



Part 2A of Form ADV: Firm Brochure

DDJ CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

130 Turner Street
Building #3, Suite 600
Waltham, MA 02453
www.ddjcap.com

Contact Person:
Joshua L. McCarthy
General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer
Telephone: (781) 283-8500
Email: jmccarthy@ddjcap.com

Date: March 28, 2017

This Brochure provides information about the qualifications and business practices of DDJ Capital Management, LLC. If you have any questions about the contents of this Brochure, please contact DDJ at (781) 283-8500 and/or at inforequest@ddjcap.com. The information in this Brochure has not been approved or verified by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or by any state securities authority.

DDJ is a registered investment adviser. Registration of an investment adviser with the SEC, however, does not imply any level of skill or training and no inference to the contrary should be made.

Additional information about DDJ Capital Management, LLC also is available on the SEC's website at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov.



Item 2 Material Changes

The following are the material changes since the most recent filing of this Brochure with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 17, 2016:

1. Item 4: DDJ has updated its disclosure regarding its advisory business to provide that DDJ became a signatory in March 2016 to the United Nations-supported Principles for Responsible Investment (“UNPRI”). As a UNPRI signatory, DDJ has committed to integrate environmental, social and governance factors into its investment research and portfolio construction process.
2. Item 6: DDJ has revised its disclosure regarding the allocation of investment opportunities amongst client accounts to remove the procedure previously adopted with respect to certain limited investment opportunities (e.g., opportunities where DDJ is unable to source or obtain a significant allocation). DDJ’s initial order and allocation guidelines, as revised, are designed to ensure the fair and equitable allocation of all investment opportunities across DDJ’s client accounts.
3. Item 6: DDJ has updated its disclosure regarding cross transactions to describe the limited circumstances in which DDJ may execute a principal transaction with a client (in all instances, in accordance with applicable law).

Please note that additional information has been added to certain other Items as well; however, DDJ does not consider these other changes to be material to warrant separate disclosure on this page.

Item 3 Table of Contents

<u>Item</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Cover Page	i
2	Material Changes	ii
3	Table of Contents	iii
4	Advisory Business	1
5	Fees and Compensation	2
6	Performance-Based Fees and Side-by-Side Management	6
7	Types of Clients	9
8	Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies and Risk of Loss	9
9	Disciplinary Information	19
10	Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations	19
11	Code of Ethics, Participation or Interest in Client Transactions and Personal Trading	20
12	Brokerage Practices	25
13	Review of Accounts	28
14	Client Referrals and Other Compensation	29
15	Custody	30
16	Investment Discretion	30
17	Voting Client Securities	31
18	Financial Information	32

Item 4 **Advisory Business**

Background

DDJ Capital Management, LLC (“DDJ”), which has operated continuously since its inception in 1996, provides investment advice directly to various institutional clients, including corporate pension plans, public retirement plans, foundations, and Taft-Hartley plans. DDJ also acts as investment sub-adviser to certain U.S. and non-U.S. investment advisers and asset managers, including managers affiliated with sovereign entities. In addition, DDJ also serves as investment adviser to the DDJ Opportunistic High Yield Fund, a U.S. open-end investment company (mutual fund) registered with the SEC pursuant to the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act”), as well as to certain private pooled investment vehicles that are generally organized in the form of domestic limited partnerships. The firm, which is organized as a Massachusetts limited liability company, has been registered as an investment adviser with the Securities and Exchange Commission since 1997.

David J. Breazzano, a co-founder of the firm, serves as its President and Chief Investment Officer, as well as its sole manager. In this capacity, Mr. Breazzano chairs the firm’s management operating committee, which is responsible for overseeing the business affairs of the organization. Mr. Breazzano, together with certain affiliated family trusts established for estate planning purposes, is the majority equity owner of the firm, and he controls 100% of the voting equity units of the company. Certain senior employees in the aggregate also hold a significant stake in the outstanding equity units of the firm.

Advisory Services Offered by DDJ

DDJ offers investment advisory services that specialize in identifying investment opportunities among high yield and financially stressed companies operating primarily in the United States and, to a lesser extent, Canada. DDJ’s distinctive investment style focuses on the lower tier of the non-investment grade credit markets, including high yield bonds, loans, distressed debt, and reorganized and leveraged equities. In providing such advisory services, irrespective of the specific investment strategy implemented on behalf of a client, DDJ adheres to a value-oriented, “bottom-up”, fundamental investment approach with an emphasis on downside protection. As part of this fundamental approach to investing, DDJ attempts to create a comprehensive analytical overview of the company targeted for investment by examining both its current and future business prospects. More detailed information regarding DDJ’s advisory services, its method of analysis, and the significant investment strategies that DDJ pursues on behalf of its clients is set forth in Item 8 of this Brochure.

Although DDJ pursues highly focused investment strategies, DDJ nonetheless customizes its advisory services to the individual needs and requirements of its clients (in particular, its separately managed accounts). Such clients may and frequently do impose restrictions on investing in certain securities or types of securities, as further set forth in the applicable written advisory agreement(s).

DDJ became a signatory in March 2016 to the United Nations-supported Principles for Responsible Investment (“UNPRI”). The UNPRI initiative is based on six principles that address the integration of environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) factors into investment decision-making practices. As a UNPRI signatory, DDJ has committed to integrate ESG factors, where appropriate and

consistent with its fiduciary duty to its clients, into its investment research and portfolio construction process. However, specific ESG and/or other socially responsible restrictions (e.g., an investment exclusion with respect to companies developing cluster munitions) are not systematically applied by DDJ in client portfolios unless DDJ is specifically directed to do so by a client.

DDJ does not participate in wrap fee programs.

Assets Under Management

As of December 31, 2016, DDJ managed approximately \$7.59 billion of assets, which consisted of approximately \$7.08 billion within separately managed accounts and \$0.51 billion on behalf of pooled investment vehicles (including a proprietary U.S. mutual fund as well as a private investment fund that comprises a single third party investor). All such assets are managed by DDJ on a discretionary basis, although certain funds and accounts are beyond their investment period or otherwise in their wind-down or termination stage and accordingly DDJ is no longer making any new investments on their behalf.

Item 5 Fees and Compensation

Compensation for Advisory Services

DDJ's compensation for its advisory services comprises either one or two components. The first component, which is presently utilized for all investment products actively managed by DDJ, is an asset-based (management) fee. Such an asset-based fee typically ranges from 0.35% to 1.00% of the market value of assets under management depending on the specific investment strategy pursued. Generally, as the total amount of assets managed by DDJ on behalf of a particular client increases in excess of certain standard or client-specific breakpoints for a particular investment strategy, the marginal asset-based management fee rate charged by DDJ declines. In all cases, DDJ charges its clients such fee in arrears on a monthly or quarterly basis depending on the terms of the specific client mandate. DDJ may also aggregate the assets under management of related or otherwise affiliated client accounts when calculating the applicable asset-based fees for such clients.

The second component of DDJ's compensation, which may be applicable for certain investment products managed or advised by DDJ, is a performance-based fee or an allocation of profits. Such component may be structured on an absolute basis (and therefore tied to the overall profitability of the particular client fund/account) or on a relative basis (and typically tied to investment outperformance with respect to a designated benchmark index). In each case, such performance-based component may be subject to a cap and/or other limitations depending on specific client arrangements. Clients may pay this amount either to DDJ directly as a performance-based fee, or to an affiliate of DDJ, such as the general partner of a limited partnership managed by DDJ, as an incentive-based allocation of certain profits (e.g., a "carried interest").

Certain clients may pay performance fees or incentive-based allocations, in whole or in part, on mark-to-market performance, including unrealized appreciation on assets, at the end of a period (measured at year-end or in some cases over a multi-year period, or a shorter interim period in connection with a partial or full withdrawal of assets). The payment of such performance-based amounts may be subject to a "high water mark" and/or a hurdle rate. Other clients (e.g., closed-end

private funds) provide for incentive-based allocations only with respect to realized returns; any such incentive-based allocations are not made to DDJ (or to an affiliated entity, such as the general partner of a DDJ-managed fund) until investors first receive back 100% of their capital plus an agreed-upon preferred return. However, given the current mix of assets under management, the revenue generated from existing performance-fee structures does not constitute a material portion of DDJ's total revenue stream.

Any such performance fees (or incentive-based allocations) will be computed and charged, to the extent applicable, in accordance with the terms of the applicable governing documents for such client, as well with Section 205 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act") and Rule 205-3 (including the client qualification provision) promulgated by the SEC thereunder.

Given the investment vehicles presently under management, DDJ does not charge any of its clients fees that are payable in advance.

Advisory Fee Arrangements

With respect to separate account clients (as well as any investment fund comprising a single, dedicated third party investor), DDJ typically negotiates its fees on an individual basis taking into account the particular investment strategy to be pursued, the degree of management involved, the size of the account and overall client relationship, the particular client type, the complexity of the investment guidelines, reporting requirements, and other relevant considerations. However, with respect to each of its significant investment strategies, DDJ has adopted a "standard" fee schedule that is provided to prospective clients upon request. With respect to the payment of such fees, a separate account client may either make payment to DDJ outside of the managed account, or instruct its custodian (which is selected by the client) to deduct such fees directly from the assets within the managed account. In most cases (but for when a third party administrator directs the process), DDJ provides the client with a detailed invoice of the applicable fee (including any performance-based component) for the advisory services rendered.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, DDJ may be limited in its ability to negotiate certain separate account fee schedules for future clients, in part, due to the terms of its existing client contracts, some of which require equivalent ("most favored nations") pricing. Under the terms of those agreements, DDJ is generally required to charge such client the same fee schedule that it offers to other similarly situated clients (e.g., clients that have a similar or smaller account size, are pursuing the same or substantially the same investment mandate and strategy, and/or are receiving substantially the same services as the client with the "most favored nations" pricing provision, among other factors that may be evaluated by DDJ in reaching such a determination).

DDJ generally does not negotiate its fees with the underlying investors in its pooled investment vehicles (though exceptions may exist for large investors as well as for an investor (or an affiliate thereof) that has other assets under management with DDJ). Such fees are deducted by DDJ directly from the investor's assets within the pooled investment vehicle managed by DDJ. DDJ typically waives both management and performance fees on assets invested by DDJ employees in its private pooled investment vehicles.

Additional Fees and Expenses

The applicable advisory agreement for each client will typically set forth any other types of fees or expenses that clients may incur in connection with DDJ's advisory services. Such expenses may include, without limitation, brokerage fees and commissions, settlement-related fees, fees paid to third party valuation services, and legal fees and other expenses incurred in connection with the actual or proposed acquisition of an investment or in connection with the enforcement of a client's rights and remedies as a holder of such investment.

Specifically with respect to pooled investment vehicles managed by DDJ, which are more costly than a separate account for DDJ to establish and manage, detailed information regarding the expenses to which such pooled investment vehicle is subject (including, without limitation, certain organizational expenses, custodian fees, administrator fees, audit fees, and tax preparation fees) is typically set forth in the applicable offering document or otherwise separately disclosed to the investor. In addition, with respect to the DDJ Opportunistic High Yield Fund, a U.S. mutual fund managed by DDJ, depending on the share class purchased, investors may incur additional fees for distribution (pursuant to Rule 12b-1 promulgated by the SEC under the 1940 Act) as well as sub-transfer agent/record keeper fees, in addition to certain other expenses as further detailed in the prospectus for such mutual fund.

