fund.

Exchanges Operating Outside of the U.S. Digital asset exchanges generally operate outside of the United States. The Funds may have difficulty in successfully pursuing claims in the courts of such countries or enforcing in the courts of such countries a judgment obtained by the Funds in another country. In general, certain less developed countries lack fully developed legal systems and bodies of commercial law and practices normally found in countries with more developed market economies. These legal and regulatory risks may adversely affect the Funds and their operations and investments.

Third Party Wallet Providers. The Funds may use third party wallet providers to hold a portion of each Fund's Digital Assets. The Funds may have a high concentration of its Digital Assets in one location or with one third party wallet provider, which may be prone to losses arising out of hacking, loss of passwords, compromised access credentials, malware, or cyber-attacks. The Funds are not required to maintain a minimum number of wallet providers to hold the Funds' Digital Assets. The Funds may not perform detailed diligence on such third party wallet providers and, as a result, may not be aware of all security vulnerabilities and risks. Certain third party wallet providers may not indemnify the Funds against any losses of Digital Assets. Digital Assets held by third parties could be transferred into "cold storage" or "deep storage," in which case there could be a delay in retrieving such Digital Assets. The Funds may also incur costs related to third party storage. Any security breach, incurred cost or loss of Digital Assets associated with the use of a third party wallet provider, may adversely affect an investment in the Funds. A Fund's ability to invest in a particular cryptocurrency may be impacted by the types of cryptocurrencies accepted by third party wallet providers that are qualified custodians. In addition, a number of the risks applicable to the Adviser are also applicable to third party wallet providers, including without limitation those discussed above in "*Custody of the Fund's Digital Assets*" and "*Risk of Loss of Private Keys*".

Risks Related to Insufficient Mining or Validation Incentives. With respect to digital currencies that are maintained through mining or validation activities, if the award of new units of digital currency for solving blocks and transaction fees for recording transactions is not sufficiently high, miners or validators may cease their activities and, as a result, confirmations of transactions on the blockchain could be slowed temporarily and the likelihood of a malicious actor or botnet obtaining control may increase.

Risks of Exclusion of Transactions. To the extent that any miners or validators exclude some or all transactions, significant increases in fees and widespread delays in the recording of transactions could result in a loss of confidence on the relevant digital currency networks. This could result in a loss of confidence in the digital currency network, including the Bitcoin network and Ethereum network, which could adversely affect an investment.

Risks of Collusion of Miners or Validators. Miners or validators could collude to raise transaction fees, which may adversely affect the usage of digital currency networks.

Miners and validators, functioning in their transaction confirmation capacity, often collect fees for each transaction they confirm. While miners and validators are not forced to confirm any specific transaction, they are economically incentivized to confirm valid transactions as a means of collecting fees. If miners or validators collude in an anticompetitive manner to reject low transaction fees, then digital currency users could be forced to pay higher fees, thus reducing the attractiveness of the digital currency network, which may adversely affect an investment in a Fund or the ability of the Fund to operate.

Initial Coin Offerings Risk. A Fund may invest in initial coin offerings (“ICOs”). ICOs allow for investors to purchase certain Digital Assets offered or created by blockchain based companies on various platforms in exchange for dollars or already established Digital Assets, which can then be converted to dollars on a Digital Asset exchange. Prior to an ICO, many blockchain-based companies offer presale tokens or Digital Assets. Presale tokens or currencies may be sold or used to buy additional tokens or currencies at a later point in time for a potentially higher value. A Fund may invest in all stages, including presale rounds of ICOs. ICOs and various token presales are currently unregulated and are subject to fraud, security breaches, regulatory developments, enforcement actions, and technological developments. There is no guarantee that the token or currency purchased will have any value or worth. ICOs can at any point become subject to federal and state securities laws, federal commodity laws and various international regulations, among other restrictions. Such restrictions may have an adverse impact on a Fund’s assets or on a Fund’s ability to sell its assets. As investors can purchase new tokens with already existing Digital Assets, investments in ICOs and presales subject a Fund to all risks associated with Digital Assets in general.

Fraudulent ICOs and Pre-ICOs. ICO and pre-ICOs campaigns in which the Funds may participate are unregulated and may turn out to be fraudulent. There is no guarantee that funds lost due to such fraudulent actions will be recovered by the Funds.

ICO Ineligibility. The Funds may be ineligible to participate in certain ICOs (particularly, ICOs issued by non-U.S. sponsors that limit participation to non-U.S. persons or entities). While the Funds may seek to participate in ICOs through a non-U.S. subsidiary, there is no guarantee that a non-U.S. subsidiary of any of the Funds will be permitted to take part in an ICO that generally limits participation to non-U.S. persons or entities.

Investing in Blockchain Technology Companies. Companies in the rapidly changing fields of blockchain technology and the Digital Assets markets face special risks. The Advisor has no control over and limited visibility into future technological developments. The rapid pace of technological development creates the risk that an issuer’s products and services become obsolete, fail to gain meaningful market share, or fall out of favor as more appealing and advanced technologies and products emerge. A company’s intellectual property rights may be subject to legal challenge. Many companies in the blockchain technology and Digital Assets space have limited operating histories. Such a company may be unable to engage and retain sufficient skilled engineering, marketing and management personnel to allow it to maintain its technological edge and develop the corporate infrastructure required to sustain and grow its business. Some Digital Asset or blockchain industries may be subject to greater governmental regulation than other sectors, and changes in governmental policies and the need for regulatory approvals may materially and adversely affect the business of companies in the those sectors.

For these and other reasons specific to particular industries and companies, investments in companies in blockchain technology industries pose greater risks than those in certain other sectors.

Equity Investments. A Fund's equity investments may involve substantial risks and may be subject to wide and sudden fluctuations in market value, with a resulting fluctuation in the amount of profits and losses. There are no absolute restrictions in regard to the size or operating experience of the companies in which a Fund may invest (and relatively small companies may lack management depth or the ability to generate internally, or obtain externally, the funds necessary for growth and companies with new products or services could sustain significant losses if projected markets do not materialize). Equity prices are directly affected by issuer-specific events, as well as general market conditions. In addition, investing in common stocks may be subject to heightened regulatory and self-regulatory scrutiny as compared to investing in debt or other financial instruments.

Lack of Operating History of Investee Companies. The Funds expect to invest in companies that have relatively limited operating histories. Generally, very little public information exists about these companies, and the Funds will rely on the ability of the Advisor to obtain adequate information to evaluate the potential returns. If the Advisor is unable to uncover all material information about these companies, a Fund may not make a fully informed investment decision, and may lose money on its investment. These companies may be particularly vulnerable to U.S. and foreign economic downturns such as the recent recession and may have limited access to capital. These businesses also frequently have less diverse product lines and a smaller market presence than larger competitors and may experience substantial variations in operating results. They may face intense competition, including from companies with greater financial, technical, operational and marketing resources, and typically depend upon the expertise and experience of a single individual executive or a small management team. The Funds' success depends, in large part, upon the abilities of the key management personnel of the such companies, who are responsible for the day-to-day operations. Competition for qualified personnel is intense at any stage of a company's development. The loss of one or more key managers can hinder or delay a company's implementation of its business plan and harm its financial condition. Companies may not be able to attract and retain qualified managers and personnel. In addition, companies may compete with each other for investment or business opportunities and the success of one could negatively impact the other. Furthermore, many companies may do business in regulated industries and could be affected by changes in government regulation. Accordingly, these factors could impair their cash flow or result in other events, such as bankruptcy, which could limit their ability to repay their obligations, and may materially and adversely affect the return on, or the recovery of, the Fund's investment. As a result, a Fund may lose its entire investment in any or all of the companies in which it invests.

Economic Risks of Investee Companies. The business and operating results of companies in which the Funds invest may be impacted by worldwide economic conditions. Any conflict or uncertainty, including due to natural disasters, public health concerns, political unrest or safety concerns, could harm their financial condition and results of operations and cash flows. In addition, if the government of any country in which products are developed, manufactured or sold sets technical or regulatory standards for products developed or manufactured in or imported into their country that are not widely shared, it may lead some of their customers to

suspend imports of their products into that country, require manufacturers or developers in that country to manufacture or develop products with different technical or regulatory standards and disrupt cross-border manufacturing, marketing or business relationships which, in each case, could harm the business of investee companies. In addition, such companies may be susceptible to economic slowdowns or recessions.

Failure of an Investee Company. Although the companies in which the Funds invest are carefully selected by the Advisor, it is possible that a Fund may lose all or a portion of its investment in such companies. No assurance can be given that the failure of one or more of such companies will not have a material adverse effect on the Fund's overall performance.

Uncertain Regulatory Environment. In addition to the above regulatory risk relating to ICOs, the overall regulatory environment for Digital Assets remains uncertain. Numerous U.S. federal agencies have asserted whole or partial regulatory authority over Digital Assets, including, but not limited to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, the Federal Trade Commission and the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. Whether and to what extent Digital Assets will be regulated by any existing federal agencies or by new legislation passed by the U.S. Congress is unknown and the effect on the market value of Digital Assets overall is unknown. State regulatory agencies may also create their own set of regulations of Digital Assets, which might further negatively impact the value of Digital Assets. Regulatory activity in any of these areas may restrict the ability of the general partner of each Fund both to make investments in Digital Assets and to realize the value of any investments by restricting the conversion of any such value into U.S. dollar-based assets.

