

Audax Management Company (NY), LLC

320 Park Avenue, 19th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 703-2700

www.audaxgroup.com

Part 2A of Form ADV: Firm Brochure
March 28, 2024

This brochure provides information about the qualifications and business practices of Audax Management Company (NY), LLC. If you have any questions about the contents of this brochure, please contact us at compliance@audaxgroup.com. The information in this brochure has not been approved or verified by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) or by any state securities authority.

Additional information about Audax Management Company (NY), LLC also is available on the SEC’s website at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. An investment adviser’s registration with the SEC does not imply a certain level of skill or training.

Item 2. Material Changes

This brochure updates certain disclosures related to, among other things, the following:

Item 5. Information about expenses allocated to the Adviser's clients.

Item 8. Information with respect to risks related to artificial intelligence, new rules and regulations, custody/banking, and environmental, social, governance (ESG) considerations.

Item 11. Information regarding conflicts of interest related to the allocation of investment opportunities, the allocation of co-investment opportunities, and the valuation of investments.

In addition, Audax Management Company (NY), LLC routinely makes updates throughout the brochure to improve and clarify the description of its business practices, compliance policies and procedures, as well as to respond to evolving industry best practices.

This Form ADV Part 2A was last updated and filed with the SEC on March 31, 2023.

Item 3. Table of Contents

Item 1. Cover page	1
Item 2. Material Changes	2
Item 3. Table of Contents	3
Item 4. Advisory business	5
Item 5. Fees And Compensation	6
Item 6. Performance-Base Fees and Side-by-Side Management	12
Item 7. Types of Clients	13
Item 8. Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies and Risk of Loss	14
Item 9. Disciplinary Information	34
Item 10. Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations	34
Item 11. Code of Ethics, Participation or Interest in Client Transactions and Personal Trading	35
Item 12. Brokerage Practices	71
item 13. Review of Accounts	72
Item 14. Client Referrals and Other Compensation	73
Item 15. Custody	73
Item 16. Investment Discretion	73
Item 17. Voting Client Securities	74
Item 18. Financial Information	75
Item 19. Requirements for State-Registered Advisers	75

IMPORTANT NOTE ABOUT THIS BROCHURE

This brochure is not:

- **an offer or agreement to provide advisory services to any person;**
- **an offer to sell interests (or a solicitation of an offer to purchase interests) in any fund or pooled investment vehicle; or**
- **a complete discussion of the features, risks or conflicts associated with any advisory relationship, fund or investment vehicle.**

To the extent required by the US Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the “Advisers Act”), the Adviser (as defined below) provides this brochure to current and prospective clients and may also, in its discretion, provide this brochure to current or prospective investors in a fund, managed account, or pooled investment vehicle, together with the fund’s offering documents, SEC filings (if applicable), organizational documents, or other related documents, prior to, or in connection with, such person’s investment in such fund or investment vehicle or engagement of the Adviser as an investment adviser. Additionally, this brochure is available through the SEC’s Investment Adviser Public Disclosure website.

Although this publicly available brochure describes investment advisory services and products, persons who receive this brochure should be aware that it is designed solely to provide information about the Adviser as necessary to respond to certain disclosure obligations under the Advisers Act. As such, the information in this brochure will differ from information provided in relevant governing or offering documents. More complete information about each client engagement, fund, or investment vehicle is included in the relevant governing and/or offering documents.

In no event should this brochure be relied upon in determining whether to invest in a fund or investment vehicle managed by the Adviser or to otherwise engage the Adviser as an investment adviser. To the extent that there is any conflict between discussions herein and similar or related discussions in any governing documents, the relevant governing documents will govern and control.

Item 4. Advisory Business

For purposes of this brochure, the “Adviser” means Audax Management Company (NY), LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, together (where applicable) with its affiliates that provide advisory services to and/or receive advisory fees from the Clients (as defined below). Such affiliates are under common control with Audax Management Company (NY), LLC and possess a substantial identity of personnel and/or equity owners with Audax Management Company (NY), LLC. Such affiliates are typically formed for tax, regulatory, or other purposes in connection with the organization of the Funds, the BDC, or the Separate Account Entities (each as defined below) or may serve as general partners or in a similar capacity in respect of the Funds, the BDC, or the Separate Account Entities.

The Adviser provides investment supervisory services to (i) investment vehicles (each, a “Fund” and collectively, the “Funds”) that are exempt from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act”), and whose securities are not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), (ii) a business development company regulated under the 1940 Act, whose securities are not registered under the Securities Act (the “BDC”), and (iii) other institutional clients in funds of one and separately managed accounts (collectively, in such capacity, the “Separate Account Clients”), certain of which are organized as separate legal entities (collectively, the “Separate Account Entities”). The Funds, the BDC, and the Separate Account Clients are collectively referred to herein as the “Clients.”

The Clients make primarily long-term investments in (i) debt instruments and (ii) in more limited circumstances, equity securities. In accordance with the Clients’ respective investment objectives, guidelines, and restrictions, investments are generally made in a broad range of companies doing business globally. The Adviser’s advisory services consist of investigating, identifying and evaluating investment opportunities, structuring, negotiating and making investments on behalf of the Clients, managing and monitoring the performance of such investments, and disposing of such investments. The Adviser serves as the investment adviser to the Clients in order to provide such services.

The Adviser provides investment supervisory services to each Fund in accordance with the organizational and operational documents of such Fund (such as a limited partnership agreement) or a separate investment and advisory, investment management, or portfolio management agreement (each, an “Advisory Agreement”). The Adviser provides investment supervisory services to the BDC and each Separate Account Client in accordance with an Advisory Agreement negotiated with each such Client.

Investment advice is provided directly to the Funds and the BDC, subject to the discretion and control of the relevant general partner or the board of directors, respectively, and not individually to the investors in the Funds or shareholders of the BDC. The individual needs of the investors in the Funds or the BDC are not the basis of investment decisions by the Adviser. Other services are provided to the Funds and the BDC in accordance with the Advisory Agreements with the Funds and the BDC and/or organizational documents of the applicable Fund or the BDC. Investment restrictions for the Funds and the BDC are generally established in the organizational or offering documents of the applicable Fund or the BDC. Investment objectives, strategies,

guidelines and/or restrictions for a Separate Account Client are negotiated with such Separate Account Client and typically memorialized in such Separate Account Client's Advisory Agreement or Separate Account Entity agreement.

The principal owners of Audax Management Company (NY), LLC are Geoffrey S. Rehnert, Marc B. Wolpov, and Kevin P. Magid (each of whom holds interests indirectly through intermediate entities). The Adviser has been in business since March 2000. As of December 31, 2023, the Adviser's regulatory assets under management were \$19.8 billion all of which is managed on a discretionary basis.

Item 5. Fees and Compensation

The Adviser or its affiliates generally receive Advisory Fees and, in certain cases, Administrative Fees, and Carried Interest (each as defined below) or similar performance-based remuneration from each Client. Additionally, consistent with the organizational and operational documents of each Client, the Client typically bears certain out-of-pocket expenses incurred by the Adviser in connection with the services provided to the Client. Details about such fees and expenses are contained in the organizational and operational documents of a Client. Further details about certain common fees and expenses are set forth below.

As compensation for investment advisory services rendered to the Clients, the Adviser receives from each Client an advisory fee (each, an "Advisory Fee"). In certain cases, Advisory Fees paid by a Client are reduced by other fees or compensation received by the Adviser or its affiliates that relate to such Client's activities and investments. Advisory Fees paid by a Client are indirectly borne by investors in such Client. Co-Investment Vehicles (as defined below) do not pay an Advisory Fee.

The precise amount of, and the manner and calculation of, the Advisory Fees for each Fund are established by the Adviser, as modified by negotiations with investors in the Fund, and are set forth in the Fund's Advisory Agreement and/or organizational documents. Except as provided in the applicable Advisory Agreement or Fund organizational document, the Advisory Fees are generally subject to waiver or reduction by the Adviser only in its sole discretion, whether voluntarily or on a negotiated basis with selected investors. Fees differ from one Fund to another, and may differ among investors in the same Fund. For example, the Adviser may offer a discount for investors participating in a Fund's initial closing, or investors that make a certain minimum level of capital commitment. In addition, the Adviser often enters into economic and/or other fee sharing arrangements with respect to one or more Funds and/or certain investors thereof, the rights of which will generally not be made available to other investors. Advisory Fees are deducted from the assets of a Fund, or may be called as capital from Fund investors, generally on a monthly or quarterly basis (in advance or in arrears), or as otherwise set forth in the Fund's Advisory Agreement and/or organizational and operational documents..

The Advisory Fee for the BDC consists of both an annual base management fee and an incentive fee. The base management fee is calculated annually and payable quarterly in arrears and is equal to 1.0% of the value of gross assets (a portion of which has been waived or reduced by the

Adviser). The incentive fee consists of (i) an incentive fee on pre-incentive fee net investment income, payable quarterly in arrears and (ii) an incentive fee of 15% of realized capital gains, payable in arrears as of the end of each calendar year. The amount and the precise manner of calculation of the Advisory Fee for the BDC is established by the Adviser, as modified by negotiations with a BDC investor, and is set forth in the BDC's Advisory Agreement. As described below, the BDC also reimburses Audax Management Company, LLC, an affiliate of the Adviser ("AMC") for certain expenses which AMC incurs in providing administrative services to the BDC.

Advisory Fees for each Separate Account Client are individually negotiated, and generally are deducted from account assets on a monthly or quarterly basis (in advance or in arrears).

Upon termination of an Advisory Agreement, Advisory Fees that have been prepaid are generally returned on a pro-rated basis, based on the time elapsed in the applicable fee period, except as otherwise set forth in the applicable Advisory Agreement.

As compensation for administrative services rendered to certain Clients, the Adviser or AMC, (as applicable, based on each such Client's governing agreements) receives from each such Client an administrative fee (each, an "Administrative Fee"). Administrative Fees paid by a Client are indirectly borne by investors in such Client. The precise amount of, and the manner and calculation of, the Administrative Fees for the applicable Client is established by the Adviser or AMC, as modified by negotiations with the Client, and are set forth in the Client's Advisory Agreement, administration agreement, and/or organizational documents. Except as provided in the applicable Advisory Agreement, administration agreement, or organizational document, Administrative Fees are generally subject to waiver or reduction by the Adviser or AMC, in their sole discretion, whether voluntarily or on a negotiated basis with selected investors. Administrative Fees may differ from one Client to another, as well as among investors in the same Fund. Administrative Fees are deducted from the assets of a Client, or may be called as capital from Fund investors, generally on a monthly or quarterly basis (in advance or in arrears).

In addition, an affiliate of the Adviser and/or their personnel receive other fees in connection with the investment activities of the Clients, their portfolio companies and prospective portfolio companies including syndication fees, arranger fees, origination fees, loan agency fees and servicing fees, as well as fees from certain companies, that are both portfolio investments of the Adviser and portfolio companies managed by AMC, in connection with structuring investments in portfolio companies, as well as mergers, acquisitions, add-on acquisitions, refinancings, restructurings, public offerings, divestments, sales and similar transactions with respect to such actual or prospective portfolio companies (collectively, "Transaction Fees"). Generally, these Transaction Fees are in addition to reimbursements of out-of-pocket costs and expenses incurred by the Adviser in connection with generating any such fees. These Transaction Fees may be substantial and may be paid in cash, in securities of the portfolio companies (or rights thereto) or otherwise. The amount and timing of Transaction Fees received by the Adviser's affiliate are generally specified in the agreement or other documentation governing the applicable transaction.

In addition, the Adviser may waive or reduce all or a portion of any Advisory Fee paid by a Fund in full or partial satisfaction of any obligation of the Adviser and/or certain employees and affiliates of the Adviser to invest in such Fund (i.e., via a “cashless capital contribution” mechanism), although the Adviser does not expect to do so.

The Adviser and its affiliates also engage and retain advisers, consultants, and other similar professionals who are not employees or affiliates of the Adviser and who may, from time to time, receive payments from the Adviser or the Clients. Such payments or allocations can include, cash fees, transaction fees, or other compensation. In such circumstances, no such amounts will be deemed paid to or received by the Adviser or its affiliates and such amounts will not be subject to the sharing arrangements with the Funds described above.

To the extent provided in the Advisory Agreements, the administration agreements, and the partnership agreements and other organizational documents of the Funds, and except as described below as a fund expense, the Adviser or AMC, as applicable, will pay out of Advisory Fees and Administrative Fees (as applicable) certain operating expenses and costs associated with the performance of its services, including expenses on account of rent, utilities, office supplies, office equipment, the compensation and expenses of certain of its officers, partners, directors, and employees (other than Carried Interest described in Item 6 below) and other normal and routine administrative expenses relating to the services and facilities provided by the Adviser to the Funds.

Each Fund will bear all other expenses relating to it and any other out of pocket expenses incurred in connection with each Fund’s activities, investments and business (including follow on investments and refinancing), such as, but not limited to¹, (i) travel (including for corporate, chartered or first class commercial air travel, and private ground transportation, lodging and accommodations); (ii) preliminary deal sourcing (including in connection with pursuing investment opportunities and relationships that the Adviser believes may be beneficial to a Fund and marketing to, and maintaining business relationships with, private equity and similar sponsors, banks and similar activities) and general market research; (iii) consultations with industry experts and data and information service subscriptions; (iv) software (including compliance, portfolio monitoring, trading, tax preparation, reporting, administration, accounting, customer relationship management (CRM), online research and similar software); (v) fees paid to third-party valuation services and consultants for valuations, appraisals or pricing services; (vi) legal, auditing, reporting, investment banking, consulting (including consulting and retainer fees paid to consultants performing investment initiatives and other similar consultants), research (including any research or other service that may be deemed to be bundled for the benefit of such Fund, as well as the information technology systems used to obtain such research and other information), costs relating to environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) and impact assessments and reporting, brokerage and finders’ fees and commissions and discounts incurred in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, custody, bank fees, hedging, currency conversion, regulatory, compliance, reporting (including securities filings related to a Fund), accounting (including any third-party or outsourced accounting or similar services at any level in a Fund’s structure), transfer, registration, depository (including a depository appointed pursuant to applicable law), advisory board, and other similar fees and expenses including filing and

¹ Audax: We’ve included some updates from our model for your consideration.

similar fees paid on behalf of a Fund including reimbursements of any fees and expenses to advisers, services providers and other third parties; (vii) tax expenses and expenses related to a Fund's financial statements, tax returns, Schedule K-1s, tax estimates and filings (including, without limitation, expenses related to the foregoing incurred to allow a Fund, its general partner, or their affiliates to comply with non-U.S. and U.S. federal, local and state tax laws and regulations during the term of the Fund); (viii) administration and similar services (including any third-party or outsourced administrators at any level in a Fund's structure, whether undertaken now or in the future, providing services related to legal entity management, execution and recordkeeping, investor account maintenance, investor reporting (including expenses relating to preparing, printing and distributing of investor reports and notices physically or electronically, and for electronic distribution, the software used to electronically distribute such reports and notices), expenses associated with making capital calls and distributions to investors, including fees and expenses of information technology used to facilitate all such activities, accounts payable and billing, investor diligence, and data collection and management); (ix) all costs of Fund leverage, including origination, interest, and other similar fees and expenses, and extraordinary expenses; (x) expenses generated in the course of sourcing, evaluating, diligencing, investigating, researching, and making proposed investments for which the Adviser had selected any applicable Fund as a proposed investor but that are not consummated, including legal expenses incurred in connection with claims or disputes related to such unconsummated investments ("Broken Deal Expenses"); (xi) expenses of the Funds advisory boards and annual meetings of the limited partners, regardless of whether all limited partners are invited to participate in or attend such meetings (in each case including the costs related to set-up, licensing and related fees and expenses related to virtual meetings, speaker fees, honorarium, lodging, dining, entertainment, and travel expenses, as well as other advisory board expenses (including legal counsel, auditors, financial advisor or other advisors or experts retained to assist the advisory board)); (xii) insurance premiums (including director and officer or general partner liability insurance and insurance of which the Adviser and its affiliates are beneficiaries); (xiii) fees, costs and expenses related to the organization, establishment, maintenance, administration or dissolution of any alternative investment vehicle or any intermediary or special purpose entity used to acquire, hold or dispose of an investment or to otherwise facilitate a Fund's investment activities; (xiv) fees and expenses related to compliance with tax, securities law or other legal or regulatory requirements or applicable ESG or impact initiatives (including non-U.S. and U.S. federal, local and state laws and regulations) applicable to the Adviser, the general partner, their affiliates, a Fund or its investors, including expenses arising as a result of the offering and sale of interests in a Fund in any jurisdiction (including expenses arising as a result of, the offering and sale of interests in a Fund in any jurisdiction, including expenses incurred to comply with the requirements of Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive ("AIFMD"), as implemented in any relevant jurisdiction (and including any secondary legislation, rules and/or associated guidance) and any related guidance). (xv) out-of-pocket costs and expenses, if any, associated with any third-party examination or audits (including similar services) of a Fund or the Adviser that are attributable to the operation of such Fund or requested by one or more investors in a Fund; (xvi) expenses incurred in connection with complying with provisions of investor side letter agreements, including "most favored nation" provisions; (xvii) the costs associated with any amendments, modifications, revisions or restatements to the governing documents of a Fund; (xviii) where permitted under a Fund's governing documents, certain expenses incurred in connection with the syndication of such Fund's investments and credit facilities and the ongoing

oversight and administration of such credit facilities; (xix) where permitted in a Client's governing documents or other agreements, an allocable portion of compensation (including, without limitation, salary, bonus, payroll taxes and benefits), expenses, and overhead (including, without limitation, rent, property taxes and utilities allocable to the workspaces) attributable to certain employees, partners, members, or officers of the Adviser and its affiliates, and maintain facilities/systems of the Adviser in respect of services provided for the benefit of such Fund, as reasonably determined and documented by the Adviser, including related to overseeing leverage and credit facilities, syndication of a Fund's investments, ongoing loan administration, portfolio valuation, providing credit facilities (including revolving, "delayed draw," and similar credit facilities) to portfolio companies, and similar matters (such items referred to in this clause (xix) being referred to herein as "Administration Services"); (xx) costs of leverage incurred by a Fund and other similar fees and expenses, all interest on borrowed funds (if any), and other expenses relating to the financing, negotiating, effecting, maintaining, varying, refinancing, or terminating of any indebtedness of, guarantees or other obligations of such Fund (including via common leverage facilities shared with other vehicles managed by the Adviser); (xxi) other expenses associated with the identification, investigation, acquisition, holding, winding up, liquidation, and disposition of the Funds' investments, including extraordinary costs, expenses, judgments, indemnifications, and settlements (such as litigation (including discovery requests) and arbitration, if any), expenses related to transactions that may have been offered to co-investors, and taxes, fees, duties, penalties or other governmental charges levied against the Funds; (xxii) any other fees and expenses approved by a Fund's advisory board; and (xxiii) the Advisory Fee. Each Fund also generally bears its organizational and offering expenses.

The Adviser or its affiliates may structure any co-investment opportunities so that the proposed co-investors do not bear any Broken Deal Expenses if the investment is not consummated, regardless of whether such proposed co-investors have committed to invest in the proposed investment opportunity. In such instances, all Broken Deal Expenses would be borne by the Client or Clients proposed to invest in the investment opportunity.

The fees and expenses, if any, associated with acquiring, holding or disposing of any securities acquired in a co-investment transaction where the BDC is a participant and that is subject to the Co-Investment Order (as defined below) (including, without limitation, the expenses of the distribution of any such securities registered for sale under the Securities Act) will, to the extent not payable by the Adviser under its respective Advisory Agreement, be shared by the participating Clients pro rata in proportion to the relative amounts of the securities held or to be acquired or disposed of, as the case may be.

In addition, the Adviser and its affiliates have discretion to (i) receive performance-based compensation, Advisory Fees or similar fees from co-investors and (ii) collect customary fees in connection with actual or contemplated investments that are the subject to co-investment arrangements.

Separate Account Clients and the BDC bear expenses similar to the expenses borne by the Funds, depending on the terms of their Advisory Agreements, offering documents, organizational documents, or Separate Account Entity agreements. In addition, the BDC reimburses AMC for its allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by AMC in

performing its obligations as the BDC's administrator under the administration agreement between AMC and the BDC, including an allocable portion of (i) rent, (ii) fees and expenses associated with performing compliance functions, and (iii) costs, compensation and related expenses of its chief financial officer, chief compliance officer, and their respective staffs. As noted above, certain expense allocations are mandated by the SEC pursuant to the Co-Investment Order.

The manner in which certain fees, costs and expenses will be allocated between a Fund, a Separate Account Client, the BDC, a Co-Investment Vehicle, and the Adviser (each, an "Allocable Party") is summarized in the Advisory Agreement and/or in the organizational, operational, and offering documents of the applicable Client, as well as the Adviser's policies and procedures. Typically, where fees, costs and expenses are incurred for the benefit of one Allocable Party, (for instance, with respect to a feeder fund created for the benefit of certain Fund investors), the Adviser will allocate 100% of such fees, costs and expenses to such Allocable Party, subject to the terms of the partnership agreements and the discretion of the Adviser. Similarly, to the extent fees, costs and expenses are incurred in connection with regulatory, tax, accounting, marketing or similar requirements applicable to a particular Allocable Party, the Adviser will typically allocate 100% of such fees, costs and expenses to such Allocable Party subject to any requirements in the partnership agreements and the discretion of the Adviser. To the extent not addressed in the organizational documents or Advisory Agreements of a Fund, a Separate Account Client, the BDC, or a Co-Investment Vehicle, the Adviser will make any such expense allocations in a fair and equitable manner using its good faith judgment, notwithstanding its interest (if any) in the allocation (which such methodologies may include pro rata allocation based on the respective capital commitments of a Fund, pro rata allocation based on the respective investment (or anticipated investment) of an Allocable Party in an investment, capital invested over a relevant time period, assets under management of the Allocable Parties, the relative benefit received by an Allocable Party, or such other fair and equitable method as determined by the Adviser in its sole discretion). The Adviser will make any corrective allocations and take any mitigating steps if it determines in its sole discretion such corrections are necessary or advisable to ensure allocations are equitable on an overall basis in its good faith judgment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the portion of an expense allocated to a Client and/or the Adviser for a particular service will not necessarily reflect the relative benefit derived by such Client and/or the Adviser from that service in any particular instance and the Adviser can determine an allocation of expenses to be fair and equitable even where a Client is required to bear more than its proportional share of such fees or expenses relative to other Allocable Parties receiving the same service or participating in the same transaction. In addition, a Client will bear more or less of a particular expense based on the methodology used and a Client will bear more or less of a particular expense based on the number of Allocable Parties the Adviser selects to bear the expense in its initial allocation determination. When making expense allocation determinations, the Adviser generally will allocate an expense to one or more Allocable Parties that are in existence and identified as such at the time the expense allocation determination is made. Accordingly, it can be expected that in certain cases Allocable Parties that were not in existence or otherwise identified as Allocable Parties at the time an expense is allocated will ultimately benefit from a particular expense, without having borne any portion of such expense, and in such cases the Adviser will not re-allocate the expense to each such future Allocable Party, and such future Allocable Part(ies) will

benefit at the expense of other Allocable Parties, including the Clients. The manner in which such expenses are allocated involves inherent matters of discretion (e.g., in determining whether to allocate pro rata based on number of Funds, Separate Account Clients or Co-Investment Vehicles receiving related benefits, proportionately in accordance with the amount of assets held, or some other manner). The Funds, Separate Account Clients, and the BDC have different expense reimbursement terms, including with respect to management fee offsets, which may result in such entities bearing different levels of expenses with respect to the same investment. For additional information regarding how conflicts of interest (such as those that arise with respect to the allocation of expenses) are generally addressed by the Adviser, please see Item 11 below.

