

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

March 21, 2024

Elizabeth A. Ising Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Re: The Home Depot, Inc. (the "Company")

Incoming letter dated January 12, 2024

Dear Elizabeth A. Ising:

This letter is in response to your correspondence concerning the shareholder proposal (the "Proposal") submitted to the Company by Jessica Wrobel for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials for its upcoming annual meeting of security holders.

The Proposal asks the board to oversee the preparation of a Living Wage Report, including the number of workers paid less than a living wage broken down into specified categories, by how much the aggregate compensation paid to workers in each category falls short of the aggregate amount they would be paid if they received a living wage, and the living wage benchmark or methodology used for such disclosures.

There appears to be some basis for your view that the Company may exclude the Proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(7). In our view, the Proposal seeks to micromanage the Company. Accordingly, we will not recommend enforcement action to the Commission if the Company omits the Proposal from its proxy materials in reliance on Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

Copies of all of the correspondence on which this response is based will be made available on our website at https://www.sec.gov/corpfin/2023-2024-shareholder-proposals-no-action.

Sincerely,

Rule 14a-8 Review Team

cc: Marcela Pinilla

Zevin Asset Management

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5306 Tel 202.955.8500 www.gibsondunn.com

Elizabeth A. Ising Direct: +1 202.955.8287 Fax: +1 202.530.9631 Eising@gibsondunn.com

January 12, 2024

VIA ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549

Re: The Home Depot, Inc.

Shareholder Proposal of Jessica Wrobel Securities Exchange Act of 1934—Rule 14a-8

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is to inform you that our client, The Home Depot, Inc. (the "Company"), intends to omit from its proxy statement and form of proxy for its 2024 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (collectively, the "2024 Proxy Materials") a shareholder proposal (the "Proposal") and statements in support thereof (the "Supporting Statement") submitted by Zevin Asset Management on behalf of Jessica Wrobel (the "Proponent").

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(j), we have:

- filed this letter with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") no later than eighty (80) calendar days before the Company intends to file its definitive 2024 Proxy Materials with the Commission; and
- concurrently sent a copy of this correspondence to the Proponent.

Rule 14a-8(k) and Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14D (Nov. 7, 2008) ("SLB 14D") provide that shareholder proponents are required to send companies a copy of any correspondence that the proponent elects to submit to the Commission or the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance (the "Staff"). Accordingly, we are taking this opportunity to inform the Proponent that if the Proponent elects to submit additional correspondence to the Commission or the Staff with respect to the Proposal, a copy of that correspondence should be furnished concurrently to the undersigned on behalf of the Company pursuant to Rule 14a-8(k) and SLB 14D.

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 2

THE PROPOSAL

The Proposal, which is captioned "Living Wage Report," states:

Resolved

Shareholders request The Home Depot Corporation (the "Company") Board of Directors to oversee the preparation of a living wage report to provide investors with information needed to assess the extent to which the Company is complying with international human rights standards and assessing systemic risks stemming from growing income inequality. The Report should be updated and published annually and include:

- Number of Home Depot workers paid less than a living wage, broken down by full-time employees, part-time employees, and contingent workers;
- By how much aggregate compensation paid to workers in each category falls short of the aggregate amount they would be paid if they received a living wage; and
- The living wage benchmark/methodology used for these disclosures.

A copy of the Proposal and the Supporting Statement, as well as correspondence with the Proponent directly relevant to this no-action request, is attached to this letter as <u>Exhibit A</u>.

BASES FOR EXCLUSION

We hereby respectfully request that the Staff concur in our view that the Proposal may be excluded from the 2024 Proxy Materials pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(7) because (1) the Proposal relates to the Company's ordinary business operations, and (2) the Proposal seeks to micromanage the Company's operations.

BACKGROUND

The Company is committed to offering competitive pay and recognizes that the most important investment it can make is in its people. The Company's lowest starting pay range in the U.S. is currently \$15 per hour, more than double the federal minimum wage. In addition to a competitive wage, the Company maintains a profit-sharing program for

See 2023 Environmental, Social and Governance Report (the "2023 ESG Report"), available at https://corporate.homedepot.com/sites/default/files/202401/2023%20Home%20Depot%20ESG%20Report vF.6.pdf.

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 3

non-management associates called Success Sharing. For fiscal 2022, 100% of the Company's stores qualified for participation in Success Sharing, and the Company paid approximately \$409 million in profit-sharing payments to non-management associates.² Over the past four years, the Company has paid non-management associates nearly \$2 billion in Success Sharing payments.³

In addition to competitive pay, the Company provides numerous benefits to its employees. In the U.S., these benefits include comprehensive medical benefits, paid sick leave, paid vacation, a 401(k) plan with a Company match, an employee stock purchase plan, up to twelve weeks of paid pregnancy/parental leave, resources for personal financial education, access to personal financial advisors, access to disability, life, home, auto, and pet health insurance, and a free employee assistance program benefit offering mental health counseling to associates and their household members.⁴

Associates have access to educational assistance programs that provide academic and financial guidance, tuition discounts at more than 200 educational institutions nationwide, scholarships for dependents of hourly associates, and college admissions coaching.⁵ The Company also maintains learning and development programs to help associates expand their skillsets and prepare for future roles. In addition, the Company's associates have access to an employee assistance grant program that helps associates facing unanticipated financial emergencies or recovering from natural disasters.

The Company's Responsible Sourcing Standards⁶ require the compensation paid by suppliers to their workers to meet or exceed applicable laws (including minimum wages and allowances, overtime pay, production rates, and other elements of compensation and benefits).