No FDIC or SIPC Protection. Digital currencies held by the Funds are not subject to Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") or Securities Investor Protection Corporation ("SIPC") protections. The Funds are not a banking institution or otherwise a member of the FDIC or SIPC and, therefore, deposits held with or assets held by the Funds are not subject to the protections enjoyed by depositors with FDIC or SIPC member institutions. While private insurance may be available at times, the undivided interest in the Funds' digital currencies represented by interests in the Funds are not insured.

Risks Relating to Availability of Banking Services. Banks may not provide banking services, or may cut off banking services, to businesses that provide digital currency-related services or that accept digital currency as payment, which could damage the public perception of digital currency and the utility of digital currency as a payment system and could decrease the price of digital currency and adversely affect an investment in a Fund.

A number of companies that provide digital currency-related services have been unable to find banks that are willing to provide them with bank accounts and banking services. Similarly, a number of such companies have had their existing bank accounts closed by their banks. Banks may refuse to provide bank accounts and other banking services to digital currency-related companies or companies that accept digital currency for a number of reasons, such as perceived compliance risks or costs. The difficulty that many businesses that provide digital currency-related services have and may continue to have in finding banks willing to provide them with bank accounts and other banking services may be currently decreasing the usefulness of digital currency as a payment system and harming public perception of digital currency or could

decrease its usefulness and harm its public perception in the future. Similarly, the usefulness of digital currency as a payment system and the public perception of digital currency could be damaged if banks were to close the accounts of many or of a few key businesses providing digital currency-related services. This could decrease the value of the digital currencies held by a Fund and therefore adversely affect an investment in the Fund.

Legality of Digital Currencies. It may be illegal, now or in the future, to own, hold, sell or use digital currencies in one or more countries, including the United States. Although currently digital currencies are not regulated or are lightly regulated in most countries, including the United States, one or more countries may take regulatory actions in the future that severely restricts the right to acquire, own, hold, sell or use digital currencies or to exchange digital currencies for fiat currency. Such an action may restrict the Funds' ability to hold or trade digital currencies, and could result in termination and liquidation of the Funds at a time that is disadvantageous to the investors, or may adversely affect an investment in the Funds.

Tax Risk. There is substantial uncertainty regarding the tax treatment of Digital Assets. As such, the general partner of each Fund may take certain tax positions that may ultimately be treated differently in the course of an audit by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), or the regulations promulgated by the IRS may change over time. As a result, Limited Partners may be subject to adverse tax consequences associated with their investment in a Fund.

Risk from Unique Governance Model. In many cases, the Funds will be investing directly in a Digital Asset that lacks the governance aspects that generally pertain to equity securities. For example, a holder of a Digital Asset does not have the right to appoint board members or otherwise vote on corporate actions of the entity that has issued the Digital Asset. As a result, the general partner of each Fund will have limited, if any, ability to influence the actions of the issuer of the Digital Asset and such lack of influence may negatively impact the value of any particular investment.

Risk from Conflicts between Equity Holders and Holders of Digital Assets. In some cases, a Fund may purchase traditional equity securities in an issuer in addition to, or in lieu of, purchasing Digital Assets from the issuer. To the extent that the Fund has an economic interest in either traditional equity securities or a Digital Asset, the economic incentives of the Fund may diverge from those of other equity or Digital Asset holders. As a result, the value of an investment or the ability to realize that value may be compromised by these potentially divergent economic interests.

Valuation of Securities. Different methods of valuing securities may provide materially different results. Actual realized returns on investments will depend on, among other things, the value of the securities at the time of disposition, any related transaction costs and the manner of sale. Accordingly, the actual realized return on investments may differ materially from the values presented to the Limited Partners. In addition, given the complexities involved in valuing Digital Assets and the difficulty in confirming ownership of such assets, direct or indirect investments in Digital Assets by a Fund could result in delays in the issuance of financial opinions by such Fund's auditors or in the qualification, in whole or in part, of such opinions. Furthermore, the general partner of each Fund may not be able to find an audit firm to present an

unqualified audit of a Fund's assets, in which case Limited Partners may need to rely on unaudited financials.

Recent Financial Market Fluctuations. In addition to volatility in the market for Digital Assets, general fluctuations in the market prices of securities and economic conditions generally, particularly of the type experienced since 2008, may reduce the availability of attractive investment opportunities for the Funds and may affect the Funds' ability to make investments and the value of the investments held by the Funds. Instability in the securities markets and economic conditions generally may also increase the risks inherent in the Funds' investments. The ability to realize investments depends not only on investee companies and their historical results and prospects, but also on political, market and economic conditions at the time of such realizations. There can be no assurance that Funds will be able to exit from their investments in companies by listing their shares on securities exchanges or disposing of Digital Assets in other venues. The trading market, if any, for the securities of any company or for the Digital Assets may not be sufficiently liquid to enable to a Fund to sell these securities or Digital Assets when the Adviser believes it is most advantageous to do so, or without adversely affecting the market price. Continued or renewed volatility in the financial sector may have an adverse material effect on the ability of the Funds to buy, sell and partially dispose of investments. The Funds may be adversely affected to the extent that they seek to dispose of any of their investments into an illiquid or volatile market, and a Fund may find itself unable to dispose of investments at prices that the Adviser believes reflect the fair value of such investments.

Tax Reform Risks. President Trump signed into law a broad-based reform of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") on December 22, 2017 (the "Tax Act"). There are significant uncertainties regarding the interpretation and application of the Tax Act. While additional guidance on the Tax Act is expected, the timing, scope and content of such guidance are not known. Changes to the Code made by the Tax Act and any further changes in tax laws or interpretation of such laws may be adverse to the Funds and their limited partners. In addition, although not free from doubt, the Tax Act subjects allocations of income and gain in respect of entitlements to carried interest and gain on the sales of profits interests in certain partnerships realized in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017 to higher rates of U.S. federal income tax than under prior law in certain circumstances. Significant uncertainties remain regarding the application of the provisions of the Tax Act that affect the taxation of carried interest. Enactment of this legislation could cause the Adviser's investment professionals to incur a material increase in their tax liability with respect to their entitlement to carried interest. This might make it more difficult for the Adviser to incentivize, attract and retain these professionals, which may have an adverse effect on the Adviser's ability to achieve the investment objectives of the Funds. In addition, this can create a conflict of interest as the tax position of the Adviser may differ from the tax positions of the Funds and/or the investors and therefore, these rules may have an additional impact on the investment decisions made by the Funds, including with respect to decisions on the timing and structure of dispositions and whether to pursue other realization events during the holding period of an investment such as non-liquidating distributions. For example, the tax law gives the Adviser an incentive to cause a Fund to hold an investment for longer than 3 years in order to obtain lower tax rates on carried interest gains even if there are attractive realization opportunities earlier than 3 years.

The Funds may employ a frequent trading strategy. The high rate of portfolio turnover resulting from the use of this strategy generally leads to greater brokerage and other transaction costs for such Funds, may act to reduce such Funds' investment gains or create a loss for such Funds, and may cause adverse tax consequences for such Funds and their investors to the extent such frequent trading results in an increase in short-term capital gains.

Audit Risks. It is possible that an audit of the Fund's tax return by the IRS, if conducted, may result in an audit of a Limited Partner's tax return. A Limited Partner that files a U.S. tax return must report each Fund's item for U.S. federal income tax purposes consistent with its treatments on the Fund's return, unless such Limited Partner files a statement with his return that identifies the inconsistency. In the event of an audit, the tax treatment of Fund items may be determined at the Fund level in a single proceeding rather than in separate proceedings with each Limited Partner. The General Partner may take primary responsibility for contesting federal income tax adjustments proposed by the IRS, to extend the statute of limitations as to all Limited Partners and, in certain circumstances, the General Partner may be able to bind the Limited Partners to a settlement with the IRS. The General Partner will inform each Limited Partner of a commencement and disposition of any such administrative proceeding. Nevertheless, a Limited Partner's participation in administrative or judicial proceedings relating to Fund items would be restricted.

Item 9. Disciplinary Information

Item 9 is not applicable to the Adviser.

Item 10. Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations

A limited liability company (the "General Partners") serves as general partner of the Fund. For a description of material conflicts of interest created by the relationship among the Adviser and the General Partner, as well as a description of how such conflicts are addressed, please see Item 11 below.

Item 11. Code of Ethics, Participation or Interest in Client Transactions and Personal Trading

Code of Ethics

The Adviser will adopt a written Code of Ethics that is applicable to all of its members, officers and employees, as well as officers and employees of its affiliates and certain independent contractors (collectively, "Adviser Personnel"). The Code of Ethics, which is designed to comply with Rule 204A-1 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (as amended, the "Advisers Act"), will establish guidelines for professional conduct and personal trading procedures, including certain pre-clearance and reporting obligations. Adviser Personnel and their families and households may purchase investments for their own accounts, including the same investments as may be purchased or sold for a Fund, including Digital Assets, subject to the terms of the Code of Ethics. Under the Code of Ethics, Adviser Personnel will also be required to file certain periodic reports with the Adviser's Chief Compliance Officer as required by Rule 204A-1 under the Advisers Act. The Code of Ethics helps the Adviser detect and prevent

potential conflicts of interest. Adviser Personnel who violate the Code of Ethics may be subject to remedial actions, including, but not limited to, profit disgorgement, fines, censure, demotion, suspension or dismissal. Adviser Personnel are also required to promptly report any violation of the Code of Ethics of which they become aware. Adviser Personnel are required to annually certify compliance with the Code of Ethics.