In addition, there will be occasions where a Client procures borrowing through a subscription line or credit facility in order to make an investment, syndicating out a portion of the investment to another Allocable Party. Subject to the organizational and offering documents of a Client, the borrowing Client will bear the entire cost of interest from the borrowing, even though the investment may ultimately be made by other Allocable Parties, which has the ability of disproportionately benefiting certain participating parties, such as the applicable general partner or a co-investor. Further, while highly unlikely, it is possible that one of the Allocable Parties could default on its obligations to reimburse the paying Allocable Party.

Additionally, please see Item 6 below regarding “Carried Interest” and incentive fees that Clients may pay.

Although the Adviser does not generally utilize the services of broker-dealers to effect portfolio transactions for the Clients, when a broker is used in connection with an investment by a Client, such Client will incur brokerage and other transaction costs. For additional information regarding brokerage practices, please see Item 12 below.

Item 6. Performance-Based Fees and Side-By-Side Management

With respect to each Fund, a portion of the profits of the Fund is allocated to the capital account of its general partner as “carried interest” (the “Carried Interest”). Each general partner of a Fund is a related person of the Adviser.

Separate Account Clients also may pay incentive fees, depending on the terms of their Advisory Agreements, as does the BDC. The incentive fees for the BDC, as described in Item 5 above, are set forth in the BDC’s Advisory Agreement.

Incentive fees may cause the Adviser to favor or recommend investments that are riskier than investments that would have been recommended without an incentive fee. In addition, the payment of Carried Interest and/or incentive fees at varying rates may create an incentive for the Adviser to disproportionately allocate time, services, or functions to Clients paying Carried Interest or incentive fees at a higher rate, or to allocate investment opportunities to such Clients. With respect to certain Funds, and except as may be otherwise set forth in the organizational documents of the Funds, this conflict is mitigated, at least in part, by provisions restricting the

Adviser and its principals from establishing a new investment fund with objectives substantially similar to those of the applicable Fund until the earlier of (i) the end of the Fund's investment period or (ii) such time as the applicable Fund is invested or committed beyond a percentage set forth in the organizational documents of such Fund (including amounts reserved for follow-on investments and reasonably anticipated expenses and liabilities or reserves of the applicable Fund). With respect to certain other Funds, the Separate Account Clients, and the BDC, this conflict is largely mitigated by the Adviser's allocation policy, which generally requires Clients to be allocated investment opportunities in a fair and equitable manner, over time. Additionally, the Adviser periodically considers the time and services being devoted to Clients to seek to ensure that the necessary resources are being allocated to each Client. The Adviser, or its affiliate, may waive Carried Interest and/or incentive fees with respect to one or more investors, in its sole discretion. Please also see Item 12 below (regarding trade aggregation), as well as Item 11 below, for additional information regarding how conflicts of interest are generally addressed by the Adviser.

Item 7. Types of Clients

The Adviser currently provides investment supervisory services to the Funds and the BDC. Investment advice is provided directly to the Funds and the BDC (subject to the direction and control of the general partner of each Fund, if applicable) and the BDC (subject to the oversight of the boards of directors of the BDC), and not individually to investors in the Funds or shareholders of the BDC. The Adviser also provides advisory services to the Separate Account Clients (including via Separate Account Entities).

Interests in the Funds, the BDC, and the Separate Account Entities are offered pursuant to applicable exemptions from registration under the Securities Act and the 1940 Act. Investors in the Funds, the BDC, and the Separate Account Clients are generally "qualified purchasers" as defined in the 1940 Act, and may include, among others, pension and profit-sharing plans, university endowments, corporations, high net worth individuals, banks, thrift institutions, trusts, estates, charitable organizations, limited partnerships, and limited liability companies or other entities.

The Adviser does not have a minimum size for a Fund, but minimum investment commitments may be established for investors in the Funds. The general partner of each Fund may in its sole discretion permit investments below the minimum amounts set forth in the offering documents of such Fund. While the Adviser does not impose a minimum amount for establishing a separate account, separate accounts generally are established with a \$100 million minimum, though the Adviser, in its sole discretion, may permit investments that are less than such minimum.

Item 8. Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies and Risk of Loss

Methods of Analysis and Investment Strategies

Audax Senior Debt Funds, the BDC and the Separate Account Clients. The Adviser seeks to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns by capitalizing on inefficiencies within the middle market senior loan asset class. The Adviser invests primarily in senior secured bank loans and, to a lesser extent, in unsecured loans and other instruments (including unitranche and stretch senior secured loans) that are attractively priced relative to the cash flows and underlying credit quality of the borrower. With respect to certain clients, based on the investment parameters of such client, the Adviser may dedicate a more significant portion of such client's investment portfolio to unitranche and similar debt as well unsecured loans. The majority of financing activity for the senior debt funds and separate accounts is from middle market leveraged buyouts, utilizing the primary or secondary markets along with refinancing or recapitalization activity. To minimize risk, the Adviser initially screens each potential investment, reviewing the borrower's industry, company information, planned financial structure, deal size, and expected pricing. The Adviser next performs an in-depth review of the transaction, generally including an analysis of the borrower's industry and company-specific dynamics along with an extensive financial analysis of the proposed investment. Once an investment has been made, the Adviser regularly monitors performance, evaluating the investment on both a stand-alone basis and on a portfolio basis.

Audax Mezzanine Funds. The Adviser invests in a diversified portfolio of privately negotiated debt and debt-like securities issued in connection with leveraged transactions. The investments generally have both debt and equity components, and may include subordinated debt, senior debt, mezzanine debt, second lien debt, convertible debt, preferred equity, common equity, or common equity warrants. With a focus on principal preservation, the Adviser seeks to maximize deal flow from multiple sources, performing thorough credit analysis and due diligence on investment opportunities. To reduce risk, the Adviser reviews potential investments with a focus on identifying common deficiencies and risks of potential investments. Factors considered typically include, among other things, fundamental business considerations, industry, management, financial performance, leverage, and the private equity sponsor.

Audax Direct Lending Solutions Funds. The Adviser will invest in loans to private middle market companies based primarily in the United States and Canada, including unitranche and stretch senior secured loans, first and second lien loans, and select investments in equity and other similar investments. With a focus on principal preservation, the Adviser performs due diligence and credit analysis, invests in a diversified portfolio of investments by size and industry, closely monitors portfolio investments, and maintains direct relationships with the controlling shareholder group and portfolio company management. The Adviser will seek to invest in businesses with leading market positions that are defensible against potential new entrants, have demonstrated strength through various economic cycles, and possess multiple sources of cash flow. The Adviser will also seek to invest in equity securities of the companies to which it lends.

Risks

Investing in securities involves a substantial degree of risk. A Client may lose all or a substantial portion of its investment, and Separate Account Clients, BDC shareholders, and Fund investors must be prepared to bear the risk of a complete loss of their investments.

In addition, material risks relating to one or more of the investment strategies and methods of analysis described above, and to the types of securities typically purchased by or for Clients, include the following, each of which is described in more detail in the applicable Fund's or the Regulated Fund's offering documents and Separate Account Client agreements:

Business Risks. A Client's investment portfolio is expected to consist primarily of securities issued by privately held companies; those companies may have significant risks as a result of business, financial, market or legal uncertainties. As a result, operating results in a specified period may be difficult to predict. Such investments involve a high degree of business and financial risk that may result in substantial losses.

Key-Person Risk. The Clients are dependent on the expertise of senior professionals employed by the Adviser. The unavailability of our investment professionals could impact the sourcing and structuring of potential transactions and thus adversely affect the rates of return generated by each Fund. The Adviser is also subject to the risk that it may lose the services of key personnel, and it may be difficult or disruptive to replace the experience of key personnel and the relationships developed by such personnel with other professionals and financial institutions. The Adviser anticipates being able to continue hiring top quality investment professionals, which in turn, should mitigate the risks associated with the loss of investment professionals on the performance of a Client.

Future Performance. The performance of the Adviser's senior investment professionals' and the Advisers' prior investments is not necessarily indicative of a Client's future results. While the Adviser intends to make investments that have estimated returns commensurate with the risks undertaken, there can be no assurance that any targeted internal rate of return will be achieved. On any given investment, loss of principal is possible.

Long-Term Investments. It is uncertain as to when profits, if any, will be realized by the Clients. Losses on unsuccessful investments may be realized before gains on successful investments are realized. The return of capital and the realization of gains, if any, generally will occur only upon the partial or complete disposition or refinancing of an investment. While an investment may be sold at any time, it is generally expected that this will not occur for a number of years after the initial investment, and the Clients' investments are generally expected to be illiquid. Furthermore, the expenses of operating a Client (including Advisory Fees) may exceed its income, thereby requiring that the difference be paid from such Client's capital, including unfunded capital commitments.

Credit and Interest Rate Risks of Debt Securities. Debt portfolios are subject to credit and interest rate risk. "Credit risk" refers to the likelihood that an issuer will default in the payment of principal and/or interest on an instrument. Financial strength and solvency of an issuer are the primary factors influencing credit risk. In addition, subordination, lack or inadequacy of

collateral, or credit enhancement for a debt instrument may affect its credit risk. Credit risk may change over the life of an instrument and securities which are rated by rating agencies are often reviewed and may be subject to downgrade. “Interest rate risk” refers to the risk associated with market changes in interest rates. Interest rate changes may affect the value of a debt instrument indirectly (especially in the case of fixed rate securities) and directly (especially in the case of instruments whose rates are adjustable). In general, rising interest rates will negatively impact the price of a fixed rate debt instrument and falling interest rates will have a positive effect on price. Adjustable rate instruments also react to interest rate changes in a similar manner although generally to a lesser degree (depending, however, on the characteristics of the reset terms, including the index chosen, frequency of reset and reset caps or floors, among other factors). Interest rate sensitivity is generally more pronounced and less predictable in instruments with uncertain payment or prepayment schedules.

Non-Controlling Investments. The Adviser anticipates that each Client will principally hold debt obligations and/or minority equity positions, non-controlling interests in portfolio companies and, therefore, its ability to protect the Client’s position in such companies will be limited to its rights as a debt holder and/or minority equity owner and not as a controlling equity owner. Although the Adviser will monitor the performance of each investment, it primarily will be the responsibility of a portfolio company’s management to operate the company on a day-to-day basis. In the case of the BDC, the 1940 Act requires the BDC to offer to provide certain portfolio companies with “significant managerial assistance” and to provide such assistance if requested, however, portfolio companies are under no obligation to accept such assistance. The Adviser will seek appropriate creditor and/or shareholder rights to help protect the Client’s interest and will seek to have the right to participate in or influence the business of some portfolio companies.

Leverage. Certain Clients will utilize leverage, whether directly or indirectly through the use of one or more financing vehicles. Such Clients are not limited in the type of financing or borrowings that they may enter into, which could include bank credit facilities, term facilities, subscription facilities, revolving facilities, securitization vehicles (including CLOs), or other methods of borrowing or financing to finance pending capital contributions or to finance a portion of an investment in one or more portfolio companies. In connection with such borrowings, a Client (or its financing vehicles) will be expected to pledge or otherwise collateralize its assets. The use of leverage will increase the volatility of the Client’s investment portfolio. Leverage generally magnifies both the Client’s opportunities for gain and its risk of loss from a particular investment. While the use of borrowed funds will increase returns if the Client earns a greater return on the incremental investments purchased with borrowed funds than it pays for such borrowed funds, the use of leverage will decrease returns if the Client fails to earn as much on such incremental investments as it pays for such borrowed funds. The effect of leverage may therefore result in a greater decrease in the net asset value of the Client’s investment portfolio than if the Client were not leveraged. The use of leverage will also result in interest expense and other costs to the Client. There can be no assurance that a Client will have sufficient cash flow to meet their debt service obligations and as a result, a Client’s exposure to losses may be increased due to the illiquidity of their investments generally.

There can also be no assurance that a Client will be able to obtain indebtedness on terms similar to those available to prior funds or to competitors, including terms that may be currently available in the market, or that indebtedness will be accessible by the Client at any time, or that the Client will be able to obtain appropriate amounts of leverage, and to the extent that it is available there can be no assurance that such indebtedness will be on terms equitable to the Client, including with respect to interest rates, or that such indebtedness will remain available throughout the term of the Client's investment activities. The cost and availability of leverage is highly dependent on the state of the broader credit markets (and such credit markets may be impacted by regulatory restrictions and guidelines), which is difficult to accurately forecast, and at times it may be difficult to obtain or maintain the desired degree of leverage. Moreover, the use of leverage may cause certain of a Client's income to be unrelated business taxable income to U.S. tax exempt investors. Should the target amount of leverage not be obtained or used by a Client, the total returns for the Client may be lower than they would have been had such target amount of leverage been used. In addition, certain types of leverage that certain clients will incur is expected to be secured by capital commitments made by such Client's investors and such investors' contributions may be required to be made directly to the lenders instead of the Client.

In addition, the companies in which a Client will invest are likely to be highly leveraged, thereby increasing the credit risk inherent in each investment. Leverage often imposes restrictive financial and operating covenants on a company, in addition to the burden of debt service, and may impair its ability to finance future operations and capital needs. The leveraged capital structure of portfolio companies will increase the exposure of Clients' investments to any deterioration in a company's condition or industry, competitive pressures, an adverse economic environment or rising interest rates. The Clients' investments may be junior to substantial amounts of indebtedness, a significant portion of which may be secured and bear floating interest rates. In addition, this leverage is likely to accelerate and magnify declines in the value of a Client's investments in the leveraged portfolio companies in a down market. In the event any portfolio company cannot generate adequate cash flow to meet debt service, a Client may suffer a partial or total loss of capital invested in the portfolio company, which would adversely affect the returns of a Client. Furthermore, the companies in which a Client may invest are generally not be rated by a credit rating agency.

Market Conditions. There can be no assurance that the market for a Client's investments will be liquid. For example, during certain periods, liquidity in the market for leveraged bank loans may constrict significantly, resulting in a decline in the market price for many of these assets. There can be no assurance that such a liquidity crisis will not occur. Illiquidity in the market may adversely affect a Client's ability to dispose of its assets.

Conditions in the credit markets may have a significant impact on the business of a Client. The credit markets in the U.S. have experienced a variety of difficulties and economic conditions in recent years that have adversely affected the performance and market value of many securities and financial instruments. There can be no assurance that a Client will not suffer material adverse effects from broad and rapid changes in market conditions in the future. Among other things, the level of investment opportunities may decline from the Adviser's current expectations. As a result, fewer investment opportunities may be available to a Client; although if credit markets remain constrained, a Client may have the opportunity to take larger positions in potential transactions. One possible consequence is that a Client may take a larger than

anticipated period to invest capital, as a result of which, at least for some period of time, a Client may be relatively concentrated in a limited number of investments. Consequently, during this period, the returns realized by investors may be substantially adversely affected by the unfavorable performance of a small number of these investments. A Client may seek to capitalize on opportunities created by future market dislocations, but such a strategy carries significant risk of substantial loss if such dislocations occur or if market conditions are adversely affected by other events, such as the failure of significant financial institutions or private equity or hedge funds, dislocations in other investment markets, or extrinsic events.

A portion of Clients' returns will be derived from the realization of capital gains that will depend in part on the market conditions at that time. The condition of the public and private financial markets, as well as the general economic climate, may have an adverse impact on investment value and therefore a Client's ability to generate favorable returns on investment. General fluctuations in the market prices of securities and interest rates may affect a Client's investment opportunities and the value of the Client's investments.

Uncertain Economic and Political Environment. Consumer, corporate and financial confidence may be adversely affected by current or future tensions around the world, fear of terrorist activity and/or military conflicts, localized or global financial crises or other sources of political, social or economic unrest. Such erosion of confidence may lead to or extend a localized or global economic downturn. In addition, limited availability of credit for consumers, homeowners and businesses, including credit used to acquire businesses, in an uncertain environment or economic downturn may have an adverse effect on the economy generally and on the ability of a Client and its portfolio companies to execute their respective strategies and to receive an attractive multiple of earnings on the disposition of businesses. Economic uncertainty or a general economic downturn may have an adverse effect upon a Client's portfolio companies.

Inflation and Deflation Risk. Inflation risk is the risk that the value of certain investments or income thereon will be worth less in the future as inflation decreases the value of money. As inflation increases, the real value of the Fund's investments can decline. Deflation risk is the risk that prices decline over time – the opposite of inflation. Deflation may have an adverse effect on the creditworthiness of companies in which Clients invest and may make defaults more likely, which may result in a decline in the value of the Clients' investments. In recent years, multiple world governments, as well as inter-governmental institutions, have undertaken, and in some cases may still be undertaking, various forms of fiscal stimulus measures, including setting interest rates that are at historic lows and undertaking, so called "quantitative easing." Such stimuli, unless successfully managed and scaled back at the appropriate time, may be inflationary. In addition, there is significant concern in macroeconomic terms about the levels of indebtedness carried by certain governments. While bringing with it a range of issues, one of the consequences of an extended period of a higher-than-desired level of inflation, is often to erode in real terms the value of government debt. This element of debt erosion may create an incentive for governments to be less robust in seeking to deal with inflation than might otherwise have been the case had the government concerned not suffered from a high level of indebtedness. If such inflation occurs it would have the negative consequences for the Fund set out above.

Enhanced Scrutiny. There continues to be discussions regarding enhanced governmental scrutiny and/or increased regulation of the private funds industry. There can be no assurance that

any such scrutiny or regulation will not have an adverse impact on a Client's activities, including the ability of the Client to effectively and timely address such regulations, implement operating improvements or otherwise execute its investment strategy or achieve its investment objectives.

Legal, Tax and Regulatory Risks. Legal, tax and regulatory changes could occur that may adversely affect Clients at any time during their term and may be applied with retroactive effect. The legal, tax and regulatory environment for funds that invest in alternative investments is evolving, and changes in the regulation and market perception of such funds, including changes to existing laws, and regulations and increased criticism of the private funds and alternative asset industry by some politicians, regulators and market commentators, may adversely affect the ability of a Client to pursue its investment strategy and the value of investments held by the it. In recent years, market disruptions and the dramatic increase in the capital allocated to alternative investment strategies have led to increased governmental as well as self-regulatory scrutiny of the alternative investment fund industry in general, and certain legislation proposing greater regulation of the industry periodically is considered by governing bodies of both U.S. and non-U.S. jurisdictions. It is impossible to predict what, if any, changes may be instituted with respect to the regulations applicable to the Adviser, general partners, Clients and their affiliates, the markets in which they trade and invest or the counterparties with which they do business, or what effect such legislation or regulations might have. There can be no assurance that the Adviser, general partners, Clients and their affiliates will be able, for financial reasons or otherwise, to comply with future laws and regulations, and any regulations which restrict the ability of a Client to implement its investment strategy could have a material adverse impact on the its portfolio. To the extent that the Client or the Client's investments are or may become subject to regulation by various governmental agencies, the costs of compliance will be borne by the Client.

Widening Risk. The prices of the securities and other financial assets in which a Client invests may decline substantially and such decline may not always be attributable to any of the risks described herein. For example, the mere fact that the Client may be purchasing assets at what may appear to be "undervalued" levels is no guarantee that these assets will not be trading at even lower levels or have no value at a time of valuation or at the time of sale. It is not possible to predict, or to hedge against, such "spread widening" risk.

Concentration of Investments. Certain Clients will participate in a limited number of investments. A consequence of the fact that the Client may make a limited number of investments is that the aggregate returns realized by the Clients and investors may be substantially adversely affected by the unfavorable performance of a small number of these investments. Furthermore, the Clients have limited guidelines for diversification by industry, and investments may be concentrated in only a few industries. As such, if its assets were concentrated in a particular industry, sector, geography, or similar category, a Client would be subject to an increased risk of loss if there were a decline in the market value of any security in which the Client had invested a large percentage of its assets or there were adverse business conditions in such industry, sector, or geography or similar group of companies. If a large portion of the assets of a Client is held in cash or similarly liquid form, the Client's performance might also be affected.

Bank Loans. Certain Clients generally will invest in loans originated by banks and other financial institutions, which may include term loans and revolving loans, may pay interest at a fixed or

floating rate and may be senior or subordinated. Purchasers of middle market bank loans are predominantly commercial banks, investment funds, and investment banks. Middle market bank loans are generally illiquid investments, and there can be no assurance that future levels of supply and demand in bank loan trading will provide an adequate degree of liquidity or that the market will not experience periods of significant illiquidity in the future.

Participation Investments. A Client may acquire interests in investments either directly (by way of sale or assignment) or indirectly (by way of participation). The purchaser of an assignment typically succeeds to all the rights and obligations of the assigning institution and becomes a direct lender under the credit agreement with respect to the debt obligation; however, its rights can be more restricted than those of the assigning institution. Participation interests in a portion of a debt obligation typically result in a contractual relationship only with the institution participating out the interest, not with the borrower. In purchasing participations, a Client generally will have no right to enforce compliance by the borrower with the terms of the loan agreement, nor any rights of set-off against the borrower, and the Client may not directly benefit from the collateral supporting the debt obligation in which it has purchased the participation. As a result, the Client will assume the credit risk of both the borrower and the institution selling the participation.

In addition, in the event of the insolvency of the selling institution, under the laws of certain jurisdictions a Client may be treated as a general unsecured creditor of such selling institution, and may not have any exclusive or senior claim with respect to the selling institution's interest in, or the collateral with respect to, the secured loan. Consequently, in such circumstances, a Client will be subject to the credit risk of the selling institution as well as that of the borrower. Certain of the secured loans or loan participations may be governed by the law of a foreign jurisdiction which may present additional risks as regards the characterization of such transaction as a participation under such laws of such participation in the event of the insolvency of the selling institution or the borrower.

Risks Related to CLO Equity. To the extent that a Client invests in collateralized loan obligations (“CLOs”) or uses CLOs as part of its leverage program, that Client may make a significant investment in CLO equity (e.g., the most junior tranche of a CLO). CLO equity is contractually subordinated to the other liabilities of the applicable issuer. As a result of such subordination, to the extent that any losses are suffered with respect to the underlying assets for such investment securities, such losses will be borne in the first instance by holders of the equity tranche before any losses would be borne by the holders of the more senior classes of securities or loans issued by the applicable CLO.