To the extent that expenses (e.g., brokerage fees) are incurred concurrently by multiple clients, DDJ will generally allocate such expenses on a *pro rata* basis, based on the investments purchased, sold or held, as applicable, provided that DDJ may adjust such allocation if it believes in good faith that such adjustment is fair and equitable under the particular circumstances. For more information regarding brokerage and other transaction costs in particular, please refer to Item 12 of this Brochure.

Valuation of Illiquid Assets

Typically, a portion of the assets held by DDJ client portfolios may be illiquid or otherwise hard-to-value as a result of a thinly traded or otherwise inactive secondary market. The percentage of such illiquid assets relative to total portfolio size will vary from client to client based on the investment strategy selected, each client's specific investment guidelines and restrictions, and then-current investment opportunities in the market, among other factors.

In such circumstances where there are limited observable market inputs, DDJ may internally "fair value" such investments in a manner consistent with applicable accounting principles and standards. Because DDJ receives an advisory fee from its clients based on the periodic value of assets under management, DDJ may have an incentive to inflate the value of such "fair valued" assets, thereby increasing the fees payable to DDJ by its clients. Although the custodians or fund administrators, as applicable, for DDJ's clients are generally ultimately responsible for valuing the portfolio on which the advisory fee is calculated, DDJ believes that such third party entities may and typically do rely upon DDJ for financial information (not generally available to the public) regarding the valuation of these types of less liquid investments.

To address this potential conflict of interest, DDJ has adopted rigorous pricing procedures with respect to its client portfolios, which include the preparation of written fair value reports that set forth in reasonable detail the fair value of such illiquid or hard-to-value investments as of the valuation date; a monthly review of the valuation of such investments by the DDJ Chief Financial

Officer or the Director of Accounting; and a quarterly review of such investments by the DDJ fair value committee (the composition of which includes the Chief Financial Officer, the Director of Accounting and the Chief Compliance Officer, as well as additional senior members of the DDJ investment team). From time to time, the DDJ fair value committee may approve the engagement of an independent third party valuation expert to separately value certain of these fair valued investments (e.g., a substantial private equity position) held within DDJ client portfolios; DDJ will then typically incorporate such third party valuation assessment into its own determination of the fair value of such investment itself. Furthermore, on an annual basis, the independent auditors for each of DDJ's pooled investment vehicles as well as for many of DDJ's separate account clients perform audit procedures with respect to the valuations of those assets held by such clients that have been internally fair valued by DDJ. DDJ believes that this auditing process serves as an additional safeguard to prevent DDJ from inappropriately inflating such valuations. More information regarding DDJ's pricing policies and procedures concerning hard-to-value investments is available upon request.

Compensation of Employees

To the extent that DDJ does not properly align its personnel with monetary incentives consistent with its clients' objectives, a conflict of interest may arise between DDJ and its clients. To address this potential conflict of interest, DDJ has adopted a remuneration policy, which is designed to support the achievement of DDJ's strategic objectives through attracting, developing and retaining talented people by aligning remuneration and associated incentives with DDJ's overall business strategy. A remuneration committee comprising the members of DDJ's management operating committee oversees the implementation of the remuneration policy as well as DDJ's remuneration practices generally.

Pursuant to the remuneration policy, DDJ attempts to award all short-term and long-term variable remuneration in a manner that is consistent with sound risk management principles; does not induce excessive risk taking inconsistent with DDJ's risk appetite and overall strategic objectives; and promotes employee retention over the long term. For example, DDJ attempts to appropriately balance and constrain incentives for its employees to take risks (e.g., by investing client portfolios in a more speculative but higher yielding credit) with incentives to manage risk (e.g., by taking steps to maximize downside protection with respect to any individual credit, a central tenet of DDJ's investment philosophy). Similarly, the performance-based remuneration component of employees in control functions (e.g., compliance, finance and accounting) is based significantly on function-specific objectives and not determined solely with respect to company-wide performance criteria (e.g., asset and revenue growth), though overall company profitability is typically a material factor in such determinations as well. Furthermore, DDJ also attempts to appropriately manage and limit the influence of the investment function with respect to the remuneration of the various control functions within the firm in an effort to ensure their relative independence.

Finally, neither DDJ nor any of its employees receives any compensation, such as asset-based sales charges or service fees, for the purchase or sale of securities or any specific investment products. However, a portion of the annual variable compensation paid by DDJ to employees on its business development staff may be based on the generation of new client business consistent with their individual goals and objectives.

Item 6 Performance-Based Fees and Side-by-Side Management

Allocation of Trades

As described above in Item 5 of this Brochure, DDJ (or an affiliated entity) charges certain clients performance-based fees (or incentive-based allocations of profits), which are based on either total profitability or outperformance over agreed-upon benchmarks, in each case over a certain period of time. Further, DDJ may manage both accounts for which DDJ charges a performance-based fee (or incentive-based allocation of profits), coupled with an asset-based fee component, and accounts for which DDJ charges solely an asset-based fee component. Because client accounts with such different fee structures nonetheless may pursue similar investment strategies and objectives and accordingly invest in overlapping names within their portfolios, DDJ and its portfolio managers may face a potential conflict of interest when concurrently managing these accounts, as DDJ may have an incentive to direct its best investment ideas to, or allocate or sequence trades in favor of, those accounts for which DDJ receives such a performance-based fee (or incentive allocation). In certain circumstances, this type of fee arrangement potentially may provide an incentive for DDJ to make investments that are riskier or more speculative than would be the case in the absence of such a performance-based fee or allocation. In addition, certain clients that pursue similar investment strategies, but do not pay DDJ performance fees, may nonetheless have different investment advisory fees from each other, which can also create an incentive for DDJ to allocate more attractive investment opportunities to those clients with higher investment advisory fees.

DDJ owes a fiduciary duty to its clients to not favor the account of one client over that of another, without regard to the types and amounts of fees paid by those accounts. Accordingly, to address the potential conflict of interest outlined above, DDJ adheres to written initial order and allocation guidelines, which are designed to ensure the fair and equitable allocation of investment opportunities across all of DDJ's client accounts without regard to fee structure. Pursuant to these guidelines, a portfolio manager may evaluate several factors, in light of the particular facts and circumstances existing at time of trade, in determining whether or not to place an order for a security that may be suitable for more than one client. Accordingly, the allocation of any order may not be strictly *pro rata* (i.e., based on the account size of participating client accounts) depending on DDJ's determination of such relevant factors, the most important of which is the investment strategy selected by the client and whether/how the particular security under consideration fits the particular investment strategy. However, other factors, such as cash availability, ongoing cash inflows and outflows, specific investment guidelines and restrictions, appropriate position size, and applicable regulatory considerations, are also typically evaluated by the portfolio manager when placing an order on behalf of a client. In cases where order allocations are not placed on a *pro rata* basis with other accounts pursuing the same investment strategy, a member of the trading team is required to set forth the allocation rationale within *Everest*, the firm's trade order management system, which rationale may be separately reviewed by a member of the firm's compliance staff as part of the firm's annual compliance review conducted pursuant to applicable requirements under the Advisers Act.

Furthermore, certain client accounts that include a performance-based fee component may pursue more complex and legally intensive investment strategies. Accordingly, such accounts may receive a different allocation of certain investment opportunities compared with accounts pursuing a less demanding or complex investment strategy (that may or may not include a performance-based fee component). In determining the order allocation for a security that may be suitable for more than one client, pursuant to DDJ's written allocation guidelines, DDJ portfolio managers are expressly

prohibited from taking into consideration the compensation paid to DDJ potentially resulting from any performance-based fee attributable to certain client fee arrangements; rather, a portfolio manager will evaluate the factors set forth above (and in particular, the designated investment strategy pursued) in determining the allocation of a specific investment opportunity amongst client accounts. In addition, inappropriate favoritism of one client over another client constitutes a breach of DDJ's fiduciary duty and is likewise prohibited.

Allocation of Executed Orders

Once DDJ has executed a trade on behalf of a client or clients, such securities will be allocated in accordance with the actual order placed by the portfolio manager(s), as documented within DDJ's trade order management system. In cases where an executed trade only partially fills a trade order, securities purchased or sold will be allocated amongst participating clients on a *pro rata* basis based on order size, unless otherwise approved by the Chief Compliance Officer. In such circumstances, the Chief Compliance Officer may approve a non-*pro rata* allocation if there is a limited supply for a particular security or investment opportunity, and a *pro rata* allocation would result in certain accounts receiving position sizes that the portfolio manager believes are too small to properly manage given the parameters of the investment strategy pursued. Such procedures serve as a check on the ability of a portfolio manager to improperly reallocate limited or more profitable investment opportunities to higher fee-paying accounts on a post-trade basis.

Furthermore, circumstances may arise prior to the settlement date whereby DDJ may desire to reallocate a pending transaction amongst participating client accounts. Examples may include an order that did not satisfy a client's investment guidelines but was not otherwise identified at the time of trade by the compliance rules programmed into DDJ's trade order management system, or an order that would breach an investment guideline as a result of market price movements or client account redemptions occurring on a post-trade but pre-settlement basis. Any such trade reallocation must be otherwise approved by the Chief Compliance Officer (or his designee) in a fair and equitable manner at all times consistent with DDJ's fiduciary obligations to each client affected by such reallocation.

Portfolios are monitored by DDJ's compliance personnel for consistency with client objectives and restrictions, and the Chief Compliance Officer conducts a review no less frequently than annually to confirm that DDJ has treated its client accounts fairly with respect to the allocation of investment opportunities (including, without limitation, any limited investment opportunities).

Side-by-Side Management

DDJ does not manage any investment vehicles that are dedicated for the benefit of DDJ principals, employees, and/or other affiliates. However, DDJ as well as affiliates of DDJ, including its principals and other employees, may invest (and have invested), together with investors not affiliated with DDJ, as investors in certain pooled investment vehicles managed by DDJ. In particular, DDJ and certain of its principals have provided seed capital to the DDJ Opportunistic High Yield Fund, DDJ's proprietary U.S. mutual fund that was launched in July 2015. DDJ allocates opportunities to each of its clients, including without limitation such pooled investment vehicles in which DDJ and its affiliates have invested, fairly and equitably in a manner that is consistent with DDJ's written initial order and allocation guidelines.

As a result of different investment guidelines and restrictions (that are generally customized for each client account), timing of inception of client accounts, ongoing cash inflows and outflows, and particular regulatory considerations (among other factors), DDJ may provide advice or take action with respect to the investments of one or more of its clients that may not be given or taken with respect to other clients pursuing similar investment mandates, objectives, and strategies. Accordingly, client accounts pursuing similar investment strategies may not hold each of the same securities or instruments or achieve the same performance.