A copy of the Code of Ethics is available to any client or prospective client upon written request to: CNK Capital Management, L.L.C., 2865 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025.

Participation or Interest in Client Transactions

The Adviser and certain employees and affiliates of the Adviser may invest in and alongside the Fund, either through the General Partner, as direct investors in a Fund or otherwise. A Fund or its General Partner, as applicable, may reduce all or a portion of the Advisory Fee and Carried Interest related to investments held by such persons. For further details regarding these arrangements, as well as conflicts of interest presented by them, please see “Conflicts of Interest” immediately below.

Due in part to the fact that potential investors in a Fund (including purchasers of a limited partner’s interests in a secondary transaction) or a co-investment opportunity (see below) may ask different questions and request different information, the Adviser may provide certain information to one or more prospective investors that it does not provide to all of the prospective investors or limited partners.

Conflicts of Interest

The Adviser engages in a broad range of activities, including investment activities for its own account and providing transaction-related, investment advisory, management and other services to the Funds and their investments. In the ordinary course of conducting its activities, the interests of the Funds will from time to time conflict with the interests of the Adviser. Certain of these conflicts of interest, as well a description of how the Adviser addresses such conflicts of interest, can be found below.

The Adviser may, from time to time, establish certain investment vehicles through which certain employees of the Adviser or its affiliates, certain business associates, other “friends of the firm,” or other persons may invest alongside a Fund in one or more investment opportunities. Such vehicles, referred to herein as “co-investment vehicles,” may, in certain instances, be contractually required to purchase and sell certain investment opportunities at substantially the same time and substantially the same terms as the Fund. Such co-investment vehicles do not pay Advisory Fees or Carried Interest.

Resolution of Conflicts

In the case of all conflicts of interest, the Adviser’s determination as to which factors are relevant, and the resolution of such conflicts, will be made using the Adviser’s best judgment, but in its sole discretion. In resolving conflicts, the Adviser may consider various factors,

including the interests of the applicable Funds with respect to the immediate issue and/or with respect to their longer term courses of dealing. Certain procedures for resolving specific conflicts of interest are set forth below. When conflicts arise, the following factors may mitigate, but will not eliminate, conflicts of interest:

- (1) A Fund will not make an investment unless the Adviser believes that such investment is an appropriate investment considered from the viewpoint of the Fund;
- (2) Many important conflicts of interest will generally be resolved by set procedures, restrictions or other provisions contained in the Organizational Documents for the Fund;
- (3) Each Fund has established an advisory committee, consisting of representatives of investors not affiliated with the Adviser. The advisory committee meets as required to consult with the Adviser as to certain potential conflicts of interest. On any issue involving actual conflicts of interest, the Adviser will be guided by its good faith discretion;
- (4) Where the Adviser deems appropriate, unaffiliated third parties may be used to help resolve conflicts, such as the use of an investment banker to opine as to the fairness of a purchase or sale price; and
- (5) Prior to subscribing for interests in a Fund, each investor receives information relating to significant potential conflicts of interest arising from the proposed activities of the Fund.

In addition, certain provisions of a Fund's Organizational Documents are designed to protect the interests of investors in situations where conflicts may exist, although these provisions do not eliminate such conflicts. In certain instances, some of such conflicts of interest may be resolved in a manner adverse to the Fund and its ability to achieve its investment objectives.

Conflicts

The material conflicts of interest encountered by a Fund include those discussed below, although the discussion below does not necessarily describe all of the conflicts that may be faced by a Fund. Other conflicts may be disclosed throughout this brochure and the brochure should be read in its entirety for other conflicts.

Allocation of Investment Opportunities Among Clients

In connection with its investment activities, the Adviser may encounter situations in which it must determine how to allocate investment opportunities among various clients and other persons, which may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- The Funds;
- Any co-investment vehicles that have been formed to invest side-by-side with one or more Funds in all or particular transactions entered into by such Fund(s) (the investors in

such co-investment vehicles may include Adviser Investors and/or individuals and entities that are not investors in any Funds (“Third Parties”));

- Adviser Investors and/or Third Parties that wish to make direct investments (i.e., not through an investment vehicle) side-by-side with one or more Funds in particular transactions entered into by such Fund(s); and
- Adviser Investors and/or Third Parties acting as “co-sponsors” with the Adviser with respect to a particular transaction.

The Adviser will adopt written policies and procedures relating to the allocation of investment opportunities, and will make allocation determinations consistently therewith.

The Funds are generally subject to investment allocation requirements (collectively, “Investment Allocation Requirements”), which will also apply directly or indirectly to certain co-investment vehicles with investments contractually tied to the Funds. Investment Allocation Requirements may be set forth in the instrument under which the Fund was established (such as a Fund’s Organizational Documents).

As noted above, the Adviser currently advises only one Fund. In the event that the Adviser advises more than one Fund in the future and the Investment Allocation Requirements of a Fund do not include specific allocation procedures and/or allow the Adviser discretion in making allocation decisions among the Funds, the Adviser will follow the process set forth below. In addition, in the highly unlikely event that a portfolio company of a Venture Fund (as defined below) engaged in crypto-related activities and therefore falls within the investment mandate of a Fund, any follow-on opportunities in such portfolio company will be available first to the relevant Venture Fund.

The Adviser must first determine which Funds will participate in an investment opportunity. The Adviser assesses whether an investment opportunity is appropriate for a particular Fund(s), based on the Fund’s investment objectives, strategies and structure. A Fund’s investment objectives, strategies and structure typically are reflected in the Fund’s Organizational Documents. Prior to making any allocation to a Fund of an investment opportunity, the Adviser determines what additional factors may restrict or limit the offering of an investment opportunity to the Fund(s). Possible restrictions include, but are not limited to:

- **Obligation to Offer:** the Adviser may be required to offer an investment opportunity to one or more Funds. This obligation to offer investment opportunities may be set forth in a Fund’s Organizational Documents.
- **Related Investments:** the Adviser may offer an investment opportunity related to an investment previously made by a Fund(s) to such Fund(s) to the exclusion of, or resulting in a limited offering to, other Funds.
- **Legal and Regulatory Exclusions:** the Adviser may determine that certain Funds or investors in such Funds should be excluded from an allocation due to specific legal, regulatory and contractual restrictions placed on the participation of such persons in certain types of investment opportunities.

Once the Funds that will participate in a particular investment have been identified, the Adviser, in its discretion, decides how to allocate such investment opportunity among the identified Funds. In allocating such investment opportunity, the Adviser may consider some or all of a wide range of factors, which include, but are not necessarily limited to, one or more of the following:

- Each Fund's investment objectives and investment focus;
- Transaction sourcing;
- Each Fund's liquidity and reserves;
- Each Fund's diversification;
- Lender covenants and other limitations;
- Any "ramp-up" period of a newly established Fund;
- Amount of capital available for investment by each Fund as well as each Fund's projected future capacity for investment;
- Each Fund's targeted rate of return;
- Stage of development of the prospective portfolio company or other investment and anticipated holding period of the portfolio company;
- Composition of each Fund's portfolio;
- The suitability as a follow-on investment for a current portfolio company of a Fund;
- The availability of other suitable investments for each Fund;
- Supply or demand of an investment opportunity at a given price level;
- Risk considerations;
- Cash flow considerations;
- Asset class restrictions;
- Industry and other allocation targets;
- Minimum and maximum investment size requirements;
- Tax implications;
- Legal, contractual or regulatory constraints; and
- Any other relevant limitations imposed by or conditions set forth in the Organizational Documents of each Fund.

The Adviser will not allocate investment opportunities based, in whole or in part, on (i) the relative fee structure or amount of fees paid by any Fund or (ii) the profitability of any Fund. There can be no assurance that the application of the Investment Allocation Requirements and factors set forth above will result in a Fund participating in all investment opportunities that fall within its investment objectives.

In addition, principal executive officers and other personnel of the Adviser invest indirectly in and may be permitted to invest directly in Funds and may therefore participate indirectly in investments made by the Funds in which they invest. Such interests will vary Fund by Fund and may create an incentive to allocate particularly attractive investment opportunities to the Fund in which such personnel hold a greater interest. The existence of these varying circumstances presents conflicts of interest in determining how much, if any, of certain investment opportunities to offer to a Fund.

Allocation of Co-Investment Opportunities and Secondary Transactions

The Adviser will determine if the amount of an investment opportunity exceeds the amount the Adviser determines would be appropriate for a Fund (after taking into account any portion of the opportunity allocated by contract to certain participants in the applicable deal, such as co-sponsors, consultants and advisers to the Adviser and/or the Fund or management teams of the applicable portfolio company, certain strategic investors and other investors whose allocation is determined by the Adviser to be in the best interest of the applicable Fund), and any such excess may be offered to one or more co-investors pursuant to the procedures included in the Fund's Organizational Documents and as set forth in the following paragraphs.