Below Investment Grade Debt. A Client investing primarily in senior debt generally invests in floating rate instruments, including, without limitation, “higher yielding” (and, therefore, higher risk) debt securities. Unless otherwise specified by the Client, a portion of such investments will be unrated or rated below investment grade by one or more nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and may face ongoing uncertainties and exposure to adverse business, financial or economic conditions which could lead to the issuer's inability to meet timely interest and principal payments. In certain periods, there may be little or no liquidity in markets for these loans. The lower rating of these debt instruments reflects a greater possibility that adverse changes in the financial condition of the obligor or in general economic conditions

(including, for example, a substantial period of rising interest rates or declining earnings, recession, or major financial crisis) or both may impair the ability of the obligor to make payment of principal and interest. Below investment-grade securities have historically experienced greater default rates than has been the case for investment-grade securities. The market values of certain of these lower-rated debt securities tend to reflect individual corporate developments to a greater extent than do higher rated securities, which react primarily to fluctuations in the general level of interest rates. The markets for these debt instruments tend to be more volatile, less liquid and less active than those for higher-rated instruments, which can adversely affect the price at which these instruments can be sold and may make it impractical or impossible to sell such securities at times of market dislocation. In addition, adverse publicity and investor perceptions, whether or not based on fundamental analysis, may also decrease the value and liquidity of these debt instruments.

The instruments in which a Client invests are often issued in connection with leveraged acquisitions or recapitalizations in which the issuers incur a substantially higher amount of indebtedness than the level at which they had previously operated. A Client may also invest in equity securities issued by entities with unrated or below investment-grade debt.

LIBOR Replacement Risk. Payment obligations, financing terms and investments in many financial instruments (including debt securities and derivatives) may be tied to floating rates, such as the London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”). In 2017, the UK Financial Conduct Authority (“FCA”) announced its intention to cease compelling banks to provide the quotations needed to sustain LIBOR after 2021. ICE Benchmark Administration, the administrator of LIBOR, ceased publication of most LIBOR settings on a representative basis at the end of 2021 and is expected to cease publication of a majority of U.S. dollar LIBOR settings on a representative basis after June 30, 2023. In addition, global regulators have announced that, with limited exceptions, no new LIBOR-based contracts should be entered into after 2021. Actions by regulators have resulted in the establishment of alternative reference rates to LIBOR in most major currencies (e.g., the Secured Overnight Financing Rate for U.S. dollar LIBOR and the Sterling Overnight Interbank Average Rate for GBP LIBOR). Various financial industry groups have been planning for the transition away from LIBOR, and markets are developing in response to these new rates, but questions around the liquidity of the new rates and how to appropriately adjust these rates to eliminate any economic value transfer at the time of transition remain a significant concern. It is difficult to predict the full impact of the transition away from LIBOR on the Clients. The transition process may involve, among other things, increased volatility or illiquidity in markets for instruments that rely on LIBOR. The transition may also result in a reduction in the value of certain LIBOR-based investments held by the Clients or reduce the effectiveness of related transactions such as hedges. Any such effects of the transition away from LIBOR, as well as other unforeseen effects, could result in losses for the Clients. Since the usefulness of LIBOR as a benchmark could also deteriorate during the transition period, effects could occur at any time.

Smaller and Middle Market Companies. The Clients will invest in the debt obligations or securities of small, middle market and/or less well-established companies. While small and middle market companies may have potential for rapid growth, they often involve higher risks. Small and middle market companies have more limited financial resources than larger companies and may be unable to meet their obligations under their debt securities that a Client holds, which

may be accompanied by a deterioration in the value of any collateral and a reduction in the likelihood of the Client realizing any guarantees it may have obtained in connection with its investment. Small and middle market companies also typically have shorter operating histories, narrower product lines and smaller market shares than larger businesses, which tend to render them more vulnerable to competitors' actions and market conditions, as well as general economic downturns. Less publicly available information may be available about these companies and they may not be subject to the financial and other reporting requirements applicable to public companies. They are more likely to depend on the management talents and efforts of a small group of persons; therefore, the death, disability, resignation or termination of one or more of these persons could have a material adverse impact on the company and, in turn, on the Client. Small and middle market companies may also have less predictable operating results and may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, finance expansion or maintain their competitive position. They may also have difficulty accessing the capital markets to meet future capital needs, which may limit their ability to grow or to repay their outstanding indebtedness upon maturity.

Loan Origination. Certain Clients will seek to make loans directly to a portfolio company or indirectly, through holding vehicles. In making such loans, those Clients will compete with a broad spectrum of lenders, some of which may have greater financial resources, and some of which may be willing to lend money on better terms (from a borrower's standpoint) than the Clients. Increased competition for, or a diminution in the available supply of, qualifying loans may result in lower yields on such loans, which could reduce returns to those Clients. The level of analytical sophistication, both financial and legal, necessary for successful financing to companies, particularly companies experiencing significant business and financial difficulties, is unusually high. There is no assurance that the Adviser will correctly evaluate the value of the assets collateralizing these loans or the prospects for successful repayment or a successful reorganization or similar action.

In addition, loan origination involves a number of particular risks that may not exist in the case of secondary debt purchases, including (i) when originating loans, the Adviser will generally have to rely more on its own resources to conduct due diligence of the borrower, which will likely be more limited than the diligence conducted for a broadly syndicated transaction involving an underwriter, and (ii) the borrowers may in some circumstances be higher credit risks who could not obtain debt financing in the syndicated markets.

In addition to the above, originating loans to private and middle-market companies involves risks that may not exist in the case of large, more established and/or publicly-traded companies, including:

- These companies often have limited financial resources and limited access to additional financing, which increases the risk of their defaulting on their obligations, leaving creditors, such as a Client, dependent on any guarantees or collateral that they may have obtained;
- These companies frequently have shorter operating histories, narrower product lines and smaller market shares than larger businesses, which render such companies more vulnerable to competition and market conditions, as well as general economic downturns;

- These companies are more likely to depend on the management talents and efforts of a small group of persons; as a result, the death, disability, resignation or termination of one or more of these persons could have a material adverse impact on these companies' ability to meet their obligations; and
- These companies may have difficulty accessing the capital markets to meet future capital needs, which may limit their ability to grow or to repay their outstanding indebtedness upon maturity.

Price movements of debt obligations and other instruments in which a Client's assets may be invested are influenced by, among other things, interest rates, changing supply and demand relationships, trade, fiscal, monetary and exchange control programs and policies of governments, and national and international political and economic events and policies. In addition, governments from time to time intervene, directly and by regulation, in certain markets. Such intervention is often intended to influence prices directly and may, together with other factors, cause all of such markets to move rapidly in the same direction because of, among other things, interest rate fluctuations. The bank loan and debt markets can be volatile, and there can be no assurance as to when such volatility will occur.

There may be little or no liquidity in the markets for certain securities or instruments. In certain periods, illiquidity may rise significantly. Investments in illiquid securities may restrict the ability of the Adviser to dispose of investments in a timely manner, for a reasonable price, or at all. If the underlying issuer of debt experiences a default or other credit event, any illiquidity that results could make it more difficult for a Client to sell such debt and it may lead the Adviser to pursue alternatives to a sale, such as workouts or bankruptcy protection. Among the risks inherent in investments in troubled entities is the fact that it frequently may be difficult to obtain information as to the true condition of such entities. Troubled companies also may be adversely affected by state and federal laws relating to, among other things, fraudulent conveyances, voidable preferences, lender liability and the bankruptcy court's discretionary power to disallow, subordinate, or disenfranchise particular claims.

Hedging. Although it is generally not expected, a Client may utilize a variety of financial instruments, such as derivatives, options, interest rate swaps, currency hedging, caps and floors, futures, and forward contracts, both for investment purposes and for risk management purposes. While a Client may enter into hedging transactions to seek to reduce risk, such transactions may result in a poorer overall performance and increased (rather than reduced) risk for the Client than if it had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. Moreover, it should be noted that a Client's investment portfolio will always be exposed to certain risks that cannot be hedged, such as credit risk (relating both to particular securities and counterparties). It is not possible to hedge fully or perfectly against any risk, and a hedged transaction might nevertheless produce a net loss. In addition, hedges entail their own costs and may be more difficult to implement than many other transactions, and possibilities for errors may be greater than for other transactions. The Adviser may choose for a Client not to enter into hedging transactions with respect to some or all of the Client's positions.

Investments in Equity Securities. Certain Clients will invest in equity securities or derivatives. Such equity securities and derivatives may take various forms, including, but not limited to, common stock, preferred stock, warrants, profit participation rights, convertible securities, equity

options and other equity or hybrid equity securities. Equity securities generally represent the most junior position in an issuer's capital structure and, as such, generally entitle holders to an interest in the assets of the issuer, if any, remaining after all more senior claims to such assets have been satisfied. Holders of common stock generally are entitled to dividends only if and to the extent declared by the directors of the issuer, out of the issuer's income or other assets available, if any, after making interest, dividend and any other required payments on more senior securities of the issuer. Convertible securities generally offer lower interest or dividend yields than non-convertible securities of similar quality. In the event of a liquidation of the issuing company, holders of convertible securities would be paid after the company's creditors but before the company's common stockholders. Consequently, the issuer's convertible securities generally may be viewed as having more risk than its debt securities, but less risk than its common stock. In general, options, warrants, stock purchase rights and other similar instruments are securities or instruments granting the right to or otherwise permitting, but not obligating, their holders to subscribe for equity securities, and they do not represent any rights in the assets of the issuer. As a result, options, warrants, stock purchase rights and other similar securities or instruments may be considered more speculative than other types of equity investments.

Lender Liability Considerations and Equitable Subordination. In recent years, a number of judicial decisions in the United States have upheld the right of borrowers to sue lending institutions on the basis of various evolving legal theories ("lender liability"). Generally, lender liability is founded upon the premise that an institutional lender has violated a duty (whether implied or contractual) of good faith and fair dealing owed to the borrower or has assumed a degree of control over the borrower resulting in creation of a fiduciary duty owed to the borrower or its other creditors or shareholders. Because of the nature of certain Client investments, a Client could be subject to allegations of lender liability.

In addition, because of the nature of certain investments of a Client and its affiliates, the Client could be subject to claims from creditors of an obligor that the Client's investments issued by such obligor should be equitably subordinated. Under common law principles that in some cases form the basis for lender liability claims, a court may elect to subordinate the claim of the offending lending institution to the claims of the disadvantaged creditor or creditors, a remedy called "equitable subordination," if a lending institution (i) intentionally takes an action that results in the undercapitalization of a borrower to the detriment of other creditors of such borrower, (ii) engages in other inequitable conduct to the detriment of such other creditors, (iii) engages in fraud with respect to, or makes misrepresentations to, such other creditors, or (iv) uses its influence as a stockholder to dominate or control a borrower to the detriment of the other creditors of such borrower. A significant number of a Client's investments may involve investments in which the Client would not be the lead creditor. It is, accordingly, possible that lender liability or equitable subordination claims affecting a Client's investments could arise without the direct involvement of the Client.

Risks Associated with Bankruptcy Cases. There are a number of significant risks inherent in the bankruptcy process. Many of the events within a bankruptcy case are adversarial and often beyond the control of the creditors. While creditors generally are afforded an opportunity to object to significant actions, there can be no assurance that a bankruptcy court would not approve actions which may be contrary to the interests of a Client. Furthermore, there are instances where creditors and equity holders lose their ranking and priority such as when they take over

management and functional operating control of a debtor. In those cases in which a Client, by virtue of such action, or by virtue of its investment in an issuer in which one or more other affiliated funds has a controlling equity interest is found to exercise “domination and control” of a debtor, a Client may lose its priority if the debtor can demonstrate that its business was adversely affected or other creditors and equity holders were harmed by the Client.

A bankruptcy filing may have an adverse effect on a company, as the company may lose its market position and key employees and otherwise become incapable of restoring itself as a viable entity. If for this or any other reason the proceeding is converted to a liquidation, the liquidation value of the company may not equal the liquidation value that was believed to exist at the time of the investment. In addition, the duration of a bankruptcy proceeding is difficult to predict and the administrative costs in connection with a bankruptcy proceeding are frequently high. A creditor’s return on investment can be adversely affected by delays while the plan of reorganization is being negotiated, approved by the creditors and confirmed by the bankruptcy court and until it ultimately becomes effective. Administrative costs will be paid out of the debtor’s estate prior to any return to creditors (other than out of assets or proceeds thereof, which are subject to valid and enforceable liens and other security interests) and equity holders. In addition, certain claims that have priority by law over the claims of certain creditors (*e.g.*, claims for taxes) may be quite high. U.S. bankruptcy law permits the classification of “substantially similar” claims in determining the classification of claims in a reorganization for the purpose of voting on a plan of reorganization. Because the standard for classification is vague, there exists a significant risk that a Client’s influence with respect to a class of securities can be lost by the inflation of the number and the amount of claims in the class.

Troubled company and other asset-based investments require active monitoring and may, at times, require participation in business strategy, bankruptcy, or reorganization proceedings by the Adviser. To the extent that the Adviser becomes involved in such proceedings, a Client may have a more active participation in the affairs of the issuer than that assumed generally by an investor. The Adviser or an affiliate, on behalf of a Client, may elect to serve on creditors’ committees or other groups to ensure preservation or enhancement of the Client’s positions as a creditor. A member of any such committee or groups may owe certain obligations generally to all parties similarly situated that the committee represents. If the Adviser or an affiliate concludes that its obligation owed to the other parties as a committee or group member conflict with its duties owed to a Client, it will resign from that committee or group, and the Client may not realize the benefits, if any, of participation on the committee or group. In addition, if a Client is represented on a committee or group, it may be restricted or prohibited under applicable law from disposing of its investments in such company while it continues to be represented on such committee or group.

Fraudulent Conveyance and Preference Considerations. There is a risk that a Client’s purchase of its investments may be subject to various federal and state laws enacted for the protection of creditors, by virtue of the Client’s role as a creditor with respect to the borrowers under such investments. Furthermore, there is a risk that payments on an investment may be determined to be avoidable, either as fraudulent conveyances or preferences, in which case such payments can be recaptured either by a Client, as the initial recipient of such payments, or from subsequent transferees of such payments, including investors.

If a court in a lawsuit brought by an unpaid creditor or representative of creditors of a borrower, such as a trustee in bankruptcy or the borrower as debtor-in-possession, were to find that the borrower did not receive fair consideration or reasonably equivalent value for incurring indebtedness evidenced by an investment and the grant of any security interest or other lien securing such investment, and, after giving effect to the incurring of such indebtedness, the borrower (i) was insolvent, (ii) was engaged in a business for which the assets remaining in such borrower constituted unreasonably small capital, or (iii) intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay such debts as they mature, such court could invalidate, in whole or in part, such indebtedness and such security interest or other lien as fraudulent conveyances, could subordinate such indebtedness to existing or future creditors of the borrower or could allow the borrower to recover amounts previously paid by the borrower to the creditor (including to a Client) in satisfaction of such indebtedness or proceeds of such security interest or other lien previously applied in satisfaction of such indebtedness. In addition, in the event of the insolvency of an issuer of an investment, payments made on a Client's investment could be subject to avoidance as a "preference" if made within a certain period of time (which may be as long as one year) before insolvency depending on a number of factors, including the amount of equity of the borrower owned by the Client and its affiliates and any contractual arrangement between the borrower, on the one hand, and a Client and its affiliates, on the other hand. The measure of insolvency for purposes of the foregoing will vary depending on the law of the jurisdiction which is being applied. Generally, however, a borrower would be considered insolvent at a particular time if the sum of its debts was greater than all of its assets at a fair valuation or if the then-present fair saleable value of its assets was less than the amount that would be required to pay its probable liabilities on its then-existing debts as they became absolute and matured. There can be no assurance as to what standard a court would apply in order to determine whether a borrower was insolvent after giving effect to the incurrence of the loan or that, regardless of the method of evaluation, a court would not determine that the borrower was "insolvent" upon giving effect to such incurrence.

Non-U.S. Investments. A Client may invest a portion of its aggregate commitments in portfolio companies that are organized, headquartered, or have substantial sales or operations outside of the United States, its territories, and possessions. Such investments may be subject to certain additional risk due to, among other things: (i) potentially unsettled points of applicable governing law; (ii) the risks associated with fluctuating currency exchange rates and capital repatriation regulations (as such regulations may be given effect during the term of a Client); (iii) the application of complex U.S. and non-U.S. tax rules to cross-border investments; (iv) possible imposition of non-U.S. taxes on a Client (and/or investors, in the case of a Fund or the BDC) with respect to the Client's income; (v) possible non-U.S. tax return filing requirements for a Client (and/or investors, in the case of a Fund or the BDC); (vi) possible difficulty in obtaining and enforcing judgments against non-U.S. entities; (vii) varying levels of governmental regulation and supervision; (viii) fluctuations in currency exchange rates; (ix) the risk of nationalization or expropriation of assets or confiscatory taxation; (x) social, economic and political uncertainty, including war and revolution; (xi) dependence on exports and the corresponding importance of international trade; (xii) less extensive regulation of the securities markets; (xiii) longer settlement periods for securities transactions; and (xiv) less developed corporate laws regarding fiduciary duties and the protection of investors; and (xv) other factors beyond the control of the Adviser. Furthermore, issuers of non-U.S. securities are subject to

different, often less comprehensive accounting reporting or disclosure requirements than U.S. issuers. The securities markets of some countries in which a Client may invest have substantially less volume than those in the United States, and securities of certain companies in these countries are less liquid and more volatile than securities of comparable U.S. companies. Accordingly, these markets may be subject to greater influence by adverse events generally affecting the market, and by large investors trading significant blocks of securities, than is usual in the United States.

Non-U.S. Currencies Risk. While the vast majority of a Client's investments will be denominated in U.S. dollars, a Client may have investments that are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Such investments are subject to the risk that the value of the particular currency will change in relation to one or more other currencies. As a result, a Client could realize a net loss on an investment even if there were a gain on the underlying investment before currency losses were taken into account. The Adviser, in its sole discretion, may seek to hedge currency risks by investing in currencies, currency futures contracts and options on currency futures contracts, forward currency contracts, swaps, swaptions, or any combination thereof (whether or not exchange traded), but there can be no assurance that such strategies will be implemented or effective.

Private Company Holdings. A portion (which in some cases may be significant) of a Client's investments may consist of securities that are subject to restrictions on resale by the Client because they were acquired in a "private placement" transaction or because the Client is deemed to be an affiliate of the issuer of such securities. Generally, a Client will be able to sell certain of such securities only under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, which permits limited sales under specified conditions, or pursuant to a registration statement under the Securities Act. When restricted securities are sold to the public, a Client may be deemed to be an underwriter or possibly a controlling person, with respect thereto for the purposes of the Securities Act and be subject to liability as such under the Securities Act. In addition, a Client may, from time to time, possess material, non-public information about a borrower or issuer or the Client may be an affiliate of a borrower or an issuer. Such information or affiliation may limit the ability of the Client to buy and sell investments. A Client's investments also may be subject to similar restrictions pursuant to contracts to which it is a party.

Public Company Holdings. A Client's investment portfolio may contain securities issued by publicly held companies. Such investments may subject a Client to risks that differ in type or degree from those involved with investments in privately held companies. Such risks include, without limitation, greater volatility in the valuation of such companies, increased obligations to disclose information regarding such companies, limitations on the ability of a Client to dispose of such securities at certain times, increased likelihood of shareholder litigation and insider trading allegations against such companies' executives and board members, including the principal managers, and increased costs associated with each of the aforementioned risks. In some circumstances, a Client may be unable to obtain financial covenants or other contractual rights, including management rights that it might otherwise be able to obtain in making privately-negotiated debt investments. Moreover, a Client may not have the same access to information in connection with investments in public instruments, either when investigating a

potential investment or after making an investment, as compared to a privately-negotiated debt investment.

Co-Investments. The Adviser or its affiliates expect to provide or commit to provide co-investment opportunities to one or more Clients (and/or investors, in the case of a Fund or the BDC) or to other persons, in each case on terms to be determined by the Adviser or such affiliates in their sole discretion. Conflicts of interest will arise in the allocation of such co-investment opportunities. The allocation of such co-investment opportunities, which may be made to one or more persons for any number of reasons, may not be in the best interests of a Client (or any individual investor, in the case of a Fund or the BDC). In exercising their sole discretion in connection with such co-investment opportunities, the Adviser and its affiliates may consider some or all of a wide range of factors, which may include the likelihood that an investor may invest in a future fund sponsored by the Adviser or its affiliates. A Client may co-invest with third-parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities or arrangements. Such investments may involve risks not present in investments where a third-party is not involved, including the possibility that a third-party co-venturer or partner may at any time have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with those of the Client, or may be in a position to take action contrary to the investment objectives of the Client. In addition, the Client may in certain circumstances be liable for actions of its third-party co-venturer or partner, including on a joint and several basis. There can be no assurance that the return of a Client participating in a transaction with a third-party would be equal to and not less than another Client participating in the same transaction or that it would have been as favorable as it would have been had such conflict not existed.

Director Liability. In certain circumstances, it is expected a Client will receive the right to appoint one or more representatives to the board of directors (or similar governing body) of the portfolio companies in which it invests. Serving on the board of directors (or similar governing body) of a portfolio company exposes a Client's representatives, and ultimately the Client, to potential liability. Not all portfolio companies may obtain insurance with respect to such liability, and the insurance that portfolio companies do obtain may be insufficient to adequately protect officers and directors from such liability. In addition, involvement in litigation can be time consuming for such persons and can divert the attention of such persons from the Client's investment activities.

Valuation of Assets. There is generally no actively traded market for most of the securities owned by the Clients. When estimating fair value, the Adviser will apply a methodology based on accounting guidelines and its best judgment that is appropriate in light of the nature, facts and circumstance of the investments. Valuations are subject to multiple levels of review for approval and ensuring that portfolio investments are fairly valued is a priority of the Adviser. However, the process of valuing securities for which reliable market quotations are not available is based on inherent uncertainties and the resulting values may differ from values that would have been determined had an active market existed for such securities and may differ from the prices at which such securities may ultimately be sold. Third-party pricing information may at times not be available regarding certain of a Client's assets. The exercise of discretion in valuation by the Adviser will give rise to conflicts of interest, including in connection with determining the amount and timing of distributions of Carried Interest and the calculation of management fees. In addition, valuations (including, for instance, determination of when an investment should be written down or written off) impact the Adviser's track record, and the performance allocation in

certain Clients is calculated based, in part, on these valuations and such valuations affect the amount and timing of performance calculations. The Adviser may use the services of one or more third-party service providers to review valuations of illiquid investments.

Uncertain Exit Strategies. Due to the illiquid nature of some of the positions which a Client is expected to acquire, the Adviser is unable to predict with confidence what the exit strategy will ultimately be for any given position, or that one will definitely be available at an attractive price, or at all. Exit strategies which appear to be viable or profitable when an investment is initiated may be precluded or unprofitable by the time the investment is ready to be realized due to market, economic, legal, political or other factors.