In addition, a DDJ client may make an investment in a portfolio company in which another DDJ client holds an investment in a different class of such company's debt or equity. Although DDJ's portfolio managers generally will make such investments only when they believe that such investment is in the best interests of each account and the possibility of actual adversity between such client accounts is remote, there may be instances where the interests of such DDJ clients (including DDJ-managed investment vehicles in which DDJ or its employees have invested directly) actually conflict with one another, such as in the event of a bankruptcy or other corporate restructuring of an issuer's capital structure. In such circumstances, it is possible that a certain client's interests may be subordinated or otherwise adversely affected by virtue of other clients' involvement and actions relating to their investment in the issuer. To the extent that these circumstances arise, DDJ intends to mitigate any potential conflicts of interest by treating each of its clients in as fair and equitable a manner as possible in light of the particular facts and circumstances. However, clients of DDJ (as well as investors in DDJ's commingled funds) should be aware that conflict resolution in such instances may result in one or more clients receiving less consideration and/or less favorable treatment than they may have otherwise received in the absence of such a conflict of interest.

Cross Transactions

From time to time, subject to applicable restrictions set forth in underlying management agreements as well as applicable law (e.g., with respect to mutual fund participants, Rule 17a-7 promulgated by the SEC under the 1940 Act), DDJ may direct a client to sell securities to, or buy securities from, another client through an agency cross transaction in which neither DDJ (nor any related person) will receive any compensation. Such cross transactions are executed typically for purposes of rebalancing the portfolios of the designated clients or for other reasons consistent with the investment objectives and guidelines of such clients.

In addition, in limited circumstances, DDJ may also execute a principal transaction directly with a client account, in compliance with Section 206(3) of the Advisers Act, with respect to an illiquid investment for which there is no active secondary trading market and the underlying client has consented to sell such position to DDJ after full disclosure of all material facts as well as how DDJ has addressed any potential conflict of interest. Such a principal transaction would typically be executed by DDJ for purposes of liquidating a client portfolio in full or otherwise to cause a client account to comply with revised investment objectives and guidelines that have been updated at the client's direction.

Cross trades present a potential conflict of interest because of the prospect that DDJ may favor one transacting client account (or, in the case of a principal transaction, DDJ) over another client account. To address this potential conflict of interest, prior to the execution of any cross transaction, DDJ will determine that the transaction is in the best interests of the account or accounts involved based on their respective investment objectives and portfolio characteristics.

Specifically, DDJ will evaluate the expected benefits to each client of effecting the cross trade compared with placing separate trades in the open market (e.g., evaluating the reduced transaction costs expected to be saved by the clients participating in such cross trade). Additionally, the DDJ Chief Compliance Officer (or his designee) must review and approve each cross trade prior to execution to confirm adherence with internal procedure as well as that any conflicts of interest are appropriately mitigated.

DDJ will generally attempt to execute any such cross transaction through a broker-dealer at the midpoint between the bid and ask quote of an independent broker-dealer at the time of such execution, subject to payment of any applicable brokerage commission (which commission will be split evenly between the participating clients). To the extent that DDJ is unable to obtain an independent market price provided by a broker-dealer with respect to such security, DDJ will obtain the consent of each affected client after the disclosure of all material facts prior to the execution of such transaction at the then-current fair value or other price agreed upon by each client. DDJ maintains a cross transaction log that sets forth the relevant details of each cross transaction executed by the firm on behalf of its clients.

DDJ does not execute cross transactions on behalf of its clients that are subject to the requirements of ERISA. In addition, DDJ has adopted compliance procedures designed to prohibit “parking” of securities or other pre-arranged trading in a manner contrary to its cross trading procedures as well as applicable law.

Item 7 Types of Clients

As described above in Item 4 of this Brochure, DDJ provides investment advice directly to various institutional clients, including corporate domestic and foreign pension plans, public pension and retirement plans, foundations, and Taft-Hartley plans. DDJ also serves as investment adviser to the DDJ Opportunistic High Yield Fund, a U.S. open-end registered investment company (U.S. mutual fund) registered with the SEC pursuant to the 1940 Act, as well as to various private pooled investment vehicles that are generally organized in the form of domestic limited partnerships and that are exempt from registration under the 1940 Act. DDJ also acts as investment sub-adviser to certain U.S. and non-U.S. investment advisers and asset managers, including managers affiliated with sovereign entities.

The minimum size for opening and maintaining a separate account with DDJ is \$50,000,000; however, such minimum amount may be waived by DDJ in its sole discretion. The minimum investment amount by an investor in a pooled investment vehicle, including the DDJ Opportunistic High Yield Fund, varies, depending on the specific terms of each pooled investment vehicle. In certain circumstances, such minimum amount may also be waived by DDJ (or by an affiliated general partner of such investment vehicle, as applicable).

Item 8 Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies and Risk of Loss

Method of Analysis

Since the firm’s inception in 1996, DDJ has consistently employed an investment philosophy with deep core principles that have served as the nucleus for each of its investment strategies. This philosophy is predicated on DDJ’s fundamental belief that the market segment encompassing

lower-rated high yield bonds and leveraged loans is markedly inefficient, and, as a result, offers very compelling risk-adjusted investment opportunities.

DDJ attempts to exploit these inefficiencies in the lower-rated segment of the leveraged credit market by adhering to a “bottom-up”, fundamentally-oriented investment process that focuses heavily on downside protection. The objective of this process is to derive an accurate real-time valuation of a company and then target those securities in its capital structure that offer a significant margin of safety coupled with strong return potential.

DDJ generally approaches new investments in prospective portfolio companies by creating a comprehensive analytical overview focused on such company’s current and future business prospects. DDJ’s fundamental analysis of a particular investment opportunity, which is the cornerstone of DDJ’s investment philosophy with respect to each of its investment strategies, typically focuses on the following three components:

1. Cash Flow – An evaluation of a company’s ability to both (a) service its fixed obligations, including interest, capital expenditures, and working capital needs, and (b) generate free cash flow that will enable it to repay its debt or reinvest capital. DDJ believes that understanding an issuer’s discretionary free cash flow is important in assessing downside risk in the context of an adverse credit event where liquidity or cash flow deteriorates.
2. Enterprise Value Coverage – An analysis of a company’s overall enterprise value relative to its liabilities and equity value. DDJ may analyze a company’s value to prospective acquirer(s) of such business in whole or in pieces under a variety of economic assumptions.
3. Legal Protections and Contractual Remedies – An assessment of the contractual rights and remedies set forth in relevant legal documents governing a particular investment, such as a loan agreement, bond indenture, and/or intercreditor agreement.

Once a potential investment opportunity is identified, a DDJ research analyst will generally prepare a “first pass” analysis outlining the company’s business model and financial condition, together with a proposed investment thesis. If a senior investment professional agrees with the analyst’s recommendation, the analyst will undertake a more in-depth “second pass” analysis in order to create an overall financial assessment of the investment opportunity and verify the investment thesis.

This second pass, or “deep dive”, includes more extensive due diligence on the business and the securities that are being considered for investment. During this stage, DDJ’s analysts may meet or conduct teleconferences with target company management, as well as with competitors, customers, suppliers and other third parties that are familiar with the company or industry, in order to acquire a more thorough understanding of the relevant aspects of the underlying business and corresponding investment opportunity. At this time, the research analyst is tasked with identifying all material risks related to the investment opportunity (including, without limitation, risks related to environmental, social and governance factors) in an effort to evaluate the overall risk-reward profile of the proposed investment.

Utilizing a variety of information sources, DDJ analysts evaluate a target company’s financial position, with a particular focus on free cash flow generation capability, liquidity position for both the short and long term, intrinsic business valuation and asset coverage. With respect to the subject company’s fixed income securities, DDJ generally considers the current yield, yield-to-maturity and yield-to-worst-call, taking into account DDJ’s risk assessment of the targeted class,

based on the liquidity and valuation analyses described above. DDJ will also typically analyze the anticipated treatment of such class of liabilities in the event of adverse circumstances, such as a bankruptcy filing by the issuer.

DDJ generally takes a proactive role in sourcing investment opportunities in businesses in the below investment grade universe, including those companies that may be experiencing some degree of financial distress but nonetheless possess a competent management team, sustainable market position (i.e., a “reason to exist”) and/or adequate asset value. Analysts then rank possible investment opportunities within their respective industries based on the anticipated return versus risk incurred. Following the completion of due diligence, the portfolio manager, in consultation with the applicable analyst responsible for recommending the investment as well as, where appropriate, other senior members of the DDJ investment team, makes a final decision on whether to proceed with the investment.

Ultimately, DDJ’s portfolio managers generate their buy/sell list through such a relative value analysis. The applicable portfolio manager assesses each current portfolio holding as well as new buy ideas based on an expected yield vs. risk-incurred basis. Important factors in this analysis include:

- Credit risk: the risk of loss due to a debtor’s inability to service its fixed income obligations;
- Legal (or structural) risk: the contractual rights (e.g., covenant protections) associated with a given tranche of an issuer’s debt securities; and
- Liquidity risk: the risk that decreased trading or increased aversion in the marketplace will lower the relative value of an investment.

In order to further augment DDJ’s research process with respect to individual investment opportunities as well as its overall risk management of its investment strategies, DDJ has established an Investment Review Committee, which comprises the senior members of the firm’s investment team. This committee provides a forum to discuss both prospective investment opportunities for, and existing positions held by, DDJ’s clients; challenge investment theses with respect to existing portfolio company investments; review the consistency of DDJ’s investment process; address emerging developments in the markets; and assess certain risk metrics (e.g., portfolio liquidity or aggregate ESG exposures) across all client portfolios on a firm-wide basis.

When DDJ intends to exit an investment, DDJ causes its clients to adhere to a rigorous sell discipline in pursuing their respective investment strategies. Generally, DDJ will cause its clients to sell a security under three circumstances:

1. Credit Sell: If DDJ believes that a fundamentally adverse change is occurring in a company’s financial situation or competitive positioning, DDJ will attempt to aggressively sell the position out of its client portfolios.
2. Relative Value Swap: If DDJ believes that a position can be replaced with another investment opportunity that offers a more compelling return-versus-risk proposition given the particular investment strategy that is being pursued, DDJ will attempt to prudently sell such existing position from client holdings as part of a relative value swap.
3. Compliance Sell: If positions approach internal limits due to a relative change in value or otherwise, DDJ will generally sell down the applicable position in order to either maintain

compliance with investment guidelines or achieve appropriate diversification levels within the applicable portfolio.

DDJ will also cause a client to exit an investment if the client has expressed a desire to withdraw cash from the client account or otherwise reallocate resources among investment strategies pursued by DDJ.

