

**Form ADV Part II - A
Brochure Cover Page**

SIGULER GUFF

Siguler Guff Advisers, LLC
SEC File No. 801 - 49482

200 Park Avenue, 14th Floor
New York, NY 10166
Phone: (212) 332 - 5100

Email: legal@sigulerguff.com
Website: www.sigulerguff.com

March 2024

This brochure provides information about the qualifications and business practices of Siguler Guff Advisers, LLC. If you have any questions about the contents of this brochure, please contact us at legal@sigulerguff.com. The information in this brochure has not been approved or verified by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or by any state securities authority. Additional information about Siguler Guff Advisers, LLC is available on the SEC's website at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov.

Item 2 - Material Changes

This brochure is an annual amendment to the prior brochure from March 2023. There have been few material changes from the last update to the brochure.

Item 5, Fees and Compensation has been updated to highlight certain updates to fees and expenses that may be charged to Managed Funds including third party valuation agents, ESG consultants, and fund administrators.

Item 8, Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies and Risk of Loss has been updated to address the Israel-Hamas war and attendant risks, and to highlight additional potential risks in connection with recent financial market fluctuations, climate change, tax reform, cybersecurity, recent regulatory developments to private fund advisers.

Item 10, Other Financial Industry Activities Affiliates has been updated to highlight additional risk items and how they are addressed in connection with Credit Funds and Managed Accounts.

The Firm's assets under management have been updated with current figures as of September 30, 2023, departed employees were removed and minor changes to existing biographies were made to the Supplement.

In addition, Siguler Guff Advisers, LLC routinely makes updates throughout the brochure to improve and clarify the description of its business practices, compliance policies, and procedures, as well as to respond to evolving industry best practices.

Item 3 - Table of Contents

Item 1 - Cover Page	1
Item 2 - Material Changes.....	2
Item 3 - Table of Contents	3
Item 4 - Advisory Business.....	4
Item 5 - Fees and Compensation.....	6
Item 6 - Performance-Based Fees and Side-By-Side Management.....	12
Item 7 - Types of Clients	13
Item 8 - Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies and Risk of Loss	13
Item 9 - Disciplinary Information	24
Item 10 - Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations.....	24
Item 11 - Code of Ethics, Participation or Interest in Client Transactions and Personal Trading	26
Item 12 - Brokerage Practices.....	27
Item 13 - Review of Accounts	29
Item 14 - Client Referrals and Other Compensation	29
Item 15 - Custody.....	30
Item 16 - Investment Discretion	30
Item 17 - Voting Client Securities	30
Item 18 - Financial Information.....	31
Item 19 - Requirements for State-Registered Advisers	31
Appendix A – Managed Funds’ Investment Methods and Risks	32

Item 4 - Advisory Business

Siguler Guff Advisers, LLC (“*Siguler Guff*” or the “*Firm*”) is an investment adviser that provides discretionary and nondiscretionary investment advisory services to private equity investors. For purposes of this brochure, “Siguler Guff” or the “Firm” includes (where the context permits), affiliated general partners of the Managed Funds (as defined below) and other affiliates who provide advisory services. Such affiliates may or may not be under common control with Siguler Guff Advisers, LLC, but possess a substantial identity of personnel and/or equity owners with Siguler Guff Advisers, LLC. The Firm is a wholly owned subsidiary of Siguler Guff & Company, LP, which together with its affiliates operates as a global multi-strategy private markets investment firm. Founded in 1991 by Messrs. George Siguler, Drew Guff and Donald Spencer as the Private Equity Group of PaineWebber, the Firm began business as an independent adviser in 1995.

The Firm is privately owned. Two of the founders, George Siguler and Drew Guff, together with entities established for the benefit of their immediate families, each own over 25% of the Firm's securities, in equal amounts. An affiliate of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation owns a non-voting 20% interest in the Firm.

The Firm is a dedicated private equity investment adviser, and all of its services to clients relate to managing private equity and associated investments. The Firm provides advisory services to Managed Funds and Separate Accounts:

- *Managed Funds*: The Firm provides discretionary investment management services to private equity investors through pooled investment vehicles (“*Managed Funds*”) that invest the majority of their assets in privately-placed, pooled investment vehicles managed by professional, third-party investment managers (“*Private Equity Funds*”). Examples of Private Equity Funds include, but are not limited to, leveraged buyout (LBO) funds, distressed debt funds, growth capital funds, fixed income funds, energy funds and real estate funds. The Private Equity Funds, in turn, invest directly in securities of privately-owned companies and related investments, such as options and derivatives (“*Direct Investments*”). The investment mandates for most of the Firm’s Managed Funds allocate up to a certain percentage of the portfolio to Direct Investments, which the Managed Funds may acquire either as co-investments alongside Private Equity Funds, or as investments sourced by the Firm. In some cases, the Firm’s Managed Funds investment mandates allocate the entire portfolio to Direct Investments.
- *Separate Accounts*: The Firm provides discretionary and non-discretionary investment management services for institutional clients through Separate Accounts that invest in both Private Equity Funds and Direct Investments. The investment policies and restrictions for Separate Accounts are determined in consultation with the client, based on the client’s individual investment requirements. Separate Accounts may be structured as limited partnerships, or similar vehicles, with a single limited partner or a group of affiliated limited partners.

Together, Managed Funds and Separate Accounts are referred to as “*Managed Accounts*.” Services for Managed Accounts include screening and investigating prospective investments, negotiating the terms and conditions of the participation in those investments, ongoing monitoring of Managed Account investments and communicating with the teams that manage such investments, and managing the disposition of investments, including publicly-traded securities distributed by Private Equity Funds.

In addition, the Firm may occasionally accept discrete assignments from clients to analyze or manage specific Private Equity Funds or Direct Investments. The Firm does not participate in wrap fee programs.

The Firm tailors its advisory services to meet the individual needs and investment restrictions of clients or, in the case of Managed Funds, groups of investors. Most Managed Funds consist of parallel funds that accommodate investment restrictions or preferences of investors, such as parallel funds for non-US investors and investors that are US taxpayers. Because Managed Funds are pooled investment vehicles, in general, each investor participates in each Managed Fund on the same terms and conditions, as set forth in the Governing Documents.

The Firm provides investment supervisory services to each Managed Account in accordance with governing documents of such account or separate investment and advisory, investment management or portfolio management agreements (each, an “Advisory Agreement”). Investment restrictions for the Managed Accounts, if any, are generally established in the organizational/foundational or offering documents of the applicable Fund, Advisory Agreements and/or side letter agreements negotiated with investors in the applicable Managed Account (such documents collectively, a Managed Account’s “Governing Documents”).

The Firm may also tailor its services by entering into “side letter” arrangements with investors in cases where investors are subject to additional needs or restrictions not met by a parallel fund or otherwise where investors seek to alter or supplement the terms of the partnership agreement of a Managed Fund. For example, side letters might supplement the existing Governing Documents, address issues such as reporting or confidentiality, regulatory or tax considerations applicable to an investor, or modify or clarify the application of specified sections of the Managed Fund’s Governing Documents. Typically, each investor in a Managed Fund has the right to elect to receive the benefit of side letter provisions extended to similarly situated investors, subject to specified exceptions.

Separate Accounts are available to clients with substantial assets to invest, and are tailored to meet a particular client's investment, reporting and other needs and restrictions.

As of September 30, 2023, Regulatory Assets Under Management (“*RAUM*”) are:

Discretionary RAUM: \$15,238,262,425

Non-Discretionary RAUM: \$1,376,653,271

These amounts reflect RAUM as disclosed in Part 1 of the Firm’s Form ADV and include all securities accounts for which the Firm provides continuous and regular supervisory or management services.

Item 5 - Fees and Compensation

All investors and prospective investors should carefully review the Governing Documents of each Managed Fund in conjunction with this brochure for complete information on the fees and compensation payable with respect to a particular Managed Fund. Fees for Managed Funds are typically calculated based on a percentage of the capital investors have committed to such Managed Fund, with percentage fee breakpoints for individual investors with committed capital above a specified level. The percentage fee rate generally declines following a specified investment period. Under certain limited circumstances, the Firm negotiates Managed Fund management fees with individual investors and consultants on behalf of investors, and it waives or reduces these fees for investments by its employees and other affiliates. The Firm may also aggregate the investments of two or more related investors in a single Managed Fund for purposes of calculating the management fee rate. Certain Managed Funds calculate management fees based on “invested capital,” defined generally as the cost basis of portfolio investments which have not been disposed of or written off. The Firm does have investment vehicles for the Firm’s employees who meet the definition of “knowledgeable employees” pursuant to Rule 3c-5 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. Such employee investment vehicles will not pay management fees or carried interest. The fee structures described herein may be modified from time to time. Fees differ among Managed Funds, as well as among investors in the same Managed Fund. The private placement memorandum or similar document for each Managed Fund provides additional disclosures regarding management fees and other expenses. Management fees for each Separate Account are individually negotiated with each client. In addition to management fees, each Managed Fund (and certain Separate Accounts) also has Carried Interest arrangements with the Firm or its affiliates, as described below under “*Performance-Based Fees and Side by Side Management.*”

Managed Funds pay management fees to the Firm on a quarterly basis, generally in arrears on the last day of each fiscal quarter, although certain Managed Accounts pay quarterly management fees in advance on the first day of each fiscal quarter. The Managed Fund’s custodian typically pays these fees to the Firm. The method of collection for Separate Accounts is negotiated with the client.

Each Managed Account bears its reasonable and properly incurred operating costs and extraordinary expenses as set out in the Governing Documents of the Managed Account. Operating costs and expenses may include, but are not limited to:

- (i) organization and offer, distribution and placement expenses of the interests in the Managed Account, including legal, regulatory, and accounting fees, printing costs, travel expenses and expenses of meals, travel or lodging in connection with presentations to, and due diligence of, for certain prospective investors (generally up to a specified limit);
- (ii) legal fees and expenses incurred when reviewing and negotiating potential investments as well as other costs related to the acquisition, ownership and sale of investments (including hedging and derivative transactions), including brokerage commissions and other transaction costs, as described below under “*Brokerage Practices*”, transaction taxes and due diligence, appraisal, travel, investment banking, accounting, custodian

- and research expenses, including all costs with respect to transactions that are not consummated to the extent that such costs are not reimbursed by entities in which the Managed Account invests or proposes to invest;
- (iii) For certain Managed Accounts, the Firm charges (or intends to charge) the Managed Account for the cost of its use of certain of the Firm's in-house professionals, such as legal team professionals, compliance professionals, tax professionals and accountants. The scope of such reimbursements and how they are calculated is described below (*see "Reimbursable Managed Accounts"*);
 - (iv) transfer, registration and similar expenses incurred by the Managed Account or any taxes levied upon the Managed Account;
 - (v) expenses related to the organization or maintenance of any intermediate entity used to acquire, hold or dispose of an investment;
 - (vi) expenses incurred in connection with legal and regulatory compliance with U.S. federal, state, local, non-U.S. or other laws and regulations related to the Managed Account or its investments, including any expenses derived from compliance with AIFMD and expenses preparing and filing reports under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933 and/or U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934;
 - (vii) expenses of tax advisors, legal counsel, accountants, custodians, outside administrators, auditors, consultants, contractors and other advisors retained by the Firm on behalf of the Managed Account and all ordinary out-of-pocket expenses related to the operation, administration or liquidation of the Managed Account, including the cost of the preparation, printing and distribution of the Managed Accounts financial statements or other reports, auditing and tax preparation expenses and cash management expenses;
 - (viii) expenses of the Managed Account's advisory board and meetings of the partners (if applicable), including travel costs;
 - (ix) interest on and expenses arising out of all financings (i.e. subscription lines, guarantees, or letters of credit) entered into by the Managed Account, including those of lenders and other financing sources;
 - (x) all extraordinary expenses, such as litigation (whether actual or prospective), arbitration, discovery requests, and indemnification costs and expenses, judgements and settlements;
 - (xi) systems and technology expenses (including outsourced administrative services) associated with the Managed Account's recordkeeping, financial statements, tax returns, reports to investors, portfolio management and research;
 - (xii) premiums for insurance expenses, including, but not limited to, directors and officers liability insurance, errors and omissions insurance, cybersecurity and other policies, if any;
 - (xiii) fees and expenses paid to third-party valuation agents for valuations, appraisals or pricing services, administration (including maintaining the books and records of a Managed Account, including any related internal costs that the Firm may incur to produce any such books and records or external costs for a third-party administrator to maintain and oversee a Managed Account's books and records), filing and similar fees

- paid on behalf of a Managed Account, including reimbursements of any fees and expenses to advisers, ESG consultants, service providers and other third parties, research and other information (including, but not limited to, research costs allocated by the Firm's internal research team and third-party groups, and including data and information service subscriptions, related systems and services from data providers and data management software and including any research or other service that may be deemed to be bundled for the benefit of such Managed Account), as well as the information technology systems used to obtain such research and other information, third-party diligence software and service providers, subject and industry-matter research and experts;
- (xiv) information technology system expenses (including the costs of acquiring, developing, implementing and maintaining specialty and custom computer software and hardware and other technological systems for the benefit of a Managed Account, its investors, or a portfolio investment or potential investment);
- (xv) costs and expenses related to defaults by partners (including the general partner or limited partner) of any payments or capital contributions;
- (xvi) costs and expenses related to the use of fund administrators

Reimbursable Managed Accounts. For each Managed Account whose Governing Documents permit the Firm to do so (a "*Reimbursable Managed Account*"), the Firm charges (or intends to charge) the Reimbursable Managed Account for its use of certain of the Firm's in-house professionals, such as legal team professionals, compliance personnel, tax professionals and accountants ("*In-House Professionals*"). Such reimbursements are designed to recoup the portion of the Firm's costs for such professionals fairly allocable to Reimbursable Managed Accounts, including costs with respect to professionals who provide services relating to the organization of such accounts (subject to the limits set forth in such accounts' Governing Documents). All Reimbursable Managed Accounts commenced operations after May 1, 2020.

Aside from the required authorization in a Reimbursable Managed Account's Governing Documents, the Firm would allocate the cost of its In-House Professionals only if certain conditions are met (as determined by the Firm's Expense Allocation Committee):

- the fees, costs and other expenses of these services would be paid by the Reimbursable Managed Account if the services were provided by third-party service providers;
- the Firm reasonably believes that, on balance, it is in the Reimbursable Managed Account's best interests to have in-house personnel perform such services; and
- the costs of providing such services in-house are less than the amount that would be charged by a third party in an arm's-length transaction.

Occasionally, whether a service meets the criteria for reimbursement from a Reimbursable Managed Account is not clear. In such circumstances, the Firm will determine in its sole discretion whether reimbursement is appropriate. From time to time, the Firm's In-House Professionals work alongside third-party service providers on the same matter or engagement. When this occurs,

although a third party is also engaged on the matter, a Reimbursable Managed Account would still reimburse the Firm for the work performed in-house to the extent the Firm determines that the in-house work meets the criteria for reimbursement.

The Firm may use In-House Professionals in lieu of third-party service providers even if such other entity or person may be regarded as more qualified to provide the applicable services.

It is expected that the services provided by the Firm's In-House Professionals may expand over time. The allocation of such compensation and expenses between the Firm and/or a Reimbursable Managed Account require judgments as to methodology that the Firm makes in good faith but in its sole discretion. The Firm has developed processes and controls to quantify and monitor the allocation of expenses relating to in-house services. The levels of control include but are not limited to, (i) each individual service provider (i.e., Firm employee) preparing time allocations to reflect the services he or she provided to various Reimbursable Managed Accounts, (ii) approval of reimbursement for new Managed Accounts by the Expense Allocation Committee, (iii) review of the allocations by senior professionals in the relevant service group for reasonableness, and (iv) review of the allocations or summaries thereof, by the Firm's Expense Allocation Committee on a periodic basis.

The Firm determines the cost of services performed by a Firm employee providing in-house services by reference to: the aggregate regular annual compensation paid to the employee (including salary, employer-paid payroll and unemployment taxes, certain employee benefits, and bonuses); profits interests, equity interests or other incentive-based compensation paid to the employee and related to the employee's work for the Reimbursable Managed Account; and the estimated amount of time spent by the employee providing the in-house services for the Reimbursable Managed Account. The Firm reviews the in-house service cost rate for samplings of Firm employees against third-party benchmarks on a regular (typically annual) basis. Should the actual in-house service cost exceed the third-party benchmark, the Reimbursable Managed Accounts will bear the relevant costs at the benchmark rate. The Firm will select the relevant benchmarks in good faith but, in the case of certain service groups, the benchmark used might not be directly comparable to the internal costs being compared to the benchmark.

The Firm may allocate expenses relating to in-house services to the Reimbursable Managed Accounts on a quarterly, annual or other periodic basis, which may require the Firm to make adjustments from time to time to such allocations to account for future determinations of actual costs of services or to otherwise ensure the fairness or reasonableness of such allocations. Certain Reimbursable Managed Accounts may negotiate provisions regarding in-house expense allocation, such as annual caps on the amounts reimbursed, which could result in those accounts bearing less expense for the same or similar services. While the Firm seeks to obtain benchmarking data regarding third-party rates for similar services, relevant comparisons may not be available for a variety of reasons, including as a result of lack of a substantial market of providers or users for such service, confidentiality reasons and the bespoke nature of certain services. As a result, market comparisons may not (and often do not) result in precise comparable data for certain services.

Because the in-house expense allocation process relies on certain judgments and assessments that in turn are based on information and estimates from various individuals, the allocations that result

will not be exact. Any methodology chosen by the Firm involves inherent conflicts of interest and could result in a greater expense to the Reimbursable Managed Accounts than would be the case if such services were provided by third parties. Despite the Firm's good faith judgment to arrive at a fair and reasonable expense allocation methodology, a Reimbursable Managed Account may bear relatively more expense in certain instances and relatively less in other instances compared to what such Managed Fund would have borne if a different methodology had been used. From time to time, to the extent consistent with a Reimbursable Managed Account's Governing Documents, and without advance notification to clients, the Firm may use additional or different methods to allocate in-house expenses in an effort to ensure that such expenses remain fairly and reasonably allocated among the Firm and Reimbursable Managed Accounts.