Cross-Transactions. Subject to applicable law (including ERISA), certain Clients expect to enter into cross-transactions with other Clients and there is no guarantee that the price at which a Client seeks to purchase securities or other assets via a cross-transaction with another Client will represent the price at which an unaffiliated third party would sell such assets to the Client, or that the price at which the Client seeks to sell securities to another Client will represent the price at which an unaffiliated third party would purchase such assets from such other Client.

Cybersecurity Risk. The Adviser, the Clients' service providers and other market participants depend on complex and often interconnected information technology and communications systems to conduct business functions. The information technology and communications systems of the Adviser, the Clients and/or their respective affiliates (including the Fund's portfolio companies) may be vulnerable to damage or interruption from computer viruses, network failures, computer and telecommunication failures, infiltration by unauthorized persons and security breaches, usage errors by their respective professionals, power outages and catastrophic events (including fires, tornadoes, floods, hurricanes and earthquakes) that could adversely affect the Clients (and/or investors, in the case of a Fund or the BDC), despite the efforts of the Adviser and the Clients' service providers to adopt technologies, processes and practices intended to mitigate these risks. The failure of such a system and/or disaster recovery plan may cause significant interruptions in the operations of the Adviser, Clients and/or portfolio companies (and/or investors, in the case of a Fund or the BDC) and may result in a failure to maintain the security, confidentiality or privacy of sensitive data (including information relating to limited partners and/or the beneficial owners of limited partners, prospective Client investments and/or portfolio company performance, follow-on investments and/or exits). For example, unauthorized third parties may attempt to improperly access, modify, disrupt the operations of, encrypt or otherwise prevent access to these systems of the Adviser, the Clients' service providers and counterparties, as well as data stored by these systems, including investor information. The Adviser and the Clients' service providers may be subject to ransomware or other attacks that could cause a substantial business disruption or loss of availability of data that could prevent the Clients and Adviser from executing its investment strategy or accessing an account, which could lead to financial losses. Third parties may also attempt to fraudulently induce employees, customers, third-party service providers or other users of the Adviser's systems to disclose sensitive information in order to gain access to the Adviser's data or that of the Client (and/or investors, in the case of a Fund or the BDC) or to transfer funds to unauthorized third parties. A successful penetration or circumvention of the security of the Adviser's systems by unauthorized third parties could result in the loss or theft of a Client's (and/or investors', in the case of a Fund or the BDC) data or funds, the inability to access

electronic systems, loss or theft of proprietary information or corporate data, physical damage to a computer or network system or costs associated with system repairs. Such incidents could cause the Clients, the Adviser or their service providers to incur regulatory penalties, reputational damage, additional compliance costs, increased insurance premiums or financial loss. In addition, the Adviser may incur substantial costs related to investigation and remediation of the cybersecurity incident, increasing and upgraded cybersecurity protections including its administrative, technical organizational and physical controls, acts of identity theft, unauthorized use or loss of proprietary information, adverse investor reaction, increased insurance premiums or difficulties obtaining insurance coverage or litigation, regulatory actions or other legal risks.

Similar types of operational and technology risks are also present for the companies in which the Clients invests, which could have material adverse consequences for such companies, and may cause the Clients' investments to lose value.

Risks of Artificial Intelligence ("AI"). The Adviser's ability to use, manage and aggregate data may be limited by the effectiveness of its policies, systems and practices that govern how data is acquired, validated, used, stored, protected, processed and shared. Failure to manage data effectively and to aggregate data in an accurate and timely manner may limit the Adviser's ability to manage current and emerging risks, as well as to manage changing business needs and to adapt to the use of new tools, including AI. While the Adviser may restrict certain uses of third-party and open source AI tools, such as ChatGPT, the Adviser's employees and consultants and a Client's portfolio companies may use these tools, which poses additional risks relating to the protection of the Adviser's and such portfolio companies' proprietary data, including the potential exposure of the Adviser's or such portfolio companies' confidential information to unauthorized recipients and the misuse of the Adviser's or third-party intellectual property, which could adversely affect the Adviser, a Client or its portfolio companies. Use of AI tools may result in allegations or claims against the Adviser, a Client or its portfolio companies related to violation of third-party intellectual property rights, unauthorized access to or use of proprietary information and failure to comply with open-source software requirements. Additionally, AI tools may produce inaccurate, misleading or incomplete responses that could lead to errors in the Adviser's and its employees' and consultants' decision-making, portfolio management or other business activities, which could have a negative impact on the Adviser or on the performance of a Client and its portfolio companies. Such AI tools could also be used against the Adviser, a Client or its portfolio companies in criminal or negligent ways. As the use and availability of AI tools has grown, the U.S. Congress and a number of U.S. federal and state agencies have been examining the AI tools and their use in a variety of industries, including financial services. These agencies have issued proposed or adopted a variety of rules and other guidance regarding the use of AI. AI similarly faces an uncertain regulatory landscape in many foreign jurisdictions. Ongoing and future regulatory actions with respect to AI generally or AI's use in any industry in particular may alter, perhaps to a materially adverse extent, the ability of the Adviser, a Client or its portfolio companies to utilize AI in the manner it has to-date, and may have an adverse impact on the ability of the Adviser, a Client or its portfolio companies to continue to operate as intended.

Debt Regulation. As a result of its investment activities, it is possible that certain Clients could be deemed to be engaged in the origination of debt securities for purposes of the applicable laws in jurisdictions in which such activities take place. Such laws are frequently highly complex and

may include licensing requirements. The licensing processes can be lengthy and can be expected to subject a debt originator to increased regulatory oversight. In some instances, the process for obtaining a required license or exception certificate may require disclosure to regulators or to the public of information about a Client, its direct or indirect investors, its loans, its business activities, its management or controlling persons or other matters. Such disclosures may provide competitors with information that allows them to benefit at the expense of a Client, which could have a material adverse effect on a Client. Failure, even if unintentional, to comply fully with applicable laws may result in sanctions, fines, or limitations on the ability of a Client, the Adviser or affiliates of the foregoing to do business in the relevant jurisdiction or to procure required licenses in other jurisdictions, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Client.

Possibility of Fraud and Other Misconduct of Employees and Service Providers. Misconduct by employees of the Adviser, service providers to the Adviser or the Clients and/or their respective affiliates could cause significant losses to such Clients. Misconduct may include entering into transactions without authorization, the failure to comply with operational and risk procedures, including due diligence procedures, misrepresentations as to investments being considered by such Clients, the improper use or disclosure of confidential or material non-public information, which could result in litigation, regulatory enforcement or serious financial harm, including limiting the business prospects or future marketing activities of such Clients and noncompliance with applicable laws or regulations and the concealing of any of the foregoing. Such activities may result in reputational damage, litigation, business disruption and/or financial losses to such Clients. The Adviser has controls and procedures through which they seek to minimize the risk of such misconduct occurring. However, no assurances can be given that the Adviser will be able to identify or prevent such misconduct.

Global Events. Global events, such as the global outbreak of the 2019 novel coronavirus (Covid-19), together with resulting restrictions on travel and quarantines imposed, the Russian invasion of Ukraine in 2022, the Israel-Hamas war in 2023 and similar events, have and are likely in the future to continue to disrupt the global economy and markets. Such events have, and in the future are likely to contribute to market volatility and are also likely to lead to an economic slowdown given the disruption to supply chains across sectors and industries worldwide, which may reduce private equity activity more generally and materially and adversely affect a Client and its portfolio companies. Such events may also adversely affect a Client's ability to dispose of its investments, as buyers retrench from pursuing investment opportunities due to the prolonged economic uncertainty. The applicability, or lack thereof, of force majeure provisions could also come into question in connection with contracts that a Client and its portfolio companies have entered into, which could ultimately work to their detriment. To the extent an epidemic or similar global event is present in jurisdictions in which the Adviser has offices or other operations or investments, it could affect the ability of the Adviser to operate effectively, including the ability of personnel to function, communicate and travel to the extent necessary to carry out a Client's investment strategies and objectives.

In addition, the Adviser's personnel and the personnel of critical service providers to the Adviser or a Client may be directly impacted by the spread of epidemics or similar negative events, both through direct exposure (the likelihood of which can increase due to the frequency of travel) and exposure to family members, which could impair the Adviser's ability to satisfy its obligations to

a Client, its investors, and pursuant to applicable law. Ill health or similar negative events with respect to the Adviser's personnel has the potential to significantly affect the Adviser's ability to oversee the affairs of a Client (particularly to the extent such impacted personnel include key investment professionals or other members of senior management), resulting in the possibility of temporary or permanent suspension of a Client's investment activities or operations. The full effects, duration and costs of these events are impossible to predict, and the circumstances surrounding these events will continue to evolve.

Recent Regulatory Developments for Private Funds and their Advisers. In recent years, the SEC has proposed and adopted, and continues to adopt, various changes to the rules relating to private funds and their advisers. On August 23, 2023, the SEC adopted previously proposed new rules and amendments to existing rules (collectively, the "Private Funds Rules") under the Advisers Act specifically related to advisers of private funds. The Private Funds Rules will impose new and substantial requirements on advisers and the funds they advise, including with respect to quarterly reporting, restricted activities, preferential treatment of investors, audit requirements, adviser-led secondaries and annual compliance reviews. The Private Funds Rules, in addition to any other new rules adopted by the SEC, are expected to significantly impact the business of the Adviser and its affiliates, a Client and/or its investments. As a result of the new rules, the Adviser will under certain circumstances be restricted or refrain from providing information regarding a Client in response to investor requests. The Adviser will be required to circulate to all investors the material terms of any preferential treatment agreed in connection with investments in a Client (i.e., all side letter terms), without regard to any most favored nation provision. This may ultimately impact the Adviser's decisions with respect to agreeing to certain preferential rights. The Private Funds Rules include certain audit requirements, which may require the Adviser to select a different auditor or obtain an additional audit, even if the Adviser does not believe it is in the best interest of a Client or its investors to do so. Further, many provisions of the Private Funds Rules require the Adviser to make a variety of subjective determinations as to whether and how such rules apply to a Client and the Adviser's related obligations. The Adviser will face conflicts of interest in making such determinations, including for example with respect to whether certain fees and expenses may be charged to a Client, whether certain provisions may have a material negative impact on certain investors and whether certain allocations are fair and equitable. The Adviser's and a Client's compliance burdens and associated costs including, without limitation, insurance expenses, are also expected to increase. The Adviser also will be subject to increased risk of exposure to additional regulatory scrutiny, litigation, censure and penalties for noncompliance or perceived noncompliance as a result of the Private Funds Rules, and any noncompliance or perceived noncompliance with such rules may negatively impact a Client's reputation as well as its investment activities, thereby materially reducing returns to investors. Several trade groups representing private fund managers have filed a legal challenge to the Private Funds Rules and other legal challenges to the Private Funds Rules may be forthcoming. Regardless of the outcome of these lawsuits, the implementation of these new rules is expected to create additional burdens for advisers to private funds.

Custody and Banking Risks. The Clients will maintain funds with one or more banks or other depository institutions ("banking institutions"), which may include U.S. and non-U.S. banking institutions, and may enter into credit facilities or have other financial relationships with banking institutions. The distress, impairment or failure of one or more banking institutions with whom

the Clients, their portfolio companies, the general partners and/or the Adviser transact may inhibit the ability of the Clients or their portfolio companies to access depository accounts or lines of credit at all or in a timely manner. In such cases, the Clients may be forced to delay or forgo investments or to call capital when it is not desirable to do so, resulting in lower performance for the Clients. In the event of such a failure of a banking institution where a Client or one or more of its portfolio companies holds depository accounts access to such accounts could be restricted and U.S. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) protection may not be available for balances in excess of amounts insured by the FDIC (and similar considerations may apply to banking institutions in other jurisdictions not subject to FDIC protection). In such instances, the Clients and their affected portfolio companies may not recover such excess, uninsured amounts and instead, would only have an unsecured claim against the banking institution and participate pro rata with other unsecured creditors in the residual value of the banking institution’s assets. The loss of amounts maintained with a banking institution or the inability to access such amounts for a period of time, even if ultimately recovered, could be materially adverse to the Clients or their portfolio companies. One or more investors or a Client’s general partner could also be similarly affected and unable to fund capital calls, further delaying or deferring new investments. In addition, a Client’s general partner may not be able to identify all potential solvency or stress concerns with respect to a banking institution or to transfer assets from one bank to another in a timely manner in the event a banking institution comes under stress or fails.

Climate Change. The Clients may acquire investments that are located in, or have operations in, areas that are subject to climate change. Any investments located in coastal regions may be affected by any future increases in sea levels or in the frequency or severity of hurricanes and tropical storms, whether such increases are caused by global climate changes or other factors. There may be significant physical effects of climate change that have the potential to have a material effect on the Clients’ business and operations. Physical impacts of climate change may include increased storm intensity and severity of weather (e.g., floods or hurricanes), sea level rise, fires, and extreme and changing temperatures. As a result of these impacts from climate-related events, the Clients may be vulnerable to the following: risks of property damage to the Clients’ investments; indirect financial and operational impacts from disruptions to the operations of the Clients’ investments from severe weather; increased insurance premiums and deductibles or a decrease in the availability of coverage for investments in areas subject to severe weather; decreased net migration to areas in which investments are located, resulting in lower than expected demand for both investments and the products and services of the Clients’ investments; increased insurance claims and liabilities; increase in energy costs impacting operational returns; changes in the availability or quality of water, food or other natural resources on which the Clients’ business depends; decreased consumer demand for consumer products or services resulting from physical changes associated with climate change (e.g., warmer temperature or decreasing shoreline could reduce demand for residential and commercial properties previously viewed as desirable); incorrect long-term valuation of an equity investment due to changing conditions not previously anticipated at the time of the investment; and economic distributions arising from the foregoing.

Environmental, Social and Governance Matters. Environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) factors are only some of the many factors the Adviser may consider in making an investment or

as part of ongoing engagement. Other factors may be given greater weight, particular ESG factors may be disregarded and the Adviser may not consider all of the ESG factors that an investor believes are important. To the extent ESG factors are considered, they will be considered based primarily on their financial materiality. The Adviser invests solely for financial return and does not necessarily seek to generate positive ESG impact as an investment goal. Its investments may not result in positive ESG impact and could adversely impact one or more ESG attributes. In addition, the Adviser's ESG integration may not align with the policies of or regulatory requirements applicable to a particular investor.

The Adviser has discretion regarding whether to engage with investee companies on ESG-related matters. To the extent that the Adviser engages with investee companies on ESG-related matters, such engagements may not achieve the desired financial and other results. In addition, the market or other stakeholders may not consider the results to be sufficient or desirable.

Successful ESG integration on the part of the Adviser will depend on the Adviser's skill in properly identifying and analyzing material ESG factors and their relevance, and there can be no assurance that the Adviser will be successful in doing so. ESG integration is subjective by nature, and the criteria utilized by the Adviser or the judgment exercised it may not reflect the desired approach of any particular investor. Consideration of ESG factors may result in the selection or exclusion of certain investments, sectors, regions, countries or types of investments and/or the pursuit of particular ESG engagement strategies and initiatives. Such consideration carries the risk that the Adviser may underperform funds that do not take such ESG-related factors into account in the same manner. In addition, consideration and management of ESG factors may require the Adviser to rely on third-party information and data, which may be incomplete, inaccurate or unavailable. Limitations in such information and data may result in erroneous assessments by the Adviser.

ESG integration practices are evolving, including without limitation due to regulation, new and changing issues and areas of stakeholder focus, shifting investor sentiment (including so-called anti-ESG sentiment) and requirements and evolving investee company practices. Accordingly, the Adviser's ESG integration practices will continue to evolve and change, and they may do so in a manner that is adverse to financial return or a particular investor's goals.

Item 9. Disciplinary Information

Item 9 is not applicable to the Adviser.

Item 10. Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations

Related General Partners

The Adviser is affiliated with other related investment advisers registered with the SEC under the Advisers Act, pursuant to the Adviser's registration in accordance with SEC guidance. These advisers consist of the entities listed in Section 7.A of Schedule D of the Adviser's Form ADV Part 1A. These affiliated investment advisers operate as a single advisory business together with

the Adviser and serve as managers or general partners of Funds and other pooled vehicles and generally share common owners, officers, partners, employees, consultants or persons occupying similar positions.

Affiliated Advisers

The Adviser currently has affiliated advisers, including AMC. AMC provides investment supervisory services to investment vehicles that are exempt from registration under the 1940 Act and whose securities are not registered under the Securities Act. AMC provides investment advice principally regarding private equity transactions.

The Funds and Separate Account Clients historically participated in a small number of transactions alongside clients of AMC and may elect to do so again in the future. For a description of material conflicts of interest created by the relationship among the Adviser and AMC, as well as a description of how such conflicts are addressed, please see Item 11 below.

Item 11. Code of Ethics, Participation or Interest in Client Transactions and Personal Trading

Code of Ethics

The Adviser has adopted a written Code of Ethics that is applicable to all of its members, principals, officers, and employees, as well as to certain officers, principals, and employees of its affiliates and certain independent contractors (collectively, “Adviser Personnel”). The Code of Ethics, which is designed to comply with Rule 204A-1 under the Advisers Act and Rule 17j-1 under the 1940 Act, establishes guidelines for professional conduct and personal trading procedures, including certain pre-clearance and reporting obligations. Adviser Personnel and their families and households purchase investments for their own accounts, including the same investments as may be purchased or sold for a Client, subject to the terms of the Code of Ethics. Under the Code of Ethics, Adviser Personnel are also required to file certain periodic reports with the Adviser’s Chief Compliance Officer as required by Rule 204A-1 under the Advisers Act and Rule 17j-1 under the 1940 Act. The Code of Ethics helps the Adviser detect and prevent potential conflicts of interest.

Adviser Personnel who violate the Code of Ethics may be subject to remedial actions, including, but not limited to, profit disgorgement, fines, censure, demotion, suspension, or dismissal. Adviser Personnel are also required to promptly report any violation of the Code of Ethics of which they become aware. Adviser Personnel are required to annually certify compliance with the Code of Ethics.

A copy of the Code of Ethics is available to any client or prospective client upon request to: compliance@audaxgroup.com.

Participation or Interest in Client Transactions

Certain employees and affiliates of the Adviser invest in the Funds, either through the general partners, as direct investors in the Funds or otherwise. A Fund or its general partner, as applicable, typically reduces all of the Advisory Fee and/or Carried Interest related to investments held by such persons. Such persons will often receive information regarding investments at different times than other investors and are expected to benefit from different credit facility arrangements than a Fund. For further details regarding these arrangements, as well as conflicts of interest presented by them, please see “Conflicts of Interest” immediately below.

Due in part to the fact that potential investors in a Fund (including purchasers of a limited partner’s interests in a secondary transaction) may ask different questions and request different information, the Adviser typically provides certain information to one or more prospective investors that it does not provide to all of the prospective investors or limited partners.

Conflicts of Interest

The Adviser and its related entities engage in a broad range of activities, including investment activities for their own account and for the account of other investment funds, and providing transaction-related, investment advisory, management, and other services to funds and operating companies. In the ordinary course of conducting its activities, the interests of a Client conflict with the interests of the Adviser, other Clients, Co-Investment Vehicles (as defined below), or their respective affiliates. Certain of these conflicts of interest, as well as a description of how the Adviser addresses such conflicts of interest, can be found below.

The Adviser will, from time to time, establish certain investment vehicles through which certain employees of the Adviser, its affiliates, affiliates’ personnel, certain business associates, other “friends of the firm,” or other persons (including any related entity established by any of the foregoing, such as trusts, charitable programs, endowments or related programs, family investment vehicles or other estate planning vehicles) invest alongside one or more Funds in one or more investment opportunities. Such vehicles, referred to herein as “Co-Investment Vehicles,” may (or may not), in certain instances, be contractually required to purchase and to exit their investments in investment opportunities at substantially the same time and on substantially the same terms as the applicable Fund that is invested in that investment opportunity. The investors in such Co-Investment Vehicles may include individuals and entities that are also investors in one or more Funds (“Adviser Investors”) and/or individuals and entities that are not investors in any Funds (“Third Parties”). Co-Investment Vehicles often do not pay investment Advisory Fees or Carried Interest.

Resolution of Conflicts

In the case of all conflicts of interest, the Adviser's determination as to which factors are relevant, and the resolution of such conflicts, will be made using the Adviser's best judgment, but in its sole discretion. In resolving conflicts, the Adviser will consider various factors, including the interests of the applicable Clients with respect to the immediate issue and/or with respect to their longer-term courses of dealing. With respect to the BDC, the Adviser will work with the Regulated Fund's board of directors to resolve conflicts of interest. Certain procedures for resolving specific conflicts of interest are set forth below. When conflicts arise, the following factors may mitigate, but will not eliminate, conflicts of interest:

- A Client will not make an investment unless the Adviser believes that such investment is an appropriate investment considered solely from the viewpoint of such Client;
- Many important conflicts of interest involving Funds, the BDC, and Clients will be resolved by set procedures, restrictions, or other provisions contained in their organizational documents;
- Generally, certain Funds have established an advisory board mechanism, consisting of or contemplating a board of representatives of investors not affiliated with the Adviser; the advisory boards would meet as required to consult with the Adviser as to certain potential conflicts of interest; on any issue involving actual conflicts of interest, the Adviser will be guided by its good faith discretion;
- The Adviser has adopted and implemented policies and procedures designed to reduce certain conflicts of interest (such as, conflicts screening, ethical "walls," and information barriers to mitigate conflicts of interest arising from the activities of certain business units and funds advised by AMC; policies and procedures governing the allocation of expenses, and the fair allocation of investment opportunities; gifts, political contributions, and outside business activity disclosure policies; and policies and procedures addressing cross-trades and principal transactions);
- Where the Adviser deems appropriate, unaffiliated third parties may be used to help resolve conflicts, such as the use of an investment banker to opine as to the fairness of a purchase or sale price; and
- Prior to subscribing for interests in a Fund, purchasing shares in the BDC, or becoming a Client, each investor and potential client receives information relating to significant potential conflicts of interest arising from the proposed activities of the Fund, the BDC, or the Separate Account Client.

In certain instances, some of these conflicts of interest are expected to be resolved in a manner adverse to a Client and its ability to achieve its investment objectives. While the Adviser endeavors to resolve all conflicts in a fair and impartial manner, there can be no assurance that its own interests will not influence its conduct and decisions. Except as described herein, Fund

investors are not entitled to receive notice or disclosure of the actual occurrence of conflicts and do not have any right to consent to them as they arise.

Conflicts

The material conflicts of interest encountered by a Client include those discussed below, although the discussion below does not describe all of the conflicts that may be faced by a Client. Other conflicts may be disclosed throughout this brochure and this brochure should be read in its entirety for such disclosures.