Significant Investment Strategies

Each of the following significant investment strategies is actively managed by DDJ:

- Bank Loan: The investment objective of this strategy is to provide high current income primarily achieved through floating rate instruments with an emphasis on principal protection. The bank loan strategy invests predominantly in syndicated, first lien secured terms loans that are senior in the capital structure of middle market, non-investment grade issuers. Clients pursuing this strategy may also permit allocations to second lien secured term loans as well as fixed rate high yield bonds where DDJ has identified an attractive risk-reward opportunity. Such investments are generally liquid. Portfolios are expected to consist of 60-80 issuers across a wide range of industries primarily located within the United States and (to a lesser extent) Canada.
- U.S. High Yield: This strategy aims to outperform the BB/B rated segment of the high yield bond market by employing a deep value approach. Portfolios pursuing this strategy have limited or no exposure to CCC-rated debt issues, seek to avoid defaulted securities, and may or may not have exposure to syndicated loans depending on specific client guidelines. Such investments are generally liquid. Portfolios typically consist of 70-100 issuers across a wide range of industries primarily located within the United States and (to a lesser extent) Canada.
- U.S. Opportunistic High Yield: The investment objective of this strategy is to outperform the broader high yield market by primarily targeting middle market opportunities in the lower tier (i.e., rated single B and below) of the non-investment grade credit markets. The opportunistic high yield strategy employs a deep value approach with the flexibility to invest in a wide range of securities consisting of liquid and illiquid high yield bonds, syndicated loans, direct private loans, certain defaulted securities, mezzanine debt, convertible bonds, preferred equity, and other equity-linked securities issued in connection with a high yield offering. Portfolios are generally concentrated in 60-80 issuers across a wide range of industries primarily located in the United States and (to a lesser extent) Canada with a significant allocation to high conviction investments.
- Total Return Credit: This strategy attempts to produce a high level of total return by targeting investments in all securities within the capital structure of leveraged middle market companies. To achieve this objective, DDJ employs a deep value approach and retains a high degree of flexibility to make tactical allocations to the most compelling opportunities in the market, including all types of investments pursued by the opportunistic high yield strategy with a greater emphasis on direct private loans. In addition, depending on specific client guidelines as well as market conditions, DDJ may make limited allocations to distressed debt as well as to reorganized and leveraged equities. The strategy may target liquid and illiquid investment opportunities. Portfolios are typically concentrated in 35-55

issuers across a range of industries primarily located in the United States and (to a lesser extent) Canada with a significant allocation to high conviction investments.

DDJ also pursues certain legacy investment strategies with respect to various client portfolios (both private funds and separately managed accounts) that are presently in their realization and/or wind-down stage. A description of those investment strategies, which are no longer material to DDJ's business, is not provided herein.

None of DDJ's current investment strategies involve the frequent trading of securities.

Material Risks

Investing in securities and other obligations involves a substantial risk of loss that clients of DDJ should be prepared to bear.

The following is a summary of certain significant risks particularly associated with the investment strategies pursued by DDJ on behalf of its clients; however, depending on the specific investment strategy to be pursued by DDJ on behalf of a client, there may be additional risks to investing with DDJ that may be described in supplemental materials provided to the prospective client at the time of its investment with DDJ (including, without limitation, any applicable private offering memorandum with respect to investors in private funds managed by DDJ, or the prospectus for the U.S. mutual fund managed by DDJ). These risks may not apply to each strategy pursued by DDJ.

- Risks related to investments in high yield debt securities: DDJ clients typically invest in high yield fixed income securities, such as bonds, which instruments may be unrated, rated below investment grade or in certain cases in default, and as such are considered speculative and may involve greater risk of loss than higher-rated debt securities. The lower rating of securities in the high yield sector reflects a greater possibility that adverse changes in the financial condition of an issuer or in general economic conditions or both may impair the ability of the issuer to make payments of principal and interest. Furthermore, the prices of such securities are sensitive to changes in an issuer's creditworthiness. Issuers of lower-rated debt securities may have greater difficulty servicing their payment obligations, meeting projected operational goals, and/or obtaining additional financing. As with other types of debt instruments, high yield debt securities involve a heightened risk of loss in the case of default or insolvency of the obligor, particularly if the obligation is unsecured.
- Risks related to investments in loans: There are a number of risks associated with an investment in bank loans, including credit risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk and prepayment risk. Lack of an active trading market, restrictions on resale, irregular trading activity, and wide bid/ask spreads and extended trade settlement periods may impair the ability to sell bank loans within a desired time frame or at an acceptable price. Extended trade settlement periods may result in cash not being immediately available to the portfolio. As a result of such illiquidity, DDJ clients may have to sell other investments to raise cash to meet their obligations.

Loans have similar risks to below investment grade (high yield) fixed income securities. Changes in the financial condition of the borrower or economic conditions or other circumstances may reduce the capacity of the borrower to make principal and interest

payments on such instruments and may lead to payment defaults, thereby reducing the income to a portfolio as well as a reduction in the value of the principal amount of the loan. Senior secured bank loans are supported by collateral; however, the value of the collateral may be insufficient to cover the amount owed to a DDJ client portfolio. In the event of bankruptcy of a borrower, the portfolio could experience delays or limitations in its ability to realize the benefits of any collateral securing a loan.

Loans generally are subject to legal or contractual restrictions on resale. The liquidity of loans, including the volume and frequency of secondary market trading in such loans, varies significantly over time as well as among individual loans. For example, if the credit quality of a loan unexpectedly declines significantly, secondary market trading in that loan can also decline for a period of time. During periods of infrequent trading, valuing a loan can be more difficult, and prices provided by external pricing services may not reflect the true fair value of the assets. Furthermore, buying and selling a loan at an acceptable price can be more difficult and delayed. Difficulty in selling a loan can result in a loss to DDJ clients.

- Risks related to settlements of loans: Clients may experience delays in the settlement of certain loan transactions, which are more complicated, are paperwork intensive, and require greater internal resources to settle compared with bonds, particularly in the case of loans that are or become distressed. Unlike the securities markets, there is no central clearinghouse for loan trades. Such delays may prevent a client from obtaining liquidity of certain assets within a desired timeframe. Furthermore, pursuant to certain insolvency laws, a counterparty may have the ability to reject or terminate an unsettled loan transaction. If a counterparty rejects an unsettled transaction, the client might lose any increase in value with respect to such loan that accrued while the transaction remained unsettled.

Furthermore, the agent bank is the bank in the syndicate that undertakes the bulk of the administrative duties involved in the day-to-day administration of the loan. In the event of the insolvency of an agent bank, a loan could be subject to settlement risk as well as the risk of interruptions in the administrative duties performed in the day-to-day administration of the loan.

- Risks related to investments in equity securities: Certain DDJ clients may invest in equities of companies, which are generally acquired as a result of a restructuring of previously held debt obligations or in a secondary market transaction. The value of such equities will rise and fall in response to the activities of the company that issued the securities, general market conditions, and/or specific economic or political conditions. Equity investments, as the most junior security in a company's capital structure, generally involve a high risk of loss and typically are subject to significant volatility in price. This risk of loss is further elevated because DDJ investment strategies may target businesses that may be experiencing or recently experienced financial distress, or may be in, entering, or emerging from, bankruptcy proceedings.
- Risks related to investments in leveraged and financially troubled companies: DDJ may target investments in companies that are highly leveraged; such leverage in turn will increase the exposure of such companies to adverse economic conditions, such as downturns in the economy or deterioration in the conditions of such companies or their respective industries. These companies may be subject to restrictive financial and

operating covenants within their debt agreements, which may restrict their range of operating activity and impair these companies' ability to finance their future operations and capital needs. Accordingly, the flexibility of these companies to respond to changing business and economic conditions as well as to business opportunities may be limited. As a result, a client may suffer a partial or total loss of capital invested in such a company, which, depending on the size of such client's investments, could materially adversely affect the return on the capital of such client.

- Risks related to bankruptcies and balance sheet restructurings of portfolio companies: Given the focus of each of the investment strategies pursued by DDJ, DDJ may target securities and other obligations of issuers that are in financial difficulty, and/or may be in, entering, or emerging from, bankruptcy proceedings. Bankruptcy or other insolvency proceedings are highly complex and may result in unpredictable outcomes. In any investment opportunity involving work-outs, liquidations, reorganizations, bankruptcies and similar transactions, there exists the risk that the contemplated transaction may be unsuccessful. Similarly, if an anticipated transaction does not in fact occur, DDJ may be required to unexpectedly sell the investment at a loss. The level of analytical sophistication, both financial and legal, necessary for successful investment in companies experiencing significant business and financial difficulties is unusually high. Because there is a substantial uncertainty concerning the outcome of transactions involving financially troubled companies in which DDJ client portfolios may invest, there is a potential risk of loss of the entire investment in such companies, as well as the risk that such clients may be required to accept cash or new securities with a value less than the client's original investment and/or may be required to accept payment over an extended period of time. Under such circumstances, the returns generated from such client's investments may not compensate the client adequately for the risks assumed.
- Risks related to liquidity of investments: From time to time, there may be little or no active market for some of the securities or other obligations purchased by DDJ clients. In addition, lower-rated debt securities may be thinly traded, adversely affecting the prices at which these securities can be sold and resulting in high transaction costs. Some of the securities and other obligations purchased by DDJ clients may have been issued in private placement transactions and accordingly may be subject to legal or contractual restrictions on resale in accordance with applicable securities laws. In some instances, the sale of securities and other obligations owned by DDJ clients may require lengthy negotiations. In addition, a downturn or contraction in the overall economy or in the capital markets, which may be accompanied by severe technical dislocations in the high yield market in particular, may further disrupt DDJ's ability to effectively trade investments on behalf of its client accounts. As a result, an exit strategy that appeared to be viable when an investment was initiated may be precluded by the time that the investment is ready to be realized by DDJ. The presence of any of these factors may limit liquidity and consequently, DDJ may not be able to dispose of a portfolio investment when it desires to do so or at an attractive price. The adverse results may be particularly acute for investors within commingled funds managed by DDJ, especially to the extent that DDJ is required to dispose of more liquid investments in order to meet outstanding redemption requests by exiting investors.
- Risks related to investments in restricted securities: DDJ may be prevented from buying or selling certain publicly traded securities if DDJ acquires material non-public information with respect to the issuer of such securities. In such circumstances, the issuer of such

security will be placed on DDJ's "Restricted List", and securities issued by such issuer will not be traded in secondary transactions until the material, non-public information becomes public and/or is no longer material, or trading is otherwise permitted in accordance with applicable law.

- Risks related to interest rates: Interest rate risk is the risk that fixed-income investments will decline in value because of changes in market interest rates. Market interest rates in the U.S. and certain other countries currently are near historically low levels. When market interest rates rise, the market value of fixed-interest rate securities generally will fall, as investors demand a higher annual yield from future distributions. Fixed-interest rate debt securities are typically an important element of each of DDJ's investment strategies. Rising interest rates also generally increase the costs of obtaining financing, which could cause the value of high yield fixed income investments purchased by DDJ on behalf of its clients to decline. Furthermore, the market price of floating rate securities, such as bank loans, is generally based on LIBOR, and accordingly may also be susceptible to decline in the event that market interest rates decline.
- Risks related to investment volatility: A principal risk in investing in high yield and potentially distressed securities is the traditional volatility in the market prices of such securities. Fluctuations or prolonged changes in the price volatility of such securities, therefore, can adversely affect the value of investments held by a DDJ client.
- Risks related to managing a concentrated investment portfolio: Given the research intensive nature of the firm's strategies, client portfolios will be concentrated amongst a relatively small number of high yield, financially troubled or potentially distressed issuers. Accordingly, any single loss may have a significant adverse impact on the investment returns of DDJ's clients, and client assets may be subject to greater risk of loss than if they were more widely diversified. In addition, certain client portfolios will be more susceptible than more broadly diversified portfolios to any single economic, market, political or regulatory event affecting DDJ's portfolio companies. Furthermore, concentration in financially troubled or distressed companies may subject DDJ client portfolios to greater price volatility than a more diversified portfolio of investments.
- Risks related to litigation: Investing in below-investment grade and distressed securities in particular can be a contentious process. Different investor groups may have qualitatively different, and frequently conflicting, interests. DDJ's investment activities may include actions that are hostile in nature and will subject its clients to the risks of becoming involved in litigation by third parties. This risk may be greater where DDJ's clients exercise control or a significant influence over a company's direction (e.g., by holding a substantial percentage of a particular class of an issuer's fixed income securities). The expense of defending against claims by third parties and paying any amounts pursuant to settlements or judgments may be borne by a client and could be significant.
- Risks related to unavailability of investment opportunities: Markets for securities in DDJ's investment universe are highly competitive. DDJ clients compete for investment opportunities with a significant number of financial institutions and private funds as well as various institutional investors. Some of these competitors are larger and have greater financial, human and other resources than DDJ, and therefore may in certain circumstances maintain a competitive advantage over DDJ. As a result of this competition, there may be

fewer attractively priced investment opportunities than DDJ has observed in the past, which could have an adverse impact on the ability of DDJ to meet its clients' investment goals or the length of time that is required for such clients to become fully invested. There can be no assurance that the returns on any client's investments will be commensurate with the risk of investing with DDJ.