Subject to any Investment Allocation Requirements, in general, (i) no investor in a Fund has a right to participate in any co-investment opportunity and investing in a Fund does not give an investor any rights, entitlements or priority to co-investment opportunities, (ii) decisions regarding whether and to whom to offer co-investment opportunities, as well as the applicable terms on which a co-investment is made, are made in the sole discretion of the Adviser or its related persons or other participants in the applicable transactions, such as co-sponsors, (iii) co-investment opportunities may, and typically will, be offered to some and not other investors in a Fund, in the sole discretion of the Adviser or its related persons and investors may be offered a smaller amount of co-investment opportunities than originally requested, and (iv) certain persons other than investors in a Fund (e.g., funds managed by another investment adviser in which the Adviser's managing member has an ongoing role (the "Venture Adviser" and the funds managed by the Venture Adviser, the "Venture Funds"), consultants, joint venture partners, persons associated with a portfolio company and other Third Parties) rather than one or more investors in a Fund, will, from time to time be offered co-investment opportunities, in the sole discretion of the Adviser or its related persons. Additionally, non-binding acknowledgements of interest in co-investment opportunities are not Investment Allocation Requirements and do not require the Adviser to notify the recipients of such acknowledgements if there is a co-investment opportunity.

In exercising its discretion to allocate co-investment opportunities with respect to a particular investment among the Funds, the Venture Funds, and other potential co-investors, the Adviser may consider some or all of a wide range of factors, which include, but are not limited to, one or more of the following:

- The Adviser's evaluation of the size and financial resources of the potential co-investment party and the Adviser's perception of the ability of that potential co-investment party (in terms of, for example, staffing, expertise and other resources) to

efficiently and expeditiously participate in the investment opportunity with the Fund without harming or otherwise prejudicing the Fund, in particular when the investment opportunity is time-sensitive in nature, as is typically the case;

- Any confidentiality concerns the Adviser has that may arise in connection with providing the other account or person with specific information relating to the investment opportunity in order to permit such potential co-investment party to evaluate the investment opportunity;
- The Adviser's perception of its past experiences and relationships with the potential co-investment party, such as the willingness or ability of the potential co-investment party to respond promptly and/or affirmatively to potential investment opportunities previously offered by the Adviser and the expected amount of negotiations required in connection with a potential co-investment party's commitment;
- The character and nature of the co-investment opportunity (including the potential co-investment amount, structure, geographic location, tax characteristics and relevant industry);
- Level of demand for participation in such co-investment opportunity;
- The Adviser's perception of whether the investment opportunity may subject the potential co-investment party to legal, regulatory, competitive, confidentiality, reporting, public relations, media or other burdens that make it less likely that the other account or person would act upon the investment opportunity if offered;
- The Adviser's evaluation of whether the profile or characteristics of the potential co-investment party may have an impact on the viability or terms of the proposed investment opportunity and the ability of the Fund to take advantage of such opportunity (for example, if the potential co-investment party is involved in the same industry as a target company in which the Fund wishes to invest, or if the identity of the potential co-investment party, or the jurisdiction in which the potential co-investment party is based, may affect the likelihood of the Fund being able to capitalize on a potential investment opportunity); and
- Whether the Adviser believes, in its sole discretion, that allocating investment opportunities to a potential co-investment party will help establish, recognize, strengthen and/or cultivate relationships that may provide indirectly longer-term benefits (including strategic, sourcing or similar benefits) to the relevant Fund or future funds and/or the Adviser.

The Adviser's exercise of its discretion in allocating investment opportunities with respect to a particular investment among the persons, including the Funds, potential co-investors, Adviser Investors and Third Parties, and in the manner discussed above may not, result in proportional allocations among such persons, and such allocations may be more or less advantageous to some such persons relative to other such persons. For example, the Adviser may be incentivized to offer a co-investment opportunity to certain persons over others based on its economic arrangement with such persons. While the Adviser will determine how to allocate investment opportunities using its best judgment, considering such factors as it deems relevant, but in its sole discretion, there can be no assurance that a Fund's actual allocation of an investment

opportunity, if any, or the terms on which that allocation is made will be as favorable as they would be if the conflicts of interest to which the Adviser is subject, discussed herein, did not exist.

In the event the Adviser determines to offer an investment opportunity to co-investors, there can be no assurance that the Adviser will be successful in offering a co-investment opportunity to a potential co-investor, in whole or in part, that the closing of such co-investment will be consummated in a timely manner, that the co-investment will take place on the terms and conditions that will be preferable for the applicable Fund or that expenses incurred by such Fund with respect to the syndication of the co-investment will not be substantial. Further, it is possible that a potential co-investment party may experience financial, legal or regulatory difficulties and may, from time to time, have economic, tax, regulatory, contractual or other business interests or goals that are inconsistent with those of a Fund and as a result, may take a different view from the Adviser as to appropriate strategy for an investment or may be in a position to take a contrary action to a Fund's investment objective. In the event that the Adviser is not successful in offering a co-investment opportunity to potential co-investors, in whole or in part, a Fund may consequently hold a greater concentration and have exposure in the related investment opportunity than was initially intended, which could make the Fund more susceptible to fluctuations in value resulting from adverse economic and/or business conditions with respect thereto.

In addition, to the extent the Adviser has discretion over a secondary transfer of interests in a Fund pursuant to a Fund's Organizational Documents, or is asked to identify potential purchasers in a secondary transfer, the Adviser will do so in its sole discretion, generally taking into account the following factors:

- The Adviser's evaluation of the financial resources of the potential purchaser, including its ability to meet capital contribution obligations;
- The Adviser's perception of its past experiences and relationships with the potential purchaser, including its belief that the potential purchaser would help establish, recognize, strengthen and/or cultivate relationships that may provide indirectly longer-term benefits to current or future Funds and/or the Adviser and the expected amount of negotiations required in connection with a potential purchaser's investment;
- Whether the potential purchaser would subject the Adviser, the applicable Fund, or their affiliates to legal, regulatory, reporting, public relations, media or other burdens;
- Requirements in the Fund's Organizational Documents; and
- Such other facts as it deems appropriate under the circumstances in exercising such discretion.

A purchaser's potential investment into a future fund may be considered, but will not be the sole determining factor considered by the Adviser in determining whether to grant or withhold its consent to a secondary transfer of interests in a Fund.

Conflicts Related to Purchases and Sales

Conflicts may arise when a Fund makes investments in conjunction with an investment being made by other Funds or Venture Funds, or in a transaction where another Fund or Venture Fund has already made an investment. Investment opportunities may be appropriate for Funds or Venture Funds at the same, different or overlapping levels of a portfolio company's capital structure, including in cryptocurrency tokens issued by such portfolio company. Conflicts may arise in determining the terms of investments. Questions may arise as to whether payment obligations and covenants should be enforced, modified or waived, or whether debt should be refinanced. Decisions about what action should be taken in a troubled situation, including whether or not to enforce claims, whether or not to advocate or initiate a restructuring or liquidation inside or outside of bankruptcy, and the terms of any work-out or restructuring may raise conflicts of interest. If additional capital is necessary as a result of financial or other difficulties, or to finance growth or other opportunities, the Funds or Venture Funds may or may not provide such additional capital, and if provided each Fund will supply such additional capital in such amounts, if any, as determined by the Adviser. Investments by more than one Fund or Venture Fund in a portfolio company or cryptocurrency issued by a portfolio company may also raise the risk of using assets of a Fund to support positions taken by other Funds or Venture Funds, or that a client may remain passive in a situation in which it is entitled to vote. The Adviser may also express inconsistent or contrary views of commonly held investments or of market conditions more generally. Employees and related persons of the Adviser have made or may make capital investments in or alongside certain Funds or Venture Funds, and therefore may have additional conflicting interests in connection with these investments. There can be no assurance that the return of a Fund participating in a transaction would be equal to and not less than another Fund participating in the same transaction or that it would have been as favorable as it would have been had such conflict not existed.

A Fund may invest in opportunities that other Funds or Venture Funds have declined, and likewise, a Fund may decline to invest in opportunities in which other Funds or Venture Funds have invested.

From time to time the Adviser may, in its discretion, enter into transactions with investors in a Fund to dispose of all or a portion of certain investments held by the Fund. In exercising its discretion to select the purchaser(s) of such investments, the Adviser may consider some or all of the factors listed above under "*Allocation of Co-Investment Opportunities and Secondary Transactions*". The sale price for such transactions will be mutually agreed to by the Adviser and such purchaser(s); however, determinations of sale prices involve a significant degree of judgment by the Adviser. Although the Adviser is not obligated to solicit competitive bids for such sale transaction or to seek the highest available price, it will first determine that such transaction is in the best interests of the Fund, taking into account the sale price and the other terms and conditions of the transaction. There can be no assurance, in light of the performance of the investment following such a transaction, that such transaction will ultimately prove to be the most profitable or advantageous course of action for the applicable Fund(s). Any such transactions will comply with the Organizational Documents of the applicable Fund(s).