Allocation of Investment Opportunities Among Clients

In connection with its investment activities, the Adviser encounters situations in which it must determine how to allocate investment opportunities among various Clients and other persons, which include, but are not limited to, the following:

- The Funds and funds advised by the Adviser's affiliates, which may include funds organized as parallel investment entities that have been formed to invest side-by-side with one or more of the Funds (either in all transactions entered into by such Funds or in a limited subset of such investments) as well as Funds formed for investments by certain professionals employed by the Adviser;
- Any Co-Investment Vehicles that have been formed to invest side-by-side with one or more other Funds or other Clients in all, or particular transactions entered into by such Funds or Clients;
- Adviser Investors and/or Third Parties that wish to make direct investments (i.e., not through an investment vehicle) side-by-side with one or more Funds or other Clients in particular transactions entered into by such Funds or Clients;
- Adviser Investors and/or Third Parties acting as "co-sponsors" with the Adviser with respect to a particular transaction;
- The BDC; and
- The Separate Account Clients.

In recognition of its fiduciary duties, it is the policy of the Adviser to treat Clients fairly and equitably in the allocation of investment opportunities over time and in transactions more generally. The Adviser has adopted written policies and procedures relating to the allocation of investment opportunities, and will make allocation determinations consistently therewith.

Clients are generally subject to investment allocation requirements (collectively, "Investment Allocation Requirements"). Investment Allocation Requirements are typically set forth in a Fund's limited partnership agreement, the Regulated Fund's governing documents, or in a Separate Account Client's Advisory Agreement or Separate Account Entity agreement. To the extent the Investment Allocation Requirements of a Client do not include specific allocation

procedures and/or allow the Adviser discretion in making allocation decisions among the Clients, the Adviser will follow the process set forth below.

The Adviser must first determine which Clients are eligible to participate in an investment opportunity. The Adviser assesses whether an investment opportunity is appropriate for a particular Client, based on the Client's investment objectives, strategies, and restrictions. Prior to making any allocation to a Client of an investment opportunity, the Adviser determines what additional factors may restrict or limit the offering of an investment opportunity to the Client. Possible restrictions include, but are not limited to:

- **Obligation to Offer:** the Adviser may be required to offer an investment opportunity to one or more Clients; for example, certain Funds have a stated focus on certain types of investments (e.g., loans originated by the Adviser) – in those instances, the Adviser will typically offer the applicable investments first to Funds with the corresponding stated focus on those types of investments, resulting in excluding or limiting participation by other Clients that do not have the same stated focus.
- **Related Investments:** the Adviser may offer an investment opportunity related to an investment previously made by a Client to such Client, resulting in excluding or limiting participation by other Clients.
- **Legal and Regulatory Exclusions:** the Adviser may determine that certain Clients (or investors, in the case of Funds or the BDC) should be excluded from an allocation due to specific legal, regulatory, and contractual restrictions placed on the participation of such persons in certain types of investment opportunities.

Once the Clients and other parties that are eligible to participate in a particular investment have been identified, the Adviser will exercise its judgment in deciding how to allocate such investment opportunity among the identified Clients in a fair and equitable manner. In allocating such investment opportunity, the Adviser may consider some or all of a wide range of factors, which may include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:

- Amount of capital available for investment by each Client as well as each Client's projected future capacity for investment (including whether a Client is able to invest all capital required to consummate a particular investment opportunity), taking into account items such as investible cash on hand, available leverage (if applicable), projected capital contributions, expense obligations, delayed-draw or revolving credit funding obligations, and anticipated fundings, paydowns, and refinances;
- Each Client's investment objectives, strategy, and investment focus (including the target size of investments);
- The size, liquidity and duration of an investment;
- The expected capital needs of the target over the anticipated life of the investment (including to fund potential add-on acquisitions);

- Each Client’s liquidity and reserves (including whether a Client is able to commit to invest all capital required to consummate a particular investment opportunity), taking into account possible redemptions/withdrawals and obligations under applicable leverage facilities, as well as other factors;
- Structural and operational differences between the Clients;
- Each Client’s diversification (including the actual, relative or potential exposure of a Client to the type of investment opportunity in terms of its existing portfolio);
- Lender covenants and other limitations;
- The minimum denomination of an investment;
- The business unit of the Adviser (or of an affiliate of the Adviser) sourcing the transaction and, with respect to an investment opportunity originated by a third-party, the relationship of a particular Fund to or with such third-party (in general, investment opportunities sourced by a particular business unit of the Adviser (or of an affiliate of the Adviser) will typically be made available first to the Clients overseen by such business unit and opportunities sourced by another business unit will typically be made available first to the Clients overseen by such other business unit);
- The stage of development and life cycle of the prospective portfolio company or other investment and anticipated time horizon and holding period of the investment in the portfolio company;
- The nature and extent of involvement in the transaction on the part of the Adviser’s respective teams of investment professionals;
- Anticipated co-investments (if any);
- Each Client’s targeted rate of return;
- Any “ramp-up” period of a newly established Client;
- Composition of each Client’s portfolio and each Client’s investment concentration parameters (including, without limitation, parameters such as geography, industry, issuer, volatility, leverage or other similar risk metrics) and the scope of a Client’s investment mandate including whether mandates are identified as primary or secondary, and whether the mandate is limited or otherwise restricted to specific types of investments/assets;
- The suitability as a follow-on investment for a current portfolio company of a Client or to upsize and existing investment, or the potential for combining with another portfolio company of a particular Client;
- The ability to succeed in a competitive process for a specific target;

- The use of leverage in the proposed capital structure;
- Timing expected necessary to execute an investment;
- Investment restrictions, diversifications requirements, and other limitations related to a Client's leverage facility, if applicable;
- The availability of other suitable investments for each Client;
- Risk considerations;
- Increased illiquidity that may arise with respect to positions below a minimum size threshold;
- Cash flow considerations;
- The likelihood of current income;
- Each Client's tolerance for turnover;
- The centrality of an investment to a Client's strategy;
- Supply or demand of an investment opportunity at a given price level;
- Asset class restrictions;
- The seniority of an investment and other capital structuring criteria;
- Industry and other allocation targets;
- Whether an investment opportunity requires additional consents or authorizations from the Clients, investors or Third Parties;
- Whether an investment opportunity would enable a Client to qualify for certain programmatic benefits or discounts that are not readily available to other Clients including, but not limited to, the ability to enter into credit arrangements with certain financial or governmental institutions;
- Minimum and maximum investment size requirements;
- Structural and operational differences between the funds or accounts;
- Tax implications and other applicable regulatory, compliance, operational, legal, contractual, or administrative factors; and
- Any other relevant limitations imposed by or conditions set forth in the applicable offering and organizational documents of each Client.

The Adviser has substantial discretion in allocating investment opportunities. Accordingly, the application of the Investment Allocation Requirements and factors set forth above will often result in allocations on a non-pro rata basis and there can be no assurance that a Client will participate in all investment opportunities that fall within its investment objectives. The Adviser makes allocation determinations based solely on the Adviser's expectations at the time such investments are made, however investments and their characteristics may change and there can be no assurance that an investment may prove to have been more suitable for another Client in hindsight. The methodology for allocation of investment opportunities described herein will likely vary over time and will be applied on a case-by-case basis.

From time to time certain investment opportunities involve interests in portfolio companies of one or more Clients that are part of a restructuring or similar transaction. In such instances, investors in the Clients involved in such a transaction are typically given priority rights to roll over their existing interests or otherwise reinvest in such investment opportunities (for instance, through a newly formed "continuation fund"). As a result, other Clients may not be allocated all or any portion of such an investment opportunity, even if such opportunity falls within a Fund's investment objectives or strategy.

In exercising its discretion to allocate investment opportunities (and the fees and expenses related to such opportunity), the Adviser is likely to be faced with a variety of conflicts of interest. For example, in allocating an investment opportunity among Clients with differing fee, expense, and compensation structures, the Adviser would typically have an incentive to allocate investment opportunities to the Clients from which the Adviser or its related persons derive, directly or indirectly, higher fees, compensation or other benefits. However, the Adviser will seek to make all allocations of investment opportunities among the Clients in a fair and equitable manner over time and will not favor or disfavor, consistently or consciously, any Fund, Separate Account Client, or the BDC in relation to any other Client. Further, the Adviser will not allocate investment opportunities among the Clients based, in whole or in part, on (i) the relative fee structure or amount of fees paid by any Client, except to the extent related to the underlying strategy or return of the Client, (ii) the profitability of any Client, or (iii) any person's interest in offering or participating in co-investment opportunities outside of any Client.

Subject to the foregoing, where one or more Clients co-invest in an investment opportunity, each may participate in the investment based in part on its relative available capital, subject to adjustments for legal, tax, regulatory, structuring and similar considerations and taking into account, among other things, overall capital commitments, diversification considerations, investment mandates applicable to each Client, and the other factors set forth above. The Adviser will also make independent decisions about when each Client should purchase and sell investments. A Client may invest in opportunities in which one or more other Clients (i) have invested, (ii) are contemplating an investment or (iii) have evaluated and decided not to invest. Furthermore, investment opportunities that are appropriate for a Client will be allocated to other Clients from time to time.

In addition, principal executive officers and other personnel of the Adviser invest indirectly in Funds and therefore participate indirectly in investments made by the Funds in which they invest. Such interests will vary Fund-by-Fund. The existence of these varying circumstances

present conflicts of interest in determining how much, if any, of certain investment opportunities to offer to a Fund.

The 1940 Act generally prohibits the BDC from co-investing with other Clients where terms other than price are negotiated, unless an exception or exemption applies. On November 7, 2018, the BDC, the Adviser, certain Funds, and related entities received an exemptive order from the SEC (the “Co-Investment Order”) permitting the parties to enter into co-investments where the Adviser may negotiate terms other than price, subject to certain conditions set-out in the application to the Commission. The conditions seek to ensure that participation in a co-investment transaction by the BDC is not on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants by, among other things, giving the BDC the opportunity to participate. Accordingly, if the BDC participates in co-investment, it will invest on equal footing, including identical terms, conditions, price, class of securities purchased, settlement date, and registration rights as other participating Clients. Further, the conditions of the Co-Investment Order require that investment opportunities with limited supply (as well as certain dispositions and follow-on investments) be allocated among participating Clients pro-rata based on each participant’s available capital. By permitting the BDC to co-invest where it previously could not under the 1940 Act, the Co-Investment Order will increase the amount of available capital allocable by the Adviser, which may reduce the overall level of investment opportunities previously allocable to non-BDC Clients. The conditions also include, among other things, a requirement that a “required majority” (as defined in Section 57(o) of the 1940 Act) of the Regulated Fund’s independent directors make certain conclusions in connection with a co-investment transaction, including that the terms of the transaction, including the consideration to be paid, are reasonable and fair to the BDC and its stockholders and do not involve overreaching of the BDC. Procedures and pre-approvals required by the Co-Investment Order may delay or impede execution of investments. No Client or investor can be assured that the Co-Investment Order will permit a co-investment with the BDC. The CEF is unable to rely on the BDC’s current Co-Investment Order, however, the CEF may apply for relief to permit co-investments with the Adviser and Funds in the future.

Allocation of Co-Investment Opportunities

Subject to any Investment Allocation Requirements or other specific agreements with investors, in general, (i) no investor in a Fund has a right to participate in any opportunity to invest directly in any current or prospective Fund portfolio company (a “Portfolio Co-Investment”), (ii) decisions regarding whether and to whom to offer Portfolio Co-Investment opportunities, as well as the applicable terms on which a Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity is made, are made in the sole discretion of the Adviser or its related persons or other participants in the applicable transactions, such as co-sponsors, (iii) Portfolio Co-Investment opportunities may, and typically will, be offered to some and not other investors in the Funds, in the sole discretion of the Adviser or its related persons and investors may be offered a smaller amount of Portfolio Co-Investment opportunities than originally requested and an investor may be offered fewer Portfolio Co-Investment opportunities than other investors in the same Fund, with the same, larger, or smaller capital commitments to such Fund, (iv) certain persons other than investors in the Funds (e.g., consultants, persons associated with a portfolio company, Co-Investment Vehicles or Third Parties including Third Parties who the Adviser believes will provide a benefit to itself, a Client

and/or one or more portfolio companies) will, from time to time, be offered Portfolio Co-Investment opportunities, in the sole discretion of the Adviser or its related persons, (v) certain service providers (e.g., lenders) could seek to negotiate co-investment rights as a component of their compensation or in exchange for granting better terms to a Fund, and (vi) co-investors may purchase their interests in a Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity at the same time as the Funds or may purchase their interests from the applicable Funds after such Funds have consummated their investment in the portfolio company (also known as a post-closing “sell down” or transfer). Each Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity (should any exist) is likely to be different and allocation of each such opportunity will be dependent upon the facts and circumstances specific to that unique situation (e.g., timing, industry, size, geography, asset class, projected holding period, exit strategy and counterparty). Additionally, non-binding acknowledgements of interest in Portfolio Co-Investment opportunities are not Investment Allocation Requirements and do not require the Adviser to notify the recipients of such acknowledgements if there is a Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity.

The Adviser will determine if the amount of an investment opportunity exceeds the amount the Adviser determines would be appropriate for the Funds (after taking into account any portion of the opportunity allocated by contract or otherwise to certain participants in the applicable deal, such as co-sponsors, service providers, consultants and advisers to the Adviser and/or the Funds or management teams of the applicable portfolio company, certain strategic investors and other investors whose allocation is determined by the Adviser to be in the best interest of the applicable Fund), and any such excess may be offered to one or more Portfolio Co-Investment investors pursuant to the procedures included in such Funds’ organizational documents/side letter agreements or, to the extent not addressed in such Funds’ organizational documents, in accordance with the following paragraphs. There may be circumstances where the Adviser determines for strategic or other reasons that an amount that could have otherwise been invested by a particular Fund is instead allocated to one or more co-investors.

In exercising its discretion to allocate Portfolio Co-investment opportunities with respect to a particular investment among the Clients and other persons, the Adviser may consider some or all of a wide range of factors, which may include, but are not limited to, its own interests and/or the following:

- The Adviser’s evaluation of the size and financial resources of the potential co-investment party and the Adviser’s perception of the ability of that potential co-investment party (in terms of, for example, staffing, expertise, and other resources) to efficiently and expeditiously participate in the Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity with the relevant Funds without harming or otherwise prejudicing such Funds, in particular when the Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity is time-sensitive in nature, as is typically the case (including whether the potential co-investment party has a complicated tax structure that would require particular structuring implementation or covenants that would not otherwise be required);
- Any confidentiality concerns the Adviser has that may arise in connection with providing the other account or person with specific information relating to the Portfolio Co-Investment in order to permit such potential co-investment party to evaluate the Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity;

- Whether a potential co-investment party has a history of participating in opportunities and the Adviser's perception of its past experiences and relationships with that potential co-investment party, such as the willingness or ability of the potential co-investment party to respond promptly and/or affirmatively to potential Portfolio Co-Investment opportunities previously offered by the Adviser, and the expected amount of negotiations required in connection with a potential co-investment party's commitment;
- The character and nature of the potential Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity (including the potential co-investment amount, structure, geographic location, tax characteristics and relevant industry);
- Level of demand for participation in such co-investment opportunity;
- Whether the potential co-investment party would require any governance rights that would complicate the transactions (or alternatively, whether the potential co-investment party would be willing to defer to the Adviser and assume a passive role in governing a Potential Co-Investment Opportunity);
- The ability of a potential co-investment party to aid in operating or monitoring a potential Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity or the possession of certain expertise by a potential co-investment party and the potential co-investment party's relationship with the management team of the potential Portfolio Co-Investment Opportunity and whether the potential co-investment party has any existing positions in the potential Portfolio Co-Investment Opportunity;
- Any interests a potential co-investment party has in any competitors of a potential Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity;
- The Adviser's awareness of whether the Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity may subject the potential co-investment party to legal, regulatory, competitive, confidentiality, reporting, public relations, media, or other burdens that make it less likely that the other account or person would act upon the Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity if offered;
- The Adviser's evaluation of whether the profile or characteristics of the potential co-investment party may have an impact on the viability or terms of the proposed Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity and the ability of the Funds to take advantage of such opportunity (for example, if the potential co-investment party is involved in the same industry as a target company in which a Fund wishes to invest, or if the identity of the potential co-investment party, or the jurisdiction in which the potential co-investment party is based, may affect the likelihood of a Fund being able to capitalize on a potential Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity);
- Whether the Adviser believes, in its sole discretion, that allocating Portfolio Co-Investment opportunities to a potential co-investment party will help establish, recognize, strengthen and/or cultivate relationships that may provide indirectly longer-term benefits (including strategic, sourcing, or similar benefits) to current or future Funds or the Adviser and whether the potential co-investment party has demonstrated a long-term and/or continuing commitment to the potential success of current or future Funds and/or the Adviser;

- Whether the potential co-investment party will make commitments to invest in other Funds (including concurrently with the applicable co-investment) as well as commitments to future funds raised by the Adviser; and
- Whether the co-investment opportunity is being provided in connection with a potential investment in or acquisition of interests through a secondary transfer of the Funds.

The factors above are not listed in order of importance or priority and the Adviser is not required to, and does not, consider all of the factors described above in any particular investment and some factors may be more or less important depending upon the nature of the particular investment and attendant circumstances. Furthermore, decisions regarding whether and to whom to offer co-investment opportunities could be made by the Adviser in consultation with other participants in the relevant transactions, such as a co-lender or co-sponsor.

The Adviser's exercise of its discretion in allocating investment opportunities with respect to a particular investment among the persons, including Clients, Co-Investment Vehicles, Adviser Investors and Third Parties, and in the manner discussed above may not, and often will not, result in proportional allocations among such persons, and such allocations may be more or less advantageous to some such persons relative to other such persons. For example, the Adviser may be incentivized to offer a co-investment opportunity to certain persons over others based on its economic arrangement with such persons (including, for example, whether the Adviser and/or the applicable general partners are entitled, under arrangements made with certain potential co-investment parties, to additional Advisory Fees and/or Carried Interest based on the availability of co-investment opportunities offered to such parties). In those cases in which co-investments with third parties involve a management group, third-party co-investors could receive compensation arrangements relating to such co-investments, including incentive compensation arrangements. While the Adviser will determine how to allocate investment opportunities using its judgment, there can be no assurance that a Client's actual allocation of an investment opportunity, if any, or the terms on which that allocation is made will be as favorable as they would be if the conflicts of interest to which the Adviser may be subject, discussed herein, did not exist. The Adviser expects that these factors will lead the Adviser to favor some potential co-investors over others with respect to the frequency with which the Adviser offers them co-investment opportunities. The Adviser also expects to allocate certain co-investors a greater proportion of an investment opportunity than others as a result of these factors.

In the event the Adviser determines to offer a Portfolio Co-Investment Opportunity to co-investors, there can be no assurance that the closing of such Portfolio Co-Investment will be consummated in a timely manner, that the Portfolio Co-Investment will take place on the terms and conditions that will be preferable for a Fund, or that expenses incurred by such Fund with respect to the syndication of the Portfolio Co-Investment will not be substantial and the Funds bear the risk that any or all excess portion of a Potential Co-Investment Opportunity is not sold or is sold on unattractive terms. Further, it is possible that a potential co-investment party may experience financial, legal or regulatory difficulties and may, from time to time, have economic, tax, regulatory, contractual or other business interests or goals that are inconsistent with those of a Client and as a result, may take a different view from the Adviser as to appropriate strategy for an investment or may be in a position to take a contrary action to a Client's investment objective.

In the event that the Adviser is not successful in offering a Potential Co-Investment Opportunity to potential co-investors, in whole or in part, a Fund may consequently hold a greater concentration and have more exposure in the related investment opportunity than was initially intended and would bear the entire portion of any fees, costs and expenses related to such investment, which would make the Fund more susceptible to fluctuations in value resulting from adverse economic and/or business conditions with respect thereto. An investment that is not syndicated to co-investors as originally anticipated could significantly reduce a Fund's overall investment returns. Therefore, it is possible that a Fund that overcommits to an investment will bear a disproportionate allocation of the risks associated with the transaction without being compensated for assuming such risks. In addition, a Client could in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of a third-party co-investor.

A Client's general partner and its affiliates may at times be permitted to make capital commitments and/or contributions to co-investment opportunities and co-investment vehicles investing alongside the Clients. Such amounts so committed or contributed are permitted, at the option of the applicable Client's general partner, to be deemed part of the amount the Adviser is otherwise required to contribute to the Clients. Any such amounts would be in full or partial satisfaction of amounts that would otherwise be invested in the Client in respect of such investment, which could reduce the amount of such co-investment available to the limited partners.

The Adviser or its affiliates may establish dedicated co-investment vehicles for specific investors in order to facilitate investments by the relevant investors as co-investment parties alongside a Fund. Any such vehicle will be established at the Adviser or its affiliates' sole discretion and the Adviser and its affiliates have no obligation to offer a similar opportunity to any other investor.

Secondary Transactions

If the Adviser or its affiliates have discretion over permitting a secondary transfer of interests in a Fund pursuant to such Fund's organizational documents, the Adviser or its affiliates may consider factors similar to the factors listed above in exercising such discretion. The Adviser or its affiliates may also evaluate the size and financial resources of potential transferees and the Adviser's perception of a potential transferee's capacity to participate in a secondary opportunity in an efficient manner (as evidenced by, for example, sufficient staffing, expertise, and other resources). Subject to any restrictions in the organizational documents of the applicable Fund, the Adviser or its affiliates may be asked to identify a limited number of Adviser Investors or Third Parties to potentially acquire the interest being transferred.

Allocation of Expenses

From time to time the Adviser will be required to decide whether certain fees, costs and expenses should be borne by a Client, on the one hand, or the Adviser on the other hand, and/or whether certain fees, costs and expenses should be allocated between or among Clients and/or other parties. Certain expenses may be the obligation of one particular Client and may be borne by such Client or expenses may be allocated among multiple Clients and entities (for instance, investment expenses and expenses of advisers and consultants, the services of which provide a

benefit to more than one Allocable Party). In addition, where a Client's governing documents permit the allocation of expenses related to Administration Services, the allocable portion of such expenses to borne by the Client will be determined by the Adviser. Such allocation determinations are inherently subjective and give rise to conflicts of interest due to the inherent biases in the process.

The appropriate allocation between Clients, Adviser Investors and Third Parties of Broken Deal Expenses and fees generated in the course of evaluating and making investments which are not consummated, such as out-of-pocket fees associated with due diligence, attorney fees and the fees of other professionals, will be determined by the Adviser and its affiliates in their good faith discretion. Such Broken Deal Expenses and other fees may not be allocated to certain Clients, Adviser Investors, or Third Parties.