- Risks related to market disruptions: In the event of market disruptions and other extraordinary events in which historical pricing relationships (on which DDJ bases a number of trading positions) become materially distorted, client portfolios managed by DDJ may incur major losses. The risk of loss from pricing distortions is compounded by the fact that in disrupted markets, many positions become illiquid, making it difficult or impossible to close out positions against which the markets are moving. In addition, new investment opportunities on attractive terms are typically more limited during market disruptions.
- Risks related to issuer misrepresentations and fraud: The investment strategies pursued by DDJ, which are based on a fundamental analysis of an issuer's financial condition, rely to a material extent on the financial information made available by the management of the issuers of securities in which DDJ client accounts invest, as well as the related representations and warranties made by such issuer in the underlying credit documentation. DDJ generally does not have the ability or resources to independently verify or audit the financial information disseminated by the numerous issuers in which its client accounts may invest, and accordingly it is dependent upon the integrity of both the management of these issuers and such issuers' financial reporting process in general. Recent industry events have demonstrated that investors may incur material losses as a result of corporate mismanagement and fraud as well as accounting and reporting irregularities by issuers of both debt and equity securities.
- Risks related to systems and other operational disruptions: DDJ relies extensively on computer systems to trade, clear and settle securities transactions, to evaluate securities based on real-time trading information, to maintain ongoing compliance with applicable client investment guidelines, to monitor the portfolios of its clients, to perform applicable back-office accounting functions, and to generate reports that are critical to the oversight of its investment management activities. In addition, certain of DDJ's operations interface with or depend on systems operated by third parties, including a client's custodian as well as various market counterparties and third party data providers. Although DDJ has established a formal third party vendor monitoring program, DDJ's effective management of its client accounts is nonetheless susceptible to a defect or failure in any of these systems provided by vendors and other third parties.
- Risks related to "cybersecurity" incidents: DDJ's operations rely on the secure processing, storage and transmission of confidential and other information in its computer systems and networks. Although DDJ both employs protective measures to safeguard its data, and attempts to modify and update them as circumstances warrant, the security of its computer systems, software and networks may be vulnerable to breaches, unauthorized access, misuse, computer viruses or other malicious code and other similar events that could have a security impact. Additionally, breaches of DDJ's information security system may occur through intentional or unintentional acts by those having authorized or unauthorized access to DDJ's or its clients' confidential or other information. The occurrence of such events could potentially jeopardize the confidential and other information of DDJ and its

clients that is processed and stored in, and transmitted through, DDJ's computer systems and networks, or otherwise could cause interruptions or malfunctions in DDJ's operations. As a result, DDJ and potentially its clients could suffer significant losses or reputational damage. Furthermore, depending on an assessment of the evolving nature of cybersecurity threats, DDJ may be required to expend significant additional resources to modify its existing protective measures or to investigate and remediate vulnerabilities or other exposures arising from operational and security risks. Finally, notwithstanding the adoption of certain information security procedures, DDJ may be subject to litigation and financial losses that are either not insured against or not fully covered through any insurance maintained by DDJ, which may adversely affect the interest of DDJ's clients.

- Risks related to natural disasters or other catastrophic events: DDJ's business operations are subject to interruption by fire, power shortages, pandemics, natural disasters and other events beyond its control. In an effort to minimize the impact associated with such events, DDJ maintains a disaster recovery plan, which provides for access to a dedicated disaster recovery facility located approximately 25 miles from its office location. However, such recovery plan could be insufficient or otherwise fail, especially in the case of a widespread or catastrophic event, thus making it difficult or impossible for DDJ to deliver its advisory services to its clients in a timely and capable manner. As a result, during any such disruption, portfolios managed by DDJ on behalf of its clients may suffer losses.
- Risks related to counterparty exposure: DDJ executes its transactions in primarily the "over-the-counter" or "inter-dealer" markets. The participants in such markets typically are not subject to the same level of credit evaluation and regulatory oversight as are members of "exchange-based" markets. As a result, DDJ's clients are exposed to the risk that a counterparty will not settle a transaction due to a credit or liquidity problem, thus causing such clients to suffer a loss. In addition, in the case of a counterparty default, clients could become subject to adverse market movements while replacement transactions are executed. Such "counterparty risk" is accentuated where DDJ has concentrated its transactions with a single counterparty or a small group of counterparties. DDJ is typically not restricted from dealing with any particular counterparty or from concentrating any or all of its client transactions with one counterparty. Moreover, DDJ has a limited internal credit function to evaluate the creditworthiness of its counterparties. The ability of DDJ to transact business with any one or more counterparties, the absence of a regulated market to facilitate settlement, and the lack of complete evaluation of such counterparties' financial capabilities may increase the potential for losses by DDJ's clients.

The foregoing discussion of certain risk factors does not purport to be a complete explanation of the risks involved with investing assets with DDJ. A prospective client of DDJ should evaluate each of these risks, as well as any other risks related to the specific investment strategy to be pursued by DDJ on its behalf, and is encouraged to consult its own financial advisors and legal and tax professionals on an initial and continuous basis in connection with selecting and engaging DDJ as an investment adviser with respect to such prospective client's assets.

Item 9 Disciplinary Information

DDJ does not believe that there are any legal or disciplinary events that are material to a client's or prospective client's evaluation of either DDJ's investment advisory business or the integrity of DDJ's management.

Item 10 Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations

Except as set forth as follows, DDJ does not have any material financial industry affiliations or relationships with any related person or other advisory affiliate.

Material Relationships

The vast majority of DDJ assets under management are managed pursuant to separate account relationships with institutional clients. However, as of February 28, 2017, DDJ does provide investment advice and investment management services to the following private investment funds and other pooled investment vehicles, which are each exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, as well as under the 1940 Act:

- DDJ Total Return Loan Fund, L.P. ("TRLF");
- DDJ Strategic Income Plus Fund, L.P. ("SIP");
- DDJ/TAF Strategic Income Fund, L.P. ("TAF"); and
- DDJ Capital Management Group Trust – High Yield Investment Fund ("Group Trust").

Each of GP Total Return, L.P.; DDJ/GP Strategic Income Plus, LLC; and DDJ/GP TAF Strategic Income, LLC is an affiliate of and controlled by DDJ, and serves, respectively, as the general partner of TRLF, SIP, and TAF. There is no general partner or other DDJ-related affiliate for the Group Trust. TAF is a private investment fund managed by DDJ on behalf of a single limited partner, whereas each of the other investment vehicles includes multiple third party investors (including, in some cases, investors affiliated with DDJ).

DDJ serves as the investment adviser or investment manager with full discretionary authority with respect to investment and trading decisions on behalf of the aforementioned private investment funds and pooled investment vehicles (although TRLF is presently in wind-down and accordingly DDJ is no longer making any new investments on its behalf). David J. Breazzano serves as the sole manager of DDJ, which also controls each of the general partners set forth above.

In addition, DDJ serves as the investment adviser to the DDJ Opportunistic High Yield Fund, a U.S. mutual fund registered under the 1940 Act. ALPS Distributors, Inc., a broker-dealer unaffiliated with DDJ, distributes the DDJ Opportunistic High Yield Fund and sponsors those DDJ employees whose job responsibilities require their registrations with FINRA as a broker-dealer representative. Finally, DDJ also serves as investment sub-adviser to certain U.S. mutual funds, a Canadian mutual fund, and certain UCITS funds.

Allocation of DDJ Time and Resources

As indicated above, DDJ manages portfolios for a number of clients, many of which pursue investment strategies that are similar or substantially similar to one another. In addition, DDJ may in the future establish new separately managed account client relationships or otherwise sponsor

and become affiliated with other pooled investment vehicles that pursue investment programs that are similar or substantially similar to the investment programs of DDJ's current clients. As a result of the foregoing, DDJ personnel may encounter conflicts of interest in allocating their time and resources between clients, as well as in executing transactions between clients, including ones in which DDJ or its personnel may have a financial interest. Accordingly, DDJ will devote so much of its time, and will allocate to its clients the time and resources of its investment, operations and client service teams, as in its judgment the ongoing management of each client's account reasonably requires.

Item 11 Code of Ethics, Participation or Interest in Client Transactions and Personal Trading

Summary of DDJ's Code of Ethics

DDJ's reputation for integrity and ethics is one of its most important assets. In order to safeguard this reputation, it is essential for DDJ and each of its employees to not only comply with relevant federal and state securities laws and regulations but also to maintain the highest standards of personal and professional conduct at all times. DDJ's Code of Ethics, adopted pursuant to Rule 204A-1 under the Advisers Act as well as Rule 17j-1 under the 1940 Act, is designed to ensure that its conduct and the conduct of its employees is at all times consistent with these values, with DDJ's fiduciary obligations to its clients, and with industry and regulatory standards for investment advisers.

The basic principles underlying DDJ's Code of Ethics are as follows:

- The interests of DDJ's clients must always come before the personal interests of any of its employees.
- Each DDJ employee must take great care to avoid any potential conflict of interest or the appearance of any impropriety in his or her personal actions.
- No DDJ employee should take inappropriate advantage of information that he or she learns through his or her position with or on behalf of a client, whether or not such actions would result in a loss to the client.
- No DDJ employee should advise or encourage others to take actions that such employee would be prohibited by the Code of Ethics from taking himself or herself or for his or her own account.
- Each employee is required to comply with applicable federal securities laws.
- Each employee shall maintain the confidentiality of any information gained by reason of his or her employment, and shall not use such information in a manner detrimental to DDJ or its clients.

In order to implement these basic principles, the Code of Ethics contains detailed rules, including both prohibitions as well as preclearance procedures with respect to certain personal securities transactions that are applicable to all employees of DDJ.