The costs of the In-House Professionals will be allocated to Reimbursable Managed Accounts generally based upon the business time of such professionals spent on activities of the Reimbursable Managed Accounts in relation to the overall time spent on Reimbursable Managed Accounts and non-Reimbursable Managed Accounts matters (including matters relating to Siguler Guff and other funds and accounts managed by Siguler Guff). The existence of such an allocation policy may result in certain potential or actual conflicts of interest, including: a reduced incentive to limit the compensation of In-House Professionals who work on Reimbursable Managed Accounts matters because the Reimbursable Managed Accounts pay a portion of the Investment Manager's cost of In-House Professionals (which would increase the amount of expenses allocated to the Reimbursable Managed Accounts); and an incentive to make greater use of internal personnel for work on Reimbursable Managed Accounts matters, which could result in greater use of third-party service providers for other funds/accounts managed by the Firm. Furthermore, although the Firm will conduct a multi-tiered review of all in-house expense allocations, the process inherently involves a degree of Firm discretion, which necessarily entails a potential or actual conflict of interest.

For the avoidance of doubt, any costs paid by a Reimbursable Managed Account to the Investment Manager as reimbursement for utilizing the services of its In-House Professionals will not offset the management fees payable to the Investment Manager.

Managed Accounts will reimburse the Firm (including the general partner) for any reasonable or properly incurred expense paid by the Firm that are expenses to be properly borne by the Managed Accounts.

Because certain expenses may be shared by more than one Managed Account, the Firm has adopted policies and procedures for the allocation of such expenses among the Managed Accounts. Investment-related expenses shared by more than one Managed Account will generally (with limited exceptions) be allocated *pro rata* based on the Firm's reasonable assessment of the amount available for investment with respect to such investment by each Managed Account. Non-investment-related expenses shared by more than one Managed Account will be allocated in a manner that the Firm considers to be fair and reasonable, taking into account the actual or estimated relative benefits to each Managed Account derived by such expense. The Firm will make any corrective allocations and take any mitigating steps if it determines in its sole discretion that such corrections are necessary or advisable to ensure allocations are equitable on an overall basis in its good faith judgment.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the portion of an expense allocated to a Managed Account for a particular service will not always reflect the relative benefit derived by such Managed Account from that service in any particular instance and, to the extent permitted by applicable law, the Firm may determine an allocation of expenses to be fair and equitable even where a Managed Account is required to bear more than its proportional share of such fees or expenses relative to other Managed Accounts receiving the same service or participating in the same transaction. When making expense allocation determinations, the Firm generally will allocate an expense to one or more Managed Accounts that are in existence and identified as such at the time the expense allocation determination is made. Accordingly, it can be expected that in certain cases Managed Accounts that were not formed or in existence or otherwise identified as Managed Accounts at the time an expense is allocated will ultimately benefit from a particular expense, without having borne any portion of such expense.

In addition, expenses may at times be shared among one or more Managed Accounts and Siguler Guff or its affiliates. If an affiliate of Siguler Guff co-invests in a transaction alongside a Managed Account, the affiliate will pay its allocable share of transaction expenses and, if the affiliate is entitled or required to co-invest in all transactions, the affiliate would pay its allocable share of expenses for deals that are pursued but not consummated. Siguler Guff or its affiliates also will share expenses not attributable to a specific transaction or Managed Account, such as insurance premiums, and research or information services.

Affiliates of the Firm are in some cases entitled to receive a Carried Interest payment (as described below under “*Performance-Based Fees and Side-By-Side Management*”) based on realized profits, and might be required to return all or a portion of that Carried Interest because of later-realized losses. This potential refund, commonly referred to as a general partner “clawback,” generally would be paid at the termination of the Managed Account and in accordance with detailed provisions included in the Managed Account Governing Documents.

The Firm markets its products and certain services through an affiliated broker-dealer, Siguler Guff Global Markets, LLC (“SGGM”). SGGM operates as a FINRA-registered broker-dealer primarily for the limited purpose of offering interests in Managed Accounts advised by the Firm. A portion of the compensation paid to marketing employees who are also registered representatives of SGGM is based on a percentage of the management fees received by the Firm from Managed Accounts sold by the marketing employee.

The compensation of such persons is paid entirely by the Firm. Neither the Firm nor its supervised persons receive any sales compensation from Managed Account investors or third parties in connection with the distribution of its investment products.

From time to time, the Firm enters into agreements with third party firms to solicit Managed Fund investors or Separate Account clients. Except as described below with respect to feeder funds, compensation to these third party solicitors is borne entirely by the Firm. The Firm has an agreement with BNY Mellon Securities Corporation (“BNYMSC”), a registered broker-dealer, member of FINRA and indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank of New York Mellon Corporation (“BNY Mellon”) to distribute certain of the Firm’s Managed Accounts. Pursuant to

this agreement, the Firm pays BNYMSC a success fee, which is borne entirely by the Firm. As previously noted, BNY Mellon owns a non-voting 20% interest in the Firm. In addition, the Firm has entered into agreements with third-party placement agents to solicit investors located in the U.S., Latin America, Europe and Asia for specific Managed Funds. The Firm will pay these placement agents a fee, which is either borne entirely by the Firm, or initially paid by a Managed Fund, which is then reimbursed by offsetting advisory fees payable to the Firm, based on these investors successfully closing into the specific Managed Fund. BNYMSC and the third-party placement agents are not clients of the Firm. The agreements with BNYMSC and third-party placement agents may be deemed as paid endorsements under the SEC Marketing Rule and may create material conflicts of interest as BNYMSC and the third-party placement agents may have an incentive to have more investors commit to a fund resulting in more compensation being paid to such selling agent.

From time to time, third party investment firms might establish “feeder” funds through which that firm's clients will invest in a Managed Fund. The Firm can charge such feeder funds higher fees or expenses than those charged to other investors in the Managed Fund, and pay a management, administrative or placement fee to the firm sponsoring the feeder fund. Such an arrangement could encourage third party investment firms to recommend a Managed Fund over other suitable investments. The Firm requires the sponsors of such feeder funds to fully disclose to feeder fund investors all fees and expenses borne by such investors, whether charged directly by the feeder fund or its sponsor to its investors, or indirectly through the fees, the feeder fund pays to the Firm's Managed Fund.

Item 6 - Performance-Based Fees and Side-By-Side Management

An affiliate of the Firm serves as general partner (or its equivalent) of each Managed Account organized as a partnership or similar entity. The general partner is typically entitled to receive a performance-based percentage of profits (“*Carried Interest*”) from each Managed Fund. Similar arrangements are in place for many Separate Accounts. Typically, the general partner is entitled to receive its Carried Interest after specified performance hurdles have been met, such as return of invested capital and achievement of a specified return on invested capital. Once the hurdle is met, the Firm generally receives 100% of future profits (generally known as a “catch-up”) until it has received its Carried Interest on all distributed profits. The Firm believes that its profit-sharing arrangements can serve to better align the interests of the Firm with those of its investors. However, the potential to receive Carried Interest or another performance-based compensation might create a motive for the Firm to make riskier investments on behalf of its clients than would otherwise be the case, because the Firm shares in gains but not in losses (except through the loss of the potential to receive a Carried Interest). Paradoxically, the potential to receive a Carried Interest could create a motive for the Firm to limit risks to avoid losing an accrued Carried Interest – for example, by selling an appreciated investment even though the Firm believes there remains potential for further appreciation.

In the case of most Managed Funds, the general partner's Carried Interest is higher for the Managed Fund's Direct Investments than for the Managed Fund's Private Equity Fund investments; certain Separate Accounts also provide a similar profit share arrangement. This two-tier profit share structure could provide an economic incentive for the Firm to cause a Managed

Account to favor Direct Investments over Private Equity Funds. In addition, the Carried Interest or other performance-based incentives that the Firm receives vary among the Managed Accounts, as do the methods of calculating management fees. These differences could provide an incentive for the Firm to allocate investments to Managed Accounts with the potential for higher compensation to the Firm. Similar conflicts might arise with respect to allocation of investment disposition opportunities.

A number of factors mitigate these potential conflicts of interest, including:

- (i) the percentage of each Managed Account's committed capital that can be invested in Direct Investments is contractually capped;
- (ii) the Firm and/or its principals generally invest their own capital alongside clients in a Managed Account, so that the Firm or its principals would suffer losses from imprudent or ill-chosen investments alongside the Firm's clients;
- (iii) the Firm's ability to continue to raise capital from investors and clients is dependent on its delivering strong investment results in its existing Managed Accounts; and
- (iv) the Firm's allocation policy provides an independent review of allocations among Managed Accounts by an Allocation Committee comprised of the Head of Tax, Finance, and Operations, the Chief Financial Officer and Chief Compliance Officer.

Item 7 - Types of Clients

The Firm provides investment advice to Managed Funds and Separate Accounts. Managed Fund investors and Separate Account clients include corporate, multi-employer and public employee benefit plans, endowments, foundations, sovereign wealth funds, financial institutions, family offices and high net worth individuals, from both within and outside the United States.

The minimum commitment for an investor in a Managed Fund varies, but is generally in the range of \$3 million to \$5 million. A Managed Fund's general partner may in its sole discretion permit investments below the minimum amount. The Firm's minimum account size for Separate Account clients generally is \$50 million in target commitments. The Firm is permitted to waive these minimums at its discretion, and does so under appropriate circumstances.

Item 8 - Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies and Risk of Loss

Each Managed Fund addresses a specific investment opportunity or group of opportunities, such as investing in distressed companies and securities, investing in companies doing business in the emerging markets or investing in buyouts of small companies. Separate Accounts might target specific opportunities, similar to Managed Funds, or might have broader mandates to invest in a range of private equity opportunities. Investors in Managed Funds receive a Private Placement Memorandum or similar document that describes the Managed Fund's investment strategy, methods of analysis and risks of loss in detail. Separate Account clients typically receive a Strategy and Risk Disclosure Statement with similar disclosure specifically tailored to their needs. **Appendix A** describes the broad investment methods and risks of each of the Firm's Managed Fund strategies; comparable disclosure for Separate Accounts would typically be included in the relevant Strategy and Risk Disclosure Statement.

For both investments in Private Equity Funds and Direct Investments, the Firm undertakes a multidimensional due diligence process, including investment due diligence, legal due diligence and operational due diligence:

- (i) *Investment Due Diligence Process*: The Firm's investment due diligence process for Private Equity Funds typically involves multiple meetings with each potential Private Equity Fund's management, a detailed review of investment performance, a review of sample investment files, and extensive reference checking. The Firm focuses significantly on the target firm's investment history and investment pipeline, seeking to evaluate the drivers behind a Private Equity Fund's past performance, and the vision of the management team for investments going forward. In the case of Direct Investments, the Firm's investment due diligence process focuses on a wide range of issues, including the quality and integrity of the target company's management, the company's historic and projected financial results, the company's competitive landscape, and internal and external risks that can affect the validity of business and financial projections. In the case of Direct Investments made alongside a Private Equity Fund, the Firm may rely on the due diligence performed by the Private Equity Fund's management. In the case of other Direct Investments, the Firm originates and performs the due diligence internally.
- (ii) *Legal/Tax Due Diligence Process*: Parallel to and independent of the investment due diligence process, the Firm's legal team conducts or oversees background searches, reviews and negotiates investment documents and terms, and evaluates whether the potential investment is suitable for the intended clients from a tax and regulatory perspective.
- (iii) *Operational Due Diligence Process*: Parallel to and independent of the investment due diligence processes, the Firm's operations team conducts a risk-based review of a potential investee Private Equity Fund's back office processes and financial controls. The operations team similarly performs due diligence analyses for Direct Investments, the detail and depth of which is determined based on the nature of the investment.
- (iv) *Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA") / Anti-Corruption Review*: Parallel to and independent of the investment due diligence process, the Firm conducts a review of issues related to the investment, and determines whether there exist significant risks specifically under the FCPA and broader anti-corruption and, if so, how these risks are being or could be mitigated. These evaluations are based upon the nature of the Managed Account's investment program or business, questionnaire responses from general partners, deal sponsors or management, and independent research and investigation, conducted by the Firm or by a deal sponsor.

Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance ("ESG"):

Parallel to, and independent of the investment due diligence process, the Firm's ESG Compliance Officer conducts a review of ESG items related to the investment and determines whether significant risks exist. The Firm's ESG efforts are overseen by the ESG Committee comprised of senior leaders across different areas of the Firm. ESG Committee members are responsible for evaluating ESG risks and effectiveness of the Firm's internal ESG policies including its

Responsible Investment Policy. ESG risks vary and are often unique to the strategy, sector, or specific deal. Responsible investment requires an investment discipline that integrates ESG factors and considerations into the investment process including sourcing, due diligence, negotiation of terms and conditions, and remedial action, if and when required. The Firm believes that responsible investment practices are fundamental to an investment's foundation, helps mitigate risk, and can help create positive outcomes for all stakeholders. The ESG review is one of a number of factors in the Firm's investment due diligence process.

Applying ESG goals to investment decisions is qualitative and subjective by nature, and there is no guarantee or assurance that the criteria utilized by the Firm or any judgment exercised by the Firm in making an investment decision will reflect the ESG-related beliefs or values of any particular investor or group of investors. In evaluating an investment, the Firm is dependent upon information and data obtained through voluntary or third-party reporting that could be incomplete, inaccurate, or unavailable, which could cause the Firm's assessment of an investment's ESG practices and/or related risks and opportunities to be incorrect as well as the Firm's ability to properly identify and analyze material ESG and other factors and their impact-related value.

Investment Risks

All investments involve the risk of complete loss that all clients and investors should be prepared to bear. A fundamental premise of private equity investing is the acceptance of illiquidity and a higher degree of risk in expectation of higher returns. Certain of the more significant risks shared by most Managed Accounts are discussed briefly below:

- (i) *Illiquidity and Long Holding Period:* Investors in the Firm's Managed Funds have no redemption rights, and their ability to sell their partnership interests to third parties at current net asset value might be limited. Managed Funds typically have terms exceeding ten years, generally with permitted extensions. Managed Fund investors therefore should be financially able to hold their investments for the long term. While Separate Account clients might have greater rights to terminate the Separate Account than those of an investor in a Managed Fund, the assets held in the Separate Account typically have similar limitations on liquidity and transfer.
- (ii) *Lack of Diversification:* The portfolios of Managed Accounts typically hold fewer discrete investments than managed public securities portfolios such as mutual funds. Furthermore, the Managed Funds and certain Separate Accounts have focused investment objectives and, accordingly, have concentrated exposure to particular sectors or geographic areas. The ability of Managed Funds and certain Separate Accounts to make Direct Investments further increases their portfolio concentration.
- (iii) *Lack of Ability to Participate: Key Personnel:* Investors in Managed Funds (and, to a lesser extent, Separate Account clients) have no right or power to participate in the management or control of the business of the Managed Fund or Separate Account and thus must depend solely upon the ability of the Firm to make investments and otherwise manage the enterprise. Investors in Managed Accounts may invest in reliance on the abilities and background of key Firm personnel, who might not remain available to the Firm for the life of the investment.

- (iv) *Unspecified Use of Proceeds: Limited Recourse:* Investors in Managed Accounts generally will not know what specific investments will be made at the inception of the Managed Account relationship. Managed Fund investors have limited rights to withdraw from the Managed Fund, cease to make further capital contributions or terminate the Firm as manager, even if such investors are dissatisfied with the investments made or investment results. Separate Account clients generally have stronger governance rights, but may face practical obstacles to early termination of a Separate Account or replacing the Firm as manager. The Governing Documents of Managed Accounts contain provisions limiting the Firm's liability to investors or clients, and providing for broad indemnification of the Firm against liability, all subject to the requirements of applicable law, including the federal securities laws.
- (v) *Changing Market Conditions:* Many of the Firm's investment strategies are premised in part upon an imbalance between supply and demand of investment capital, or other market inefficiencies. These inefficiencies, even if correctly identified by the Firm, might abate or disappear before a Managed Account has deployed all of its capital.
- (vi) *Investments Outside the United States:* Investments by Managed Accounts or their underlying Private Equity Funds in companies based outside the United States involve additional risks, including: currency fluctuation; less robust banking and other financial systems; less reliable financial reporting; less developed judicial and regulatory regimes; potential for restrictions on repatriation of investments or confiscatory taxation; and potential political, social, or economic instability.

At the end of 2021, Russia initiated a series of actions towards Ukraine, resulting in increasing hostility and declining relations between the neighboring countries. Subsequent to year end, tensions between Russia and Ukraine quickly deteriorated and on February 24, 2022, Russia launched a full-scale invasion of Ukraine. This has led several countries (including the United States) to issue a broad array of new or expanded sanctions, export controls, and other measures against Russia, Russia-backed separatist regions in Ukraine, and certain banks, companies, government officials, and other individuals in Russia and Belarus. Throughout 2023 and into 2024, additional sanctions have been implemented against Russia, Russia-backed separatist regions in Ukraine, and certain banks, companies, government officials, and other individuals in Russia and Belarus. Further sanctions may be forthcoming. As of the filing date for this brochure, the conflict is ongoing and continues to create uncertainty and disruption in Russia, Ukraine, and global economies. Russia's invasion of Ukraine, related cyberattacks, the displacement of persons both within Ukraine and to neighboring countries and the increasing international sanctions could have a negative impact on various economies and business activity globally, and therefore could adversely affect the performance of the Managed Funds' investments. Furthermore, given the ongoing and evolving nature of the conflict and its ongoing escalation, it is difficult to predict the conflict's ultimate impact on global economic and market conditions, and, as a result, the situation presents material uncertainty and risk with respect to the Managed Funds and the performance of their investments or operations, and the ability of the Managed Funds to achieve their investment objectives. The extent of the financial impact to the Managed Fund's investments is not expected to be

material or meaningful. The Firm continues to monitor the rapidly changing dynamics. The ongoing conflict and the rapidly evolving measures in response continue to have a negative impact on the economy and business activity globally. On October 7, 2023, the Hamas militant group breached the fences separating Israel and Gaza and carried out a violent terrorist attack. The foregoing attack sparked an armed conflict, which is currently ongoing, between Hamas and other Palestinian militant groups and Israel, known as the 2023 Israel-Hamas war. Although since the establishment of the State of Israel a state of hostility has existed in varying degrees of intensity between various Arab countries and Israel, the current conflict between Israel and Hamas has escalated to a heightened level not seen in recent years and may escalate further. Additionally, while Israel has entered into peace agreements with both Egypt and Jordan, and several other Middle Eastern and North African countries have normalized relations with Israel, the 2023 Israel-Hamas war has created tremendous unrest and uncertainty in the region, which may threaten any such peace agreements. A further expansion of the hostilities between Israel and Palestine could have significant international ramifications. The 2023 Israel-Hamas war could potentially have a significant adverse impact and result in significant losses to the Managed Funds, including those described above related to Russia and Ukraine. The ultimate impact of the Israel-Hamas war and its effect on global economic and commercial activity and conditions, and on the operations, financial condition and performance of the Managed Funds or any particular industry, business or investee country, and the duration and severity of those effects is impossible to predict.