For example (and as discussed above), in the event the Adviser determines to offer a Portfolio Co-Investment opportunity to co-investors, there can be no assurance that the closing of such Portfolio Co-Investment will be consummated as anticipated and as a consequence, a Client may bear the entire portion of any Broken Deal Expenses and any other fees, costs and expenses related to such investment including breakup fees. It is possible that a co-investor will not agree to share expenses with a Client if a transaction is not consummated. Without any limitation on the foregoing, the Adviser is expressly permitted to waive imposing any Broken Deal Expenses on co-investors, including limited partner co-investors. The Adviser will evaluate the facts and circumstances including, without limitation, timing of the transaction, benefit to a Client to have co-investors participate in a particular transaction and relative negotiating power. The Adviser will have discretion in determining whether a particular allocation among a Client and co-investors or Co-Investment Vehicles is fair and equitable. This discretion creates a potential conflict of interest as it may have incentive to allocate expenses to a particular Client over another Client and it may result in a Client bearing more than its pro rata portion of certain fees, costs and expenses (including Broken Deal Expenses).

The Adviser will often pay expenses common to multiple Clients and, as applicable, Co-Investment Vehicles (the "Allocated Funds") (e.g., legal expenses for a transaction in which all such Funds participate). On such occasions, each Allocated Fund will reimburse the Adviser for its share of such expense, without interest. However, the Adviser may not require certain Co-Investment Vehicles to provide such reimbursements.

From time to time certain Clients will incur certain ongoing expense that benefit a Co-Investment Vehicle or co-investor. In such instances, and to the extent determined to be fair and equitable by the Adviser in its sole discretion, these ongoing expenses will be borne solely by the applicable Client or Clients and will not be borne by any benefitting Co-Investment Vehicle or co-investor.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Adviser and its affiliates could in the future develop policies and procedures to address the allocation of expenses that differ from their current practice.

Conflicts Related to Purchases and Sales

Clients from time to time invest in conjunction with an investment being made by other Clients or a client of the Adviser's affiliate, or in a transaction where another Client or client of such an affiliate has already made an investment. Conflicts could arise in connection with such investments. Investment opportunities are from time to time appropriate for Clients and/or clients of the Adviser's affiliate at the same, different, or overlapping levels of a portfolio company's capital structure. Conflicts arise in determining the terms of investments, particularly where these Clients may invest in different types of securities in a single portfolio company and particularly in the case of financial distress of the portfolio company. Conflicts may also arise with respect to the economics arrangements and fee earned by Clients based on the type of security they hold and their relative positions in the portfolio company's capital structure. For example, a Client holding a security that entails additional risk or a different economic arrangement may receive certain fees or other compensation that another Client invested in the same portfolio company may not receive or receive a lesser portion.

Questions may also arise as to whether payment obligations and covenants should be enforced, modified, or waived, or whether debt should be refinanced. Decisions about what action should be taken in a troubled situation, including whether or not to enforce claims, whether or not to advocate or initiate a restructuring or liquidation inside or outside of bankruptcy, and the terms of any work-out or restructuring raise conflicts of interest, particularly if Clients have invested in different securities within the same portfolio company. In certain instances, some of these conflicts of interest are expected to be resolved in a manner adverse to a Client and its ability to achieve its investment objectives.

In the event a portfolio company in which a Regulated Fund and one or more affiliates are invested becomes subject to a restructuring, the joint transaction restrictions under the 1940 Act may be implicated. In order to successfully complete a restructuring where the Regulated Fund and one or more affiliates participate, we may take steps to avoid the restructuring being considered a prohibited "joint transaction" or we may seek to rely on the terms of available exemptive relief, including the Co-Investment Order. These legal requirements will limit our ability to restructure an investment in a portfolio company in which the BDC and one or more affiliates are invested, which may increase the risk of loss or lead to less advantageous results for Clients.

In the event that one Client has a controlling or significantly influential position in a portfolio company, it will have the ability to elect some or all of the board of directors of such a portfolio company, thereby controlling the policies and operations, including the appointment of management, future issuances of securities, payment of dividends, incurrence of debt and entering into extraordinary transactions. In addition, a controlling Client is likely to have the ability to determine, or influence, the outcome of operational matters and to cause, or prevent, a change in control of such a company. Such management and operational decisions may, at times, be in direct conflict with other Clients that have invested in the same portfolio company that do not have the same level of control or influence over the portfolio company.

Certain Clients and clients of the Adviser's affiliates are expected to invest in bank debt and securities of companies in which other clients hold securities, including equity securities. In the event that such investments are made by a Client, the interests of such Client will at times be in

conflict with the interest of such other Client or client of the Adviser's affiliate, particularly in circumstances where the underlying company is facing financial distress. Equity holders and debt holders have different (and often competing) motives, incentives, liquidity goals and other interests with respect to a portfolio company. The involvement of such persons at both the equity and debt levels could inhibit strategic information exchanges among fellow creditors and in certain circumstances, Clients or clients of the Adviser's affiliate may be prohibited from exercising voting or other rights, and may be subject to claims by other creditors with respect to the subordination of their interest. Conflicts could arise between the Clients in negotiating the price of debt securities, equity securities or other instruments, the characterization of such debt securities or other instruments, the interest rate or stated dividend yield of such debt securities or other instruments, the nature of the covenants running in favor of lenders and the other terms and conditions of the investment or in addressing subsequent amendments or waivers. Other conflicts are expected to arise in cases where a Client desires that the portfolio company have optimal flexibility to grow, while another Client, as holder of debt interests in the same company, would be benefitted by placing tighter restrictions on the type and the amounts of such company's permitted investments, acquisitions and activities. Further, because of the different legal rights associated with debt and equity investments, the Adviser and its affiliates will face a conflict of interest in respect of the advice given to, and the actions taken on behalf of one Client, as compared to another Client.

If additional capital is necessary as a result of financial or other difficulties, or to finance growth or other opportunities, Clients may or may not provide such additional capital, and, if provided, each Client will supply such additional capital in such amounts, if any, as determined by the Adviser. In the event one Client is unable to fund its share of additional capital (e.g., in the event such Client does not have sufficient available capital), the other Client may be obligated to fund more than its share of such amount. In such event, one Client will gain greater exposure to such investment than may have been intended and the other Client will be diluted in such investment. The returns of each Client may be negatively impacted as a result of the foregoing. In addition, a conflict may arise in allocating an investment opportunity if the potential investment target could be acquired by either a Client or a portfolio company of another Client. Investments by more than one Client of the Adviser or its affiliates in a portfolio company also raises the risk of using assets of a Client of the Adviser or its affiliates to support positions taken by other Clients of the Adviser or its affiliates. In addition, there may be differences in timing of entry into, or exit from, a portfolio company for reasons such as differences in strategy, existing portfolio or liquidity needs.

Where more than one Client of the Adviser or its affiliates invest in the same portfolio company, there can be no assurance that such parties will dispose of investments at the same time and on the same terms. For example, because one Client's term may expire before the end of another Client's term, such Clients may dispose of the investment at different times. Investments disposed of at different times will likely be disposed of at different valuations and, as a result, each Client may realize different returns as compared to the same investment held by another Client. These variations in timing may be detrimental to a Client. At the same time, if the Adviser determines it is advisable for a Client to exit an investment at the same time as another Client of the Adviser or its affiliates, the term of which may expire sooner than the former Client's, such Client may dispose of its interest earlier than it ordinarily would have and may, as

a result, experience lower returns than it otherwise may have earned on such investments. In addition, investors may receive different consideration (for instance, investors in one Client may receive cash whereas investors in another Client may be provided the opportunity to receive distributions in-kind), which may impact the realized return ultimately received by each Client.

In such circumstances described above, the Adviser could take steps to reduce the potential conflicts of interest between the various Clients, including causing a Client to take certain actions that, in the absence of such conflict, it would not take (e.g., a Client may divest itself of an asset it otherwise may have retained, the Adviser may establish information barriers, certain matters may be referred to an advisory committee or a third party, or a Client may only invest in securities that seeks to align the interests with other investing Clients). Any such steps could have the effect of benefiting one Client or the Adviser at the expense of another Client.

The applicable governing documents of a Client and the Adviser's policies and procedures are expected to vary based on the particular facts and circumstances surrounding each investment by two or more Clients in different classes of an issuer's capital structure (as well as across multiple issuers or borrowers within the same overall capital structure) and, as such, there may be a degree or variation and potential inconsistencies, in the manner in which potential or actual conflicts are addressed.

Officers, principals, employees and other related persons of the Adviser and its affiliates have made or will make capital investments in or alongside certain Funds or clients of the Adviser's affiliates, and therefore will have additional conflicting interests in connection with these investments (including in the event of payment default by a portfolio company). In addition, Funds from time to time invest in securities of companies in which officer, principals, employees and other related persons of the Adviser and its affiliates have previously invested for their own accounts. Officers, principals, employees and other related persons of the Adviser and its affiliates from time to time invest for their own accounts in securities of companies in which the Funds have previously invested. While such capital investments of the officers and employees of the Adviser generally aligns the interest of such persons with the Clients, such persons may have differing interests from the Client with respect to such investments (for example, with respect to the availability and timing of liquidity). There can be no assurance that the return of a Client participating in a transaction would be equal to and not less than another Client participating in the same transaction or that it would have been as favorable as it would have been had such conflict not existed.

A Client will invest (or may choose not to invest), directly or indirectly, in investments in which one or more other Clients or clients of the Adviser's affiliate (i) has an investment, (ii) is contemplating an investment, (iii) sourced or originated the investment, or (iv) has decided not to invest. A Client will have conflicting interests in negotiating the terms of an investment and investing in a company if one or more other Clients or clients of the Adviser's affiliate has originated the investment, proposes to make an investment, or has invested in the same issuer (particularly where such fund has a controlling interest in the issuer). Such negotiated terms may include, but are not limited to, the collateral, if any, pledged to secure the issuer's obligations, the interest rates to be paid on the issuer's debt securities, the characterization of the issuer's securities (whether as preferred stock or subordinated debt), the amount and nature of equity

securities (if any) attached to debt, the fees and expenses to be charged to or by the Client, and the nature of the covenants running in favor of the Client.

Purchase and sale orders will be combined for accounts managed by the Adviser and its affiliates with each entity paying its pro rata share of the total commission and total cost, including without limitation transaction expenses, and receiving its pro rata share of the sales proceeds. The appropriate allocation among the participating Clients of expenses and fees generated in the course of evaluating and making investments often will not be clear, especially where more than one vehicle participates. For instance, if a Fund and another Client are considering making an investment that is not consummated, allocation of the expenses generated for the account of the Fund and such other Client (such as expenses of common counsel and other professionals) will be made in good faith. When the Adviser incurs expenses that were related to a Fund and other Clients, such expenses will typically be allocated among all vehicles eligible to reimburse expenses of the applicable nature.

Cross-Transactions

In certain cases, the Adviser expects to cause a Client to purchase investments from another Client, or cause a Client to sell investments to another Client (i.e., cross-transactions), subject to certain regulatory and tax restrictions and conditions. In a cross-transaction between Clients, the Adviser will have a conflicting division of loyalties and responsibilities regarding both parties to the transaction, which conflicts involve specific conflicts related to pricing and other matters. For example, such transactions create conflicts of interest because, by not exposing such buy and sell transactions to market forces, a Client may not receive the best price otherwise possible, or the Adviser might have an incentive to improve the performance of one Client by selling underperforming assets to another Client in order, for example, to earn fees.

Additionally, in connection with such transactions, the Adviser, its affiliates and/or their professionals (i) may have significant investments, or intentions to invest, in the Fund that is selling and/or purchasing such an investment or (ii) otherwise have a direct or indirect interest in the investment (such as through certain other participations in the investment). The Adviser and its affiliates receive management or other fees in connection with their management of the relevant Clients involved in such a transaction, and may also be entitled to share in the investment profits of the relevant Clients.

Under certain circumstances, the Adviser may wish to reduce the investment of one or more Clients in an investment and increase the investment of other Client(s) in such investment, and may, therefore, effect such transactions by directing the transfer of such investment between such Clients or through another transaction structure. Any costs and expenses associated with any such transaction will be borne by such Clients in accordance with such Clients' organizational documents and to the extent not addressed in the applicable organizational documents, on an allocation that the Adviser deems in good faith to be fair and reasonable.

The Adviser believes that cross-transactions may in certain circumstances enable it to purchase or sell an investment for each Client and possibly avoid or minimize transaction costs or unfavorable price movements, while maintaining exposure to desirable assets. To mitigate any such conflicts, the Adviser has implemented policies and procedures to ensure that cross-

transactions between Clients are executed at a value that is no less than that which the Adviser, in good faith, believes would be negotiated on an arm's length basis with a third party. In addition, the Adviser will follow the Investment Allocation Requirements of the relevant Funds to address these conflicts of interest, in connection with effecting such transactions. To the extent such matters are not addressed in the Investment Allocation Requirements, the Adviser's Chief Compliance Officer, in consultation with the Adviser's Chief Legal Officer, will work with members of the relevant investment and operations teams to establish policies and procedures to ensure that the Adviser (i) considers its respective duties to each Client, (ii) determines whether the purchase or sale and price or other terms are comparable to what could be obtained through an arm's length transaction with a third party, and (iii) obtains any required approvals of the transaction's terms and conditions.

Cross trades involving a Regulated Fund are generally prohibited unless such trades are made pursuant to SEC rules or an exemptive order. Client consent alone is generally not effective to authorize such trades. The Adviser expects that participation by the BDC will typically not be permissible under the SEC's exemptive rules and therefore Funds and Separate Account Clients should expect to not participate in cross trades with the BDC.

Principal Transactions

Section 206 under the Advisers Act regulates principal transactions among an investment adviser and its affiliates, on the one hand, and the clients thereof, on the other hand. Generally, if an investment adviser or an affiliate thereof proposes to purchase a security from, or sell a security to, a client (what is commonly referred to as a "principal transaction"), the adviser must make certain disclosures to the client of the terms of the proposed transaction and obtain the client's consent to the transaction. In connection with the Adviser's management of Clients, the Adviser and its affiliates may engage in principal transactions. The Adviser has established policies and procedures to comply with the requirements of the Advisers Act as they relate to principal transactions, including that disclosures required by Section 206 of the Advisers Act be made to the applicable Clients regarding any proposed principal transactions and that any required prior consent to the transaction be received. In addition, the offering documents, limited partnership agreements or other organizational documents and related documents relating to the Funds, the BDC, and Separate Account Clients generally contain additional restrictions on the ability of the Funds, the BDC, the Separate Account Clients, or the Adviser to engage in principal transactions.

Management of Clients

The Adviser and its affiliates have business interests and activities outside of the Clients' activities which are similar to those of the Clients in many respects. In addition, the Adviser manages a number of Client accounts that have investment objectives similar to each other. The Adviser expects that it or its personnel will in the future establish one or more additional investment funds or be engaged by one or more Separate Account Clients with investment objectives substantially similar to, or different from, those of current Clients, which may compete with the Clients or a Separate Account Client for investment opportunities. Allocation of available investment opportunities between current Clients and any such investment fund or

Separate Account Client could give rise to conflicts of interest. See “*Allocation of Investment Opportunities Among Clients and Allocation of Co-Investment Opportunities and Secondary Transactions*” above. The Adviser will give advice or take actions with respect to the investments of one or more Clients that will not be given or taken with respect to other Clients with similar investment programs, objectives or strategies. As a result, Clients with similar strategies will not hold the same securities or achieve the same performance. In addition, a Client might not invest through the same investment vehicles, have the same access to credit or employ the same hedging or investment strategies as another Client. This could result in differences in price, investment terms, leverage and associated costs between the Clients. There can be no assurance that the Clients will exit the investment at the same time or on the same terms, and there can be no assurance that one Client’s return on such an investment will be the same as the returns achieved by another Client participating in the transactions. Given the nature of these conflicts, there can be no assurance that the resolution will be beneficial to a particular Client.

In addition, the Adviser could from time to time, consider an investment opportunity for one Client and then subsequently determine to have another Client make the investment. In making any such reallocation determination, the Adviser will consider a variety of factors, including those set forth above under “*Allocation of Investment Opportunities Among Clients*”. Conflicts of interest arise in connection with such a reallocation, including those set forth above under “*Allocation of Investment Opportunities Among Clients*”. In addition, a conflict of interest exists because the investing Client will benefit from the initial evaluation, investigation and due diligence undertaken by the Adviser on behalf of the original Client for which the investment was initially considered. In certain cases, such reallocation determination can be expected to occur after a significant period of time has passed and the Client to which the investment was originally allocated has incurred material out-of-pocket expenses in connection with evaluating, investigating and diligencing such investment. The investing Client typically will not be required to reimburse the original Client for such expense, but in the event that the investing Client does reimburse the original Client for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with evaluating, investigating and diligencing such investment, the investing Client typically will not pay interest on any such amounts reimbursed to the original Client. Alternatively, if the investing Client does pay interest on such amounts to the initial Client, there can be no assurance any such interest will be paid over at the same time as such reimbursement or that the amount of such interest will be sufficient to compensate the original Client for the time since it deployed capital to pay such expenses. The Adviser experiences conflicts of interest in connection with causing one Client to incur expenses that may ultimately benefit another Client (or fund advised by its affiliate), and similarly experiences conflicts of interest in determining the need for, calculating the amount of, and effecting any such reimbursement, as such arrangements may involve the discharge of a liability that one Client (or fund of the Adviser’s affiliate) owes to another Client, and in all such cases these determinations, calculations, and terms are not arm’s length arrangements and there can be no assurance that the allocation of such expenses is in the best interest of the Client. There can be no assurance that the amounts reimbursed to the original Client will be commensurate with the benefit received by the investing Client.

It is also expected that employees of the Adviser responsible for managing a particular Client will have responsibilities with respect to other Clients whose accounts are managed by the Adviser, including future Clients. Conflicts of interest may arise in allocating time, services, or

functions of these officers and employees as the Adviser has an incentive to allocate more time, services or functions to Clients from which the Adviser derives a higher economic benefit and/or to better performing Clients.

The Adviser also receives and generates various kinds of portfolio company data and other information, including related to or in connection with financial, industry, market, business operations, trends, budgets, customers, suppliers, competitors, financial information, commercial and transactional information, user data, costs data and related data and information and other metrics. This information may, in certain instances, includes information received or generated in connection with efforts on behalf of one Client's investment (or prospective investment) in a portfolio company. As a result, the Adviser is better able to anticipate macroeconomic and other trends, financial opportunities, influence operations of portfolio companies and otherwise develop investment strategies or identify specific business opportunities. The Adviser also intends to utilize such data for purposes of identifying new investments opportunities for the Clients. Information from investment by a Client may enable the Adviser to better understand a particular industry and develop and execute investment strategies in reliance on that understanding for the Adviser and other Clients that do not own an interest in that same investment, without compensation or benefit to such Client. Further, data is expected to be aggregated across the Clients and, in connection therewith, the Adviser is expected to serve as the repository for such data, including with ownership, use and distribution rights therein. The Adviser may also share data from a portfolio company of one Fund with a portfolio entity of another Fund, which may increase a competitive disadvantage for, and indirectly harm, such portfolio company. Portfolio companies may incur incremental expenses in collecting and organizing information requested or required to be furnished to the Adviser (which expenses are indirectly borne by the Clients). The Adviser enters into information sharing and confidentiality arrangements with portfolio companies and other sources of information that limit the internal distribution and use of such data. The Adviser may use this information in a manner that provides a material benefit to the Adviser, its affiliates, or to certain other Clients without compensating or otherwise benefitting the Client or Clients from which such information was obtained. In addition, the Adviser may have an incentive to pursue investments in portfolio companies based on the data and information expected to be received or generated. Furthermore, except for (a) contractual obligations to third parties to maintain confidentiality of certain information or otherwise limit the scope and purpose of its use or distribution, (b) policies, practices and procedures designed to ensure confidentiality of trade secrets and (c) compliance with applicable data privacy laws, laws prohibiting insider trading, anti-competition laws and laws protecting national security interests, the Adviser is generally free to use data and information from a Client's activities in its sole discretion for the benefit of the Adviser and other Clients. The sharing and use of such data and other information present potential conflicts of interest and any benefits received by the Adviser or its personnel will not be subject to the Advisory Fee offset provisions or otherwise shared with a Client or its investors. The Adviser may also utilize such information to benefit the Adviser, its Affiliates or certain Clients in a manner that otherwise presents a conflict of interest.

A Client may, from time to time invest in opportunities that other Clients or clients of the Adviser's affiliate have declined, and likewise, a Client may, from time to time decline to invest in opportunities in which other Clients or clients of the Adviser's affiliate have invested. There

will also be circumstances when the Adviser considers a portfolio investment on behalf of one Client and determines not to make such portfolio investment; however, the Adviser could eventually cause another Client to make such investment or a similar investment (or AMC could cause one of its advisory clients to make such an investment or similar investment). In these circumstances, the Adviser or AMC or such investing Client (or AMC advisory client) would likely benefit from research undertaken by the original investment team and/or from costs borne by the researching Client in pursuing the potential investment. Such investing Client (or AMC advisory client) will not be required to reimburse the researching Client for expenses incurred in connection with such research (including, without limitation, Broken Deal Expenses).

The Funds may enter into borrowing or indemnification arrangements that require the Funds to be jointly and severally liable for, or to cross-guarantee or enter into cross-default provisions with respect to, the applicable obligations. If one Fund defaults on such arrangement, the other Funds may be held responsible for the defaulted amount.

Follow-on Investments

Investments to finance follow-on acquisitions present conflicts of interest, including determination of the equity component and other terms of the new financing as well as the allocation of the investment opportunities in the case of follow-on investments by one Fund in a portfolio company in which another Fund or a fund advised by an affiliate of the Adviser has previously invested. In addition, a Fund may participate in financing and recapitalization transactions involving portfolio companies in which another Fund or a fund advised by an affiliate of the Adviser has already invested or will invest. Conflicts of interest are expected to arise, including determinations of whether existing Clients are receiving a price that is higher or lower than market value and whether new Clients are paying too high or too low a price for the company or purchasing securities with terms that are more or less favorable than the prevailing market terms.

Furthermore, a conflict of interest also arises because a Client that participates in a follow-on investment in a portfolio company held by another Client will benefit from the initial evaluation, investigation and due diligence undertaken by the Adviser on behalf of the original Client and from operational or other information about such portfolio company acquired from the original Client's ownership of interests in the portfolio company. In such circumstances, such benefitting Client or Clients will not be required to reimburse the original Client for expenses incurred in connection with researching such investment. An investment by a Client in a portfolio company in which another Client invests at a later stage may be made at a higher or lower valuation than the investment in such portfolio company by such other Client and an investment by one or more other Clients in any such portfolio company may dilute the original Client's interest in such portfolio company.

Additionally, the Adviser at times will make a follow-on investment in a portfolio investment because such follow-on investment protects the rights given to the investing Client (or another Client) previously or for reputational or strategic reasons, even when such follow-on investment's valuation has decreased since the original investment. These reputational benefits

and protections will, from time to time, benefit and/or accrue to other Clients and/or the Adviser at the expense of the current Client(s) investing in such follow-on investment.