Generally, such prohibitions prevent DDJ employees from front-running DDJ client transactions, or otherwise using knowledge about pending or currently considered securities transactions for

clients to profit personally, either directly or indirectly, as a result of such transactions. More specifically, the Code of Ethics prohibits personal trading in fixed income securities of high yield issuers, as employee ownership of such securities may present an actual or potential conflict of interest with certain client objectives. The Code of Ethics also requires preclearance prior to execution by all DDJ employees with respect to personal trading in several types of securities, including without limitation investments in common stock, bonds and options as well as any initial public offerings or private placement transactions.

To aid DDJ in effectively monitoring and enforcing the rules set forth in the Code of Ethics, DDJ utilizes an automated employee trade preclearance and reporting system via a web-based compliance portal that is accessible by all of DDJ's employees. Prior to execution of any personal transaction in those securities covered under the Code of Ethics, each DDJ employee must preclear such trade through the system's web-based portal. DDJ has programmed this automated preclearance system to incorporate the ongoing rules and other restrictions with respect to personal trading in securities by DDJ employees that are set forth in its Code of Ethics. Upon the entry of a preclearance request by an employee, the system will either approve a requested personal securities transaction if no rule prohibition is triggered, or otherwise forward the pending request to the Chief Compliance Officer for further review in the event that the system has identified an issue potentially in conflict with DDJ's Code of Ethics rules.

DDJ generally requires that each of its employees report to the Chief Compliance Officer all personal brokerage accounts through which covered securities can be purchased or sold. DDJ then instructs the broker for such accounts to establish an electronic data feed that flows directly into the automated compliance system. Such a feed provides a record of each personal securities transaction by such DDJ employee, thereby enabling the Chief Compliance Officer to verify compliance (via the automated system) with DDJ's personal trading procedure set forth in its Code of Ethics. In limited circumstances to the extent that a broker is unable to establish such an electronic data feed with respect to an employee's personal account, DDJ may nonetheless impose similar personal trading restrictions (as well as reporting requirements) on such employee consistent with the requirements of the DDJ Code of Ethics.

Failure to obtain appropriate preclearance for personal transactions in securities covered under the Code of Ethics constitutes a serious breach of DDJ's rules. The ensuing disciplinary action taken by DDJ, which may include warnings, suspension of personal securities trading privileges, fines, disgorgement of profits, and suspension and termination of employment, as well as the referral to civil or criminal authorities where appropriate, will depend on the applicable facts and surrounding circumstances following a review by the Chief Compliance Officer.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, to the extent that a DDJ employee has established a personal brokerage account over which such employee has no direct or indirect influence or control (e.g., a personal account managed on a fully discretionary basis by an unaffiliated financial adviser), DDJ may, in its sole discretion, exempt any personal transactions and holdings with respect to such accounts from the preclearance and reporting requirements, respectively, under its Code of Ethics. The Chief Compliance Officer must first approve any such exemptions in order to confirm such arrangement's compliance with both the regulations under the Advisers Act as well as applicable SEC guidance. The Chief Compliance Officer also reviews such personal trading arrangements on a periodic basis.

The Code of Ethics requires that each of its employees complete, via the web-based portal, a quarterly certification with respect to any covered personal securities transactions, as well as a certification with respect to all covered personal securities holdings upon an individual's initial employment with DDJ and then annually thereafter.

Upon request, DDJ will provide any client or prospective client with a copy of its Code of Ethics.

Personal Trading by DDJ Employees and Related Persons; Blackout Periods

DDJ clients pursue highly specialized investment strategies and objectives in a limited investment universe that generally do not overlap with the investment goals and objectives established by DDJ employees with respect to their personal accounts. Although the Code of Ethics includes an outright restriction on personal trading in fixed income securities issued by high yield companies, from time to time, DDJ employees may invest in their personal accounts in the same securities (such as common stocks) that DDJ also may recommend for its clients. To the extent that a portfolio manager desires to execute a personal trade in a security that may also be appropriate for a DDJ client, a conflict of interest may arise, as such individual may have an incentive to place orders first in his or her personal account prior to making a corresponding recommendation for a client. Similarly, employees may have an incentive to benefit from the market effect of trades in client accounts by trading shortly thereafter in their personal accounts.

In all cases, whether or not a specific provision of the Code of Ethics applies, each DDJ employee must conduct his or her personal trading activities in accordance with the general principles embodied by the Code of Ethics outlined above, and in a manner that is designed to avoid any actual or potential conflict of interest or any abuse of an individual's position of trust and responsibility. Furthermore, in order to address these specific conflicts of interest, DDJ has implemented blackout periods for certain securities during which time personal trading by portfolio managers (prior to the placement of a client trade in the securities of a particular issuer) and all employees (following the placement and subsequent execution of a client order) is prohibited. DDJ believes that the implementation of such blackout periods, which are more restrictive than the personal trading limitations set forth in the Advisers Act (and the rules promulgated by the SEC thereunder), effectively minimizes these potential conflicts of interest. In addition, DDJ has implemented additional personal trading limitations specific to its members of the investment team in an effort to foster compliance with the principles of the Code of Ethics at all times.

DDJ has programmed its automated compliance system to incorporate these blackout periods as well as to prompt investment team members at the time of any trade preclearance of their additional obligations in an effort to prioritize the interests of DDJ's clients above their own personal interests at all times. Pursuant to the Code of Ethics, the Chief Compliance Officer may provide a waiver of these restrictions, taking into account the specific facts and circumstances surrounding a trade, prior to the execution of a trade. Furthermore, on a post-trade basis, the Chief Compliance Officer also periodically reviews compliance with such blackout periods as well as other personal trading preclearance requirements.

In addition, on a periodic basis, the Chief Compliance Officer (or an authorized designee) may review a random sampling of personal trades by DDJ employees in certain securities that are also held by DDJ clients and are otherwise permitted to be purchased under the Code of Ethics (e.g., securities other than fixed income securities issued by a high yield company). This review is designed to identify any suspicious or otherwise irregular pattern of trading behavior by DDJ

employees in their personal accounts, such as purchases or sales of securities in personal accounts consistently at more favorable prices than the prices paid or received by DDJ clients, or transactions that otherwise suggest a deliberate evasion of the blackout period rules included in the Code of Ethics. DDJ believes that its preventative compliance procedures enable the firm to identify any problematic personal trading patterns and accordingly address any potential conflicts of interest arising as a result of DDJ employees investing in the same securities (or related securities) that DDJ also recommends to its clients.

Policy and Procedure with respect to the Flow and Use of Material Non-Public Information

DDJ also maintains a Policy and Procedure with respect to the Flow and Use of Material Non-Public Information (the “MNPI Policy”), which is designed to provide for the proper handling of material non-public information about companies as well as prevent the misuse of material, non-public information by DDJ and its employees. It is a violation of the MNPI Policy for any employee to knowingly trade or recommend a trade in securities on the basis of material non-public information, whether for his/her own account, on behalf of any client account managed by DDJ, or for any other person, whether or not such actions would violate federal securities laws. In addition, the MNPI Policy provides that it is a violation to tip such information to others, whether or not such actions would violate federal securities laws. Exceptions, which must be approved by a member of the DDJ legal department, are only granted to the extent consistent with applicable law. DDJ also programs both its portfolio management system and its automated Code of Ethics compliance system to flag any client orders or personal trading preclearance requests, respectively, that include securities issued by companies that DDJ has placed on the firm’s “Restricted List”. The Chief Compliance Officer is responsible for monitoring and reviewing compliance with the MNPI Policy.

In an effort to ensure that all employees are cognizant of their responsibilities under the Code of Ethics and the MNPI Policy, DDJ requires that its new employees meet with the Chief Compliance Officer to review the material requirements of the Code of Ethics and MNPI Policy shortly following their initial employment with the firm. Furthermore, all employees must attend or complete training overseen by the Chief Compliance Officer to review their requirements. Finally, upon employment and on an annual basis thereafter, DDJ’s personnel are required to certify, via the web-based portal, as to their ongoing compliance with the Code of Ethics and the MNPI Policy.

Participation or Interest in Client Transactions

In certain instances, DDJ may recommend that its clients invest in securities in which DDJ or an affiliate has a material financial interest. For example, DDJ has an indirect financial interest in the securities owned by certain of its clients because affiliates of DDJ serve as the general partner of certain investment fund clients that are organized as limited partnerships. Similarly, DDJ as well as certain of its employees have invested directly in the DDJ Opportunistic High Yield Fund, a U.S. mutual fund, as well as certain private funds, in each case that are managed by DDJ. A conflict of interest may arise because DDJ has an incentive to direct additional client assets to such portfolio company investments in which itself and its affiliates have a material financial interest.

To address this conflict, DDJ has adopted written initial order and allocation guidelines, as further outlined in Item 6 of this Brochure, that are intended to provide for a fair and equitable allocation of purchases and sales of investments amongst DDJ client accounts. Although DDJ does not believe that any material conflict of interest is likely to arise as a result of DDJ recommending that its clients invest in securities in which DDJ or an affiliate has a material financial interest, to the extent

that DDJ believed that its interests were not aligned with the interests of its clients (and that such investment otherwise complied with applicable law), DDJ would disclose the appropriate facts and circumstances related to such investment allocation to the affected client(s) before executing any transaction.

Although DDJ and its employees may invest as investors in its managed pooled investment vehicles, the pooled investment vehicles themselves are not subject to the blackout and other trading restrictions applicable to DDJ employees that are set forth in the DDJ Code of Ethics. However, because the interests of DDJ and its investment fund clients are generally aligned in these instances, DDJ does not believe that the investment by itself and its affiliates in certain DDJ-managed investment products generally presents any material conflict of interest.

Finally, as described in Item 6 of this Brochure, DDJ may execute cross transactions between certain of its client accounts. To the extent that any cross transaction would be deemed a principal transaction (e.g., as a result of the interests of DDJ and/or any of its affiliates in its commingled funds participating in such transaction), DDJ would only execute such transaction following a conclusion by its Chief Compliance Officer that such transaction was consistent with applicable law (including, without limitation, Section 206(3) of the Advisers Act) as well as with DDJ's internal compliance policies and procedures for all cross trade transactions.

Gifts and Entertainment; Outside Business Activities

From time to time, brokers and other service providers to DDJ and its clients may provide DDJ employees with non-monetary gifts as well as certain customary business entertainment, the purpose of which DDJ believes is to establish better working relationships. The Code of Ethics contains certain restrictions regarding the receipt of such gifts and entertainment that are reasonably designed to minimize any associated actual or potential conflicts of interest. The overriding principle governing the behavior of DDJ employees in this area is that they may not accept gifts or entertainment as a "*quid pro quo*" or condition of doing business with the provider. Furthermore, gifts (including any gift over \$100 in value from a single service provider over any twelve consecutive month period) and entertainment that may create an appearance of impropriety or a conflict of interest must be reported to, and require the approval (via the web-based compliance portal) of, the Chief Compliance Officer. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in an effort to create as comprehensive a log as possible, the Chief Compliance Officer encourages DDJ employees to report all gifts received, irrespective of value or any perceived conflict of interest, as well as all entertainment other than normal and customary business meals.