- (vii) *Management Fees and Expenses:* Managed Accounts bear management fees and expenses directly, and indirectly share in the management fees and expenses of the Private Equity Funds and Direct Investments in which their portfolios invest. The investment return on the underlying investments therefore must be sufficient to offset both levels of fees and expenses before Managed Account investors will earn a positive investment return. In addition, to the extent a management fee (on a Managed Account or on one of its underlying investments) is based on committed rather than invested capital, investors pay management fees on both called and uncalled capital, resulting in high effective fee rates at the beginning of a Managed Account investment when little capital has been called and invested. Because of the extensive due diligence and ongoing management activity required for many private equity investments, expenses aside from management fees are generally higher than for portfolios invested in public markets.
- (viii) *Uncertainty of Valuation:* Managed Accounts and their underlying Private Equity Fund investments will, generally, value their assets using a “fair value” methodology dictated by their Governing Documents, and the valuation methods used by Managed Accounts and by underlying Private Equity Funds will vary. It is also possible that a Direct Investment could be owned by two or more Private Equity Funds at differing valuations. The values of investments as determined under these methods do not necessarily reflect the price at which the investments could currently be sold in an arm’s length transaction. Thus, measuring the performance of a Private Equity Fund or Direct Investment prior to its full realization involves substantial uncertainty.

Certain Conflicts of Interest: With respect to each Managed Account, the other activities of the Firm may give rise to conflicts of interest. The Firm is engaged in the management of a number of Managed Funds, and manages Separate Accounts for institutional and individual clients. The foundation documents for Managed Accounts permit the Firm, under certain circumstances, to form additional Managed Accounts in the future, and the investment objectives of previously-existing or later-formed Managed Accounts could overlap with those of particular Managed Accounts. To the extent other Separate Accounts or Managed Funds are appropriate investors for some of the same opportunities as an existing Managed Account, the Firm will allocate opportunities to all Separate Accounts and Managed Funds for which the investment is suitable in a fair and equitable manner in accordance with its then existing allocation policies (including review and approval by the Allocation Committee). This allocation of opportunities may result in a Managed Account participating in an investment to a lesser extent than would otherwise have been the case. Because some of the factors used in making allocation decisions are subjective or not readily verifiable, investors are reliant on the Firm to make fair and suitable allocation decisions.

See “*Performance-Based Fees and Side-By-Side Management*” above for a discussion of potential conflicts arising from performance fees and other compensation arrangements.

Subscription Lines of Credit: Certain Managed Funds or Separate Accounts of the Firm may obtain or make use of one or more subscription lines of credit (“*Credit Facilities*”) secured by the capital commitments of the Managed Funds’ or Separate Accounts’ partners (including limited partners) as a form of short-term financing. These Credit Facilities can enable Managed Accounts from time to time to make investments or pay management fees or other Managed Account expenses in lieu of calling capital from limited partners. While Credit Facilities can serve as an interim method of substituting for partner capital, the Firm has not and does not intend to allow its Managed Accounts to use Credit Facilities to invest more than its committed capital. The use of Credit Facilities may contribute to higher Internal Rates of Return (“*IRR*”), may cause Managed Accounts to bear interest on the outstanding principal amount, and subject Managed Accounts to certain fees and expenses that could affect liquidity and cash flows.

Custody and Banking Risks: The Funds will maintain funds with one or more banks or other depository institutions (“banking institutions”), which may include US and non-US banking institutions, and may enter into credit facilities or have other financial relationships with banking institutions. The distress, impairment or failure of one or more banking institutions with whom the Managed Accounts, their portfolio companies, the General Partner and/or the Firm transact may inhibit the ability of the Managed Accounts or their portfolio companies to access depository accounts or lines of credit at all or in a timely manner. In such cases, the Managed Accounts may be forced to delay or forgo investments or to call capital when it is not desirable to do so, potentially resulting in lower performance for the Managed Accounts. In the event of such a failure of a banking institution where the Managed Accounts or one or more of its portfolio companies holds depository accounts, access to such accounts could be restricted and U.S. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) protection may not be available for balances in excess of amounts insured by the FDIC (and similar considerations may apply to banking institutions in other jurisdictions not subject to FDIC protection). In such instances, the Managed Accounts and their affected portfolio companies may not recover such excess, uninsured amounts and instead, would

only have an unsecured claim against the banking institution and participate pro rata with other unsecured creditors in the residual value of the banking institution's assets. The loss of amounts maintained with a banking institution or the inability to access such amounts for a period of time, even if ultimately recovered, could be materially adverse to the Managed Accounts or their portfolio companies. One or more investors or a Managed Account's general partner could also be similarly affected and unable to fund capital calls, further delaying or deferring new investments. In addition, a Managed Account's general partner may not be able to identify all potential solvency or stress concerns with respect to a banking institution, or to transfer assets from one bank to another in a timely manner in the event a banking institution comes under stress or fails.

Coronavirus ("COVID-19") and Public Health Emergency Risk Factor: Pandemics and other widespread public health emergencies, including outbreaks of infectious diseases such as SARS, H1N1/09 flu, avian flu, Ebola and the current outbreak of a novel and highly contagious form of coronavirus ("COVID-19"), have and are resulting in impacts to global commercial activity and contributing to significant volatility in both the equity and debt markets.

The COVID-19 pandemic and future such emergencies have the potential to materially and adversely impact economic production and activity in ways that are impossible to predict, all of which may have a significant adverse impact on the Firm and its Managed Funds, and could adversely affect the Managed Funds' ability to fulfill their investment objectives.

The extent of the impact of any public health emergency on the Managed Funds' and their portfolio investments' operational and investment performance will depend on many factors, the impacts of which cannot be predicted with any degree of certainty, including but not limited to:

- the ultimate duration and scope of such public health emergency;
- the extent of any related travel advisories and restrictions implemented;
- the effectiveness of other governmental, legislative and financial and monetary policy interventions designed to mitigate the crisis and address its negative externalities;
- the impact of such public health emergency on overall supply and demand (consumer and industrial), goods and services, investor liquidity, consumer confidence and levels of economic activity;
- disruptions to shipping and other transportation; and
- the extent of its disruption to important global, regional and local supply chains and economic markets.

The effects of a public health emergency may materially and adversely impact the value and performance of the Managed Funds' portfolio investments, potentially causing financial distress (particularly for highly leveraged portfolio investments or portfolio investments with low cash reserves), impairing the Managed Funds' ability to source, manage and divest investments and ability to achieve their investment objectives, all of which could result in losses to the Managed Funds. In addition, the operations of the Managed Funds, their portfolio investments, the Firm and the Investment Manager may be significantly impacted, or even temporarily or permanently halted, as a result of government quarantine measures, voluntary and precautionary restrictions on travel or meetings and other factors related to a public health emergency, including operational

disruptions and its potential adverse impact on the health of any such entity's personnel and reduced efficiency due to illness of a portion of the workforce or the need to work remotely. The Firm's key vendors and service providers, such as providers of outsourced accounting services, consultants and external counsel, are also subject to these risks.

Recent Financial Market Fluctuations. Various sectors of the U.S. and global financial markets and the broader current financial environment have been, and continue to be, characterized by uncertainty, volatility and instability. The financial services industry generally and investment activities are affected by general economic and market conditions, including interest rates, availability of credit, lack of price transparency, inflation rates, economic uncertainty, changes in tax and other applicable laws and regulations, trade barriers, national and international and environmental and socioeconomic circumstances. These financial market fluctuations have the tendency to reduce the availability of attractive investment opportunities for the Managed Funds and may affect the Managed Funds' ability to make investments and the value of the investments held by the Managed Funds. Instability in the securities markets and economic conditions generally may also increase the risks inherent in the Managed Funds' investments. The public securities markets have seen increased volatility and the ability of companies to obtain financing for ongoing operations or expansions may be severely hampered by the tightening of the credit markets and the ongoing financial turmoil. It is unclear what the repercussions of this market turmoil may be. Moreover, it remains unknown whether governmental measures undertaken in response to such turmoil (whether regulatory or financial in nature) will have a positive or negative effect on market conditions. There can be no assurance that the market will, in the future, become more liquid than it is at present, and it may well continue to be volatile for the foreseeable future. The ability to realize investments depends not only on portfolio companies and their historical results and prospects, but also on political, market and economic conditions at the time of such realizations. Continued or renewed volatility in the financial sector may have an adverse material effect on the ability of the Managed Funds to buy, sell and partially dispose of their portfolio investments. The Managed Funds may be adversely affected to the extent that they seek to dispose of any of their portfolio investments into an illiquid or volatile market, and a Managed Fund may find itself unable to dispose of investments at prices that the Firm believes reflect the fair value of such investments. The duration and ultimate effect of current market conditions and whether such conditions may worsen cannot be predicted.

Valuation of Assets. There is no actively traded market for certain of the securities owned by the Managed Funds. When estimating fair value, the Firm will apply a methodology based on its best judgment that is appropriate in light of the nature, facts and circumstance of the investments. Valuations are subject to multiple levels of review for approval and ensuring that portfolio investments are fairly valued is an important focus of the Firm. However, the process of valuing securities for which reliable market quotations are not available is based on inherent uncertainties and the resulting values may differ from values that would have been determined had an active market existed for such securities and differs from the prices at which such securities may ultimately be sold. Third-party pricing information may at times not be available regarding certain of a Managed Fund's assets. With respect to the Managed Funds, the exercise of discretion in valuation by the Firm gives rise to conflicts of interest, valuations (including, for instance, determination of when an investment should be written down or written off) impact the Firm's track record and the performance allocation in certain Managed Funds is calculated based, in part,

on these valuations, and such valuations affect the amount and timing of performance fees and calculation of management fees.

Cybersecurity Risk. The Firm, the Managed Funds' service providers and other market participants depend on complex and often interconnected information technology and communications systems to conduct business functions. These systems are subject to a number of different threats and other risks that could adversely affect the Managed Funds and their investors, despite the efforts of the Firm and the Managed Funds' service providers to adopt technologies, processes and procedures intended to mitigate these risks and protect the security of their computer systems, software, networks and other technology assets, as well as the security, confidentiality, integrity and availability of information belonging to the Managed Fund and its investors. For example, unauthorized third parties may attempt to improperly access, modify, disrupt the operations of, encrypt or otherwise prevent access to these systems of the Firm, the Managed Funds' service providers and counterparties, as well as the data stored by these systems, including investor information. The Firm and the Managed Funds' service providers may be subject to ransomware or other attacks that could cause a substantial business disruption or loss of availability of data that could prevent the Managed Funds and Firm from executing its investment strategy or accessing an account, which could lead to financial losses. Third parties may also attempt to fraudulently induce employees, customers, third-party service providers or other users of the Firm's systems to disclose sensitive information in order to gain access to the Firm's data or that of the Managed Funds' investors or to transfer funds to unauthorized third parties. A successful penetration or circumvention of the security of the Firm's systems by unauthorized third parties could result in the loss or theft of an investor's data or funds, the inability to access electronic systems, loss or theft of proprietary information or corporate data, physical damage to a computer or network system or costs associated with system repairs. Such incidents could cause the Managed Funds, the Firm or their service providers to incur regulatory penalties, reputational damage, additional compliance costs, increased insurance premiums or financial loss. In addition, the Firm may incur substantial costs related to investigation and remediation of the cybersecurity incident, increasing and upgrading cybersecurity protections including its administrative, technical, organizational and physical controls, acts of identity theft, unauthorized use or loss of proprietary information, adverse investor reaction, increased insurance premiums or difficulties obtaining insurance coverage, or litigation, regulatory actions or other legal risks.

Similar types of operational and technology risks are also present for the companies in which the Managed Funds invest, which could have material adverse consequences for such companies, and may cause the Managed Funds.

Tax Reform Risks. Tax law is subject to change and various historic and current legislative proposals could affect the Managed Funds and the investors. Under current law, capital gains in respect of a general partner's right to Carried Interest will be subject to a three-year "holding period" in order to be classified as "long term capital gains," while the corresponding holding period requirement with respect to capital gains that Managed Fund investors are allocated is one year. This Carried Interest holding period requirement could affect investment decisions, including the timing and structure of dispositions and other realization events, and it could adversely impact returns for investors. For example, the holding period requirement may incentivize the general partner to cause a Managed Fund to hold an investment for longer than three years in order for the general partner to obtain a preferential tax rate on Carried Interest, even if there are attractive realization opportunities prior to that time. Further, there are currently

administrative and legislative proposals to further change the tax treatment of “carried interest” in ways that may be adverse to partners in the general partner. A general partner and the Firm may take these potential adverse consequences into account in their management and operation of the Managed Funds and in addressing these adverse consequences, the interests of the general partner and the Firm, on the one hand, may diverge from the interests of the investors, on the other hand.

Environmental, Social and Governance Matters. Environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) factors are only some of the many factors the Firm may consider in making an investment or as part of ongoing engagement. Other factors may be given greater weight, particular ESG factors may be disregarded and the Firm may not consider all of the ESG factors that an investor believes are important. To the extent ESG factors are considered, they will be considered based solely on their financial materiality. The Firm invests solely for financial return and does not seek to generate positive ESG impact as an investment goal. Its investments may not result in positive ESG impact and could adversely impact one or more ESG attributes. In addition, the Firm’s ESG integration may not align with the policies of or regulatory requirements applicable to a particular investor.

The Firm has discretion regarding whether to engage with investee companies on ESG-related matters. To the extent that the Firm engages with investee companies on ESG-related matters, such engagements may not achieve the desired financial and other results. In addition, the market or other stakeholders may not consider the results to be sufficient or desirable.

Successful ESG integration on the part of the Firm will depend on the Firm’s skill in properly identifying and analyzing material ESG factors and their relevance, and there can be no assurance that the Firm will be successful in doing so. ESG integration is subjective by nature, and the criteria utilized by the Firm or the judgment exercised it may not reflect the desired approach of any particular investor. Consideration of ESG factors may result in the selection or exclusion of certain investments, sectors, regions, countries or types of investments and/or the pursuit of particular ESG engagement strategies and initiatives. Such consideration carries the risk that the Firm may underperform funds that do not take such ESG-related factors into account in the same manner. In addition, consideration and management of ESG factors may require the Firm to rely on third-party information and data, which may be incomplete, inaccurate or unavailable. Limitations in such information and data may result in erroneous assessments by the Firm.

ESG integration practices are evolving, including without limitation due to regulation, new and changing issues and areas of stakeholder focus, shifting investor sentiment (including so-called anti-ESG sentiment) and requirements and evolving investee company practices. Accordingly, the Firm’s ESG integration practices will continue to evolve and change, and they may do so in a manner that is adverse to financial return or a particular investor’s goals.

Climate Change. The Managed Funds may acquire investments that are located in, or have operations in, areas that are subject to climate change. Any investments located in coastal regions may be affected by any future increases in sea levels or in the frequency or severity of hurricanes and tropical storms, whether such increases are caused by global climate changes or other factors. There may be significant physical effects of climate change that have the potential to have a material effect on the Managed Funds’ business and operations. Physical impacts of climate change may include increased storm intensity and severity of weather (e.g., floods or hurricanes), sea level rise, fires, and extreme and changing temperatures. As a result of these impacts from

climate-related events, the Managed Funds may be vulnerable to the following: risks of property damage to the Managed Funds' investments; indirect financial and operational impacts from disruptions to the operations of the Managed Funds' investments from severe weather; increased insurance premiums and deductibles or a decrease in the availability of coverage for investments in areas subject to severe weather; decreased net migration to areas in which investments are located, resulting in lower than expected demand for both investments and the products and services of the Managed Funds' investments; increased insurance claims and liabilities; increase in energy costs impacting operational returns; changes in the availability or quality of water, food or other natural resources on which the Managed Funds' business depends; decreased consumer demand for consumer products or services resulting from physical changes associated with climate change (e.g., warmer temperature or decreasing shoreline could reduce demand for residential and commercial properties previously viewed as desirable); incorrect long-term valuation of an equity investment due to changing conditions not previously anticipated at the time of the investment; and economic distributions arising from the foregoing.

Recent Regulatory Developments for Private Funds and their Advisers. In recent years, the SEC has proposed and adopted, and continues to adopt, various changes to the rules relating to private funds and their advisers. On August 23, 2023, the SEC adopted previously proposed new rules and amendments to existing rules (collectively, the "*Private Funds Rules*") under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "*Advisers Act*") specifically related to advisers of private funds.

The Private Funds Rules are expected to impose new and substantial requirements on advisers and the funds they advise, including with respect to quarterly reporting, restricted activities, preferential treatment of investors, audit requirements, adviser-led secondaries and annual compliance reviews. The Private Funds Rules, in addition to any other new rules adopted by the SEC, are expected to significantly impact the business of the Adviser and its affiliates, a Managed Fund and/or its investments. Under certain circumstances, the Adviser may be restricted or refrain from providing information regarding a Managed Fund in response to investor requests. The Firm will be required to circulate to all investors the material terms of any preferential treatment agreed in connection with investments in a Managed Fund (i.e., all side letter terms), without regard to any most favored nation provision. This may ultimately impact the Firm's decisions with respect to agreeing to certain preferential rights. The Private Funds Rules include certain audit requirements, which may require the Firm to select a different auditor or obtain an additional audit, even if the Firm does not believe it is in the best interest of a Managed Fund or its investors to do so. Further, many provisions of the Private Funds Rules require the Firm to make a variety of subjective determinations as to whether and how such rules apply to a Managed Fund and the Firm's related obligations. The Firm will face conflicts of interest in making such determinations, including for example with respect to whether certain fees and expenses may be charged to a Managed Fund, whether certain provisions may have a material negative impact on certain investors and whether certain allocations are fair and equitable. The Firm's and a Managed Fund's compliance burdens and associated costs including, without limitation, insurance expenses, are also expected to increase. The Firm also will be subject to increased risk of exposure to additional regulatory scrutiny, litigation, censure and penalties for noncompliance or perceived noncompliance as a result of the Private Funds Rules, and any noncompliance or perceived

noncompliance with such rules may negatively impact a Managed Fund's reputation as well as its investment activities, thereby materially reducing returns to investors.

Several trade groups representing private fund managers have filed a legal challenge to the Private Funds Rules and other legal challenges to the Private Funds Rules may be forthcoming. Regardless of the outcome of these lawsuits, the implementation of these new rules is expected to create additional burdens for advisers to private funds.