Conflicts Relating to the General Partners and the Adviser

The Adviser generally may, in its discretion, contract with any related person of the Adviser including but not limited to a Client portfolio company to perform services for the Adviser in connection with its provision of services to Clients. When engaging a related person to provide such services, the Adviser may have an incentive to recommend the related person even if another person may be more qualified to provide the applicable services and/or can provide such services at a lesser cost.

In certain circumstances (e.g., in the capacity of lending agent), the Adviser, in its discretion, expects contract directly with, or recommend to a Client or to a portfolio company thereof (in response to a solicitation for a recommendation or otherwise) that it contract for services with a related person of the Adviser or an affiliate (including but not limited to a portfolio company of the Client). (i) a related person of the Adviser (including but not limited to a portfolio company of a Client) or (ii) an entity with which the Adviser or its affiliates or a member of their personnel has a relationship or from which the Adviser or its affiliates or their personnel otherwise derives financial or other benefit. When making such a recommendation, the Adviser may, because of its financial or other business interest, have an incentive to recommend the related or other person even if another person is more qualified to provide the applicable services and/or can provide such services at a lesser cost.

Additionally, former Adviser Personnel may also become employees, officers or directors of, or otherwise be engaged by, third-party service providers that provide services to the Adviser, the Clients and/or portfolio companies. While employed by the Adviser, the cost of the compensation, benefits and attributable overhead provided to these individuals are paid by the Adviser unless a Client's governing documents permit certain allocations of internal expenses to the Client. If a former Adviser employee becomes an employee or consultant of a third party that also provides services to a Client, such former Adviser employee may be assigned by such third party to provide services to that account. In such instance, the cost of the third-party service provider attributable to the former Adviser employee working on the Client will be borne entirely by the Client and no such amounts will reduce the Advisory Fee paid or the Carried Interest distributed by such Client on the basis that such person used to be a former Adviser Personnel.

The Adviser, its affiliates, and members, officers, principals, and employees of the Adviser and its affiliates could buy or sell securities or other instruments that the Adviser has recommended to Clients. In addition, officers, principals, and employees could buy securities in transactions offered to but rejected by Clients. A conflict of interest can arise because such investing Adviser Personnel will, for some investments, benefit from the evaluation, investigation, and due diligence undertaken by the Adviser on behalf of the Client. In such circumstances, the investing Adviser Personnel is not expected to share or reimburse the relevant Client(s) and/or the Adviser for any expenses incurred in connection with the investment opportunity. Such transactions are subject to the policies and procedures set forth in the Adviser's Code of Ethics. The investment

policies, fee arrangements and other circumstances of these investments could vary from those of Clients. If officers, principals, and employees of the Adviser have made large capital investments in or alongside Clients they might have conflicting interests with respect to these investments. While such capital investments of the officers and employees of the Adviser generally aligns the interest of such persons with the Clients, such persons might have differing interests from the Client with respect to such investments (for example, with respect to the availability and timing of liquidity).

By reason of their responsibilities in connection with other activities of the Adviser, certain Adviser Personnel may acquire confidential or material non-public information or be restricted from initiating transactions in certain securities. The Clients will not be free to act upon any such information. Due to these restrictions, the Clients may not be able to initiate a transaction that it otherwise might have initiated and may not be able to sell an investment that it otherwise might have sold.

Adviser officers, principals and employees have family members that are actively involved in industries and sectors in which the Clients invest or have business, personal, financial or other relationships with companies in such industries and sectors (including service providers) or other industries, which gives rise to conflicts of interest. For example, such family members might be officers, directors, personnel or owners of companies which are actual or potential investments of the Clients or other counterparties of the Clients and the portfolio companies. Moreover, in certain instances, the Clients or the portfolio companies may purchase or sell companies or assets from or to, or otherwise transact with companies that are owned by such family members or in respect of which such family members have other involvement. In most such circumstances, the Clients' organizational documents will not preclude Funds from undertaking any of these investment activities or transactions.

In addition, Adviser Personnel may also buy securities and hold interests as passive investors in other investment vehicles (including private equity funds, hedge funds, venture capital funds, real estate funds and other similar investment vehicles) which may include potential competitors of the Clients and/or which may invest in similar industries and sectors as the Clients. Such Adviser Personnel have a conflict of interest with respect to their personal investment holdings. There could be situations in which such investment vehicles invest in the same portfolio companies as the Clients, and there may be situations in which such investment vehicle purchases securities from, or sells securities to, a Client. The investment policies, fee arrangements and other circumstances of these investments may vary from those of the Clients. Such personnel may be incentivized to cause a Client to act in a manner that benefits such other investment vehicles and indirectly, themselves as investors in such investment vehicles.

From time to time, Adviser Personnel invest in funds or other entities managed by investors of a Fund, which could incentivize such Adviser Personnel to afford the investors preferential or favored treatment, such as, for example, increased access to co-investment opportunities, and could create conflicts of interest to the extent such other funds compete with a Fund for investment opportunities or invest in competing portfolio companies.

Because certain expenses are paid for by a Client and/or its portfolio companies or, if incurred by the Adviser, are reimbursed by a Client and/or its portfolio companies, the Adviser may not necessarily seek out the lowest cost options when incurring (or causing a Client or its portfolio companies to incur) such expenses.

Fee Structure

For certain Funds, the Advisory Fee is generally payable through the liquidation of a Fund and there is a fixed investment period after which capital from investors in such Funds may be drawn down only in limited circumstances. Because the Advisory Fees of these Funds are, at certain times during the life of such Funds, based upon capital invested by the Funds, the fee structure of these Funds may create an incentive to deploy capital when the Adviser may not otherwise have done so or to hold investments longer, than otherwise would be the case. In addition, the valuation of partially realized or unrealized investments from time to time may be zero or close to zero. Because the Advisory Fee, at certain times during the life of the Clients, payable to the Adviser is based on capital invested by the Clients relative to such investments, in such instances the Advisory Fee paid with respect to such investment will be higher than if the Advisory Fee payable were based on the fair value of such investment.

The Adviser has discretion in determining whether and when an investment has been permanently written down, which impacts the calculation of Advisory Fees of certain Clients. As provided in a Client's partnership agreements, advisory agreement, or other organizational documents, following the investment period of a Client, the Advisory Fees with respect to such Client may be calculated based on invested capital, which is reduced by any investments that are permanently written down. As a result, a conflict of interests exists because the Adviser has an incentive to refrain from or delay permanently writing down investments in order to ensure the Advisory Fee base does not decrease, which would result in higher Advisory Fees ultimately paid to the Adviser. In general, the Adviser evaluates several criteria in determining whether to permanently write down an investment, including, without limitation, how long the investment has been held, length of time the investment has been marked down, materiality or markdown, anticipated holding period of the investment, volatility in valuation, impact of market conditions on valuation, other valuation methodologies showing increased valuations, and anticipated recovery path for the investment. The Adviser may change these criteria in its sole discretion from time to time and the Adviser has flexibility in determining the applicability and weight of these factors and has ultimate discretion in determining whether an investment should be permanently written down. As a result, the Adviser is permitted to determine that even extremely distressed investments should not be permanently written down. There can be no assurance that an investment, in hindsight, should have been permanently written down or should have been permanently written down at an earlier date.

Additionally, as discussed above in Item 6, the general partners of the Funds are entitled to Carried Interest under the terms of the limited partnership agreements of the Funds, and certain Separate Account Clients and the BDC are entitled to incentive fees. Such general partners are affiliates of the Adviser. While the existence of the general partners' Carried Interest or Separate Account Clients' or the BDC's incentive fees operates to align a general partner's or the Adviser's interest with the interests of investors in a Fund, a Separate Account Client or the

BDC, the existence of the general partners' Carried Interest or Separate Account Clients' or the BDC's incentive fees may create an incentive for the Adviser to cause such Clients to make more speculative investments than they would otherwise make in the absence of performance-based compensation.

To the extent that the Adviser receives different percentages of Carried Interest and other fees from different Client, the Adviser will be subject to conflicts of interest because it will be incentivized to allocate opportunities to the Clients from which it is entitled to receive a higher Carried Interest percentage. For instance, if one Fund is expected to have greater aggregate capital commitments than another Fund and is therefore expected to generate more Carried Interest and management fees than such other Fund, the Adviser will have an incentive to favor the former Fund over the latter.

In addition, the Adviser and its affiliates are incentivized to hold on to investments that have poor prospective for improvement in order to receive ongoing Advisory Fees in the interim and, potentially, a more likely or larger Carried Interest distribution if such asset's value appreciates in the future. This incentive is increased by the presence of the clawback obligation of the applicable Funds' general partners.

Fund-Level Borrowing

The Funds from time to time borrow funds or enter into other financing arrangements for various reasons, including to pay fund expenses, to pay management fees, to pay organizational expenses, to make or facilitate new or follow-on investments, to fund the closing of an investment in advance of the receipt of capital contributions from investors, or to cover any shortfall resulting from an investor's default or exclusion. If a Fund borrows in lieu of calling capital to fund the acquisition of an investment, the borrowing would generally be used for all limited partners in such Fund on a pro-rata basis, in proportion to their respective capital commitments, including the general partner, and may remain outstanding for an extended period before the Fund calls capital from its limited partners. The Funds will also utilize subscription facilities to benefit co-investment parties. For example, a Fund will borrow to fund a co-investment party's pro rata share of an investment or expense related to an investment. While the Adviser expects that all parties (including the general partner and any co-investment party) will bear its pro rata share of the interests expenses (but not necessarily origination and other costs) allocable to the extension of credit, from time to time, certain parties participating in an investment (including the general partner and co-investment parties) may not bear their pro rata share of expenses relating to the subscription facility (including interests expenses, origination and other costs). As a result, in such circumstances a Fund would bear a disproportionate cost in connection with the extension of credit. In addition, because co-investment parties and the general partner are not expected to be parties to the subscription facility, the Funds will bear a disproportionate amount of the credit risk in incurred the debt on behalf of the other parties.

In addition, credit facilities for certain Funds are available to provide borrowed funds directly to the portfolio companies of such Funds, in which case such borrowed funds would be guaranteed by such Funds. In such instances the Funds would bear the sole liability for the borrowed funds in the event of a default, and as a result, such portfolio company and any of its other investors

(including direct investments by the general partner and any co-investor, including internal Co-Investment Vehicles) benefit from the credit risk taken by the Fund's guarantee.

Although borrowings by a Fund have the potential to enhance overall returns that exceed the Fund's cost of funds (including interest rate, lender fees and transaction costs), such borrowings increase the potential exposure of the Fund to a particular investment above the level that the Fund would typically have if the Fund invested only its own equity. Any such borrowings will further diminish returns (or increase losses on capital) to the extent overall returns are less than the Fund's cost of funds. In addition, borrowings by the Fund can be secured by capital commitments made by Fund investors to the Fund as well as by the Fund's assets and the documentation relating to such borrowings provides that during the continuance of a default under such borrowings, the interests of the investors may be subordinated to such Fund-level borrowing. Moreover, tax-exempt investors should note that the use of leverage by the Fund may cause the realization of "unrelated business taxable income."

To the extent a Fund uses borrowed funds in advance or in lieu of capital contributions, or a portfolio company borrows funds directly, or indirectly, through the Fund facility, such Fund's investors generally make correspondingly later capital contributions, but the Fund will typically bear the expense of interest on such borrowed funds. As a result, such Fund's use of borrowed funds will impact the calculation of net performance metrics (to the extent that they measure investor cash flows) and will generally make net IRR calculations higher than they otherwise would be without fund-level borrowing. Such calculations generally depend in part on the amount and timing of capital contributions. It is expected that the interest will accrue on any such outstanding borrowings at a lower rate than any preferred return, which will begin accruing when capital contributions to fund such investments, or repay borrowings used to fund such investments, are actually made to the relevant Fund. Thus, while a Fund will bear the expense of borrowed funds, such borrowings can also increase the Carried Interest received by such Fund's general partner or will result in the Fund's general partner receiving Carried Interest earlier than it would otherwise have by decreasing the amount of distributions from the Fund that are required to be made to Fund investors in satisfaction of any preferred return. The general partner therefore has a conflict of interest in deciding whether to borrow funds because the general partner may receive disproportionate benefits from such borrowings. In addition, where a portfolio company borrows funds directly or indirectly through the Fund facility, the applicable Fund may charge the portfolio company borrower higher interest rates than the interest rate the Fund pays pursuant to such financing facility, among other things, to help offset origination and other facility costs.

Borrowing is expected to be made through holding vehicles, including common holding or similar vehicles such as limited liability special-purpose vehicles and CLOs, that are shared with other Clients. Such common holding or similar vehicles may be created for leverage, liability, capital diversification, available capital, tax and/or other reasons. Investments made indirectly through common holding or similar vehicles carry risks that direct investments do not carry. For example, indirect investments are structurally subordinate to direct investments in a bankruptcy or workout scenario. In addition, common holding or similar vehicles may have a duration, term or liquidity characteristics that differ from the Funds, which may affect the Funds' ability to receive capital or income distributions or in-kind distributions. For example, other Clients that share holding vehicles with the Funds may be "open-end" Funds or separately managed

accounts. Those other Clients may have a desire for significant liquidity at a time when the Funds desires to retain assets, which would create a conflict of interest as to whether assets should be sold or otherwise refinanced. Those conflicts will be resolved in an equitable manner, as determined over time and, as a result, Clients may experience temporary decreases in performance, from time to time. Certain Clients utilize CLOs and, as a result, are also dependent on the CLO market and commercial lending market for leverage of the portfolios of common holding or similar vehicles. If the CLO market or commercial lending market were unavailable for an extended period of time, such Clients would experience diminished returns.

The use of fund-level borrowings will differ based on available credit facility capacity and contractual terms applicable to each Client and each such credit facility. Therefore, as the subscription credit facilities utilized by the Clients may have different terms, while the Clients may be invested in the same investment, and while the valuation of such investment would be consistently determined pursuant to the relevant organizational documents, the investment return can, in certain circumstances, differ among such Clients as a result.

Business with and Among Portfolio Companies, Clients, Investors and Prospective Investors

Given the collaborative nature of the Adviser's business and the portfolio companies in which the Funds and other Clients intend to, or have invested, there are often situations when the Adviser is in the position of recommending services of a portfolio company of a Client, which may involve fees, commissions, servicing payments and/or discounts, to the Adviser, an affiliate, or another portfolio company. The Adviser will generally have a conflict of interest in making such recommendations, in that the Adviser has an incentive to maintain goodwill between it and the existing and prospective portfolio companies of the Funds or any other Client, while the products or services recommended may not necessarily be the best available to the portfolio companies held by the Funds or other Clients. The benefits received by a portfolio company providing a service may be greater than those received by the Funds and their portfolio companies receiving the service.

The Adviser generally has an incentive to recommend the products or services of certain investors or prospective investors in the Funds or the BDC, certain Separate Account Clients, certain Third Parties, and/or their related businesses to Clients or their portfolio companies for use or purchase, even though the products or services recommended may not necessarily be the best available to Clients or the portfolio companies.

Current and former officers and executives of portfolio companies may also invest in a Fund. While the Adviser believes this aligns portfolio company management teams with the best interests of the Fund, the Adviser may, in certain circumstances, be incentivized to take (or refrain from taking) certain actions with respect to a portfolio company in order to maintain the goodwill with such portfolio company management team investor.

In certain instances, a portfolio company of the Funds may compete with, be a customer of, or be a service provider to, another portfolio company of the Funds or a portfolio company of another Audax Client. The performance and operations of a competitor, customer, or service provider portfolio company could conflict with, and adversely affect the performance and operations of

another portfolio company, or could adversely affect prices, business opportunities or potential acquisition opportunities. For example, an Adviser affiliate could cause a client to make investments in companies that will compete for customers with Fund portfolio companies. Additionally, a portfolio company may seek to expand its market share at the expense of another portfolio company, withdraw business from another portfolio company in favor of another company offering the same product or service at a lower price, increase its own process, purchase assets from, or sell assets to, another portfolio company, commence litigation against another portfolio company, or prevent one portfolio company from commencing litigation against another portfolio company. In providing advice to a portfolio company, the Adviser may consider the interests of one portfolio company or Fund and is not obligated to, and need not, take into consideration the interests of other relevant portfolio companies or the Funds. As a result, a conflict of interest may arise in these instances because advice and recommendations provided by the Adviser to a portfolio company of the Funds or another client may have adverse consequences to a separate portfolio company owned by the Funds. In addition, affiliates of the Adviser have relationships with a number of portfolio companies and service providers, and are expected to provide investment management services, advice, or otherwise deal with third-parties whose interests could potentially conflict with the interests of Fund portfolio companies, such as competitors, vendors, suppliers or customers of Fund portfolio companies.

A Client's portfolio companies may be counterparties or participants in agreements, transactions or other arrangements with portfolio companies of other Clients or clients of the Adviser's affiliates that may not have otherwise been entered into but for the affiliation with the Adviser and which may provide economic or other benefits to the Adviser or its affiliates. For example, the Adviser may in the future cause portfolio companies held by other Clients or clients of the Adviser's affiliate, to enter into agreements regarding group procurement (which may depend on the volume of services purchased under these agreements and which may be pooled across multiple portfolio companies and discounted due to scale), benefits management, data management and/or mining, technology development, purchase or title and/or other insurance policy (which may be pooled across multiple portfolio companies and discounted to scale) and other similar operational initiatives that may result in fees, better pricing, rebates, commissions or similar payments and/or discounts being paid to the Adviser, its affiliates or a portfolio company, including related to a portion of the savings achieved by the portfolio company. While the Adviser may have a conflict of interest because its economic benefit may incentivize the Adviser to maintain such arrangements, the Adviser believes that such agreements benefit the portfolio companies due to increased access to quality products and services at beneficial pricing and the Adviser's benefits from such arrangements are reduced because the Adviser only benefits on at the same rate as the portfolio companies. However, it should not be assumed that a company related to, or otherwise affiliated with the Adviser will only take actions that are beneficial to, or not opposed to, the interests of the Funds and their portfolio companies.

Employees of the Adviser may serve as directors of portfolio companies. While conflicts of interest may arise in the event that such employee's fiduciary duties as a director conflicts with those of the Funds, it is expected that the interests will generally be aligned. In addition, the employees of the Adviser serving as directors may make decisions for a portfolio company that negatively impacts returns received by a Fund investing in the portfolio company.

The Adviser and its affiliates may, from time to time, hire part-time or full-time employees (including interns) who are relatives of, or are otherwise associated with an investor, portfolio company, former portfolio company, investment target or service provider. Although the Adviser uses reasonable care to mitigate any potential conflicts of interest with respect to each particular situation, there is no guarantee the Adviser can control all such conflicts of interest and there may be a continuing appearance of a conflict of interest.

Diverse Membership

The Clients and their respective partners, shareholders, members or investors, as applicable, will have conflicting investment, tax and other interests with respect to the investments made directly or indirectly by the Clients. The conflicting interests among the investors may relate to or arise from, among other things, the nature of investments made by a Fund, the structuring of the acquisition of investments and the nature and timing of the disposition of investments. As a consequence, conflicts of interest may arise in connection with decisions made by the Adviser or its affiliates, including with respect to the nature or structuring of investments, that may be more beneficial for one investor than for another investor, especially with respect to investors' individual tax situations. In selecting and structuring investments appropriate for a Fund, the Adviser and its affiliates will consider the investment and tax objectives of the applicable Fund, not the investment, tax, or other objectives of any investor individually.

Business with Portfolio Companies Related to Limited Partners

In certain situations, a Fund may invest in a portfolio company in which a limited partner in a Fund, shareholder in the BDC, or investor in a Separate Account Client, directly or indirectly, holds an interest or otherwise derives a financial or other benefit. While not generally anticipated to occur, such transactions create a conflict of interest because the Adviser may have an incentive to cause the Clients to make an investment in such a portfolio company and/or to structure the terms of such investment in a manner that is believed to strengthen and/or cultivate relationships that may provide benefits to current or future Clients and/or the Adviser and as a result, such conflicts of interest could affect the negotiations of the terms of the investment. To the extent such a transaction arises, the Adviser believes that the economic arrangement of the Adviser and its affiliates (e.g., the Carried Interest that it would receive) and the requirement that the personnel of the Adviser have exposure to such portfolio companies through their commitment to invest in such portfolio companies, mitigate this conflict.

Industry Relationships

As part of the Adviser's business, the Adviser and its personnel have developed many relationships with third parties which have the potential to raise conflicts of interest. Such third parties include investment bankers, lenders, consultants, professional advisers (such as attorneys and accountants), co-investors, current and former directors, officers and employees of current and former portfolio companies and former employees and members of the Adviser. Certain of these third parties could potentially: (i) introduce investment opportunities to the Adviser; (ii) arrange for, or facilitate the financing of, the purchase or recapitalization of current and potential portfolio companies; (iii) introduce portfolio companies to potential acquisition or merger

candidates; (iv) facilitate the disposition of portfolio companies; or (v) provide investment banking, consulting, legal or advisory services to the Adviser, Clients, or portfolio companies. Such third parties could potentially also provide goods or services to or have business, personal, political, financial or other relationships with the Adviser and its personnel. In addition, such third parties could potentially invest in one or more Clients; co-invest in one or more portfolio companies; or provide other significant business or investment services to the Adviser, Clients and/or their portfolio companies.

Service Providers

Services required by a Client (including some services historically provided by the Adviser or its affiliates to the Clients) will, for certain reasons including efficiency and economic considerations, be outsourced in whole or in part to third parties or licensed software, in each case in the discretion of the Adviser or its affiliates. The Adviser and its affiliates have an incentive to outsource such services at the expense of the Clients to, among other things, leverage the use of Adviser Personnel. Such services may include, without limitation, deal sourcing, asset management, information technology, licensed software, depository, data processing, client relations, administration and accounting (at any level in a Fund's structure), custodial, marketing and the reviews of marketing materials, accounting, valuation, trading, legal, human resources, client services, compliance, corporate secretarial and tax support, director services and other similar services. Outsourcing may not occur universally for all Clients and accordingly, certain costs may be incurred by a Client for a third-party service provider that is not incurred for comparable services by other Clients. The decision by the Adviser to initially perform a service for a Client in-house does not preclude a later decision to outsource such services (or any additional services) in whole or in part to a third-party service provider in the future and the Adviser has no obligation to inform such Clients or investors of such a change. Such services may also supplement or be performed alongside services performed by the Adviser. In addition, certain internal service providers (such as internal accountants) may "shadow" or otherwise review the reports of other services provided by such third parties. The costs and expenses of any such third-party service providers will be borne by the relevant Clients.

If a service provider provides services to a Client on the property of the Adviser, such Client may also be responsible for any overhead, rent or other fees, costs and expenses charged by the Adviser in connection with an on-site arrangement.