In addition, DDJ employees are also discouraged (or, in certain cases, outright restricted) from participating in outside business activities, including, without limitation, any activity that conflicts with DDJ's interests, encroaches on normal working time or otherwise impairs performance. In addition, in light of potentially conflicting fiduciary duties as well as conflicting time demands, any DDJ employee seeking to serve on the board of directors of a company must obtain the prior approval of the Chief Compliance Officer, which approval is not generally granted unless it is determined that such service would be in, or not otherwise conflict with, the best interests of DDJ's clients.

DDJ requires that its employees periodically certify their compliance with these procedures through the web-based compliance portal.

Political Contributions

DDJ has adopted a policy in compliance with Rule 206-4(5) under the Advisers Act that requires itself and its covered employees to preclear (via the web-based compliance portal) and obtain prior approval before it or they (or their spouse or their dependent children) make (i) any direct or indirect contributions to any incumbent, candidate or successful candidate for elective office of a state or local government entity, or (ii) any solicitation of contributions for any state or local political party. The purpose of this policy is to preemptively address any potentially abusive “pay-to-play” practices involving the solicitation by DDJ or its covered employees of business from various state and local governmental entities, such as public pension plans, that may also serve as clients or prospective clients of DDJ. Covered employees must also certify their political contribution activities to DDJ on a quarterly basis via the web-based compliance portal. The Chief Compliance Officer is responsible for overseeing compliance with this policy.

Whistleblower

DDJ believes that its employees form an integral part of the firm’s internal control system. Accordingly, DDJ has adopted a whistleblower policy, embedded within its Code of Ethics as well as its employee handbook, which is designed to comply in all respects with the applicable whistleblowing requirements of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

Item 12 Brokerage Practices

Best Execution

The advisory contracts entered into by DDJ generally give the firm broad discretion to select brokers with whom to execute trades on behalf of its clients. DDJ maintains an “Approved Brokers List” and, absent special circumstances, only trades with brokers that are included on such list. Any proposed, one-off trade with a counterparty not on the Approved Brokers List requires the approval of the Chief Compliance Officer (or a designee). To the extent that the DDJ trading team desires to add a new broker to the Approved Brokers List, DDJ will first perform a diligent onboarding process (including, to the extent attainable, a review of a broker’s FOCUS report and its regulatory and disciplinary history), whereby the new broker’s financial information is submitted to the Chief Financial Officer (and/or his designee) for review and approval. Following the favorable completion of this process, DDJ will add such broker to an updated Approved Brokers List and permit trades with such counterparty accordingly.

In selecting brokers for client transactions, DDJ attempts to seek the best overall combination of price and execution of purchase or sale orders under each possible circumstance (unless otherwise provided in the governing documents with respect to a particular client account). The best net price, after giving effect to brokerage commissions, spreads and other costs, is typically the most important factor in this decision, but a number of other judgmental facts will be considered by the DDJ trader as they are deemed relevant. For example, consideration may be given to the reputation, perceived soundness, and performance of the various firms, their demonstrated execution capability, both generally and in regard to particular securities transactions, and their proposed commission charges, as well as other factors, including the nature of the security or instrument being traded, the size and type of the transaction, the nature and character of the markets for the security or instrument to be purchased or sold, the desired timing of the trade, the

activity existing and expected in the market for the particular security or instrument, confidentiality, and the brokerage firm's clearance and settlement capabilities.

DDJ executes all fixed income trades on a net basis. Accordingly, broker counterparties do not charge DDJ's clients a separate commission on a fixed income trade; rather, the commission is embedded within the purchase or sale price (as reflected in the bid/ask spread). With respect to equity trades, clients of DDJ are typically charged a commission of \$0.025 per share, though a client account may pay in excess of such commission in special situations. DDJ's determination of what constitutes a reasonable commission rate (or, in the case of a fixed income trade, a reasonable bid/ask spread to the extent that such information is available) is based on the knowledge of its traders regarding competitive rates paid and charged for similar transactions in the market.

Research and Other Soft Dollar Benefits

When it appears that a number of brokerage firms can satisfy the required standards with respect to a particular transaction, DDJ may also consider research services that such firms have provided in the past or may provide in the future. Such research services may include the provision of supplemental investment research, including information on particular securities or individual companies, legal interpretations and legal developments affecting portfolio securities, investments or issuers, general, economic and political information, analytical and statistical data, relevant market information and market quotations in connection with the analysis of securities. However, in the context of the high yield fixed income market, DDJ typically does not consider these potential research services when evaluating which brokerage firm can provide its clients with best execution for any particular trade.

In connection with client transactions in publicly-traded equity securities, DDJ may utilize brokerage firms that, in lieu of providing their own proprietary research services, instead credit DDJ with "soft dollars" generated by DDJ client brokerage commissions. DDJ may then use these soft dollars to pay for eligible research services provided by a third party. DDJ believes that access to such independent research facilitates its investment decision-making processes. In return for such soft dollar benefits, DDJ may cause its clients to pay higher commissions than those that may be charged by other broker-dealers. This "paying-up" of commissions creates a potential conflict of interest, as DDJ may have an incentive to select or recommend a broker-dealer based on DDJ's interest in receiving soft dollars and accompanying research services, rather than based on DDJ's clients' best interest in receiving the most favorable execution on their transactions. Furthermore, DDJ does not use soft dollars to benefit only those accounts that generated such soft dollars, and DDJ does not allocate any ensuing soft dollar benefits (e.g., research) to client accounts proportionately to the soft dollar credits that such accounts may have generated. Accordingly, DDJ may utilize soft dollars generated by client accounts to purchase eligible research services, irrespective of whether such research material proves useful to DDJ in connection with the management of any client or any particular client accounts that may have originally generated such soft dollars. Nonetheless, DDJ may derive substantial direct or indirect benefit from these research services, particularly to the extent that DDJ uses soft dollars to pay for expenses that DDJ would be otherwise required to pay for itself.

Because DDJ generates soft dollars only by trading publicly-traded equity securities (including ETFs), which comprise a very small percentage of both DDJ's current assets under management as well as its current total trading volume, DDJ does not believe that this area represents a significant potential conflict of interest as it pertains to the best execution of its clients' trades. Nonetheless, to

address any potential conflicts of interest arising in connection with the use of any soft dollars to pay for research services, DDJ, under the direction of its Chief Compliance Officer, complies with the safe harbor regarding the use of client funds to purchase certain research services as established by Section 28(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and as such safe harbor was clarified by the SEC interpretive release effective as of July 24, 2006. Over the last fiscal year, such approved research services utilized by DDJ have included relevant market information as well as market quotations utilized in connection with the analysis of securities.

During the last fiscal year, DDJ utilized a soft dollar trading arrangement with one broker that provided an electronic trading platform. DDJ believes that the equity commission rate negotiated with such broker (\$0.025 per share) is competitive relative to the execution services provided by other brokers, and that the value of the research services obtained as a result of any ensuing soft dollar credits (which amount to \$0.015 per share) is reasonable in relation to the commission rate charged by such firm. DDJ would address any future relationships with additional broker-dealers that provided soft dollar credits on a case-by-case basis following an appropriate review by applicable DDJ trading and compliance personnel.

Brokerage for Client Referrals

In selecting or recommending broker-dealers for its clients, DDJ does not consider the possibility of client referrals from any broker-dealer. Historically, broker-dealers have not served as a source of client referrals.

Directed Brokerage

DDJ does not routinely recommend, request or require that any client direct DDJ to execute transactions through a specified broker-dealer. While DDJ will permit its clients to direct brokerage, historically clients with the authority to direct brokerage to a particular firm have not required DDJ to do so, and have instead provided DDJ with full discretion to select broker-dealers with whom to trade on their behalf; however, in certain instances, clients have reserved the right to request that DDJ direct a portion of total brokerage to certain types of brokers (e.g., minority business owned enterprises) or otherwise restrict trading by DDJ (on behalf of such client) with a specific broker. DDJ addresses any directed brokerage arrangements on a case-by-case basis. Specifying or restricting brokers may be inconsistent with obtaining overall best execution for a client transaction.

Initial Order and Allocation Guidelines

For a description of DDJ's written initial order and allocation guidelines, which govern how DDJ allocates investment opportunities amongst its client accounts, please reference Item 6 under the section entitled "Allocation of Trades".

Aggregation of Orders

Occasions commonly arise when DDJ determines to purchase or dispose of an investment in a particular security for more than one of its clients. When DDJ deems the purchase or sale of a security to be in the best interests of a number of clients, it may aggregate such securities to be purchased or sold among those client accounts in an effort to obtain best execution and lower brokerage commissions in such manner as DDJ deems equitable and fair to its clients. Furthermore,

purchases and sales over the course of a trading day through a single broker normally may be averaged as to price and allocated as to amount among the participating clients in a manner that DDJ deems fair and equitable to each client.

Trade Errors

It is DDJ's practice that its trading and accounting personnel implement all investment decisions carefully. Nevertheless, trading, settlement or other operations-related errors may occur as a result of mistakes made on the part of DDJ's personnel including, but not limited to, portfolio managers, traders and operations staff. An example of such an error is the inadvertent sale of a security in a client account when it instead should have been purchased. If a trading, settlement or operations-related error occurs, it is DDJ's policy that such error be corrected as soon as possible and, subject to the client's applicable standard of care, in such a manner that the affected client is not disadvantaged and bears no loss. Any error that results in a gain will be credited to the affected client.

In the event of the discovery of such an error involving a client account managed by DDJ, applicable trading and/or operations personnel will promptly investigate the matter and, if appropriate, convene a meeting of senior DDJ personnel to (x) determine the cause of the error; (y) assess whether a DDJ client incurred a loss (and if so, to determine any remedial action to be taken, including any notification to the affected client); and (z) recommend, if appropriate, any changes to existing procedures in an effort to prevent a similar error from recurring in the future. In all instances, the Chief Compliance Officer will be notified at or promptly after the time that the error is discovered, and to the extent that any remedial action is proposed, the Chief Compliance Officer will approve of such resolution. While DDJ is responsible for its own trade errors, it is generally not responsible for the errors of other persons with whom DDJ conducts business on behalf of its clients, including third party brokers and custodians.

Item 13 Review of Accounts

Account Reviews

DDJ assigns each client a portfolio manager, oftentimes supported by one or more assistant portfolio managers as well as other responsible investment professionals, with the requisite skills to manage the particular type of account. With the exception of certain client portfolios that are pursuing legacy investment strategies and are accordingly in their wind-down stage with limited remaining assets under management, as a general rule, client accounts are reviewed by these DDJ investment professionals on a daily basis. Such review may involve an examination of the current market value of portfolio investments, developments in portfolio companies, recent transactions, dynamics affecting the overall high yield market, and other factors affecting investment decisions with respect to the portfolio. In addition, the portfolio managers and other investment professionals meet on both an *ad hoc* and a regular basis (e.g., in the form of the Investment Review Committee or the entire research team) to discuss specific positions in, and potential investments for, client accounts. Performance of client accounts is likewise monitored by such investment professionals. Finally, DDJ also assigns each client a dedicated relationship manager, who is responsible for ensuring that such account is properly serviced, monitored and supervised, including the preparation and timely delivery of applicable client reports.