The Funds will, from time to time, enter into equity commitment arrangements whereby, subject to any applicable documentation, a Fund agrees that upon the closing of a transaction with respect to a potential portfolio company, it will purchase equity securities in a

transaction. Furthermore, in certain instances a Fund may also enter into limited guarantee arrangements whereby, subject to any applicable documentation, a Fund agrees that if a transaction with respect to a potential investment is not consummated, it will pay a percentage of the total value of the transaction as a “reverse termination fee” to the seller entity. While certain co-investment vehicles with investments contractually tied to a Fund (including co-investment vehicles through which employees of the Adviser participate) are generally obligated to pay their proportionate share of the equity purchase price and/or the reverse termination fee (whether pursuant to the applicable Funds’ Organizational Documents or otherwise), such co-investment vehicles are generally not direct parties to the equity commitment arrangements or limited guarantees. Therefore, in the unlikely event that a co-investment vehicle defaults on such arrangement, the Fund would be held responsible for the entire equity purchase price or reverse termination fee, as applicable.

The Fund, from time to time, co-invests with third-parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other similar entities or arrangements. These investments may involve risks that would not otherwise be present in investments where a third-party is not involved. Such risks include, among other things, the possibility that the third-party may have differing economic or business goals than those of the Funds, or that the third-party may be in a position to take actions that are inconsistent with the investment objectives of the Funds. There may also be instances where a Fund will be liable for the actions of such third-party co-investors. There can be no assurance that the return of a Fund participating in a transaction with a third party would be equal to and not less than another Fund participating in the same transaction or that it would have been as favorable as it would have been had such conflict not existed.

Since certain clients have similar investment objectives and programs, the Adviser and the Venture Adviser will, if consistent with advisory agreements and permitted by applicable laws and regulations, combine buy or sell orders for two or more clients into a single large order, and place the combined order with a single broker or dealer for execution. In many instances, such aggregated or bunched orders can result in lower commissions, a more favorable net price or more efficient execution than if each client’s order were placed separately.

There may, however, be instances in which order aggregation results in a less favorable transaction than a particular client would have obtained by trading separately. Similarly, when orders are not bunched, there may be circumstances when purchases or sales of portfolio securities for one or more clients will have an adverse effect on other clients. The Adviser is not obligated to place all transactions on an aggregated basis, and in determining whether or not to combine orders the Adviser will rely on the judgment of trading personnel as to what course of action is likely to be fair and in the best interests of the relevant accounts on an overall basis. Transactions involving commingled orders will be allocated in a manner deemed equitable to each account. The Adviser seeks to avoid putting any client account at an advantage or disadvantage compared to the Adviser’s other client accounts that are buying or selling the same security. When a combined order is executed in a series of transactions at different prices, each account participating in the order will be allocated an average price obtained from the executing broker. To help ensure the equitable distribution of investment opportunities among its clients, the Adviser has adopted written trade allocation guidelines for its personnel.

Principal Transactions

Section 206 under the Advisers Act regulates principal transactions among an investment adviser and its affiliates, on the one hand, and the clients thereof, on the other hand. Very generally, if an investment adviser or an affiliate thereof proposes to purchase a security from, or sell a security to, a client (what is commonly referred to as a “principal transaction”), the adviser must make certain disclosures to the client of the terms of the proposed transaction and obtain the client’s consent to the transaction. In connection with the Adviser’s management of the Funds, the Adviser and its affiliates may engage in principal transactions. The Adviser will establish certain policies and procedures to comply with the requirements of the Advisers Act as they relate to principal transactions, including that disclosures required by Section 206 of the Advisers Act be made to the applicable Fund(s) regarding any proposed principal transactions and that any required prior consent to the transaction be received.

Cross-Transactions

In certain cases, the Adviser may cause a Fund to purchase investments from another Fund or Venture Fund, or it may cause a Fund to sell investments to another Fund. Such transactions create conflicts of interest because, by not exposing such buy and sell transactions to market forces, a Fund may not receive the best price otherwise possible, or the Adviser might have an incentive to improve the performance of one Fund by selling underperforming assets to another Fund in order, for example, to earn fees. Additionally, in connection with such transactions, the Adviser, its affiliates and/or their professionals (i) may have significant investments, or intentions to invest, in the Fund that is selling and/or purchasing such an investment or (ii) otherwise have a direct or indirect interest in the investment (such as through certain other participations in the investment). The Adviser and its affiliates may receive management or other fees in connection with their management of the relevant Funds involved in such a transaction, and may also be entitled to share in the investment profits of the relevant Funds. To address these conflicts of interest, in connection with effecting such transactions, the Adviser will follow the Investment Allocation Requirements of the relevant Funds (e.g., the Organizational Documents of certain Funds may provide for the rebalancing of investments at certain times and at a cost set forth in those Organizational Documents so that these Funds’ resulting ownership of investments is generally proportionate to the relative capital commitments of the Fund). To the extent such matters are not addressed in the Investment Allocation Requirements, the Adviser’s Chief Compliance Officer will be responsible for confirming that the Adviser (i) considers its respective duties to each Fund, (ii) determines whether the purchase or sale and price or other terms are comparable to what could be obtained through an arm’s length transaction with a third party on commercially reasonable terms, and (iii) obtains any required approvals of the transaction’s terms and conditions.

Management of the Fund

The Adviser expects that it or its personnel will in the future establish one or more additional investment funds with investment objectives substantially similar to, or different from, those of the Funds. Allocation of available investment opportunities between the Funds and any such investment fund could give rise to conflicts of interest. See “*Allocation of Investment*

Opportunities Among Clients” above. The Adviser may give advice or take actions with respect to, the investment of one or more Funds that may not be given or taken with respect to other Funds with similar investment programs, objectives or strategies. As a result, Funds with similar strategies may not hold the same securities or achieve the same performance.

In addition, a Fund may not be able to invest through the same investment vehicles, or have access to similar credit or utilize similar investment strategies as another Fund. These differences may result in variations with respect to price, leverage and associated costs of a particular investment opportunity. In addition, it is expected that certain employees of the Adviser responsible for managing a particular Fund will have responsibilities with respect to the Venture Adviser or to proprietary investments made by the Adviser and/or its principals of the type made by a Fund. While not a related person, the Venture Adviser will own a substantial economic interest in the general partner of the Funds, but will possess no governance authority or voting rights with respect to such general partner or the Adviser. Conflicts of interest may arise in allocating time, services or functions of these officers and employees.

The Adviser may consider, and reject an investment opportunity on behalf of one Fund and, the Adviser or the Venture Adviser may subsequently determine to have another Fund or Venture Fund make an investment in the same company. A conflict of interest arises because one fund will, in such circumstances, benefit from the initial evaluation, investigation and due diligence undertaken by the Adviser on behalf of the original Fund considering the investment. In such circumstances, the benefitting fund or funds will not be required to reimburse the original Fund for expenses incurred in connection with researching such investment.

The Funds may enter into borrowing arrangements that require the Funds to be jointly and severally liable for the obligations. If one Fund defaults on such arrangement, the other Funds may be held responsible for the defaulted amount. The Funds will only enter into such joint and several borrowing arrangement when the Adviser determines it is in the best interests of the Funds.

Follow-on Investments

Investments to finance follow-on acquisitions may present conflicts of interest, including determination of the equity component and other terms of the new financing as well as the allocation of the investment opportunities in the case of follow-on acquisitions by one Fund in a portfolio company in which another Fund or Venture Fund has previously invested. In addition, a Fund may participate in re-leveraging and recapitalization transactions involving portfolio companies in which another Fund or Venture Fund has already invested or will invest. Conflicts of interest may arise, including determinations of whether existing investors are being cashed out at a price that is higher or lower than market value and whether new investors are paying too high or too low a price for the company or purchasing securities with terms that are more or less favorable than the prevailing market terms.

Conflicts Relating to the General Partner and the Adviser

The Adviser generally may, in its discretion, contract with any related person of the Adviser (including but not limited to a portfolio company of the Fund) to perform services for the Adviser in connection with its provision of services to the Fund. When engaging a related person to provide such services, the Adviser may have an incentive to recommend the related person even if another person may be more qualified to provide the applicable services and/or can provide such services at a lesser cost.

The Adviser will from time to time, in its discretion, recommend to a Fund or to a portfolio company thereof (in response to a solicitation for a recommendation or otherwise) that it contract for services with (i) the Adviser or a related person of the Adviser (including but not limited to a portfolio company of a Fund) or (ii) an entity with which the Adviser or its affiliates or a member of their personnel has a relationship or from which the Adviser or its affiliates or their personnel otherwise derives financial or other benefit. When making such a recommendation, the Adviser, because of its financial or other business interest, may have an incentive to recommend the related or other person even if another person is more qualified to provide the applicable services and/or can provide such services at a lesser cost.

The Adviser, its affiliates, and members, officers, principals and employees of the Adviser and its affiliates may buy or sell securities, Digital Assets, or other instruments that the Adviser has recommended to Funds. Officers, principals and employees of the Adviser may also buy securities Digital Assets in transactions offered to but rejected by Funds. A conflict of interest may arise because such investing Adviser personnel will, for some investments, benefit from the evaluation, investigation, and due diligence undertaken by the Adviser on behalf of a Fund. In such circumstances, the investing Adviser personnel will not share or reimburse the Fund and/or the Adviser for any expenses incurred in connection with the investment opportunity. In addition, officers and employees may also buy securities in other investment vehicles (including private equity funds, hedge funds, real estate funds and other similar investment vehicles) which may include potential competitors of the Funds. The transactions described above are subject to the policies and procedures set forth in the Adviser's Code of Ethics and investors will not benefit from any such investments. The investment policies, fee arrangements and other circumstances of these investments may vary from those of the Funds. If officers, principals and employees of the Adviser have made large capital investments in or alongside the Funds they will have conflicting interests with respect to these investments. While the significant interests of the officers and employees of the Adviser generally aligns the interest of such persons with the Fund, such persons may have differing interests from the Funds with respect to such investments (for example, with respect to the availability and timing of liquidity).