Item 9 - Disciplinary Information

None.

Item 10 - Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations

The Firm serves as the investment adviser to its Managed Accounts, and affiliates of the Firm serve as the general partner or equivalent of Managed Accounts organized as limited partnerships or similar structures. Employees of the Firm often serve as the officers/directors of Managed Accounts and of various holding companies and "feeder" entities associated with Managed Accounts. In addition, the Firm markets Managed Accounts through its affiliated broker-dealer, SGGM, and marketing employees of the Firm are also registered persons of SGGM.

Russia Partners Management, LLC ("*RPM*") is an affiliate of the Firm that serves as investment manager to certain Managed Funds that make Direct Investments in companies operating in Russia and other states of the former Soviet Union. ***RPM is no longer deploying any new capital to investments and is not raising any new capital or Managed Funds.***

Siguler Guff UK LLP ("*SG UK*") is an affiliate of the Firm that serves as investment manager to certain Managed Funds that have been established in Europe for certain European investors and designated as alternative investment funds ("AIFs") under Article 4(1)(a) of the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive ("*AIFMD*"). SG UK is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, and has delegated portfolio management and certain other responsibilities to the Firm for the management of the AIFs.

Siguler Guff Gestora de Investimentos (Asset Management) Brasil Ltda ("*SG Brasil*") is an affiliate of the Firm that serves as a manager of securities portfolios for certain Brazilian and non-Brazilian investors in equity investment funds ("FIPs"), governed by CVM Rule No. 578, multimarket investment funds ("FIMs"), governed by CVM Rule No. 555, and stock investment funds ("FIAs"), governed by CVM Rule No. 555, dated December 17, 2014. SG Brasil is registered and regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission of Brazil ("Comissão de Valores Mobiliários" or "CVM").

Siguler Guff (Hong Kong) Limited ("*SG HK*") is an affiliate of the Firm that markets collective investment schemes managed by the Firm to professional investors in Hong Kong and in the Asia-Pacific region. SG HK is licensed with the Securities and Futures Commission to conduct Type 1 (Dealing in Securities) Regulated Activity in Hong Kong.

Successor Funds:

Once a Managed Fund has allocated a certain percentage of its investable assets, the Firm is typically permitted to organize successor funds, which often pay higher fees because fees on private equity accounts tend to decrease over time. This provides an incentive to invest a Managed Fund's assets more quickly than might otherwise be the case, and also increases the competition for investment opportunities. In addition, some Managed Funds may be contractually promised priority for certain limited investment opportunities. There can be no assurance that a Managed Fund will participate in all investment opportunities that fall within its investment objectives. The Firm makes allocation determinations based solely on the Firm's expectations at the time such investments are made, however investments and their characteristics may change and there can be no assurance that an investment may prove to have been more suitable for another Managed Fund in hindsight.

Credit Funds:

The Firm manages certain Managed Accounts (for the purpose of this section "*Credit Funds*") that make or consider making Direct Investments in private companies in the form of debt investments. In many cases, these Direct Investments are sourced from other of the Firm's investment teams who manage Managed Accounts that have made or are considering making equity investments in the same private companies, through either Private Equity Funds or Direct Investments. Potential conflict of interest exist when its Credit Funds and Managed Accounts hold an interest in a private company's debt and equity. Such conflicts are exacerbated when an investment is in distress. Equity holders and debt holders have different (and often competing) motives, incentives, liquidity goals and other interests with respect to a portfolio company. In the event that such investments are made by a Credit Fund, the interests of such Credit Fund will at times conflict with the interest of such other Private Equity Fund, particularly in circumstances where the underlying company is facing financial distress. In such instances, it may be in the best interest of the Credit Fund holding debt securities to declare a default, accelerate a loan or take other protective actions, while such actions would harm another Private Equity Fund's equity investment in the portfolio company. The involvement of such Managed Accounts at both the equity and debt levels could inhibit strategic information exchanges among fellow creditors. The Firm mitigates this conflict, generally, by establishing standardized policies regarding the Credit Fund's proposed investment vis-à-vis other Managed Accounts.

Allocation of Investment Opportunities:

George Siguler and Drew Guff sit on the Investment Committees of Managed Funds managed by the Firm and by these affiliated advisers, and various legal, compliance, accounting, tax and operations personnel provide services to all such Managed Funds. These relationships can result in conflicts with respect to the allocation of investment opportunities (including disposition opportunities). See "*Performance-Based Fees and Side by Side Management*" above for a discussion of how the Firm seeks to address these conflicts. In addition, the management of multiple Managed Accounts leads to conflicts over the allocation of resources devoted to the management of certain accounts or strategies. The Firm seeks to handle this conflict by devoting what it considers sufficient resources to the management of client accounts. A Managed Fund's Governing Documents typically provide the Firm (or the Managed Fund's general partner) with wide latitude to resolve conflicts.

The Firm does not receive compensation from the investment advisers it selects or recommends for inclusion in Managed Account portfolios.

Siguler Guff Global Markets:

SGGM is an affiliate of the Firm and operates as a broker-dealer primarily for the limited purpose of placing interests in Managed Accounts advised by the Firm. Representatives of SGGM are also be employees of the Firm (or its direct or indirect parent). A portion of the compensation paid to marketing employees who are also registered persons of SGGM is based on a percentage of the management fees received by the Firm from Managed Accounts sold by the marketing employee. The Firm pays each marketing employee based on a single firm-wide formula that does not vary based on the Managed Account being sold.

The Firm's compensation arrangements with SGGM and its marketing employees could encourage these employees to focus on selling interests in Managed Accounts with higher fees. This conflict is ameliorated in part because clients are made fully aware of the varying management fees for different Managed Accounts. In addition, conflicts of interest may arise because the compensation received by Representatives of SGGM increases with the size of an investor's investment in a Managed Account.

The compensation of marketing employees and investment employees is paid entirely by the Firm.

The foregoing is a discussion of some of the conflicts that arise in the Firm's management of client accounts, but is not a complete list of conflicts. Investors should review a Managed Fund's organizational and disclosure documents for additional information about possible conflicts. Although the Firm will seek to resolve conflicts in a manner that is fair and reasonable under the circumstances, investors should be aware that conflicts will not always be resolved in their favor and, in fact, the resolution of conflicts may be disadvantageous to one or more investors.

Item 11 - Code of Ethics, Participation or Interest in Client Transactions and Personal Trading

The Firm has adopted a Code of Ethics (the "Code") pursuant to Rule 204A-1 under the Advisers Act, which establishes standards of conduct for its employees, outlines policies and procedures to identify and prevent breaches of fiduciary duty, prohibit insider trading, and address actual or potential conflicts of interest. The Code provides detailed policies and procedures that, among other things, requires Firm employees to report their personal securities holdings, and obtain prior approval of certain securities transactions (including transactions in "restricted trading list" securities, and securities in an initial public offering). These policies and procedures are designed to help the Firm detect and prevent potential conflicts of interest.

The Code prohibits any Firm employee from unlawful or otherwise misuse of material non-public information or confidential information in violation of federal securities insider trading laws. In addition, Firm employees annually receive training in connection in their obligations under the Code. Firm employees who violate the Code may be subject to remedial actions, including, but not limited to, profit disgorgement, fines, censure, demotion, suspension or dismissal. Firm

employees are also required to promptly report any violation of the Code of which they become aware. Firm employees are required to annually certify compliance with the Code.

The Firm will provide a copy of the Code of Ethics to any client or potential client upon request by contacting legal@sigulerguff.com.

Certain Managed Accounts might be permitted, under appropriate circumstances, to invest in a Managed Fund. In such cases, the Firm would not charge a management fee at the Managed Account level, to avoid collecting a “double” fee. In addition, Managed Fund investors and Separate Account clients are specifically advised that a Managed Account might invest in a Managed Fund and, in the case of a Separate Account, prior client notification or consent is typically required. Despite these safeguards, the Firm’s ability to invest a Managed Account’s assets in its Managed Funds represents a conflict of interest. For example, the Firm’s aggregate compensation might be higher because a Managed Account invests in a Managed Fund, despite the absence of double fees. The investment of Managed Accounts into Managed Funds also might help the Firm achieve “critical mass” in its Managed Fund fund-raising.

The Governing Documents for Managed Accounts generally require the Firm and/or its affiliates to invest side-by-side with the Managed Account, to promote a greater alignment of interest. In some cases the Firm or its affiliates will invest directly in the Managed Account, on the same terms as other investors except that management fees and profit participations are waived. The Firm or its affiliates also might co-invest by investing in the same transactions alongside the Managed Account, on the same terms as the Managed Account. Absent special circumstances, the Firm or its affiliates would dispose of the investment at the same time, and on the same terms, as the Managed Account.

Aside from the contractually mandated co-investment described above, the Firm or its affiliates are only permitted to invest in the same securities as a Managed Account with the prior approval of the Firm's Compliance Group (excluding certain public securities, which may not require prior approval). In reviewing such a request, the Compliance Group would consider whether the proposed co-investment could adversely affect the price or quantity of the investment available to the Managed Account or whether it would have any other negative effect on the Managed Account.

Item 12 - Brokerage Practices

Most transactions in Private Equity Funds and Direct Investments are made without the participation of brokers or dealers retained on behalf of the Managed Account. When brokers or dealers are used in the purchase or sale of securities for Managed Accounts, the Firm will seek to obtain the best execution of portfolio transactions. To do so, the Firm may consider the quality and reliability of brokerage services, as well as the research and investment information and other services provided by brokers or dealers. Factors considered include:

- (i) price;
- (ii) the broker or dealer’s facilities;
- (iii) reliability and financial responsibility;
- (iv) the ability of a broker or dealer to effect securities transactions, particularly with regard to such matters as timing, order size and execution of orders; and

- (v) the research and other services provided by that broker or dealer to the Firm that are expected to enhance the Firm's general investment management capabilities, notwithstanding that a client may not be the direct or exclusive beneficiary of such services.

Before approving a dealer for transactions with a client involving significant counterparty risk, such as derivative transactions, the Firm performs a more extensive creditworthiness evaluation. Commission rates and dealer mark-ups, being a component of price, are one factor considered together with other factors. Accordingly, the Firm may cause a client to pay a commission or mark-up for effecting a transaction in excess of the amount another broker or dealer would have charged for effecting that transaction, when the Firm has determined in good faith that the commission or mark-up is reasonable in relation to the value of brokerage and/or research services rendered to the Firm.

Private Equity Funds held in Managed Accounts from time to time make distributions in kind of publicly-traded securities to their investors. In many cases, the general partner of the distributing Private Equity Fund selects a broker (the "*Distribution Broker*") to manage the disposition of the distributed securities on behalf of all the Private Equity Fund's investors. In such cases, the Firm can elect to use the services of the Distribution Broker or another broker, or elect to hold the distributed securities. The Firm would make this determination based on factors including the size of the position and the capabilities of the Distribution Broker.

Certain Managed Accounts (primarily those with a distressed/tactical credit strategy mandate) may invest in Direct Investments that are fixed income instruments, including loans and trade receivables. These fixed income instruments are generally acquired from securities dealers. These fixed income instruments are often thinly traded, and might be available at any given time from a limited number of dealers, or even from only one dealer.

The Firm may receive research services and information from brokers or dealers with whom it effects transactions for Managed Accounts or from placement agents representing the sponsors of the Private Equity Funds in which the Managed Accounts invest. Such services and information include: information on the economy, industries, groups of securities and individual companies; statistical information; market data, pricing and appraisal services; credit analysis; risk measurement analysis; performance analysis; and other information which may affect the economy or securities prices. Research services may be received in the form of written reports, personal contacts with investment professionals, or access to online data services (including the use of computer hardware necessary to access such services). In some cases, research services that are generated by third parties may be provided by or through the firm to which commissions are paid. The receipt of this research benefits the Firm because the Firm does not have to use its own resources to pay for the brokerage and research services received. In addition, these services may be used to benefit all of the Firm's accounts, not just the accounts whose activity resulted in the receipt of the services. Because the volume of business generated by Managed Accounts with brokers and dealers is relatively small, the Firm at this time does not have formal soft dollar arrangements with any brokers or dealers.

Item 13 - Review of Accounts

The Firm's investment personnel monitor all investments in Managed Accounts on an ongoing basis, through continuous communication with the Private Equity Fund's or Direct Investment's management teams, review of provided reports, attending conferences and investor meetings, and general oversight of the investments' progress. More formally, a senior investment professional reviews the composition and performance of all client accounts at least quarterly, and the investment teams for each Managed Fund aim to conduct quarterly portfolio reviews with senior Firm management.

Before making a new investment for any Managed Account, the Managed Account's investment policies and restrictions are reviewed to ensure that the potential investment is consistent therewith. In addition, the Firm's Operations and Valuation Committees review the status of investments with valuation declines exceeding certain triggers as part of the risk control process.

The Firm provides periodic (generally quarterly) written reports to its Managed Account clients that generally include unaudited financial statements, a letter from management describing significant developments, a listing and valuation of the securities held in the account, performance information, a narrative description of significant developments affecting the value of the account and other statistical information. On an annual basis, clients receive audited financial statements. The Firm and the applicable general partner, if any, will from time to time, in their sole discretion, provide additional information relating to such Managed Accounts to one or more investors in such Managed Account as they deem appropriate.

Item 14 - Client Referrals and Other Compensation

From time to time, the Firm enters into agreements with third party firms to solicit investors to invest in one or more Managed Account. A solicitor firm generally is entitled to a success fee to the extent it secures clients, calculated based on the management fees paid by the clients the solicitor firm secures. These fees are borne by the Firm and not by the relevant Managed Account, unless investors are informed otherwise. The Firm has an agreement with BNY Mellon Securities Corporation ("BNYMSC"), a registered broker-dealer, member of FINRA, and indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank of New York Mellon Corporation ("BNY Mellon"), to distribute interests in certain of the Firm's Managed Accounts. Pursuant to this agreement, the Firm will pay BNYMSC a success fee with respect to investors in funds that are solicited by BNYMSC. The fee paid to BNYMSC is borne entirely by the Firm. BNY Mellon owns a non-voting 20% interest in Siguler Guff & Company, LP. In addition, the Firm has entered into agreements with third party placement agents to solicit investors located in Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and Latin America for specific Managed Funds. The Firm will pay these placement agents a fee, which is borne entirely by the Firm, or initially paid by a Managed Fund, which is then reimbursed by offsetting advisory fees payable to the Firm, based on these investors successfully closing into the specific Managed Fund.

In addition, SGGM is an affiliate of the Firm and operates as a broker-dealer primarily for the limited purpose of placing interests in Managed Accounts advised by the Firm. A portion of the compensation paid to marketing employees who are also registered persons of SGGM is based

on a percentage of the management fees received by the Firm Managed Accounts sold by the marketing employee. The Firm pays each marketing employee based on a single firm-wide formula that does not vary based on the Managed Account being sold.

Item 15 - Custody

Under Rule 206(4)-2 under the Advisers Act (the “Custody Rule”) an investment adviser is deemed to have custody or possession of client funds or securities if the adviser directly or indirectly holds client funds or securities, or has authority to obtain possession of them. Advisers are required to maintain client funds and securities (except for securities that meet the privately offered securities exemption in the Custody Rule) over which they have custody with a qualified custodian. Qualified custodians include banks, brokers, futures commission merchants and certain foreign financial institutions.

The Firm generally has the right to deduct management fees directly from Managed Accounts, has broad access to funds and securities in Managed Accounts and acts as investment manager or has affiliates that act as the general partner to its Managed Accounts, therefore, the Firm is deemed to have custody of its client assets. The Custody Rule imposes requirements concerning reports to such clients relating to such clients’ funds and securities. However, an adviser need not comply with such requirements with respect to pooled investment vehicles if each pooled investment vehicle (i) is audited at least annually by an independent public accountant and (ii) distributes its audited financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles to their investors within 120 days (180 days in the applicable case of a fund-of-fund adviser) of its fiscal year-end. The Firm relies upon this audit exception with respect to the majority of its Managed Accounts.

Item 16 - Investment Discretion

For discretionary Separate Account clients, as well as for Managed Funds as to which the Firm has investment discretion, the Firm has the authority as a general proposition to determine the securities to be bought or sold. This authority is typically granted in the Managed Account’s Governing Documents and generally is subject to various investment limitations or objectives imposed by the client or by the Governing Documents of the relevant Managed Account. Such limitations vary from account to account and typically address such matters as limitations on the type or quality of security to be purchased, and required diversification by issuer or industry.

Item 17 - Voting Client Securities

Because the Firm's investments on behalf of its clients are primarily in companies that are not publicly traded, it is rarely necessary to vote proxies of publicly traded companies. Even if a proxy is solicited, it may be the case that the Firm’s vote will not be a material factor in deciding the matter given the Managed Account’s interest in the company. Nevertheless, the Firm’s Voting Policy is designed to reasonably ensure that all proxies, whether it relates to a public company or a vote or consent related to a private company, are voted in the best interests of its clients. The portfolio manager of each Managed Account is primarily responsible for making the decision on how, or whether, to vote and to recognize and resolve any material conflicts of interest that may

arise in the course of such voting in conjunction with the Firm's Legal and Compliance teams. In general, the Firm will vote in favor of existing management and directors, unless information gained through research, news, and other sources that would suggest a company's management and directors are not performing up to what the Firm believes are acceptable standards.

Investors in a Managed Fund cannot direct the Firm's vote in a particular solicitation. Clients in a Separate Account may be able to direct the Firm's vote in a particular solicitation, depending on the details of how such Separate Account operates. All clients (either invested in a Managed Fund or Separate Account) may obtain information on how the Firm voted with respect to the applicable account's securities and obtain a copy of the Firm's Voting Policy by submitting a written request to legal@sigulerguff.com.

Item 18 - Financial Information

The Firm does not require or solicit prepayment of any fees six months or more in advance, does not have any financial condition that would impair its ability to meet contractual commitments to clients, and has not been the subject of a bankruptcy petition at any time during the past ten years.

Item 19 - Requirements for State-Registered Advisers

Not applicable.

Appendix A – Managed Funds’ Investment Methods and Risks

Each Managed Fund addresses a specific investment opportunity or group of opportunities. Investors in Managed Funds receive a Private Placement Memorandum or similar document, that describes the Managed Fund's investment strategy, methods of analysis and risks of loss in detail. This Appendix describes the broad investment premises, methodologies and risks for each of the Firm’s Managed Fund strategies. The Private Placement Memorandum for each Managed Fund contains more extensive disclosure, and prospective investors should obtain a Private Placement Memorandum from the Firm and carefully review it before investing in a Managed Fund.