In addition, DDJ has implemented an automated compliance system, which is directly linked to the firm's trade order management system and accordingly monitors client accounts for guideline compliance on a real-time basis. The purpose of this automated compliance system is to screen individual transactions to prevent trade allocations to client accounts that do not comply with specific investment guidelines. Following the entry by a member of the DDJ trading or portfolio management team of any proposed order that triggers a pre-trade compliance alert within the portfolio management system, a member of DDJ's compliance department must resolve such alert before the order is cleared within the system and a DDJ trader is accordingly authorized to execute such trade. In addition to the foregoing, on a daily basis each night, the compliance system linked to the trade order management system conducts a post-trade compliance review to similarly confirm end-of-day compliance with such client-imposed investment restrictions and guidelines. Each day, DDJ compliance personnel review any issues identified as part of this post-trade process (e.g., a passive breach of a client guideline as a result of market movements) from the night before, and, as appropriate, elevate such concerns to the portfolio manager(s) responsible for managing the client account as well as to the firm's Chief Compliance Officer, who may then recommend remedial portfolio transactions in a manner consistent with the client's governing documents.

Client Account Reports

With respect to its separate account clients, DDJ generally reports on a monthly or quarterly basis, depending on the client's needs, the particular investment strategy and the type of assets under management. Such written reports generally include the current value of the account, the performance and attribution of the account for the time period covered, and a list of all or selective position holdings for the account. For pooled investment vehicles, DDJ (or the designated third party fund administrator) will provide the written reports and/or information required to be provided to such client's underlying investors as set forth in the fund's underlying governing documents; typically, such reports are provided on a quarterly basis (but may be as frequently as monthly), with audited financial statements and tax reports (e.g., annual Schedule K-1) also provided to such investors following the end of the applicable fiscal year. Further, DDJ will attempt to accommodate specific daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly and *ad hoc* reporting requirements requested by its clients.

Item 14 Client Referrals and Other Compensation

In limited circumstances relating to certain legacy investment strategies where DDJ previously engaged a solicitor that was responsible for the introduction of a new client relationship, DDJ may be obligated to pay to such solicitor a portion of the asset-based fees (and, to the extent applicable going forward, any performance-based fees) paid by such clients to DDJ. Given that such client accounts are presently in their wind-down stage, such solicitation fees are not material to DDJ's ongoing business (and are not expected to be going forward as well). In such circumstances, as well as with respect to any potential future fee sharing arrangements with third party solicitors, DDJ will fully comply with the provisions of Rule 206(4)-3 under the Advisers Act, including (i) requiring solicitors to make appropriate written disclosure to prospective clients required by such Rule, and (ii) receiving from its clients appropriate written acknowledgments required under such Rule prior to or at the time of entering into any advisory contract. With respect to the current arrangement, DDJ does not believe that any conflict of interest exists at this time.

In addition, in the ordinary course of business, DDJ or one of its employees may receive corporate gifts or meals and entertainment, such as tickets to cultural and sporting events or invitations to

golf outings, from service providers that conduct business with DDJ and/or its affiliates. The receipt of such gifts and other benefits is subject to certain limitations under DDJ's Code of Ethics, as set forth in Item 11 under the section entitled "Gifts and Entertainment; Outside Business Activities". With the exception of the foregoing, which DDJ believes to be customary and not excessive, no third party presently provides any economic benefit to DDJ for providing investment advisory services to DDJ's clients.

Item 15 Custody

In connection with the safekeeping of securities and other assets held in client accounts managed or advised by DDJ, DDJ has adopted certain policies and procedures designed to ensure that DDJ complies with Rule 206(4)-2 (often referred to as the "Custody Rule") under the Advisers Act.

With respect to DDJ's separate account clients, such clients select a "qualified custodian" (as defined in Rule 206(4)-2) unaffiliated with DDJ to custody the funds and assets that the client has placed under DDJ's management. With respect to the DDJ Opportunistic High Yield Fund, a U.S. mutual fund for which DDJ serves as investment advisor, such fund's assets are maintained at an independent qualified custodian selected by (but unaffiliated with) DDJ. As a result, DDJ does not hold, directly or indirectly, such client funds or assets; DDJ does not have any authority to obtain possession of such client funds or assets; and no arrangement exists under which DDJ would be authorized or permitted to withdraw client funds or securities maintained with a custodian upon DDJ's instruction to such custodian. Accordingly, consistent with the requirements of the Custody Rule, DDJ does not maintain custody of such client funds or securities.

With respect to DDJ's pooled investment vehicle clients (other than its U.S. mutual fund), although the assets of each managed vehicle are maintained by DDJ at an independent, qualified custodian, DDJ is nonetheless generally deemed to have custody of such assets under the Custody Rule because an affiliate of DDJ serves as the general partner of such respective pooled investment vehicle (and DDJ itself controls such general partner). Accordingly, as it pertains to DDJ's management of such clients' assets, DDJ has adopted internal procedures designed to comply with the requirements of the Custody Rule as well as appropriately safeguard client assets (including, without limitation, that such pooled investment vehicle must be audited at least annually by an independent public accountant, and must distribute such audited financial statements to each beneficial owner (e.g., limited partner) of the pooled investment vehicle within 120 days of the end of such vehicle's fiscal year). The Chief Compliance Officer is responsible for monitoring and reviewing compliance with these internal procedures related to compliance with the Custody Rule. DDJ urges each investor in any of its pooled investment vehicles to carefully review the applicable annual audited financial statements.

Item 16 Investment Discretion

As of February 28, 2017, DDJ manages its funds and accounts on a discretionary basis, consistent with each client's investment objectives and restrictions, with broad authority to determine the securities to be bought and/or sold, the amount of securities to be bought and/or sold, the price paid or received in connection with such transaction, and the broker-dealers to be used along with (in the case of equity securities) the related commission rates. However, with respect to certain accounts pursuing legacy investment strategies that are beyond their investment period or otherwise in their wind-down or termination stage, while DDJ retains discretionary authority to

dispose of remaining investments held within such portfolios, DDJ generally no longer has the authority to initiate new purchases.

DDJ typically negotiates the breadth of its investment powers directly with each client, which powers are then memorialized in an investment management agreement or other applicable governing document executed with the client. In general, a client will delegate broad authority to DDJ to manage its account, subject to limitations set forth in the contractual investment restrictions or guidelines that are negotiated between DDJ and such client at the inception of the relationship. Such client-specific investment restrictions or guidelines may limit DDJ's authority to invest in particular types of securities, may limit DDJ's ability to invest in debt securities above or below a certain rating, or may set a minimum or maximum percentage (relative to the account's market value) with respect to investments in a single issuer, industry or a certain type of security (e.g., equities). Clients may also impose a maximum percentage that may be invested in "illiquid" securities. Furthermore, clients may also impose socially responsible restrictions or guidelines, including prohibitions on investing in specific issuers or in those engaged in certain lines of business. In addition, when DDJ acts as sub-adviser on behalf of an asset manager that manages a mutual fund or other similar client account structured as a pooled investment vehicle, DDJ's authority to select the type and amount of securities to be bought or sold on behalf of such client may be further limited by that fund's prospectus, statement of additional information, offering memorandum or other applicable fund documents.

In order to most effectively pursue the desired investment objective, DDJ requests from each client, and such clients oftentimes grant to DDJ, a power of attorney or other written authority for DDJ to execute investment contracts, agreements and other undertakings in the name of such client as DDJ may deem necessary or advisable for, or as may be incidental to, its management of such client account. This power of attorney is typically included directly within the investment management agreement (or an equivalent document) entered into by DDJ with the client.

Item 17 Voting Client Securities

Given the credit-oriented focus of DDJ's investment strategies, DDJ primarily manages investments in fixed income, rather than equity, securities. As a result, equity investments, in particular in public companies that disseminate proxy voting materials to their shareholders, typically constitute a very small percentage of the total assets managed by DDJ (though such percentage varies by client account depending on the particular investment strategy pursued). Proxy voting therefore is typically not a material element of DDJ's significant investment strategies.

Nonetheless, in accordance with Rule 206(4)-6 under the Advisers Act, DDJ has adopted and implemented certain written proxy voting policies and procedures, which DDJ believes are reasonably designed to ensure that proxies are voted in the best interests of its clients. To the extent that a DDJ client has provided DDJ with the authority to vote proxies, DDJ votes such proxies on behalf of its clients based on its judgment as to what voting decision is most likely to maximize total return to the client as an investor in the issuer whose securities are being voted. Among other factors, DDJ may consider returns to the client on positions held in non-voting securities of that issuer, or securities of other issuers that may be materially affected by the outcome of the vote. DDJ generally reviews and makes a voting decision on each matter presented in such proxy on an individual, case-by-case basis.

In rare circumstances, it is possible that DDJ may encounter a conflict of interest with respect to a matter to be voted upon in a proxy. If DDJ identifies a potential conflict of interest, DDJ would convene a meeting of DDJ's internal proxy committee, which has been created to address situations when such conflicts arise. The internal proxy committee will then determine whether voting on such proxy matter presents an actual conflict of interest. In the event that the internal committee concludes that there is a conflict of interest, DDJ generally will request from the client, a representative of the client or an appropriate third party either a waiver of the conflict or other specific voting instructions. However, to date, DDJ has not identified any potential conflict of interest that has necessitated a meeting of the internal proxy committee to resolve.

Upon the inception of a client account, DDJ will typically negotiate for the authority, as set forth in the investment management agreement, to vote all proxy matters. DDJ believes that obtaining proxy voting authority better enables DDJ to implement its investment strategies and maximize value to its client accounts. However, in the event that a client does not grant DDJ such authority, then such client will retain proxy voting authority, or may retain the authority to direct a vote with respect to a particular solicitation. In such circumstances, clients will generally receive their proxies or other solicitations directly from their custodians, and DDJ will attempt to make its personnel available to answer any questions with respect to such matters.

In the case of fixed income securities, except to the extent otherwise set forth in an underlying management agreement with a client, DDJ also exercises discretion that it deems appropriate and in the best interests of its clients with respect to other corporate action events. These actions may include, for example, responding to debt exchanges, tender offers or consents, bankruptcy claims and class action claims, as well as voting with respect to an issuer's plan of reorganization.

If any client would like additional information regarding how DDJ has voted on specific proxies, or a copy of its proxy voting policies and procedures, please send a written request to the attention of Joshua McCarthy, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer, at DDJ Capital Management, LLC, 130 Turner Street, Building #3, Suite 600, Waltham, MA 02453, or via email to Mr. McCarthy at legal2@ddjcap.com.

Item 18 Financial Information

Registered investment advisers are required in this Item to provide their clients with certain financial information or disclosures about their financial condition.

DDJ does not require any of its clients to prepay its fees six months or more in advance.

As of February 28, 2017, DDJ has discretionary management authority with respect to each of its clients, typically subject to specific restrictions and guidelines established by each client. Furthermore, with respect to each of its private pooled investment vehicles, although DDJ maintains such assets with an independent qualified custodian, DDJ nonetheless is deemed to have custody of client funds and securities (as described under Item 15 above). At the present time, DDJ does not believe that there is any financial condition affecting DDJ that is reasonably likely to impair its ability to meet its ongoing contractual commitments to its clients.

Since its inception in 1996, DDJ has not been the subject of a bankruptcy proceeding.