Because certain expenses are paid for by the Funds and/or their portfolio companies or, if incurred by the Adviser, are reimbursed by a Fund and/or its portfolio companies, the Adviser may not necessarily seek out the lowest cost options when incurring (or causing the Fund or its portfolio companies to incur) such expenses.

Fee Structure

Because there is a fixed investment period after which capital from investors in a Fund may only be drawn down in limited circumstances and because Advisory Fees are, at certain times during the life of the Funds, based on capital invested by the Funds, this fee structure may create an incentive to deploy capital when the Adviser may not otherwise have done so.

Additionally, as discussed above in Item 6, each General Partner of a Fund is entitled to Carried Interest under the terms of the Organizational Documents of such Funds. Such General Partner is an affiliate of the Adviser. The existence of the General Partner's Carried Interest may create an incentive for a General Partner to cause the relevant Fund to make more speculative investments than they would otherwise make in the absence of performance-based compensation.

Pursuant to the Organizational Documents, the General Partner may be required to return excess amounts of Carried Interest as a "clawback". This clawback obligation may create an incentive for the General Partner to defer disposition of one or more investments or delay the liquidation of a Fund if the disposition and/or liquidation would result in a realized loss to the Fund or would otherwise result in a clawback situation for the General Partner.

Fund Level Borrowing

The Funds from time-to-time borrow funds or enter into other financing arrangements for various reasons, including to pay fund expenses, to pay management fees, to make or facilitate new or follow-on investments (including borrowings pending receipt of capital contributions from investors), to make payments under hedging transactions, to cover any shortfall resulting from an investor's default or exclusion. If a Fund borrows in lieu of calling capital to fund the acquisition of an investment, the borrowing would be used for all limited partners in the Fund on a pro-rata basis, including the general partner. In addition, credit facilities for a Fund are available to provide borrowed funds directly to the portfolio companies of the Fund, in which case such borrowed funds would be guaranteed by such Funds.

To the extent a Fund uses borrowed funds in advance or in lieu of capital contributions, the Fund's investors generally make correspondingly later capital contributions, but the Fund will bear the expense of interest on such borrowed funds. As a result, the Fund's use of borrowed funds will impact the calculation of net performance metrics (to the extent that they measure investor cash flows) and may make net IRR calculations higher than it otherwise would be without fund-level borrowing as these calculations generally depend on the amount and timing of capital contributions. While a Fund will bear the expense of borrowed funds, such borrowings can also increase the carried interest received by the Fund's General Partner by decreasing the amount of distributions from the Fund that are required to be made to Fund investors in satisfaction of any preferred return. The General Partner therefore has a conflict of interest in deciding whether to borrow funds because the General Partner may receive disproportionate benefits from such borrowings.

Borrowing by a Fund will generally be secured by capital commitments made by the Limited Partners to the Fund and/or by the Fund's assets, and documentation relating to such borrowing may provide that during the continuance of a default under such borrowing, the interests of the investors may be subordinated to such Fund-level borrowing. Moreover, tax-exempt investors should note that the use of borrowings by a Fund may cause the realization of UBTI.

Providers of Operations Support

The portfolio companies will from time to time engage with other companies and individuals (“Operations Support Providers”), which may be affiliates of the general partner, employees of such affiliates, portfolio companies of other of the Adviser’s funds, third party consultants (including specialized consultants, external executives, and industry advisory roundtable members), venture partners, entrepreneurs-in-residence, executives-in-residence, consultant, contractor, or adviser (as those terms are generally understood in the venture capital industry). The Operations Support Providers are engaged to provide operational support, specialized operations, introductions to relevant third parties and consulting services and similar or related services to, or in connection with, one or more portfolio companies (“Operations Support Services”). These arrangements may be memorialized in a formal written agreement or may be informal and are negotiated individually, depending upon the anticipated Operations Support Services to be provided. Operations Support Providers may be offered the ability to coinvest alongside Funds, including in investments in which such Operations Support Provider is involved or participates in the management thereof.

Diverse Membership

The investors in the Funds are expected to include U.S. taxable and tax-exempt entities, and institutions from jurisdictions outside of the United States. Such investors may have conflicting investment, tax and other interests with respect to their investments in the Funds. The conflicting interests among the investors may relate to or arise from, among other things, the nature of investments made by the Funds, the structuring of the acquisition of investments and the timing of the disposition of investments. As a consequence, conflicts of interest arise in connection with decisions made by the Adviser or its affiliates, including with respect to the nature or structuring of investments, that are more beneficial for one investor than for another investor, especially with respect to investors’ individual tax situations. In selecting and structuring investments appropriate for the Funds, the Adviser and its affiliates will consider the investment and tax objectives of the applicable Fund, not the investment, tax or other objectives of any investor individually.

Business with Portfolio Companies and Investors

Given the collaborative nature of the Adviser’s business and the portfolio companies in which the Funds have invested, there are often situations where the Adviser is in the position of recommending the services of a portfolio company to other portfolio companies of the Funds, which may involve fees, commissions, servicing payments and/or discounts to the Adviser, an affiliate, or a portfolio company. The Adviser may have a conflict of interest in making such recommendations, as the Adviser has an incentive to maintain goodwill between it and the existing and prospective portfolio companies for the Funds, while the products or services recommended may not necessarily be the best available to the portfolio companies held by the Funds. The benefits received by a portfolio company providing a service may be greater than those received by a Fund and its portfolio companies receiving the service.

The Adviser may have an incentive to recommend the products or services of certain investors or prospective investors in a Fund, certain Third Parties, or their related businesses to the Funds or their portfolio companies for use or purchase, even though the products or services recommended may not necessarily be the best available to the Fund or the portfolio companies.

Portfolio companies controlled by the Funds may provide services to certain Fund investors. The Adviser may have an incentive to cause the portfolio company to favor those investors relative to other portfolio company clients or customers in terms of pricing or otherwise, which could adversely affect the portfolio company's profitability to the Fund. Additionally, the portfolio company could recommend to its clients or customers that they invest in a Fund.

In addition, certain portfolio companies controlled by a Fund may engage in activities that could adversely affect another Fund and/or its portfolio company, including, for instance, as a result of laws and regulations or certain jurisdictions (such as bankruptcy, environmental, consumer protection and/or labor or union laws) that may not recognize or permit the segregation of assets and liabilities between separate entities. Such jurisdictions may also allow for recourse against assets that are under common control with, or part of the same economic group as the entity that has incurred the liability. This may result in the assets of a Fund and/or a portfolio company being used to satisfy the obligations or liabilities of another Fund or its portfolio company.

The Advisers and/or its affiliates may engage in business opportunities arising from a Fund's investment in a portfolio company (for example, without limitation, entering into a joint venture with a portfolio company or making a proprietary investment in a portfolio company). This creates a conflict of interest, as such interests are a benefit arising from the Fund's investment and may vary from the Fund's interest (e.g., whether to make a follow-on investment and, if so, how much should be allocated to the Fund).

In certain instances, a Fund's portfolio company competes with, is a customer of, or is a service provider to, another portfolio company. In providing advice to a portfolio company's business, the Adviser is not obligated to, and need not, take into consideration the interests of other relevant portfolio companies. As a result, a conflict of interest may arise in these instances because advice and recommendations provided by the Adviser to a portfolio company may have adverse consequences to a separate portfolio company.

A Fund's portfolio companies may be counterparties or participants in agreements, transactions or other arrangements with other portfolio companies that, although the Adviser determines to be consistent with the requirements of such Funds' Organizational Documents, may not have otherwise been entered into but for the affiliation with the Adviser, and which may provide economic or other benefits to affiliates of the Adviser that are not subject to the Advisory Fee offset provisions described herein. For example, the Adviser may in the future cause portfolio companies to enter into agreements regarding group procurement (which may depend on the volume of services purchased under these agreements and which may be pooled across multiple portfolio companies and discounted due to scale), benefits management, data management and/or mining, technology development, purchase or title and/or other insurance policy (which may be pooled across multiple portfolio companies and discounted to scale) and other similar operational initiatives that may result in fees, better pricing, rebates, commissions or similar payments and/or

discounts being paid to the Adviser, its affiliates or a portfolio company, including related to a portion of the savings achieved by the portfolio company. While the Adviser may have a conflict of interest because its economic benefit may incentivize the Adviser to maintain such arrangements, the Adviser believes that such agreements benefit the portfolio companies due to increased access to quality products and services at beneficial pricing and the Adviser's benefits from such arrangements are reduced because the Adviser only benefits on at the same rate as the portfolio companies. However, it should not be assumed that a company related to, or otherwise affiliated with the Adviser will only take actions that are beneficial to, or not opposed to, the interests of a Fund and its portfolio companies.