Siguler Guff Distressed Opportunities Funds and Special Situations (“DOF” or “DOF Funds”)

Investment Strategy: The DOF Funds seek to assemble a diverse portfolio of Private Equity Funds focusing on securities and other interests of companies undergoing financial distress, operating difficulties or restructuring, as well as various distressed residential, commercial and consumer asset-backed securities and whole loans. The DOF Funds also make Direct Investments in companies experiencing similar situations.

The DOF Funds invest in Private Equity Funds that represent a wide spectrum of distressed securities investment approaches, targeting Private Equity Fund managers that the Firm considers to be market leaders, or to have a distinct competitive advantage over their counterparts. The portfolios include Private Equity Funds whose approaches range from short or medium-term passive trading strategies to Private Equity Funds who will take active control of the restructuring process, with the ultimate objective of restructuring their debt investments on favorable terms or gaining control of the restructured entity. The DOF Funds intend to invest across a broad spectrum of funds focusing on credits of all sizes, both public and private, and on a wide range of securities, from senior secured bank debt to junior unsecured bonds to trade claims to equity to residential, commercial and consumer asset-backed securities and whole loans, as well as structured products and derivatives. On occasion, the DOF Funds invest in less typical distressed investments, such as shipping companies or pharmaceutical royalties, based on the premise that the sector is experiencing distress due to a scarcity of capital relative to Siguler Guff’s assessment of the expected risk-adjusted return.

The DOF Fund portfolios are diversified across Private Equity Funds that invest in all stages and types of bankruptcy. The portfolios are diversified across Funds that acquire various types of distressed paper, including senior secured debt, senior unsecured, senior subordinated, subordinated and junior subordinated securities. Some Private Equity Funds may invest in specialized niches, such as trade debt, portfolios of defaulted credit card receivables or other small loans. Other Private Equity Funds might invest in debtor-in-possession (or “DIP”) financings, which are loans made to the company after bankruptcy with various priorities over existing or future creditors. Distressed buyers invest in both defaulted securities (i.e., those of companies that missed or completely halted coupon payments) and cash-paying distressed paper generating high current yields.

Risk Factors:

- (i) *General:* Distressed securities are issued by or relate to companies in unstable financial condition, resulting in substantial inherent risks, such as uncertainty about performance and uncertainties regarding the outcome and timing of the bankruptcy process. Because of the high level of sophistication necessary for this type of investing, it must be anticipated that some investments in the portfolios will ultimately be unprofitable. These investments require active monitoring and may require that an investor participate in business strategy or reorganization proceedings, a role that is more active than is generally assumed by an investor. Such involvement by an investor in an issuer's reorganization could restrict the investor's ability to liquidate its position in the issuer or additional liability.
- (ii) *Uncertainty of Distressed Debt Market Conditions:* Investing in distressed securities may be more reliant on market timing than other private equity investments. The default rates for debt securities fluctuate widely, and periods of relatively low default rates tend to limit opportunities for profitable distressed investing. It is impossible to predict with certainty at any time how broad or persistent a window of opportunity will be, when the market bottom will occur, or whether the market bottom has already occurred. Because the successful implementation of the DOF Funds investment strategy depends, in part, on the ability of the Firm to successfully predict and take advantage of changing market conditions, to the extent the Firm is unable to do so, returns will be adversely affected.
- (iii) *Uncertainties Associated With the Bankruptcy Process:* Many of the investments in the DOF portfolios will be in various stages of a bankruptcy proceeding, which are frequently contested and adversarial, and subject to unanticipated adverse developments. There can be no assurance that a bankruptcy court would not approve actions that may be contrary to the interests of an investor. Moreover, the duration of a bankruptcy case can only be roughly estimated and the pendency of bankruptcy proceedings can adversely affect a company's business, particularly if customers, suppliers and employees lose confidence in the company's viability as a going concern. If a company in bankruptcy is forced to dispose of assets, the value realized on those assets might be less than if the assets were disposed of outside the bankruptcy context. During the bankruptcy, an automatic stay will prevent creditors from taking action against the debtor to collect on amounts owed to such creditors. Generally, no interest will be permitted to accrue and, therefore, the creditor's return on investment can be adversely affected by the passage of time during the proceedings. Lastly, the costs associated with a bankruptcy proceeding can be high, and are generally paid out of the debtor's estate prior to any return to creditors and equity holders. In addition, certain claims, such as claims for taxes, may have priority by law and may be quite high. Claims in bankruptcy cases are often paid at less than par and depending on the debtor's assets and liabilities, there may be no recovery at all for some classes of creditors.
- (iv) *Risks Associated With Trading Strategies:* The performance of Private Equity Funds engaged in trading strategies, and Direct Investment trading strategies, might be more volatile than that of Private Equity Funds with longer-term strategies. To the extent that a Private Equity Fund offers redemption rights, it is possible that, following a period of poor performance, a significant percentage of the Private Equity Fund's investors will elect to redeem. Such redemptions could force the Private Equity Fund to dispose

- of its investments to raise cash at a disadvantageous time and thereby reduce its return. Such Private Equity Fund may also have the ability to limit or suspend redemptions, preventing the DOF Funds from getting its investment back when it wishes to do so.
- (v) *Financing.* The Private Equity Funds in the DOF portfolios in some cases will acquire securities using a mixture of equity and third-party debt financing. Direct Investment in some cases might also be leveraged. Leverage can enhance positive investment returns, but it also involves a high degree of risk. If the cash flows from an investment made using leverage (or proceeds of refinancing) are insufficient to cover interest payments and principal amortization, such use of leverage will increase the severity of the equity investors' losses, possibly causing the loss of the entire equity investment. Leveraged investments may be subject to "margin calls" if equity value declines, which can force the investor to dispose of the investment or refinance at an inopportune time. Availability of financing from the debt markets is volatile in general.
- (vi) *Other Risks.*
- *Securities Markets Risk:* The value of distressed interests could be affected by factors affecting the securities markets generally, such as real or perceived adverse economic conditions, supply and demand for particular instruments, changes in the general outlook for the securities market or corporate earnings, interest rates, announcements of political information or adverse investor sentiment generally.
 - *Interest Rate Risk:* "Interest rate risk" refers to the risks associated with market changes in interest rates. In general, rising interest rates will negatively impact the price of fixed rate debt instruments and falling interest rates will have a positive effect on the price of fixed rate debt instruments. The prices of long term debt obligations generally fluctuate more than prices of short term debt obligations as interest rates change. To the extent the DOF Funds or their underlying funds invest primarily in longer term debt obligations, commercial mortgage-backed securities or residential mortgage-backed securities, they will be impacted to a greater degree by changes in market interest rates than if the fund invested primarily in short term debt securities.
 - *Healthcare Product Risks:* The ability of the DOF Funds to generate returns for investors will depend on the success of the products (including pharmaceuticals, medical devices, delivery technologies and diagnostics) and services underlying or related to the healthcare investments made by the funds.
 - *Risks Associated with Secondary Investments:* Secondary investments may present additional risks, such as the difficulty in valuing the existing investments of an underlying fund or the possibility that the interests acquired in a secondary market transaction may be subject to contingent liabilities resulting from activity that transpired prior to the secondary investment (such as an indemnification obligation in respect of an act or omission occurring prior to the date of the acquisition of the secondary investment). Further, a secondary investment may be subject to the consent of the underlying fund and other qualification requirements that may make such purchase or a sale of such secondary investment more difficult or, ultimately, prevent it. Additionally, valuation of secondary investments may be difficult since there generally will be no established market for such interests. The DOF Funds will also not have

the opportunity to negotiate the terms of secondary investments, including any special rights and privileges.

Siguler Guff Global Emerging Markets Funds (“GEM Funds”)

Investment Strategy: The GEM Funds seek to assemble a diversified portfolio of Private Equity Funds investing in securities of companies located or doing business primarily in the emerging economies and in Direct Investment of similar companies. Historically, the investment strategy focused on the large and dynamic markets of Brazil, Russia, India and China. More recently, the investment strategy has had a primary emphasis on Brazil and China, as well as growing exposure to certain Southeast Asian, Latin American (non-Brazil), and Central and Eastern European countries. The GEM Funds’ objective is to construct a diversified portfolio of Private Equity Funds investing primarily in the emerging economies, where Siguler Guff believes there is a compelling opportunity to achieve superior returns. The selected Private Equity Funds will likely be diversified by stage, sector, investment thesis and vintage year. It is anticipated that most of the selected funds will be investing in expansion stage capital transactions, and increasingly buyouts, with relatively little investment in early stage venture capital opportunities where there is still considerable risk. The GEM Funds focus on funds that seek control, either alone or in concert with like-minded investors, of companies through majority ownership or through minority investments with a suitable package of governance rights.

The GEM Funds invest in Private Equity Funds whose sector focus include retail, branded consumer goods, IT and software, telecoms, pharmaceuticals and healthcare, financial services, media and entertainment, and alternative energy. The Private Equity Funds in the GEM Fund portfolios will have a range of investment theses, such as financial restructuring, margin expansion, industry consolidation, improved corporate governance, enhanced sales, and marketing and management techniques.

The GEM Funds will generally target Private Equity Funds managed by stable teams of experienced professionals with an established track record of success in the relevant strategy and sector, and with experience managing money for institutional quality private equity investors. The selected firms will have a clearly articulated strategy for creating/buying, building and exiting portfolio companies, consistent with the resources of the team, as well as the local deal flow, valuation discipline, capital markets expertise, and entrepreneurial and managerial talent. Investment professionals at various levels should have a financial stake in the success of the fund and the firm overall.

Risk Factors:

- (i) *General:* Investing in the emerging markets entails greater market, credit, currency, liquidity, legal, political, technical and other risks different from, or greater than, the risks of investing in developed markets. The stock exchanges and other securities trading markets of the emerging market countries are typically more volatile than those of more developed countries. As a result, public securities holdings in the GEM portfolios might be exposed to significant market risk before they can be realized. The emerging markets economies have grown rapidly, and are projected to continue to grow

to achieve rapid growth. The desirability of investing in the emerging markets is premised on continuing rapid growth. If growth rates do not meet such high expectations, the attractiveness of investing in the emerging markets could markedly decrease and could adversely impact the companies in the GEM portfolios. It must be anticipated that some investments in the GEM Fund portfolios will ultimately be unprofitable.

- (ii) *Political and Related Risks:* While many emerging markets economies have achieved relatively stable political, economic and social structures, it is possible that conditions could change materially. Investments in these countries may be more subject than those in developed markets to the risks of internal and external conflicts, currency devaluations, foreign ownership limitations and tax increases. Also, nationalization, expropriation or confiscatory taxation, currency blockage and repatriation restrictions, market disruption, political changes, security suspensions or diplomatic developments could adversely affect investments in BRIC or other emerging market countries. Diplomatic and political developments, including rapid and adverse political changes, social instability, regional conflicts, terrorism and war, could affect the economies, industries and securities and currency markets, and the value of investments, in emerging market countries. The emerging market economies might be less resilient in recovering from a natural disaster or other major adverse event than is the case for more developed economies. These factors are extremely difficult, if not impossible, to predict and could have an adverse effect on the GEM Funds' investments.
- (iii) *Inflation:* Some emerging market countries have historically experienced substantial rates of inflation. Inflation and rapid fluctuation in inflation rates have had, and may continue to have, negative effects on the economies and securities markets of certain emerging economies. In an attempt to stabilize inflation, certain emerging countries have imposed wage and price controls at times. Past governmental efforts to curb inflation have also involved more drastic economic measures that have had a materially adverse effect on the level of economic activity in the countries where such measures were employed. There can be no assurance that inflation will not become a serious problem in the future and thus have an adverse impact on the GEM Funds.
- (iv) *Weak Financial Systems:* The banking systems and other financial infrastructures, such as insurance and securities trading markets, in the emerging economies and elsewhere in emerging markets are generally thought to be considerably less robust than those in developed countries. Thus, these economies might be especially vulnerable to financial crises when credit and other capital investments become difficult or impossible to obtain. Although both have successfully recovered, Russia and Brazil each have experienced historical episodes of default on their sovereign debt. Defaults, or even the potential for default, can create substantial risk in the capital markets. These instabilities can directly affect the value of the securities held in the GEM Funds' portfolios, and create severe financial and operating challenges for the issuers of those securities. The emerging markets tend to be characterized by a higher level of government control over, and intervention in, the economy and particular industries than in developed markets. These government actions might inhibit normal market adjustments and create volatility over the long term.
- (v) *Legal Environment:* Although the legal systems in many emerging markets countries now recognize basic commercial relationships and rights, they still lack the extensive

body of law and practice normally encountered in Western business environments. Laws and regulations in emerging market countries affecting Western business and investment, particularly those involving taxation, foreign investment and trade, can change quickly and unpredictably in a manner far more volatile than in other developed market economies. In addition, a number of the basic investor rights that are common in Western markets do not exist or are not, as a practical matter, uniformly enforceable in certain emerging market countries. In many cases, existing laws offer limited protection, at best, to minority investors. The infrastructure supporting private ownership of securities, such as reliable and independent depositories and registrars, is still in the process of development in many emerging market countries. Local law and practice could limit an investor's ability to exercise control over the companies in which it invests even in cases where it has a controlling interest. There is also uncertainty as to whether local governments will recognize or acknowledge that an investor has acquired title to any property or securities in which it invests, since there is at present in some emerging market countries no uniformly reliable system or legal framework regarding the registration of title. Organized criminal extortion and government corruption have been common in emerging market countries. Threats to property or personnel may cause an investor to cease or alter certain activities or liquidate certain investments.

- (vi) *International Sanctions:* The United States and other countries at times impose sanctions or similar restrictions prohibiting transactions with specific entities, or with any entities located in specific countries. These sanctions can preclude making an otherwise attractive investment. In addition, the applicability of sanctions regimes to particular transactions can be difficult to determine, creating the risk of inadvertently entering into a transaction that is subject to sanctions.
- (vii) *Risks Associated with the Use of Leverage:* Borrowing may occur at the Master Fund level, the Fund level, the underlying fund level or the portfolio company level, if any or all directly incur leverage in connection with a Portfolio Investment, Underlying Fund Investment or an investment by a portfolio company, as applicable. The leverage used by the Partnership and the underlying funds may take the form of indebtedness for borrowed money as well as financial leverage in the form of short sales, forward contracts, options, derivatives and other similar transactions. Leverage may have important adverse consequences to the underlying funds, the portfolio companies and the Partnership. The amount borrowed in connection with an investment and the interest rates on those borrowings, which may fluctuate from time to time, as well as the fees and other costs of borrowing, may have a marked effect on such investment's performance. The borrower may be subject to restrictive financial and operating covenants. Leverage also may impair the borrower's ability to finance its future operations and capital needs. It is likely that any debt the Partnership, a Portfolio Investment, an Underlying Fund Investment or an investment by a portfolio company incurs will be governed by an indenture or other instrument containing covenants that may restrict its operating flexibility, including covenants that, among others, likely will limit its ability to: (i) pay distributions in certain circumstances, (ii) incur additional debt, and (iii) engage in certain transactions. As a result, its flexibility to respond to changing business and economic conditions and to business opportunities may be limited. A leveraged investment's income and net assets will tend to fluctuate at a

greater rate than if leverage were not used. In addition, if an investment has a leveraged capital structure, it will be subject to increased exposure to adverse economic factors such as a significant rise in interest rates, a severe downturn in the economy or deterioration in the condition of the borrower or its industry. Furthermore, a borrower that has secured its leverage through the pledging of collateral may, if such borrower is unable to generate sufficient cash flow to meet principal and interest payments on its indebtedness, be subject to risk that a lender seizes its assets through margin calls or otherwise.

Siguler Guff Brazil Special Situations Funds (“BSSF” or “BSSF Funds”)

Investment Strategy: BSSF Funds will seek to invest all or substantially all of its investible assets in one or more credit rights investment funds (“FIDC”) and/or investment funds in quotas of credit rights investment funds (“FICFIDC” and, together with FIDC, the “Portfolio Investments”), that will focus on investing, directly or indirectly, in any right to payment (including attorneys’ fees) related to (i) Brazilian writs of payments issued in favor of a private entity against (x) the Federal government, states, municipalities and municipal treasuries and/or their authorities in respect of resolved legal claims (“Precatorios”) or (y) private entities or government-related entities in respect of resolved legal claims (“Orders of Payment”), or (ii) ongoing Brazilian legal claims that generally have won the merit phase but are still in the calculation phase regarding the final amount owed (“Legal Claims”). Portfolio investments will be made in partnership substantially (but not exclusively) with two of Brazil’s preeminent experts in legal claims investment space.

Risk Factors:

- *Historic Performance Not a Guarantee of Future Results:* BSSF Funds will begin operations upon the initial closing, and has no operating history with which to evaluate its future performance. The past performance of the managers of certain of the Portfolio Investments in which BSSF Funds will invest, and the past performance of local Brazilian advisers utilized by BSSF Funds in sourcing, developing and managing the Portfolio Investments do not assure future success and each of the Portfolio Investments in which the Partnership will invest might have better or worse investment performance. Even though previous activities and investments of other funds managed by Siguler Guff may have been subject to similar risk factors discussed herein, BSSF Funds may not be able to duplicate any previous success in avoiding certain deleterious effects from the risks involved.
- *Economic Risks:* The economy of Brazil may differ favorably or unfavorably from the United States and other developed economies with regard to, among other things, the rate of growth of gross domestic product, the rate of inflation, amount of capital reinvestment, resource self-sufficiency and balance of payments. Although the Brazilian economy has become more diversified in recent years, with exports making up a smaller percentage of Brazil’s gross domestic product, it is still not as diversified as some developed market economies. In addition, it is possible that exports will make up a larger percentage of Brazil’s gross domestic product as the economy grows. The country’s economic performance may, therefore, be susceptible to developments in the economies of its main trading partners, such as Asia, the United States, Russia and Europe. In addition, a drop in

commodities, or a significant depreciation or appreciation of the Brazilian Real in relation to the currencies of its main trading partners, could adversely affect the country's balance of payment position and negatively affect the growth of its economy. More generally, a slowdown in any of the economies of Brazil's trading partners, and any other country's economy or the global economy, could materially adversely affect, either directly or indirectly, the Brazilian economy.