The Adviser and/or its affiliates may engage certain service providers to provide services to the Adviser, the Clients, and/or the portfolio companies, including services during the due diligence and acquisition process. Such service providers are, in certain circumstances, investors in a Client or affiliates of such investors and may include, for example, investment or commercial bankers, outside legal counsel, pension consultants, and/or other investors who provide services (including mezzanine and/or lending arrangements). The engagement of any such service provider could be concurrent with an investor's admission to a Fund, or during the term of such investor's investment in the Fund. This creates a conflict of interest, as the Adviser could give such investor preferred economics or other terms with respect to its investment in a Fund, or could have an incentive to offer such investor co-investment opportunities that it would not

otherwise offer to such investor. In addition, the Adviser will have a conflict of interest in recommending the retention or continuation of a third-party service provider to the Funds if such recommendation, for example, is motivated by a belief that the service provider or its affiliate(s) will continue to invest in Funds or will provide the Adviser information about markets and industries in which the Adviser operates (or is contemplating operations), will provide other services that are beneficial to the Adviser and/or will provide financial sponsorship of events held by the Adviser (such as transaction closing dinners or outings, or informational summits or training events for the Adviser or portfolio company personnel).

Additionally, employees of the Adviser or its affiliates, and/or their family members or relatives may have ownership, employment, or other economic or other interests in certain service providers. These relationships can influence the Adviser in determining whether to select or recommend such service provider to perform services for a Fund or a portfolio company. Although the Adviser selects service providers that it believes are aligned with its operational strategies and that will enhance the performance of the relevant Client, there is a possibility that the Adviser, because of financial, business interest, or for other reasons, would have an incentive to recommend the retention or continuation of the related or other person. There is a possibility that the Adviser, because of such incentive or for other reasons (including whether the use of such persons could establish, recognize, strengthen or cultivate relationships that have the potential to provide longer-term benefits to the Adviser and/or its Clients or affiliates), could favor such retention or continuation even if a better price and/or quality of service provider could be obtained from another person. Whether or not the Adviser has a relationship with or receives financial or other benefit from recommending a particular service provider, there can be no assurance that no other service provider is more qualified to provide the applicable services or could provide such services at lesser cost. The cost of any services provided by such third parties will generally be borne directly the relevant Clients. There will also be situations in which the Adviser receives more favorable service rates or arrangements than the Clients or their portfolio companies.

Certain other service providers to the Adviser, the Clients and/or portfolio companies, or affiliates of such service providers, may also provide goods or services to or have business, personal, financial, or other relationships with the Adviser, its affiliates, or portfolio companies of the Clients. Such service providers (or their employees) may also source investment opportunities, be co-investors or commercial counterparties or entities in which the Adviser and/or the Clients have an investment, and payments by a Client and/or such portfolio companies may indirectly benefit the Adviser and/or such Client.

The Clients may pay a fee to an investment bank with respect to a particular transaction which fee may, in whole or in part, reflect a payment to the investment bank for finding deals for the Adviser and the Clients in the future. As a result, the Client paying the fee to the investment bank may not receive the benefit of the future deals sourced by the investment bank and the other Client to which a deal is allocated will not be required to reimburse the paying Client for such fee.

In addition, service providers often charge varying amounts or may have different fee arrangements for different types of services provided. For instance, fees for various types of

work often depend on the complexity of the matter, the expertise required and the time demands of the service provider. As a result, to the extent the services required by the Adviser or its affiliates differ from those required by the Clients and/or its portfolio companies, the Adviser and its affiliates will pay different rates and fees than those paid by the Clients and/or its portfolio companies.

The Adviser or its affiliates engage certain service providers (including law firms) on behalf of the Clients and personnel of such service provider may in the future be seconded to the Adviser or its affiliates on a temporary basis, pursuant to various arrangements including at cost or at no cost. The Adviser is, from time to time, a beneficiary of these arrangements as well. Such personnel may provide services in respect of multiple matters, including in respect of matters related to the Adviser, its affiliates and/or portfolio companies and in any such circumstance the benefits or costs of any such personnel will be allocated in the Adviser's discretion taking into consideration the usage of such personnel. In such circumstances, a conflict of interest exists because the Adviser or its affiliates have an incentive to select one service provider over another on the basis that the Adviser or its affiliates may receive the benefit of seconded employees from such service provider, particularly where the compensation and expenses for such personnel during the secondment is borne by the service provider and not the Adviser or its affiliates.

Side Letter Agreements

The Adviser will enter into certain side letter arrangements with certain investors in Funds providing such investors with different or preferential rights or terms, including but not limited to different fee structures, preferential economic rights, information and reporting rights, waiver of certain confidentiality obligations, excuse rights, transfer rights, co-investment rights, certain rights or terms necessary in light of particular legal, regulatory or policy requirements of a particular investor, additional obligations and restrictions with respect to structuring particular investments in light of the legal and regulatory considerations applicable to a particular investor, modification of representations, indemnification and/or liability and other obligations, veto rights, and liquidity or transfer rights. Except as otherwise agreed with an investor, the Adviser (or applicable general partner) is not required to disclose the terms of side letter arrangements with other investors in the same Fund. Also, investors will have no recourse against a Fund, the applicable Fund's general partner, the Adviser or their respective affiliates in the event that certain investors receive additional or different rights or terms pursuant to such side letters, some of which rights may impact the rights and/or increase the obligations of other investors. In addition, side letter arrangements with certain investors of the Funds impose additional restrictions on investing in certain types of assets, geographies or industries in order to meet certain legal, tax, regulatory, internal policy or other requirements of such investors. While these restrictions are intended to apply solely to such investors, they may ultimately restrict the investments made by an applicable Fund.

Advisory Affiliates

As described in Item 10 above, certain of the Adviser's investment adviser affiliates have their own clients. Although these affiliates focus primarily on a different investment strategy than the Adviser, clients of the Adviser and these affiliates may invest in the same portfolio companies,

including in the same security or in different securities of such a portfolio company. Interests of the Adviser's clients may therefore conflict with the interests of the clients of these affiliates. For instance, see *"Allocation of Investment Opportunities Among Clients"* and *"Allocation of Co-Investment Opportunities and Secondary Transactions"* and *"Conflicts Related to Purchases and Sales"* above for more information.

Other Potential Conflicts

The organizational documents of each Client typically establish complex arrangements among such Client, the Adviser, investors, and other relevant parties. From time to time, questions may arise regarding certain parties' rights and obligations in certain situations, some of which may not have been contemplated upon the negotiation and execution of such documents. In some instances, the operative provisions of the organizational documents, if any, may be broad, unclear, general, conflicting, ambiguous, and vague and may allow for multiple reasonable interpretations. In other instances, there may not be a directly applicable provision. While the Adviser will construe the relevant provisions in good faith and in a manner consistent with its fiduciary duty and legal obligations, the interpretations used may not be the most favorable to a Client or its investors.

The Adviser and its Clients will often engage common legal counsel and other service providers in a particular transaction, including a transaction in which there may be conflicts of interest. Members of the law firms engaged to represent the Funds may be investors in a Fund, and may also represent one or more Client portfolio companies or investors in a Fund. In the event of a significant dispute or divergence of interest between Clients, the Adviser and/or its affiliates, the parties may engage separate counsel in the sole discretion of the Adviser and its affiliates, and in litigation and other circumstances separate representation may be required.

The Adviser and its personnel have in the past and will in the future receive certain intangible and/or other benefits and/or perquisites arising or resulting from their activities on behalf of a Client, including benefits and other discounts provided from service providers. For example, airline travel, hotel stays, or other expenses incurred as Client expenses can result in "miles" or "points," rebates or credit in loyalty/status programs to the Adviser and/or its personnel. Such benefits, rewards and/or amounts (whether or not *de minimus* or difficult to value), will exclusively benefit the Adviser and/or such personnel even though the cost of the underlying service is being borne by the Client. Any such benefits, rewards and/or amounts will not be subject to the offset arrangements described above or otherwise shared with such Client. In addition, airline travel incurred as a Client expense for an Adviser Personnel travelling for appropriate Client-related purposes (including, without limitation, travel related to a portfolio company, a prospective portfolio company or other Client-related matter) may benefit such Adviser Personnel to the extent the trip also serves a personal purpose.

The Adviser may, in its discretion, have, and may, in its discretion, cause Clients to have, ongoing business dealings, arrangements, or agreements with persons who are former employees or executives of the Adviser. Clients may bear, directly or indirectly, the costs of such dealings, arrangements, or agreements. In such circumstances, there may be a conflict of interest between the Adviser and its Clients (or their portfolio companies) in determining whether to engage in or

to continue such dealings, arrangements, or agreements, including the possibility that the Adviser may favor the engagement or continued engagement of such persons even if a better price and/or quality of service could be obtained from another person.

The Adviser has in the past, and will in the future, cause one or more Clients to bear such Client's allocable share of premiums, fees, costs and expenses (including any expenses or fees of insurance brokers) for insurance to insure the applicable Clients, the applicable general partner of a Fund, the Adviser and/or their respective directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, members of the advisory board and other indemnified parties, against liability in connection with the activities of the Clients. This may include a portion of any premiums, fees, costs and expenses for one or more "umbrella" or other insurance policies maintained by the Adviser that cover one or more Clients and/or the Adviser (including their respective directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, members of the advisory board and other indemnified parties). The Adviser will make judgments about the allocation of premiums, fees, costs and expenses for such "umbrella" or other insurance policies among one or more Clients, and/or the Adviser on a fair and reasonable basis in accordance with the Adviser's policies and procedures. The Adviser may also make corrective allocations should it determine subsequently that such corrections are necessary or advisable. There can be no assurance that a different allocation would not result in a Client bearing less (or more) premiums, fees, costs and expenses for insurance policies.

The Adviser may, from time to time, require, cause or invite the Clients and/or a portfolio company to make contributions to charitable initiatives, or other non-profit organizations that the Adviser believes could, directly or indirectly, enhance the value of the Clients' investments, assist in completing an acquisition of a portfolio company or other transaction (whether or not documented at the time of such acquisition or transaction) or otherwise serve a business purpose for, or be beneficial to, the Clients or their portfolio company. Such contributions could be designed to benefit employees of a portfolio company, the community in which a portfolio company operates or a charitable cause essential to, or consistent with, the business purpose of a portfolio company. In certain instances, such charitable initiatives could be sponsored by, affiliated with or related to current or former employees of the Adviser, portfolio company management teams, advisors, service providers, vendors, joint venture partners, and/or other persons or organizations associated with the Adviser, the Clients or the portfolio companies. These relationships could influence the Adviser's decision whether to require, cause or invite the Clients or the portfolio companies to make charitable contributions. Further, from time to time, such charitable contributions by the Clients or the portfolio companies could supplement or replace charitable contributions that the Adviser would have otherwise made. Also, in certain instances, the Adviser may, from time to time, select a service provider or other counterparty to the Clients or their investments based, in part, on the charitable initiatives of such person where the Adviser believes such charitable initiatives could, directly or indirectly, enhance the value of the Clients' investments or otherwise be beneficial to the portfolio companies.

Certain employees of the Adviser provide research, administrative, reporting and similar services to the Co-CEOs of the Adviser and certain of their family members and investment research and analysis to the Co-CEOs, in each case with respect to personal investment activities. Such services could potentially present a conflict of interest between the Adviser and the Clients.

However, the Adviser believes any potential conflicts of interest are substantially mitigated because (i) the investments are not investments that would be suitable for a Client, (ii) the investments are subject to an internal restricted list, (iii) the investments are reportable by the Co-CEOs and subject to preclearance pursuant to the Code of Ethics, (iv) such employees are not involved in the provision of investment advice to the Clients and (v) such employees do not have investment discretion with respect to such personal investment activities.

The Adviser may represent creditors or debtors in proceedings under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code or prior to such filings. From time to time, the Adviser may serve as adviser to creditor or equity committees. This involvement, for which the Adviser may be compensated, may limit or preclude the flexibility that Clients may otherwise have to make investments.

If a Client purchases in the secondary market at a discount debt securities of a company in which a Client has, for example, a substantial equity interest, (a) a court might require a Client to disgorge profit it realizes if the opportunity to purchase such securities at a discount should have been made available to the issuer of such securities or (b) a Client might be prevented from enforcing such securities at their full face value if the issuer of such securities becomes bankrupt. The effect of these transactions will vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction.

Although the Adviser expects that such activity would occur rarely, if ever, partnership agreements (or analogous organizational documents) of certain Funds permit the general partner of each such Fund to cause such Fund to distribute such general partner's share of securities resulting from an investment disposition by such Fund to such general partner or its affiliates in-kind, while disposing of limited partners' share of such securities and distributing the net cash proceeds of such sale of securities to the limited partners. This ability creates conflicts of interest between the general partners and the limited partners of the applicable Fund, because the general partner may have an incentive to cause the Fund to exit an investment at a time that may result in limited partners receiving a lesser return on such investment than would be the case if the general partner was prohibited from receiving its proceeds from investments in-kind (or was otherwise required to receive its share of investment proceeds in the same form as limited partners).

The partnership agreements (or analogous organizational documents) of certain Funds permit each such Fund's general partner, or its affiliates, to lend money to the applicable Fund. Such lending arrangements, if employed, create conflicts of interest between the applicable general partner or affiliate and the Fund acting as borrower.

The partnership agreements (or analogous organizational documents) of certain Funds permit each such Fund's general partner to withhold certain information from certain limited partners or investors in such Fund in certain circumstances. For instance, information may be withheld from limited partners that are subject to Freedom of Information Act or similar requirements. The general partner may elect to withhold certain information to such limited partners for reasons relating to the general partner's public reputation or overall business strategy, despite the potential benefits to such limited partners of receiving such information.

Please see the discussion above under the sub-heading “Resolution of Conflicts” for a description of the means by which the Adviser and its related persons may seek to alleviate conflicts of interest among the Funds or other persons.

Item 12. Brokerage Practices

As Clients invest primarily in private transactions, the Adviser anticipates that investments in publicly traded securities will be infrequent occurrences (e.g., money market instruments pending investment in a portfolio company, securities held as a result of initial public offerings of portfolio companies, etc.). However, to meet its fiduciary duties to the Clients, the Adviser has adopted written policies to address issues that might arise with respect to purchasing, holding, and selling publicly traded securities.

Selection of Brokers and Dealers

For each Client, the Adviser has (subject to the direction and control of a general partner, in the case of a Fund) sole discretion over the purchase and sale of investments (including the size of such transactions) and the broker or dealer, if any, to be used to effect transactions. In placing each transaction for a Client involving a broker-dealer, the Adviser will seek “best execution” of the transaction, except to the extent it may be permitted to pay higher brokerage commissions in exchange for brokerage and research services (as discussed below). “Best execution” means obtaining for a Client account the lowest total cost (in purchasing a security) or highest total proceeds (in selling a security), taking into account the circumstances of the transaction and the reputability and reliability of the executing broker or dealer. Best execution is not limited solely to the consideration of the best available commission rate.

In determining whether a particular broker or dealer is likely to provide best execution in a particular transaction, the Adviser’s Finance team takes into account all factors that it deems relevant to the broker’s or dealer’s execution capability, including, by way of illustration, price, the size of the transaction, the nature of the market for the security, the amount of the commission, the timing of the transaction taking into account market prices and trends, the reputation, experience, and financial stability of the broker or dealer, and the quality of service rendered by the broker or dealer in other transactions. In addition, the Adviser may consider the use of Electronic Communications Networks when placing trades on behalf of Clients. When purchasing or selling over-the-counter securities with market makers, the Adviser generally seeks to select market makers it believes to be actively and effectively trading the security being purchased or sold.

In order to monitor best execution, the Adviser’s Finance team, in consultation with the Adviser’s Compliance Group, will periodically monitor broker-dealers to assess the quality of execution of brokerage transactions effected on behalf of the Adviser and each Client.

A Separate Account Client may request or direct that the Adviser place transactions for its separate account with one or more specified broker-dealers (“Directed Brokerage”). The Adviser will accept Directed Brokerage arrangements only if certain conditions are satisfied,

including that the Separate Account Client's directions are furnished in writing and that the Adviser has informed the Separate Account Client in writing that the use of directed brokerage arrangements may deprive the Separate Account Client of benefits that might otherwise be obtained by aggregating the Separate Account Client's order with orders for other Clients and may cause the Separate Account Client to pay a higher commission rate or to receive less favorable execution than if the Adviser had discretion to select the broker or to negotiate the commission rate.

Aggregation of Trades

The Adviser and its affiliates may aggregate (or bunch) the orders of more than one Client for the purchase or sale of the same publicly traded security. Portfolio managers and traders often employ this practice because larger transactions can enable them to obtain better overall prices, including lower commission costs or mark-ups or mark-downs. The Adviser and its affiliates may combine orders on behalf of Clients with orders for other Clients for which it or its affiliates have trading authority, or in which it or its affiliates have an economic interest. In such cases, the Adviser and its affiliates generally aggregate trade orders for publicly traded securities so that each participating Client will receive the average price for each execution of a transaction.

If an order for more than one Client for a publicly traded security cannot be fully executed, allocation will be made based upon the Adviser's procedures for allocation of investment opportunities, as described in Item 11 above.

Item 13. Review of Accounts

Oversight and Monitoring

The investment portfolios of the Clients are generally private, illiquid and long-term in nature, and accordingly the Adviser's review of them is not directed toward a short-term decision to dispose of securities. However, the Adviser actively monitors the investment portfolios of the Clients. The portfolios are reviewed regularly by teams of investment professionals (which include Managing Directors) to evaluate whether each investment is delivering the expected results.

Reporting

Investors in the Funds typically receive, among other things, a copy of audited financial statements of the relevant Fund within 90 days after the fiscal year end of such Fund, as well as unaudited quarterly performance reports within 45 days after the end of the first three fiscal quarters of each year. The Adviser and the applicable general partner, if any, will from time to time, in their sole discretion, provide additional information relating to such Fund to one or more investors in such Fund as they deem appropriate.

Separate Account Clients may negotiate reporting requirements specific to their accounts. In the event of individually negotiated terms for Separate Account Clients, the Adviser will provide the

reporting mutually agreed to by the parties as evidenced in their Advisory Agreement or Separate Account Entity agreement.

As a business development company registered under the 1940 Act, the BDC files annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K.

Item 14. Client Referrals and Other Compensation

For details regarding economic benefits provided to the Adviser by non-clients, including a description of related material conflicts of interest and how they are addressed, please see Item 11 above.

The Adviser may from time to time engage one or more persons to act as a placement agent for a Fund in connection with the offer and sale of interests to certain potential investors. Such persons generally will receive a fee in an amount equal to a percentage of the capital commitments for interests made by such potential investors to such Fund that are subsequently accepted. Such Fund may bear the costs of such placement agent fees, subject to any limitations set forth in its partnership agreement or other organizational documents, reimburse such fees.

Item 15. Custody

Item 15 is not applicable to the Adviser.

Item 16. Investment Discretion

Investment advice is provided directly to the Funds or the BDC, subject to the direction and control of the general partner of each Fund or the directors of the BDC, and not individually to the investors in the Funds or shareholders of the BDC. Services are provided to the Funds and the BDC in accordance with their Advisory Agreements and/or their organizational documents. Investment restrictions for the Funds and the BDC, if any, are generally established in their organizational or offering documents.

The Adviser provides investment advice to each Separate Account Client in accordance with the terms and conditions of its Advisory Agreement or Separate Account Entity agreement. The terms of such agreements typically are established at the time of initiation of the applicable separate account and are the result of negotiations with the applicable Separate Account Client.

Authority to trade securities for the BDC may be limited by certain federal securities and tax laws that require diversification of investments, limit leverage, prohibit certain joint transactions and favor the holding of investments once made.

Item 17. Voting Client Securities

The Adviser has established written policies and procedures setting forth the principles and procedures by which the Adviser votes or gives consent with respect to securities owned by Clients (“Votes”). The guiding principle by which the Adviser votes all Votes is to vote in the best interests of each Client by maximizing the economic value of the relevant Client’s holdings, taking into account the relevant Client investment horizon, the contractual obligations under the relevant Advisory Agreements or comparable documents, and any other relevant facts and circumstances the Adviser determines to be appropriate at the time of the vote. The Adviser does not permit Voting decisions to be influenced in any manner that is contrary to, or dilutive of, this guiding principle.

It is the Adviser’s general policy to vote or give consent on all matters presented to security holders in any Vote. However, the Adviser reserves the right to abstain on any particular Vote or otherwise withhold its vote or consent on any matter if, in the judgment of the Adviser’s Chief Legal Officer or the relevant Adviser investment professional, the costs associated with voting such Vote outweigh the benefits to the relevant Client or if the circumstances make such an abstention or withholding otherwise advisable and in the best interests of the relevant Client.

Clients may be required, as a condition of their investment, to give up certain voting rights in connection with investments in debt obligations of companies controlled by an affiliate of the Adviser.

Funds generally cannot direct the Adviser’s Vote. Separate Account Clients may negotiate with the Adviser regarding voting discretion.

All Voting decisions initially are referred to the Adviser’s Chief Legal Officer or appropriate investment professional for a voting decision. In most cases, the Adviser’s Chief Legal Officer or investment professional will make the decision as to the appropriate vote for any particular Vote. In making such decision, he or she may rely on any of the information and/or research available to him or her. If the investment professional is making the Voting decision, the investment professional will inform internal counsel of any such Voting decision, and if internal counsel does not object to such decision as a result of his or her conflict of interest review, the Vote will be voted in such manner. If the investment professional and internal counsel are unable to arrive at an agreement as to how to vote, then internal counsel may consult with the Adviser’s Chief Operating Officer as to the appropriate vote, who will then review the issues and arrive at a decision based on the overriding principle of seeking the maximization of the economic value of the relevant Client’s holdings.

Internal counsel has the responsibility to monitor Votes for any conflicts of interest, regardless of whether they are actual or perceived. All Voting decisions will require a mandatory conflicts of interest review by internal counsel in accordance with these policies and procedures, which will include consideration of whether the Adviser or any investment professional or other person recommending how to vote has an interest in how the Vote is voted that may present a conflict of interest. In addition, all Adviser investment professionals are expected to perform their tasks relating to the voting of Votes in accordance with the principles set forth above, according the

first priority to the best interest of the relevant Clients. The Adviser's Chief Compliance Officer or internal counsel will use his or her judgment to address any such conflict of interest and ensure that it is resolved in accordance with his or her independent assessment of the best interests of the Clients.

Where the Adviser's Chief Legal Officer deems appropriate in his or her sole discretion, unaffiliated third parties may be used to help resolve conflicts. In this regard, the Adviser's Chief Legal Officer will have the power to retain independent fiduciaries, consultants, or professionals to assist with Voting decisions and/or to delegate voting or consent powers to such fiduciaries, consultants, or professionals.

Copies of relevant proxy logs, identifying how proxies were voted in connection with a Client and copies of proxy voting policies and procedures are available to any Client or prospective Client upon written request to: compliance@audaxgroup.com.

Item 18. Financial Information

Item 18 is not applicable to the Adviser.

Item 19. Requirements for State-Registered Advisers

Item 19 is not applicable to the Adviser.