The general partner of a Fund may from time to time utilize the services of investors and their affiliates on an arm's length basis with commercially reasonable terms, as it deems appropriate.

Service Providers

The Adviser may engage certain service providers to provide services to the Adviser, the Fund and/or the portfolio companies, including services during the due diligence and acquisition process. Such service providers are, in certain circumstances, investors in the Fund or affiliates of such investors and may include, for example, investment or commercial bankers, outside legal counsel pension consultants and/or other investors who provide services (including mezzanine and/or lending arrangements). The engagement of any such service provider may be concurrent with an investor's admission to the Fund, or during the term of such investor's investment in the Fund. This creates a conflict of interest, as the Adviser may give such investor preferred economics or other terms with respect to its investment in the Fund, or may have an incentive to offer such investor co-investment opportunities that it would not otherwise offer to such investor.

Additionally, employees of the Adviser and/or their family members or relatives may have ownership, employment, or other interests in such service providers. These relationships that an Adviser may have with a service provider can influence the Adviser in determining whether to select, or recommend such service provider to perform services for the Fund or a portfolio company. The Adviser will have a conflict of interest with the Fund in recommending the retention or continuation of a service provider to the Fund or a portfolio company if such recommendation, for example, is motivated by a belief that the service provider will continue to invest in Funds or will provide the Adviser information about markets and industries in which the Adviser operates or is interested or will provide other services that are beneficial to the Adviser. Although the Adviser selects service providers that it believes will enhance portfolio company performance (and, in turn, the performance of the applicable Fund), there is a possibility that the Adviser, because of financial, business interest, or other reasons, may favor such retention or continuation even if a better price and/or quality of service could be obtained from another person. While the Adviser often does not have visibility or influence regarding advantageous service rates or arrangements, there will be situations in which the Adviser receives more favorable service rates or arrangements than the Funds or their portfolio companies.

The Adviser or its affiliates and service providers, often charge varying amounts or may have different fee arrangements for different types of services provided. For instance, fees for

various types of work often depend on the complexity of the matter, the expertise required and the time demands of the service provider. As a result, to the extent the services required by the Adviser or its affiliates differ from those required by the Funds and/or its portfolio companies, the Adviser and its affiliates will pay different rates and fees than those paid by the Fund and/or its portfolio companies. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Adviser generally does not enter into any arrangement with a service provider that provides for a lower rate or discount than those available to a Fund or a potential portfolio company for comparable services.

Selection of Intermediaries, Exchanges, and Counterparties

The Adviser may be subject to conflicts relating to its selection of intermediaries, exchanges, and counterparties on behalf of a Fund. Portfolio transactions for a Fund will be allocated to intermediaries, exchanges and counterparties on the basis of numerous factors, and will not necessarily always be allocated to the third party with the lowest pricing. Certain intermediaries, exchanges and counterparties may provide other services that are beneficial to the Adviser or Venture Adviser, but not necessarily beneficial to the Fund, which may create an incentive for the Adviser to allocate transactions to those intermediaries, exchanges or counterparties.

In addition, the Funds or Venture Funds may invest in intermediaries, exchanges or other service providers to pooled investment funds or other investors in Digital Assets, including businesses that focus on storage, security and custody of Digital Assets. The Adviser may have an incentive to cause a Fund to transact with such intermediaries, exchanges or other service providers, including where similar services may be available from other third parties on terms that are more beneficial to the Fund.

Positions with Portfolio Companies

Employees of the Adviser may serve as directors of, or observers on boards with respect to, certain portfolio companies. While conflicts of interest may arise in the event that such employee's fiduciary duties as a director conflicts with those of a Fund, it is expected that the interests will be aligned. In addition, employees of the Adviser may leave the employment of the Adviser or its affiliates and become an officer or employee of a portfolio company. Employees are prohibited from receiving consulting, management or other fees personally from portfolio companies.

Decisions made by a director may subject the Adviser or a Fund to claims they would not otherwise be subject to as an investor, including claims of breach of duty of loyalty, securities claims and other director-related claims.

From time to time employees of the Adviser may also be asked to serve as directors of, or observers with respect to, certain entities in which a Fund has fully exited its ownership interest. Such companies are not portfolio companies of the Fund and as a result, any compensation received by such Adviser employee is not subject to the Advisory Fee offset described above, or otherwise shared with the Funds and/or investors.

Side Letter Agreements; Advisory Committee Rights

The Adviser may enter into certain side letter arrangements with certain investors in a Fund. Except as otherwise agreed with an investor, the Adviser (or applicable General Partner) is not required to disclose the terms of side letter arrangements with other investors in a Fund.

Each Fund will establish an advisory committee, consisting of representatives of investors. A conflict of interest may exist when some, but not all limited partners are permitted to designate a member to the advisory committee. The advisory committee may also have the ability to approve conflicts of interests with respect to the Adviser and the Fund, which could be disadvantageous to the investors, including those investors who do not designate a member to the advisory committee. Representative of the advisory committee may have various business and other relationships with the Adviser and its partners, employees and affiliates. These relationships may influence the decisions made by such members of the advisory committee.

In addition, members of one Fund's advisory committee may also be a member of the advisory committee of another Fund or Venture Fund. In such instances, a conflict of interest exists because the funds on which such overlapping advisory committee members may have conflicting interests and such advisory committee members may be requested to provide their consent with respect to such conflicts of interest and will not recuse themselves from any such vote.

Other Potential Conflicts

The Organizational Documents of each Fund establish complex arrangements among the Fund, the Adviser, investors, and other relevant parties. From time to time, questions may arise regarding certain parties' rights and obligations in certain situations, some of which may not have been contemplated upon the negotiation and execution of such documents. In some instances, the operative provisions of the Organizational Documents, if any, may be broad, unclear, general, conflicting, ambiguous, and vague and may allow for multiple reasonable interpretations. In other instances, there may not be a directly applicable provision. While the Adviser will construe the relevant provisions in good faith and in a manner consistent with its fiduciary duty and legal obligations, the interpretations used may not be the most favorable to a Fund or its investors.

The Adviser and the Funds will generally engage common legal counsel and other advisers in a particular transaction, including a transaction in which there may be conflicts of interest. Members of the law firms engaged to represent the Funds may be investors in the Fund, and may also represent one or more portfolio companies or investors in the Fund. In the event of a significant dispute or divergence of interest between a Fund and the Adviser, the parties may engage separate counsel in the sole discretion of the Adviser, and in litigation and other circumstances separate representation may be required. Additionally, the Adviser and the Funds and the portfolio companies of the Funds may engage other common service providers. In certain circumstances, the service provider may charge varying rates or engage in different arrangements for services provided to the Adviser, the Funds, and/or the portfolio companies. This may result in the Adviser receiving a more favorable rate on services provided to it by such a common service provider than those payable by the Fund and/or the portfolio company, or the Adviser receiving a discount on services even though the Fund and/or the portfolio companies receive a lesser, or no, discount. This creates a conflict of interest between the Adviser, on the one hand,

and the Funds and/or portfolio companies, on the other hand, in determining whether to engage such service providers, including the possibility that the Adviser will favor the engagement or continued engagement of such persons if it receives a benefit from such service providers, such as lower fees, that it would not receive absent the engagement of such service provider by the Funds and/or the portfolio companies.

The Adviser and its personnel have in the past and may, from time to time in the future, receive certain intangible and/or other benefits and/or perquisites arising or resulting from their activities on behalf of the Fund, including benefits and other discounts provided from service providers. For example, airline travel or hotel stays incurred as Fund expenses may result in “miles” or “points” or credit in loyalty/status programs to the Adviser and/or its personnel, and such rewards and/or amounts will exclusively benefit the Adviser and/or such personnel and will not be subject to the offset arrangements described above or otherwise shared with such Fund, its investors and/or the portfolio companies.

The Adviser may, in its discretion, have, and may, in its discretion, cause a Fund and/or its portfolio companies to have, ongoing business dealings, arrangements or agreements with persons who are former employees or executives of the Adviser or the Venture Adviser. The Fund and/or its portfolio companies may bear, directly or indirectly, the costs of such dealings, arrangements or agreements. In such circumstances, there may be a conflict of interest between the Adviser and the Fund (or its portfolio companies) in determining whether to engage in or to continue such dealings, arrangements or agreements, including the possibility that the Adviser may favor the engagement or continued engagement of such persons even if a better price and/or quality of service could be obtained from another person.

The Adviser may cause one or more Funds to purchase, and/or bear premiums, fees, costs and expenses (including any expenses or fees of insurance brokers) for insurance to insure the applicable Funds, the applicable general partner, the Adviser and/or their respective directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, members of the advisory committee and other indemnified parties, against liability in connection with the activities of the Funds. This may include a portion or the entirety of any premiums, fees, costs and expenses for one or more “umbrella” or other insurance policies maintained by the Adviser that cover one or more Funds and/or the Adviser (including their respective directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, members of the advisory committee and other indemnified parties). The Adviser will make judgments about the allocation of premiums, fees, costs and expenses for such “umbrella” or other insurance policies among one or more Funds on a fair and reasonable basis, and may make corrective allocations should it determine subsequently that such corrections are necessary or advisable. There can be no assurance that a different allocation would not result in a Fund bearing less (or more) premiums, fees, costs and expenses for insurance policies.