- *Emerging Market Risk:* BSSF Funds will invest primarily in assets and securities located in Brazil, which may present market, credit, currency, liquidity, legal, political, technical and other risks different from, or greater than, the risks of investing in developed markets. The level of analytical sophistication, both financial and legal, necessary for successful investment in Brazil is unusually high. Therefore, it is possible that BSSF Funds may incur losses on some or all of its Portfolio Investments.
- *Inflation Risk:* Brazil historically has experienced substantial rates of inflation. Inflation and rapid fluctuation in inflation rates have had, and may continue to have, negative effects on its economy and securities market. Past governmental efforts to curb inflation also have involved economic measures that materially adversely affected economic activity. Since the introduction of the "Real Plan" in July 1994, Brazilian inflation has been substantially lower than in previous periods. Actions by the Brazilian government to control inflation have frequently included maintaining a strict monetary policy with high interest rates, thereby restricting the availability of credit and economic growth. As a result, interest rates have fluctuated significantly. Future measures taken by the Brazilian government, including a reduction in interest rates, intervention in the foreign exchange market and actions to adjust or set the value of the Brazilian Real, may trigger increases in inflation. There can be no assurance that inflation will not become a serious problem in the future, or will not have a material adverse impact on BSSF's performance. Inflationary pressures may curtail the ability of Portfolio Investments to repay their indebtedness, reduce liquidity in the domestic capital and lending markets, adversely affect the price of Brazilian securities, lead to further government intervention in the Brazilian economy, or effect government and government-related entities' abilities to repay their obligations, which, in turn, may materially and adversely affect the operations of BSSF Funds and the value of its investments and other assets.
- *Weak Financial Systems:* Brazilian banking systems and other financial infrastructures, such as insurance and securities trading markets, generally are thought to be considerably less robust than those in developed markets. Therefore, the Brazilian economy may be particularly vulnerable to financial crises when credit and other capital investments become difficult or impossible to obtain. Brazil has experienced historical episodes of default on its sovereign debt, and any such future default, or even the potential for default, could directly and materially adversely affect the Portfolio Investments.
- *Political Risks:* The Brazilian government has exercised and continues to exercise substantial influence over many aspects of the Brazilian private sector, frequently intervenes in the Brazilian economy (including frequently changing monetary, taxation, credit, tariff and other policies to influence the core of Brazil's economy) and occasionally makes significant changes in policies and regulations. The Partnership and its investments could be materially affected by currency devaluations, changes to foreign currency

exchange control regulations, foreign ownership limitations, tax increase, expropriation or confiscatory taxation, nationalizations; currency blockage and repatriation restrictions, renunciation of foreign debt; market disruption, political changes, security suspensions and/or adverse political or diplomatic changes, social instability, regional conflicts, terrorism and war. In the event of an expropriation or other confiscation, the Partnership could lose its entire investment in securities and other assets in Brazil.

Siguler Guff Asia Opportunities Fund (“AOF”)

Investment Strategy: AOF seeks to assemble a diversified portfolio of Private Equity Funds investing in securities of companies located or doing business primarily in Asia and in Direct Investment of similar companies, with a primary focus in China. The investment strategy focuses on the large and dynamic markets of Asia, particularly China. AOF’s objective is to construct a diversified portfolio of Private Equity Funds investing primarily in Asia, where Siguler Guff believes there is a compelling opportunity to achieve superior returns. The selected Private Equity Funds will likely be diversified by stage, sector, investment thesis and vintage year. It is anticipated that most of the selected funds will be investing in expansion stage capital transactions, and increasingly buyouts, with relatively little investment in early stage venture capital opportunities where there is still considerable risk.

Risk Factors:

- *Political and Related Risks:* While some Asian economies have achieved already relatively stable political, economic and social structures, it is possible that conditions could change materially during the lifetime of AOF. Investments in these countries may be more subject than those in developed markets to the risks of internal and external conflicts, currency devaluations, foreign ownership limitations and tax increases. Also, nationalization, expropriation or confiscatory taxation, currency blockage and repatriation restrictions, market disruption, political changes, security suspensions or diplomatic developments could adversely affect investments in such Asian countries. In the event of nationalization, expropriation or other confiscation, an underlying fund or, in the case of a direct investment, AOF could lose its entire investment in the securities in such an Asian country. Diplomatic and political developments, including rapid and adverse political changes, trade wars, social instability, regional conflicts, terrorism and war, could affect the economies, industries and securities and currency markets, and the value of investments, in Asia.
- *Historic Performance Not a Guarantee of Future Results:* AOF began operations upon the initial closing and has no operating history with which to evaluate its future performance. The past performance of the sponsors of the underlying funds in which AOF will invest does not assure future success and each of the underlying funds in which AOF will invest might have better or worse investment performance than their predecessor funds. It is impossible to predict the precise conditions in Asia in the future.
- *Investing in Asian Economies – In General:* The securities in the portfolios in which AOF will invest, including direct investments, will be comprised primarily securities of companies located in or doing business in Asia, with a primary focus on China. Investing in securities of companies operating in Asia may present market, credit, currency, liquidity,

legal, political, technical and other risks different from, or greater than, the risks of investing in developed markets. In addition, AOF is permitted to invest up to 25% of committed capital outside of China. AOF will seek to mitigate these risks through manager selection and diversification, but the level of analytical sophistication, both financial and legal, necessary for successful investment is unusually high. Therefore, it must be anticipated that (a) most, if not all, the underlying funds in which AOF will invest will incur losses on some positions in their portfolios, (b) one or more underlying funds in which AOF invests might be unprofitable over its investment life and (c) AOF will incur losses on some of its Direct Investments.

- *Inflation:* Some Asian countries have historically experienced substantial rates of inflation. Inflation and rapid fluctuation in inflation rates have had, and may continue to have, negative effects on the economies and securities markets of certain emerging economies. In an attempt to stabilize inflation, certain Asian countries have imposed wage and price controls at times. Past governmental efforts to curb inflation have also involved more drastic economic measures that have had a materially adverse effect on the level of economic activity in the countries where such measures were employed. There can be no assurance that inflation will not become a serious problem in the future and thus have an adverse impact on AOF.

Siguler Guff Small Buyout Opportunities Funds (“SBOF” or “SBOF Funds”)

Investment Strategy: The SBOF Funds will seek to assemble a diversified portfolio of Private Equity Funds investing in the securities of small and lower middle market companies and in Direct Investment of similar companies. The SBOF Funds will seek to construct a portfolio diversified across the deal size continuum of the small and lower middle market, and also by investment strategy, sector, style, geography and vintage year.

The SBOF Funds invest in Private Equity Funds managed by groups the Firm considers to be market leaders who have demonstrated an investment record and philosophy consistent with Siguler Guff’s small buyout investment principles. Specifically, the Firm believes that superior performance in the small buyout market is a direct result of a manager’s ability to:

- i) source abundant, high quality and less competitive deal flow;
- ii) identify high margin, niche market leading companies;
- iii) avoid bidding wars and “win” deals with attributes other than paying the highest price;
- iv) seek strong alignment of interests with the seller and management team through mechanisms such as seller rollover equity, seller notes, earn outs and management investment;
- v) “buy right” and employ conservative leverage; and
- vi) invest in companies where the manager is well suited and positioned to add demonstrable value. Managers with these capabilities tend to be best positioned to generate high returns while simultaneously mitigating risk.

Risk Factors:

- (i) *Investing in Small Buyout Funds:* The Private Equity Funds in the SBOF portfolios have relatively small capitalizations. Accordingly, the management of small buyout funds may have less operating experience than larger funds, and often have recently

- institutionalized their business or are in the process of doing so. Small funds may be highly dependent on a small number of key individuals and may be adversely affected by such individuals leaving their investment team.
- (ii) *Investing in Smaller and Lower Middle Market Companies:* The companies in which these small Private Equity Funds invest have enterprise values between approximately \$10 million and \$100 million. Companies of this size typically have weaker financial and human resources than larger companies, have less extensive research and development, manufacturing, marketing and/or service capabilities, and may be susceptible to competition from larger and better capitalized companies. Smaller companies often depend upon the management talents and efforts of a small group of individuals, and the loss of one or more of these individuals could have a significant impact on the investment returns from a particular company. Also, smaller companies frequently have less diverse product lines and smaller market presence than larger companies, and may not have as great an ability to raise additional capital. Smaller companies may have a shorter history of operations as compared to larger companies, which could make it more difficult to evaluate their future performance. There is often less publicly available information about smaller companies than larger companies. They are thus generally less liquid and more vulnerable to economic downturns and may experience substantial variations in operating results. It must therefore be anticipated that some investments in the SBOF Fund portfolios will ultimately be unprofitable.
- (iii) *Financing:* The Private Equity Funds in the SBOF portfolio typically will acquire companies using a mixture of fund equity and third-party debt financing. Leverage can enhance positive investment returns, but it also involves a high degree of risk. If the cash flows from an investment made using leverage (or proceeds of refinancing) are insufficient to cover interest payments and principal amortization, such use of leverage will increase the severity of the equity investors' losses, possibly causing the loss of the entire equity investment. Many of the companies in the underlying portfolios will require additional debt or equity financing during the period of the relevant investment, such as expansion financing or borrowing to refinance existing debt. The availability of financing from the debt markets is volatile in general, and this volatility is enhanced in the case of small and lower middle market companies, particularly those with existing leverage. It is possible that one or more of such companies will not be able to raise additional financing or may be able to do so only at a price or on terms which are unfavorable. Any such additional financings may dilute the ownership interests of the relevant Private Equity Fund in the company.
- (iv) *Direct Investments:* The risks relating to the securities held in the investments of the Private Equity Funds in the SBOF portfolios apply equally to Direct Investments by the SBOF portfolios. In addition, the SBOF portfolios' direct financial exposure to each Direct Investment can be expected to be significantly greater than the SBOF portfolios' indirect exposure to most single investments held in the Private Equity Funds in SBOF portfolios. Selecting, negotiating the terms of, and managing Direct Investments is likely to entail greater expense, including professional fees, than investing in funds, and the risk of litigation against the SBOF portfolios in connection with their Direct Investments is higher than is the case for investing in Private Equity Funds. To the extent a Private Equity Fund is deemed to control one of its investments or the SBOF

- portfolios are deemed to control a Direct Investment, such Private Equity Fund and (directly or indirectly) the SBOF portfolios may be subject to an increased risk of liabilities (for example, environmental liabilities).
- (v) In some cases, the SBOF portfolios will make Direct Investments as part of an investment group with one or more Private Equity Funds in the portfolios, while in others the SBOF portfolios might independently invest in an opportunity in which a Private Equity Fund invests. This could cause the SBOF portfolios to be less diversified than expected. The ability of the SBOF portfolios to invest in the latter type of Direct Investment could in some cases be limited by confidentiality or other restrictions contained in the partnership agreements of Private Equity Funds in the SBOF portfolios. When Direct Investments are made as part of an investment group, the SBOF portfolios might be required to abide by decisions made by the group or its lead investor under certain circumstances, and the value of the Direct Investment could be adversely affected if the interests of the SBOF portfolios diverge from those of the group or its members. When the SBOF portfolios invest independently in a Direct Investment, however, the SBOF portfolios may not have the same level of governance rights and other protections they would enjoy as part of a larger group. Furthermore, with respect to independently-sourced Direct Investments, the General Partner and Investment Manager may have to rely solely on their own research and analysis.
 - (vi) *General Economic, Market and Political Conditions:* The success of the SBOF portfolios' activities will be affected by general economic and market conditions, changes in laws, trade barriers, currency exchange controls, and national and international political circumstances. These factors may affect the value and the liquidity of the Private Equity Funds in the SBOF portfolios and underlying investments, which could adversely affect the SBOF portfolios' profitability or result in losses.

Siguler Guff Distressed Real Estate Opportunities Funds ("DREOF" or "DREOF Funds")

Investment Strategy: The DREOF Funds will seek to assemble a portfolio of investment funds focusing on investments in various forms of real property interests, including equity interests in commercial property, commercial mortgages and commercial mortgage-backed securities, and the debt and equity securities of real estate operating companies and real estate investment trusts primarily in the United States and Europe most recently. The DREOF Funds may also invest in Direct Investment opportunities in similar situations or, for certain DREOF Funds, may make investments exclusively in Direct Investments.

Risk Factors:

- (i) *General:* Real estate historically has experienced significant fluctuations and cycles in value and the DREOF Funds may buy and/or sell portfolio investments at less than optimal times. The marketability and value of real estate investments will depend on many factors beyond the control of the DREOF Funds, such as availability of credit, changing default and foreclosure rates, the financial condition of tenants, buyers and sellers of properties, adverse changes in zoning laws, availability and costs of insurance, the quality of the management of each property or other asset, competition from prospective buyers for and sellers of properties, cost and terms of financing,

changes in general or local economic conditions or securities markets, the impact of present or future environmental legislation and compliance with environmental laws, changes in tax rates and other operating expenses, adverse changes in governmental laws, regulations and fiscal policies, energy and supply shortages, changes in the relative popularity of properties as an investment, acts of God, acts of war, terrorism, vandalism or civil unrest and other factors beyond the control of the Managed Fund. Furthermore, investments in real estate related companies are subject to additional risks, including the risk that such real estate related companies may be in an early stage of development, may not have a proven operating history, may be operating at a loss or have significant variations in operating results, may be engaged in a rapidly changing business environment with real estate and other assets subject to a substantial risk of obsolescence, may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, to finance expansion or to maintain their competitive position, may be subject to other management, employment or labor risks, may be competing with other real estate related companies with greater financial resources, more extensive development, leasing, sales, marketing and other capabilities and a larger number of qualified personnel, or may otherwise have a weak financial condition. If any of these or similar events occur, it may adversely impact the DREOF Funds' profitability. Distressed real estate investments are issued by or relate to companies in unstable financial condition, resulting in substantial inherent risks, such as uncertainty about performance and uncertainties regarding the outcome and timing of the bankruptcy process. Because of the unusually high level of sophistication necessary for this type of investing, it must be anticipated that some investments in the portfolios will ultimately be unprofitable.

- (ii) *Distressed Risks:* Many of the risks inherent to distressed investing in general, as described above under "Siguler Guff Distressed Opportunities Fund" apply to the DREOF Funds as well.
- (iii) *Default and Foreclosure Risk:* Foreclosure of a mortgage loan can be an expensive and lengthy process which could have a substantial negative effect on the DREOF Funds' anticipated return on the foreclosed mortgage loan. A default may also delay or reduce interest payments on a mortgage loan.
- (iv) *Financing.* The Private Equity Funds in the DREOF portfolios in some cases will acquire securities using a mixture of equity and third-party debt financing. Direct Investment in some cases might also be leveraged. Leverage can enhance positive investment returns, but it also involves a high degree of risk. If the cash flows from an investment made using leverage (or proceeds of refinancing) are insufficient to cover interest payments and principal amortization, such use of leverage will increase the severity of the equity investors' losses, possibly causing the loss of the entire equity investment. Leveraged investments may be subject to early repayment if equity value declines, which can force the investor to dispose of the investment or refinance at an inopportune time. Availability of financing from the debt markets is volatile in general.
- (v) *Unanticipated Problems and Undisclosed Liabilities:* The DREOF Funds or their underlying funds may acquire existing real estate from third parties, including off market and non-intermediated transactions, portfolio acquisitions and future purchase transactions. There can be no assurance that unanticipated problems and undisclosed liabilities or contingencies will not arise with respect to the acquired properties or that

- the acquired properties will achieve the anticipated rental rates or occupancy levels factored into the pricing of the transaction.
- (vi) *Risks That Properties May Contain Defects:* Real estate investments may have design, construction or other defects or problems that require unforeseen capital expenditures, special repair or maintenance expenses or the payment of damages to third parties. Engineering, seismic and other reports relied upon as part of any pre-acquisition due diligence investigations may be inaccurate or deficient, at least in part because defects may be difficult or impossible to ascertain. An investor may not be protected from liabilities arising from property defects. Furthermore, after selling a property, an investor may continue to be liable for any latent defects in such property are subsequently discovered.
- (vii) *Inability to Renovate or Develop Properties Effectively or Efficiently:* The DREOF Funds or their underlying funds may target a portion of their investments for renovation, expansion, and development activities, which involve significant risks in addition to risks related to the ownership and operation of established properties. For example, financing may not be available on favorable terms and construction may not be completed on schedule or within budget, resulting in increased debt service expenses and construction costs and delays in leasing such properties and generating cash flow. Undeveloped land and development properties do not generate operating revenue while costs are incurred to develop the properties, and may also generate certain expenses including property taxes and insurance. Substantial renovation, expansion and development activities are also subject to risks relating to the inability to obtain, or delays in obtaining, all necessary zoning, land use, building, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations. Such regulations may reduce or eliminate potential returns from investments by inhibiting or preventing planned renovations, expansion or development.
- (viii) *Risks Associated with the Use of Leverage:* Borrowing may occur at the Partnership or the underlying fund level if either or both directly incur leverage in connection with a Real Estate Investment. The leverage used by the Partnership and the underlying funds may take the form of indebtedness for borrowed money as well as financial leverage in the form of short sales, forward contracts, options, derivatives, and other similar transactions. Furthermore, a Real Estate Investment itself will typically be leveraged, irrespective of whether any borrowing occurs at the Partnership or underlying fund level with respect to such Real Estate Investment. Leverage may have important adverse consequences to these Real Estate Investments and to the Partnership and underlying funds as direct or indirect investors in these Real Estate Investments. The amount borrowed in connection with a Real Estate Investment and the interest rates on those borrowings, which may fluctuate from time to time, as well as the fees and other costs of borrowing, may have a marked effect on a leveraged Real Estate Investment's performance. Leveraged Real Estate Investments may be subject to restrictive financial and operating covenants. Leverage also may impair these Real Estate Investments' ability to finance their future operations and capital needs. As a result, these Real Estate Investments' flexibility to respond to changing business and economic conditions and to business opportunities may be limited. A leveraged Real Estate Investment's income and net assets will tend to fluctuate at a greater rate than if leverage were not used. In addition, a Real Estate Investment with a leveraged capital structure will be subject to

increased exposure to adverse economic factors such as a significant rise in interest rates, a severe downturn in the economy or deterioration in the condition of that Real Estate Investment. In the event that a Real Estate Investment is unable to generate sufficient cash flow to meet principal and interest payments on its indebtedness, the value of such Real Estate Investment could be significantly reduced or even eliminated. Similar risks will apply to the Partnership and the underlying funds to the extent they incur leverage.