The Organizational Documents of the Funds permit each the Fund’s General Partner to withhold information from certain limited partners or investors in such Fund in certain circumstances. For instance, information may be withheld from limited partners that are subject to Freedom of Information Act or similar requirements. The General Partner may elect to withhold certain information to such limited partners for reasons relating to the General Partner’s public

reputation or overall business strategy, despite the potential benefits to such limited partners of receiving such information.

The Adviser or the Venture Adviser may from time to time receive material, non-public information, which if disclosed may affect the Adviser's or the Venture Adviser's decision to buy, sell or hold a security. Under applicable law, employees of the Adviser and the Venture Adviser are generally prohibited from disclosing or using such information for their own personal benefit or for the benefit of any other person, regardless of whether that person is a client. Accordingly, should an employee of the Adviser obtain material, non-public information with respect to an issuer, he or she is generally prohibited from communicating that information to, or using that information for the benefit of clients. Holdings of securities or other instruments of an issuer by the Adviser or its affiliates may affect the ability of clients to buy, sell or hold investments and such issuer. The Adviser has no obligation or responsibility to disclose the information to, or use such information for the benefit of, any person (including clients) even if requested by the Adviser or its affiliates and even if failure to do so would be detrimental to the interests of that person.

Please see the discussion above under the sub-heading "Resolution of Conflicts" for a description of the means by which the Adviser and its related persons may seek to alleviate conflicts of interest among the Funds or other persons.

Item 12. Brokerage Practices

As Funds invest primarily in Digital Assets and companies doing business in the cryptonetworks sector, the Adviser anticipates that it will utilize brokers for Fund transactions only in very limited circumstances (e.g., money market instruments pending investment in a portfolio company, securities held as a result of initial public offerings of portfolio companies, going-private transactions, etc.). However, to meet its fiduciary duties to the Funds, the Adviser will adopt written policies to address issues that might arise with respect to brokerage practices.

Selection of Brokers and Dealers

For each of the Funds, the Adviser has, subject to the direction of such Fund's general partner, if applicable, sole discretion over the purchase and sale of investments (including the size of such transactions) and the broker or dealer, if any, to be used to effect transactions. In placing each transaction for the Fund involving a broker-dealer, the Adviser will seek "best execution" of the transaction except to the extent it may be permitted to pay higher brokerage commissions in exchange for brokerage and research services (as discussed below). "Best execution" means obtaining for a Fund account the lowest total cost (in purchasing a security) or highest total proceeds (in selling a security), taking into account the circumstances of the transaction and the reputability and reliability of the executing broker or dealer.

In determining whether a particular broker or dealer is likely to provide best execution in a particular transaction, the Adviser's investment team takes into account all factors that it deems relevant to the broker's or dealer's execution capability, including, by way of illustration, price, the size of the transaction, the nature of the market for the security, the amount of the

commission, the timing of the transaction taking into account market prices and trends, the reputation, experience and financial stability of the broker or dealer, and the quality of service rendered by the broker or dealer in other transactions. In addition, the Adviser may consider the use of Electronic Communications Networks (“ECNs”) when placing trades on behalf of the Funds. When purchasing or selling over-the-counter securities with market makers, the Adviser generally seeks to select market makers it believes to be actively and effectively trading the security being purchased or sold.

In order to monitor best execution, the Adviser’s investment team, in consultation with the Adviser’s CCO, will periodically monitor broker-dealers to assess the quality of execution of brokerage transactions effected on behalf of the Adviser and each Fund.

The Adviser does not receive “soft dollars” in connection with its use of broker-dealers.

Aggregation of Trades

The Adviser may aggregate (or bunch) the orders of more than one Fund and Venture Funds for the purchase or sale of the same publicly traded security or Digital Asset. The Adviser often employs this practice because larger transactions may enable them to obtain better overall prices, including lower commission costs or mark-ups or mark-downs. The Adviser may combine orders on behalf of Funds with orders for other Funds for which it has trading authority, or in which it has an economic interest. In such cases, the Adviser generally aggregates trade orders for publicly traded securities and including Digital Assets, so that each participating Fund will receive the average price for each execution of a transaction.

If an order for more than one Fund for a publicly traded security cannot be fully executed, allocation shall be made based upon the Adviser’s procedures for allocation of investment opportunities, as described in Item 11 above.

Item 13. Review of Accounts

Oversight and Monitoring

The Adviser closely monitors the Funds’ investments. The portfolios are reviewed by the Adviser’s investment professionals on a periodic basis.

Reporting

Investors in the Funds typically receive, among other things, a copy of audited financial statements of the relevant Fund within 90 days after the fiscal year end of such Fund, as well as quarterly performance reports within 45 days after each fiscal quarter end. The Adviser and the applicable General Partner, if any, will from time to time, in their sole discretion, provide additional information relating to such Fund to one or more investors in such Fund as they deem appropriate.

Item 14. Client Referrals and Other Compensation

For details regarding economic benefits provided to the Adviser by non-clients, including a description of related material conflicts of interest and how they are addressed, please see Item 11 above.

While not a client solicitation arrangement, the Adviser may from time to time engage one or more persons to act as a placement agent for the Fund in connection with the offer and sale of interests to certain potential investors. Such persons generally will receive a fee in an amount equal to a percentage of the capital commitments for interests made by such potential investors to the Fund that are subsequently accepted. The Fund may, subject to any limitations set forth in its Organizational Documents, reimburse such fees. Advisory Fees received by the Adviser are generally reduced by the amount of such fees paid by the Fund. As some Funds do not pay Advisory Fees, any such reduction will not benefit such Funds.

Item 15. Custody

Item 15 is not applicable to the Adviser.

Item 16. Investment Discretion

Investment advice is provided directly to the Funds, subject to the direction and control of the General Partner of each Fund, and not individually to the investors in the Funds. Services are provided to the Funds in accordance with the Advisory Agreements with the Funds and/or Organizational Documents of the applicable Fund. Investment restrictions for the Funds, if any, are generally established in the Organizational Documents of the applicable Fund.

Item 17. Voting Client Securities

The Adviser will establish written policies and procedures setting forth the principles and procedures by which the Adviser votes or gives consent with respect to securities owned by the Funds (“Votes”). The Adviser votes all Votes in the best interests of each Fund by maximizing the economic value of the relevant Fund’s holdings, taking into account the relevant Fund’s investment horizon, the contractual obligations under the relevant Advisory Agreements or comparable documents, and all other relevant facts and circumstances at the time of the vote. The Adviser does not permit Voting decisions to be influenced in any manner that is contrary to, or dilutive of, this guiding principle.

It is the Adviser’s general policy to vote or give consent on all matters presented to security holders in any Vote. However, the Adviser reserves the right to abstain on any particular Vote or otherwise withhold its vote or consent on any matter if, in the judgment of the Adviser’s Chief Compliance Officer (the “CCO”) or the relevant Adviser investment professional, the costs associated with voting such Vote outweigh the benefits to the relevant Funds or if the circumstances make such an abstention or withholding otherwise advisable and in the best interests of the relevant Funds.

Funds generally cannot direct the Adviser’s Vote.

All Voting decisions initially are referred to the Adviser's CCO or appropriate investment professional for a voting decision. In most cases, the Adviser's CCO or investment professional covering the particular investment will make the decision as to the appropriate vote for any particular Vote. In making such decision, he or she may rely on any of the information and/or research available to him or her. If the investment professional is making the Voting decision, the investment professional will inform the CCO of any such Voting decision, and if the CCO does not object to such decision as a result of his or her conflict of interest review, the Vote will be voted in such manner. If the investment professional and the CCO are unable to arrive at an agreement as to how to vote, then the CCO may consult with the Adviser's Managing Member as to the appropriate vote, who will then review the issues and arrive at a decision based on the overriding principle of seeking the maximization of the economic value of the relevant Funds' holdings.

The Adviser's CCO has the responsibility to monitor Votes for any conflicts of interest, regardless of whether they are actual or perceived. All Voting decisions will require a mandatory conflicts of interest review by the Adviser's CCO in accordance with these policies and procedures, which will include consideration of whether the Adviser or any investment professional or other person recommending how to vote and/or the Adviser's affiliates and their clients has an interest in how the Vote is voted that may present a conflict of interest. In addition, all Adviser investment professionals are expected to perform their tasks relating to the voting of Votes in accordance with the principles set forth above, according the first priority to the best interest of the relevant Funds. The Adviser's CCO will use his or her best judgment to address any such conflict of interest and ensure that it is resolved in accordance with his or her independent assessment of the best interests of the Funds.

Where the Adviser's CCO deems appropriate in his or her sole discretion, unaffiliated third parties may be used to help resolve conflicts. In this regard, the Adviser's CCO shall have the power to retain independent fiduciaries, consultants, or professionals to assist with Voting decisions and/or to delegate voting or consent powers to such fiduciaries, consultants or professionals.

Copies of relevant proxy logs, identifying how proxies were voted in connection with a Fund and copies of proxy voting policies are available to any client or prospective client upon written request to: CNK Capital Management, L.L.C., 2865 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025.

Item 18. Financial Information

Item 18 is not applicable to the Adviser.

Item 19. Requirements for State-Registered Advisers

Item 19 is not applicable to the Adviser.