(ix) *Other Risks*

- *Leasing Problems or Tenant Bankruptcies:* The DREOF Funds may acquire leasehold interests in respect of properties that are the subject of a ground lease, where third party owners hold the fee interest in those properties (each, a “Fee Owner”). In such cases, a landlord’s interest in such a property will be subordinate to the Fee Owner’s interest in that property, and such real estate investment will be subject not only to the potentially competing interest of the Fee Owner, but also to interests held by third parties, such as mortgages or other liens (e.g., mechanic’s liens) that encumber the Fee Owner’s fee interest and which may be superior and potentially adverse to the interests of the Partnership or its underlying funds.
- *Risks Associated with Control Strategies:* The exercise of control over a company may impose additional risks of liability for environmental damage, product defects, failure to supervise management, pension and other fringe benefits, violation of governmental regulations (including securities laws) or other types of related liability. If these liabilities were to arise, the DREOF Funds might suffer a significant loss in such investment.

Siguler Guff Tactical Credit Opportunities Funds (“TCOF” or “TCOF Funds”)

Investment Strategy: TCOF seeks to pursue an absolute return investment strategy seeking stable returns with a high current yield and limited market correlation. The Fund will maintain a diverse portfolio comprised primarily of shorter duration, originated private credit across specialty finance and non-traditional corporate lending with the flexibility to make opportunistic purchases of tradable credit during episodic periods of market dislocation. TCOF will primarily target privately originated and negotiated investments within the specialty finance and corporate credit markets that are less efficient and not easily accessible to traditional investors.

Risk Factors:

- *Investment Environment:* Many factors affect the appeal and availability of portfolio investments. The success of TCOF’s activities could be materially adversely affected by general economic and market conditions, such as interest rates, availability of credit, credit defaults, inflation rates, economic uncertainty, changes in laws (including laws relating to taxation of TCOF’s investments), trade barriers and currency exchange controls, and national and international political, environmental and socio-economic circumstances (including pandemics, wars, terrorist acts or security operations, and related geopolitical risks) in respect of the countries in which TCOF may invest, as well as by numerous other factors outside the control of the General Partner, the Firm or their respective affiliates.

These factors may have adverse long-term effects on U.S. and world economies and markets generally, and may also affect the level and volatility of securities prices and the liquidity of the portfolio investments and underlying fund investments, which could impair the Fund's profitability or result in losses. In addition, general fluctuations in the market prices of securities and interest rates may affect TCOF's investment opportunities and the value of the Fund's investments. Siguler Guff's financial condition may be adversely affected by a significant general economic downturn and it may be subject to legal, regulatory, reputational and other unforeseen risks that could have a material adverse effect on the Firm's business and operations and thereby could impact TCOF.

- *Risks of Investing in Trade Finance:* TCOF will directly or indirectly engage in trade finance transactions. Trade finance is an established form of commercial financing that involves providing traders, distributors, vendors, intermediaries and end users with short-term or medium-term loans or other forms of credit. Although TCOF generally expects to engage in short-term trade-financing, it may from time to time engage in select medium-term loans. Certain of TCOF's Portfolio Investments may be backed by commodities or other trade finance goods such as (but not limited to) agricultural commodities, processed food products, metals, construction materials, energy products, machinery and other non-perishable finished products, which commodities may need to undergo transit to a destination or held in warehouses, and may be located in or otherwise have exposure to global emerging markets. The price of such commodities or asset collateral may be highly volatile in terms of value or subject to illiquidity at the time of a required sale. Negligence and fraud are always significant risks in transactions involving the financing of such assets. TCOF may use methods to minimize such risks but no assurance can be given that such efforts will be successful. The profitability of the investment strategy of TCOF may depend on correct assessments of the future course of credit spreads on trade finance instruments and other investments by TCOF.
- *Credit Risk:* TCOF and the underlying funds are subject to credit risk, including but not limited to, (i) the possibility that earnings of the issuer or borrower may be insufficient to meet its debt obligations, (ii) the decline in value of certain assets of the issuer or borrower, (iii) declining creditworthiness, default and potential of insolvency of an issuer or borrower, particularly during periods of economic downturn, and (iv) other factors that contribute to the possibility of a default by the issuer or borrower in the payment of principal and/or interest on an instrument, including defaults by their underlying beneficial owners. Credit risk also includes the risk that a counterparty to a derivatives instrument (e.g., a swap counterparty) will be unwilling or unable to meet its obligations. A portfolio investment or underlying investment which enters a state of default may need to undergo workout negotiations or restructuring, and may require additional time and resources on part of TCOF or the TCOF Funds with no certainty as to the extent of its recovery in such investment.
- *Risk of Troubled Origination or Servicing:* TCOF may invest in non-performing or other troubled assets which involve a degree of financial risk and are experiencing or are expected to experience severe financial difficulties, which may never be overcome. In certain circumstances the assets may have been originated or may be serviced by financial institutions, which are insolvent, or in serious financial difficulty or are no longer in

existence. In addition, such assets may have been originated pursuant to varying underwriting guidelines, to no underwriting guidelines at all or to fraudulent or otherwise deficient origination practices. As a result, the standards by which such assets were originated, the recourse to the selling or servicing institution and/or the standards by which such assets are being serviced or operated may have been or may be affected in a way that is adverse to TCOF. There can be no assurance that TCOF will have any direct or indirect recourse against any originator or servicer.

- *Loan Participations and Assignments:* TCOF may make portfolio investments in the form of loan participations and assignments of portions of such loans. Participations and assignments involve special types of risk, including credit risk, interest-rate risk, liquidity risk, and lender risk. Participations in commercial loans may be secured or unsecured. Loan participations typically represent direct participation in a loan to a corporate borrower, or participation in synthetic loan structures through which TCOF indirectly gains exposure to loans which were made to a number of corporate borrowers, and generally are offered by banks or other financial institutions or lending syndicates. When purchasing loan participations, TCOF will not have established any direct contractual relationship with the borrower and would be required to rely on the lender or the counterparty to the participation agreement, not only for enforcement of TCOF's rights against the borrower but also for the receipt and processing of payments due to TCOF. Any assertion of rights TCOF may have against any defaulting borrowing may be subject to greater delays, expenses and risks than if TCOF could enforce its rights directly. Additionally, TCOF assumes the credit risk associated with the corporate borrower and the credit risk associated with the participant, whether such is an interposed bank or other financial intermediary. In addition, such investment transactions are at risk of invalidation as a fraudulent conveyance under relevant creditors' rights laws. The participation interests in which TCOF invests may not be rated by any nationally recognized rating service. TCOF may be exposed to other risks if it assumes a financial institution's interests with respect to the loan through an assignment. If a loan is foreclosed, TCOF could become part owner of any collateral, and would bear the costs and liabilities (including tax liabilities) associated with owning and disposing of the collateral. In addition, it is conceivable that, under emerging legal theories of lender liability, TCOF could be held liable as a co-lender. There is no assurance that loans and other forms of direct indebtedness enjoy securities laws protections against fraud and misrepresentation.
- *Uncertainties Associated With the Bankruptcy Process:* Bankruptcy proceedings generally are contested and adversarial, and unanticipated adverse developments can occur that are often beyond the control of the creditors or the debtor. While creditors generally are afforded an opportunity to object to significant actions, there can be no assurance that a bankruptcy court would not approve actions that may be contrary to the interests of TCOF. These include the risks that one or more classes of securities could be deemed to have priority over TCOF's interest, undue delays or expenses in resolving the bankruptcy, use of the cash flow by the debtor during the bankruptcy process in a way that is adverse to its creditors, and the possibility that, to facilitate emergence from bankruptcy, it might be necessary to provide some level of recovery to one or more classes of securities beyond what these classes are entitled to from a strict economic standpoint. Moreover, the duration of a bankruptcy case can only be roughly estimated. In some cases the pendency of

bankruptcy proceedings can adversely affect a company's business, particularly if customers, suppliers and employees lose confidence in the company's viability as a going concern. If a company in bankruptcy is forced to dispose of assets (particularly in a Chapter 7 liquidation proceeding), the value realized on the disposition of those assets might be less than if the assets were disposed of outside the bankruptcy context. During the bankruptcy, an automatic stay will prevent all creditors from taking action against the debtor to collect on amounts owed to such creditors. Unless a creditor's claim in such case is secured by assets having a value in excess of such claim, no interest will be permitted to accrue and, therefore, the creditor's return on investment can be adversely affected by the passage of time during which the plan of reorganization of the debtor is being negotiated, approved by the creditors and confirmed by the bankruptcy court.

Siguler Guff Small Business Credit Opportunities Funds ("SBCOF" or "SBCOF Funds")

Investment Strategy: SBCOF seeks to achieve attractive risk-adjusted returns by generating current income from debt investments and capital appreciation from equity investments. SBCOF will primarily invest in carefully selected companies in the lower middle market (i.e., privately-owned companies with between \$2–15 million of EBITDA, and between \$10–100 million of revenue). Investments will take the form of mezzanine debt as well as "unitranche" loans with a first lien on the company's assets, and second lien loans. SBCOF generally will seek to purchase equity securities alongside its investments in those companies, with an aggregate cost of generally up to 20% of SBCOF's total investment. SBCOF also may extend mezzanine financing in forms other than subordinated loans, such as convertible loans and preferred stock.

Risk Factors:

- *Risks Associated with Investing in Smaller and Lower Middle Market Companies:* SBCOF is expected to invest primarily in mezzanine, unitranche and second lien loans in privately-held companies with EBITDA between approximately \$2 million and \$15 million. Companies of this size typically have weaker financial and human resources than larger companies, have less extensive research and development, manufacturing, marketing and/or service capabilities, and may be susceptible to competition from larger and better capitalized companies. Smaller companies often depend upon the management talents and efforts of a small group of individuals, and the loss of one or more of these individuals could have a significant impact on the investment returns from a particular company. Also, smaller companies frequently have less diverse product lines and smaller market presence than larger companies, and may not have as great an ability to raise additional capital. Smaller companies may have a shorter history of operations as compared to larger companies, which could make it more difficult for SBCOF to evaluate their future performance. There is often less publicly available information about smaller companies than larger companies. They are thus generally less liquid and more vulnerable to economic downturns and may experience substantial variations in operating results.
- *Leverage:* Leverage may have important adverse consequences to SBCOF and its portfolio companies. The amount borrowed in connection with an investment and the interest rates on those borrowings, which may fluctuate from time to time, as well as the fees and other costs of borrowing, may have a marked effect on such investment's performance. The

borrower may be subject to restrictive financial and operating covenants. Leverage also may impair the borrowers' ability to finance their future operations and capital needs. As a result, their flexibility to respond to changing business and economic conditions and to business opportunities may be limited. A leveraged investment's income and net assets will tend to fluctuate at a greater rate than if leverage were not used. In addition, if an investment has a leveraged capital structure, it will be subject to increased exposure to adverse economic factors such as a significant rise in interest rates, a severe downturn in the economy or deterioration in the condition of the borrower or its industry. Furthermore, a borrower that has secured its leverage through the pledging of collateral may, if such borrower is unable to generate sufficient cash flow to meet principal and interest payments on its indebtedness, be subject to risk that a lender seizes its assets through margin calls or otherwise.

- *Credit Risk:* SBCOF is subject to credit risk, i.e., the risk that an issuer or borrower will default in the payment of principal and/or interest on an instrument. Credit risk also includes the risk that a counterparty to a derivatives instrument (e.g., a swap counterparty) will be unwilling or unable to meet its obligations. Financial strength and solvency of an issuer or borrower are the primary factors influencing credit risk. Degree of subordination, lack or inadequacy of collateral or credit enhancement for a debt instrument may affect SBCOF's credit risk. There are no restrictions on the credit quality of the portfolio investments. Securities in which SBCOF may invest generally will not have been rated by any rating agency, with the result that Siguler Guff must rely on its own evaluation of the financial strength of the borrower, without the benefit of rating agency research.
- *Subordination of Investments:* Portfolio investments may be in subordinated loans, structurally subordinated loans, mezzanine loans and other structured investments and preferred equity interests or equity interests of an issuer. These portfolio investments will be subordinated to the senior obligations of the property or issuer, either contractually, structurally or inherently due to the nature of the securities. Greater credit risks are usually attached to these subordinated investments than to investments in senior obligations. In addition, these securities may not be protected by financial or other covenants, such as limitations upon additional indebtedness, typically protecting the senior debt, and may have limited liquidity. Adverse changes in the borrower's financial condition and/or in general economic conditions may impair the ability of the borrower to make payments on the subordinated securities and cause it to default more quickly with respect to such securities than with respect to the borrower's senior obligations. Holders of subordinated securities are generally not entitled to receive any payments in bankruptcy or liquidation until senior creditors are paid in full. In addition, in many cases, SBCOF's management of its investment and its remedies with respect thereto, including the ability to foreclose on any collateral securing such investment will be limited by restrictions benefiting more senior lenders and contractual intercreditor provisions.
- *Interest Rate Risk:* "Interest rate risk" refers to the risks associated with market changes in interest rates. To the extent SBCOF invests primarily in longer term debt obligations, it will be impacted to a greater degree by changes in market interest rates than if it invested primarily in short term debt securities. Even in the case of debt investments that are guaranteed or insured in whole or in part, such guarantees and insurance do not protect SBCOF from declines in market value caused by changes in interest rates. However, to the

extent that SBCOF holds a loan to maturity (as will generally be the case), interim changes in the value of the loan due to interest rate fluctuations will not affect SBCOF's ultimate return on the investment, provided interest and principal are paid in a timely fashion. This "reinvestment risk" can be exacerbated to the extent borrowers can prepay their loans without significant penalties, particularly because such prepayments tend to increase as interest rates decline. Although variable or floating rate investments allow SBCOF to participate in increases in interest rates through upward adjustments of the coupon rates on such investments, during periods of increasing interest rates, changes in the coupon rates may lag behind the change in market rates or may have limits on the maximum increase in coupon rates. Alternatively, during periods of declining interest rates, the coupon rates on such investments re-adjust downward, resulting in a lower yield. Further, while increases in interest rates are generally beneficial with respect to instruments owned by SBCOF, such increases may also be detrimental to the investment itself and therefore to SBCOF, depending on the investments owned, particularly if such investment is subordinated to more senior loans. Interest rate sensitivity is generally more pronounced and less predictable in instruments with uncertain payment or prepayment schedules. Declines in market value, if not offset by any corresponding gains on hedging instruments, if any, may ultimately reduce earnings or result in losses to SBCOF.

- *Bridge Financings:* SBCOF may provide interim debt or interim equity financing to an existing or prospective portfolio company in anticipation of permanent financing. These bridge loans will typically be convertible into a term loan or permanent equity investment in the portfolio company; however, for reasons not always in Siguler Guff's control, the anticipated long term securities issuance or other refinancing or syndication may not occur and the bridge loan may remain outstanding. In such event, the interest rate on such bridge loan may not adequately reflect the risk associated with the unsecured position taken by SBCOF.
- *Payment-In-Kind Securities:* Payment-in-kind securities ("PIKs") are debt obligations that pay "interest" in the form of other debt obligations, instead of in cash. Because PIKs allow an issuer to avoid or delay the need to generate cash to meet current interest payments, such securities may involve greater credit risk than bonds that pay interest currently or in cash.
- *Warrants and Rights:* SBCOF may obtain and hold warrants to purchase equity securities. Warrants do not carry with them the right to dividends or voting rights with respect to the securities that they entitle their holder to purchase, and they do not represent any rights in the assets of the issuer. As a result, warrants may be considered more speculative than certain other types of investments. In addition, the market value of a warrant does not necessarily change with the market value of the underlying securities, and a warrant ceases to have market value if it is not exercised prior to its expiration date. Furthermore, the terms of warrants or rights may limit SBCOF's ability to exercise the warrants or rights at such time, or in such quantities, as SBCOF would otherwise desire.
- *Additional Risks Associated with Portfolio Investments:* The proceeds from the issuance of SBCOF's interests are intended to be invested directly or indirectly through subsidiaries in portfolio investments. In some cases SBCOF will make investments as part of an investment group with one or more other investment funds (or with their sponsors), while

in others SBCOF may independently invest in a financing opportunity (alongside another investment fund, as part of a joint venture or alone). When SBCOF invests as part of an investment group alongside another investment fund or a joint venture partner, it will typically be required to rely on the sponsor of the other investment fund or its joint venture partner to make decisions regarding the investment and, accordingly, the value of the portfolio investment could be adversely affected if the interests of SBCOF diverge from those of the sponsor, its joint venture partner or the investment group. When SBCOF invests independently, it may not have the same level of governance rights and other protections it would enjoy as part of a larger group. Furthermore, with respect to independently-sourced portfolio investments, Siguler Guff may have to rely solely on its own research and analysis.

Siguler Guff Trade Finance Opportunities Fund (“TFOF”)

Investment Strategy: TFOF seeks to structure and enter into separate accounts or joint ventures with operating partners to (i) jointly negotiate investments primarily in one or more trade finance transactions or related transactions and (ii) to own, hold, sell or otherwise dispose of such investments.

Trade finance is a generic term used to describe financing provided by commercial banks to enable international trade. Issuing banks most commonly provide short-duration letters of credit, often backed by in-shipment collateral, which guarantee payment by the receiving party to the shipping party. By inserting themselves as creditworthy intermediaries to remove cross-country counterparty risk, financial institutions facilitate global trade. Given favorable term, collateral and currency characteristics, trade finance assets generally have low credit risk. In the rush to respond to the global financial crisis with tighter regulation, regulators have imposed a relatively high capital charge on the asset class relative to its historical risk profile. Siguler Guff believes that regulation has created a disconnect between the deemed regulatory risk and the actual risk of the underlying collateral. This mispricing creates opportunity in the near - to medium-term for TFOF to provide regulatory capital relief to financial institutions.

TFOF aims to invest in trade finance regulatory capital deals by forming a series of partnerships and/or joint ventures to fund one or more transactions. Each distinct partnership will invest in bespoke and/or syndicated trade finance regulatory capital transactions. To execute each transaction, TFOF will partner with one or more operating partners.

Risk Factors:

- *Risks of Investing in Trade Finance:* Certain of TFOF’s portfolio investments may be backed by commodities or other trade finance goods in transit or held in warehouses or physical assets such as factories or land, including assets which may be located in or have exposure to global emerging markets. The price of such commodities or asset collateral may be highly volatile in terms of value or subject to illiquidity at the time of a required sale. Negligence and fraud are always significant risks in transactions involving the financing of such assets. TFOF may use methods to minimize such risks but no assurance can be given that such efforts will be successful. The profitability of the investment strategy may depend on correct assessments of the future course of credit spreads on trade finance

instruments and other investments. There can be no assurance that TFOF will be able to accurately predict such price movements.

- *Credit Risk:* TFOF is subject to credit risk, i.e., the risk that an issuer or borrower will default in the payment of principal and/or interest on an instrument. Trade finance related investments are subject to the credit risk of the originally transacting parties to the regulatory capital relief and other trade finance transactions. Financial strength and solvency of a party are the primary factors influencing credit risk. Degree of subordination, lack or inadequacy of collateral or credit enhancement for a trade finance related investment or transaction may affect TFOF's credit risk. There are no restrictions on the credit quality of portfolio investments. Investments in which TFOF may invest may have substantial vulnerability to default in payment of interest and/or principal, or may involve parties which are in default or in bankruptcy proceedings. Below-investment-grade (or unrated) investments will often be subordinated to other more "senior" securities of the same issuer or series. The default related risks of the underlying assets will be severely magnified in subordinated portfolio investments. Accordingly, they may experience significant price and performance volatility with respect to a variety of market and non-market factors. In general, credit risk is broadly gauged by credit ratings. Most of the portfolio investments are expected to be unrated, and it can therefore be difficult to gauge their credit risk. Trade finance investments are not listed on any stock exchange or securities market, and the established or recognized market (if any) for the investments may be relatively small and/or poorly developed and prices are unlikely to be published or readily available from an independent pricing source. Consequently, TFOF will be more dependent upon the judgment of the Investment Manager as to the credit quality of such investments. Therefore, the Investment Manager's capabilities in analyzing credit quality and associated risks will be particularly important, and there can be no assurance that the Investment Manager will be successful in this regard. With respect to investments that are rated, ratings are only the opinions of the agencies issuing them, may change less quickly than relevant circumstances, are not absolute guarantees of the quality of the investments and are subject to downgrade. Credit ratings and rating agencies have occasionally been criticized for ratings which did not fully reflect the risks of certain securities or which did not reflect such risks in a timely manner.
- *Counterparty Risk:* TFOF will be subject to credit risk with respect to the counterparties to the trade finance transactions, derivative contracts and other instruments entered into directly or indirectly by it. The Partnership will also be subject to the risk that an originating bank or another counterparty to a trade may become unwilling or unable to meet its obligations. If a counterparty becomes bankrupt or otherwise fails to perform its obligations under a trade finance transaction or derivative contract due to financial difficulties, TFOF may experience significant delays in obtaining any recovery under such trade finance transaction or derivative contract in a bankruptcy or other reorganization proceeding. TFOF may obtain only a limited recovery or may obtain no recovery in such circumstances. In situations where TFOF is required to post margin or other collateral with a counterparty, the counterparty may fail to segregate the collateral or may commingle the collateral with the counterparty's own assets. As a result, in the event of the counterparty's bankruptcy or insolvency, TFOF's collateral may be subject to the conflicting claims of the counterparty's creditors and TFOF may be exposed to the risk of a court treating it as a general unsecured

creditor of the counterparty, rather than as the owner of the collateral. TFOF's concentrated exposure to one or a small number of counterparties magnifies these risks.

- *Abundance of Capital:* Increased demand for trade finance investments could increase prices, reduce the availability of attractive investment opportunities and generally make investing in the sector less attractive. TFOF may not be able to obtain as favorable terms as it would otherwise in a less competitive environment.
- *Risks Associated with Bank Finance Products:* TFOF is expected to invest in bank finance products that are specifically linked to underlying trade finance transactions. Bank finance products may not be readily marketable and may be subject to restrictions on resale. Consequently, some investments may be difficult or impossible to dispose of readily at a fair price. In addition, bank finance products are often less liquid than other types of debt securities, particularly in times of significant market dislocation. If TFOF or an originating bank does not receive scheduled interest or principal payments, the value of TFOF's investments could be adversely affected. Additionally, if any obligor under an underlying trade finance transaction defaults on its obligations thereunder, TFOF may be required to reimburse the originating bank for a portion of the losses. To the extent that funds to meet these obligations are not held in escrow, TFOF may be required to call capital commitments, recall distributions or liquidate some or all of its investments prematurely at potentially significant discounts to market value. TFOF may invest in secured and unsecured bank finance products. There is no assurance that the liquidation of any collateral from a secured bank finance product would satisfy the borrower's obligation, or that such collateral could be liquidated. In the event of the bankruptcy of a borrower, TFOF or an originating bank could experience delays or limitations in its ability to realize the benefits of any collateral securing a bank finance product which could adversely affect TFOF's returns.
- *Risks of Investing in the Trade Industry:* TFOF may be subject to the risks posed by the trade industry in general, including: the burdens of local, national and international economic and political conditions; developments in international trade and changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns; changes in interest rates; laws and regulations and fiscal and monetary policies; changes in energy and commodities prices; exposure to emerging markets and politically unstable regions and countries; embargoes and strikes; port and canal closures; cargo and property losses or damage; maritime disasters including collisions, groundings or capsizings; natural disasters, weather patterns, storms, and climate changes; the risk of an explosion, fire or flooding; political unrest or the interference of government agencies or political bodies, armed conflicts and war; acts of piracy; terrorist events; and other factors which are beyond the reasonable control of TFOF. The nature, timing and degree of changes in trade industry conditions are unpredictable and may be subject to long-term cyclical trends that give rise to significant volatility. In addition, because of the international nature of the trade industry, the governing law or laws with respect to the interpretation of contracts and the enforcement of remedies may be uncertain or conflicting, making it difficult for an investor to enforce its rights.

Siguler Guff Global Emerging Markets Co-Investment Fund / Global Emerging Markets Growth Opportunities Fund ("GEMCo" and "GEMGo," together the "Funds")

Investment Strategy: GEMCo and GEMGo seek to assemble a diversified portfolio of high-growth companies in the emerging market. A proportion of GEMCo's and GEMGo's investments may be in companies headquartered in the U.S. but utilizing talents and expertise in emerging market economies. The Fund's geographical focus will be heavily Asia weighted, primarily in China, India and Southeast Asia, but may opportunistically capture opportunities in Central and Eastern Europe, the MENA (Middle East & North Africa) region, and Sub-Saharan Africa.

The Fund team believes investments within the emerging markets present a particularly attractive investment opportunity. The Funds seek capital commitments for the creation of portfolios of market-leading companies that (i) are based in emerging markets and serve their domestic markets, (ii) utilize talents and expertise in emerging markets but operate globally, and/or (iii) generate significant growth by expanding into emerging markets.

Risk Factors:

- *Investing in International Emerging Market Economies – In General:* The Fund's investments will be comprised primarily of securities of companies located in or doing business in international emerging market countries which, by their nature, entail greater risks than investing in developed markets. Investing in securities of companies operating in international emerging market economies may present market, credit, currency, liquidity, legal, political, technical and other risks different from, or greater than, the risks of investing in developed markets. In addition, the Fund's are permitted to invest up to a certain percentage of Capital Commitments in countries with limited or no business nexus to emerging market countries. The Funds will seek to mitigate these risks through diversification, but the level of analytical sophistication, both financial and legal, necessary for successful investment is unusually high. Therefore, it must be anticipated that GEMCo will incur losses on some of its direct investments.
- *Political and Related Risks:* While international emerging market economies have achieved relatively stable political, economic and social structures, it is possible that conditions could change materially during the lifetime of the fund. Investments in these countries may be more subject than those in developed markets to the risks of internal and external conflicts, currency devaluations, foreign ownership limitations and tax increases. Also, nationalization, expropriation or confiscatory taxation, currency blockage and repatriation restrictions, market disruption, political changes, security suspensions or diplomatic developments could adversely affect investments in international emerging market countries. In the event of a nationalization, expropriation or other confiscation, the fund could lose its entire investment in the securities in such an international emerging market country. Diplomatic and political developments, including rapid and adverse political changes, social instability, regional conflicts, terrorism and war, could affect the economies, industries and securities and currency markets, and the value of investments, in international emerging market countries. International emerging market economies might be less resilient in recovering from a natural disaster or other major adverse event than is the case for more developed economies. These factors are extremely difficult, if not impossible, to predict and could have an adverse effect on the fund's investments.

- *Inflation:* Some international emerging market countries have historically experienced substantial rates of inflation. Inflation and rapid fluctuation in inflation rates have had, and may continue to have, negative effects on the economies and securities markets of certain emerging economies. In an attempt to stabilize inflation, certain international emerging market countries have imposed wage and price controls at times. Past governmental efforts to curb inflation have also involved more drastic economic measures that have had a materially adverse effect on the level of economic activity in the countries where such measures were employed. There can be no assurance that inflation will not become a serious problem in the future and thus have an adverse impact on the fund.
- *Weak Financial Systems:* The banking systems and other financial infrastructures, such as insurance and securities trading markets, in international emerging markets are generally thought to be considerably less robust than those in developed countries. Thus, these economies might be especially vulnerable to financial crises when credit and other capital investments become difficult or impossible to obtain. A number of international emerging market countries, such as Russia and Brazil, have experienced historical episodes of default on their sovereign debt. Defaults, or even the potential for default, can create substantial risk in the capital markets. These instabilities can directly affect the value of the securities held in fund, and create severe financial and operating challenges for the issuers of those securities.

International emerging markets tend to be characterized by a higher level of government control over, and intervention in, the economy and particular industries than in developed markets. For example, in international emerging market economies, major participants in basic industries such as telecommunications, energy and transportation are still partially or fully owned or controlled by the government, and governments may seek to control currency exchange rates or interest rates by direct regulation. These government actions might inhibit normal market adjustments and create volatility over the long term.

On a more microeconomic level, the private economic sector in international emerging market economies is still developing and the establishment of competitive markets to supply raw materials, goods and equipment, as well as the sale of goods produced, can be difficult. Companies in many cases lack necessary technology, management expertise and capital, and significant retraining of the labor force in some cases is necessary. Nominal tax burdens are often high, and government officials have significant discretion to create new forms of taxation or to apply existing tax laws in an arbitrary and/or confiscatory fashion.

- *Volatile Financial Markets:* The stock exchanges and other securities trading markets of international emerging market countries are typically more volatile than those of more developed countries. As a result, public securities holdings of the Funds (some of which might be subject to “lockups” or other restrictions on transfer) might be exposed to significant market risk before they can be realized. Furthermore, events occurring in a developed market may disproportionately impact international emerging markets, even though such event only mildly affected developed markets.
- *Investments in Early Stage Technology Companies:* The Funds may invest in private, early stage technology companies. These companies typically have no revenues and are not

profitable. They often require considerable additional capital to develop technologies and markets, acquire customers and achieve or maintain a competitive position. This capital may not be available at all, or on acceptable terms. The fund's capital is limited and may not be adequate to protect the fund from dilution in multiple rounds of financing. Further, the technologies and markets of such companies may not develop as anticipated, even after substantial expenditures of capital. Such companies may face intense competition, including competition from established companies with much greater financial and technical resources, more extensive development, manufacturing, marketing and service capabilities, and a greater number of qualified managerial and technical personnel. In addition, at the time of the fund's investment, a portfolio company may lack one or more key attributes (e.g., proven technology, appropriate patent protection, marketable product, complete management team or strategic alliance) necessary for success.

- *Investments in New Technologies:* Portfolio companies may invest in relatively new technologies. While investments in newly developing technologies offer the opportunity for capital appreciation, such investments also involve a higher degree of risk than more developed technologies. For example, portfolio companies working in newly developing technologies may have greater difficulty establishing product sales and marketing capabilities, identifying markets and obtaining sufficient market acceptance. Developing technologies are also more likely to have undeveloped regulatory frameworks and therefore involve greater risk that regulatory developments may adversely affect the industry.

Siguler Guff Energy Opportunities Fund ("SGEOF")

Investment Strategy: SGEOF seeks to invest in non-operated upstream oil and gas working interest investments across multiple U.S. and Canadian basins through a joint venture (the "Joint Venture") with Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. ("HESI"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Halliburton Company. The Joint Venture will target working interest drilling programs with operators sourced by Halliburton and Siguler Guff & Company, LP and its affiliates ("Siguler Guff"). Halliburton has extensive expertise and experience to efficiently exploit unconventional reserves, and has the industry knowledge and reputation to attract best-in-class oil and gas exploration and production companies to partner with the Joint Venture.

Risk Factors:

- *Production:* Exploration and production companies are particularly vulnerable to declines in the demand for and prices of crude oil and natural gas. Reductions in prices for crude oil and natural gas can cause continued production from a given reservoir to cease being economical earlier than it would if prices were higher, resulting in the plugging and abandonment of, and cessation of production from, that reservoir. In addition, lower commodity prices not only reduce revenues but also can result in substantial downward adjustments in reserve estimates. Actual oil and gas prices, development expenditures and operating expenses will vary from those assumed in reserve estimates, and these variances may be significant. Any significant variance from the assumptions used could result in the actual quantity of reserves and future net cash flow being materially different from those estimated in reserve reports. In addition, results from drilling, testing and production and changes in prices after the date of reserve estimates may result in downward revisions to such reserve estimates.

Substantial downward adjustments in reserve estimates could have a material adverse effect on a given exploration and production company's financial position and results of operations and could result in acceleration of result-based loans or defaults thereunder. Actual amounts produced from such reserves may similarly vary. In addition, due to natural declines in reserves and production, exploration and production companies must economically find or acquire and develop additional reserves in order to maintain and grow their revenues and distributions.

- *Commodity Price Volatility:* The value of SGEOF's investments in oil and gas properties or working interests therein will be substantially dependent upon the market price for oil, natural gas and other hydrocarbons. Historically, the markets for hydrocarbons have been volatile and such volatility is likely to continue in the future. Various factors beyond the control of SGEOF, the Joint Venture, the General Partner, the Investment Manager or Halliburton will affect hydrocarbon prices.
- *Risks Associated With a Lack of Control:* SGEOF generally expects to rely to a significant extent on Halliburton and the oil and gas operator of the Joint Venture portfolio investment with respect to the day-to-day operation of such portfolio investments, and will generally have consent rights only with respect to major decisions. As a result, SGEOF's ability to protect its position in such asset will be limited. The success of SGEOF depends upon the ability of Siguler Guff and Halliburton to develop and implement investment strategies that achieve the Joint Venture's objectives. Subjective decisions made by Siguler Guff and/or Halliburton may cause the Joint Venture to incur losses or to miss profit opportunities on which it would otherwise have capitalized. Furthermore, the Joint Venture's engagement of Halliburton may result in higher expenses for SGEOF than would otherwise be the case if SGEOF was investing through the Joint Venture or was investing in a less highly specialized asset class.
- *General Risks Related to the Energy Industry:* Investments in the energy industry are subject to a variety of risks, not all of which can be foreseen or quantified. For example, the success of many of SGEOF's investments is likely to be affected by factors such as the following: (i) amount, nature, and timing of property acquisitions or capital expenditures; (ii) the market for oil and gas acreage or properties or working interests therein; (iii) drilling of wells and other planned exploitation activities; (iv) timing and amount of future production of oil or gas; (v) quantities of discovered or probable, potential or proved reserves of oil or gas; (vi) marketing of and market prices of oil, gas or oil or gas properties or working interests therein generally or in any particular location; (vii) operating costs including lease operating expenses, administrative costs and other expenses; (viii) SGEOF's or the Joint Venture's future operating or financial results; (ix) cash flow and anticipated liquidity; (x) the timing, success and cost of exploration and exploitation activities; (xi) governmental and environmental regulation of the oil and gas industry; (xii) environmental liabilities relating to potential pollution arising from portfolio company operations or the operations of acquirers of acreage positions we may purchase; (xiii) industry competition, conditions, performance and consolidation; (xiv) the availability of drilling rigs and other oilfield equipment and services; and (xv) natural events.

- *Drilling, Exploration and Development:* SGEOF intends to invest (through the Joint Venture) in companies or projects that engage in oil and gas exploration and development; a speculative business involving a high degree of risk. Exploration and development companies usually have limited production, marketing, and financial resources and are, therefore, more vulnerable to the adverse impact of competition and changes in market conditions. Moreover, oil and gas drilling may involve unprofitable and unsuccessful efforts. Companies engaged in oil and gas exploration and development may expend a significant amount of capital drilling in wells that do not produce oil or gas, or in wells that are productive but do not produce sufficient net revenues to return a profit after drilling, operating and other costs.
- *Energy Regulatory Risk; Environmental Matters:* Investments in the energy sector may entail risks associated with more mature businesses and heavily regulated industries. The energy and natural resources industries are subject to comprehensive U.S. federal, state local laws and regulations as well as non-U.S. laws and regulations. Present and future statutes, rules and regulations could cause additional expenditures, decreased revenues, restrictions and delays that could materially and adversely affect the Joint Venture's investments and the prospects of the Joint Venture.
- *Environmental Liabilities:* The Joint Venture and SGEOF could face substantial risk of loss from environmental claims arising from investments made with undisclosed or unknown environmental problems or inadequate reserves or insurance for previously identified matters, as well as from occupational safety issues and concerns. Under certain circumstances, U.S. courts have held that a parent company is responsible for the environmental clean-up obligations of its subsidiary imposed by applicable laws. In the event that the Joint Venture or SGEOF is deemed to be the parent of a portfolio investment with such obligations, a U.S. court or a court of any other applicable jurisdiction might find that the Joint Venture or SGEOF is liable for such obligations. Environmental claims with respect to a specific investment may exceed the value of such investment.
- *New Technology Risk:* Historically, technology changes in the energy sector have resulted in gradual incremental improvements with no disruptive technology impacts. However, there are currently a number of scientific research institutions (including those supported by major venture capital firms and corporations) seeking to develop technologies designed to reduce dependence upon large-scale fossil fuel generation. In the event that any such technology is successfully developed and implemented, SGEOF's and the Joint Venture's investments may be adversely affected.
- *Halliburton Influence Over Investments:* Pursuant to its limited partnership agreement, SGEOF will make its portfolio investments through the Joint Venture. The Joint Venture may be terminated under certain circumstances as more fully described in the governing agreement of the Joint Venture. In the event the Joint Venture is terminated, SGEOF may have to find a new co-venturer, and there can be no assurance that another co-venturer with similar expertise could be found on favorable terms, or at all. Halliburton is expected to have the primary responsibility for sourcing investment opportunities for the Joint Venture. However, certain decisions of the Joint Venture, including the decision to make a new investment, will require the approval of each of

Siguler Guff and Halliburton. Following the consummation of an investment by the Joint Venture, generally a representative of Siguler Guff will be part of an ongoing committee along with representatives of Halliburton and the operator. SGEOF generally will not, however, perform on-site, day-to-day management of portfolio investments, which will be within the purview of Halliburton or a separate oil and gas operator.