Decisions related to these compensation and benefits programs for the Company's general workforce are complex, particularly in the context of the Company's approximately 470,000 associates around the globe, plus its so-called contingent workers.

² See 2023 ESG Report,

See id.

⁴ See id.

⁵ See id

Available at https://corporate.homedepot.com/sites/default/files/2022-08/THD_0096_2022Responsible Sourcing-Standards English.pdf.

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 4

ANALYSIS

The Proposal May Be Excluded Under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) Because The Proposal Relates To The Company's Ordinary Business Operations

A. Background

Rule 14a-8(i)(7) permits a company to omit from its proxy materials a shareholder proposal that relates to the company's ordinary business operations. According to the Commission's release accompanying the 1998 amendments to Rule 14a-8, the term "ordinary business" "refers to matters that are not necessarily 'ordinary' in the common meaning of the word," but instead the term "is rooted in the corporate law concept providing management with flexibility in directing certain core matters involving the company's business and operations." Exchange Act Release No. 40018 (May 21, 1998) (the "1998 Release"). In the 1998 Release, the Commission stated that the underlying policy of the ordinary business exclusion is "to confine the resolution of ordinary business problems to management and the board of directors, since it is impracticable for shareholders to decide how to solve such problems at an annual shareholders meeting," and identified two central considerations that underlie this policy. *Id.* The first of those considerations is that "[c]ertain tasks are so fundamental to management's ability to run a company on a day-to-day basis that they could not, as a practical matter, be subject to direct shareholder oversight." Id. The Commission stated that examples of tasks that implicate the ordinary business standard include "the management of the workforce, such as the hiring, promotion, and termination of employees, decisions on production quality and quantity, and the retention of suppliers." Id.

The second consideration concerns "the degree to which the proposal seeks to 'micromanage' the company by probing too deeply into matters of a complex nature upon which shareholders, as a group, would not be in a position to make an informed judgment." *Id.*, *citing* Exchange Act Release No. 12999 (Nov. 22, 1976) (the "1976 Release").

Moreover, a shareholder proposal being framed in the form of a request for a report does not change the nature of the proposal. The Commission has stated that a proposal requesting the dissemination of a report may be excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) if the subject matter of the proposed report is within the ordinary business of the issuer. *See* Exchange Act Release No. 20091 (Aug. 16, 1983); *Johnson Controls, Inc.* (avail. Oct. 26, 1999) ("[w]here the subject matter of the additional disclosure sought in a particular proposal involves a matter of ordinary business . . . it may be excluded under [R]ule 14a-8(i)(7).").

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 5

B. The Proposal Is Excludable Because It Relates To General Employee Compensation

The Proposal requests an annual report detailing a specified format for information on pay levels of Company workers, including workers who are employed by and hired through staffing or vendor contracts. Other than a few generalized references to political and social implications of income inequality and human rights, the Proposal and the Supporting Statement concern the level of the Company's pay for associates (employees) and so-called contingent workers. The Supporting Statement seeks to connect the discussion of the Company's associate pay levels to concerns about income inequality by suggesting that, because the Company does not disclose any gaps between prevailing and living wages across its workforce, "[s]hareholders are unable to assess the Company's contribution to systemic risks created by income inequality."

The Proposal is excludable pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(7) because it directly relates to the Company's general employee pay practices, a core component of the Company's ordinary business. In analyzing shareholder proposals relating to compensation, the Staff has distinguished between proposals that relate to general employee compensation and proposals that address only executive officer and director compensation, indicating that the former implicate a company's ordinary business operations and thus are excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7). See Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14A (July 12, 2002) ("SLB 14A") (indicating that "[s]ince 1992, [the Staff has] applied a bright-line analysis to proposals concerning equity or cash compensation" under which companies "may exclude proposals that relate to general employee compensation matters in reliance on [R]ule 14a-8(i)(7)" but "may [not] exclude proposals that concern only senior executive and director compensation"); Xerox Corp. (avail. Mar. 25, 1993).

Consistent with the approach articulated in SLB 14A, the Staff has consistently concurred with the exclusion of shareholder proposals under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) when the proposals relate to employee compensation matters. For example, in *Dollar Tree, Inc.* (avail. May 2, 2022), the Staff concurred with the exclusion of a proposal as relating to ordinary business matters where it requested a report explaining how the company's business strategy and incentives "will enable competitive employment standards, including wages [and] benefits" and to "include particular attention to [the company's] lowest paid employees." As with the Supporting Statement, the supporting statement in *Dollar Tree* raised general socio-economic concerns, noting that "employment conditions, including low wages and benefits, are key factors driving the low [workforce] participation rates" that prevailed following the COVID-19 pandemic, and that "[l]abor shortages are influencing a dynamic policy situation as the federal government, states and localities all reassess their minimum wage regulations." Similarly, in *Amazon.com, Inc.* (*McRitchie*) (avail. Apr. 8, 2022) ("*Amazon 2022*"), the proposal requested an annual report assessing the distribution of

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 6

stock-based incentives throughout the company's worldwide workforce, including a table showing stock ownership "granted and utilized" by company employees in the United States. The first line of the supporting statement in that proposal, echoing the opening statement of the Supporting Statement here, argued in support of the proposal by stating that "[w]ealth inequality in the United States has increased dramatically, is widely recognized as a significant social policy issue, and brings many problems, such as political polarization." The company argued that the proposal related to one aspect of nonexecutive employee compensation and did not focus on significant social policy issues. The Staff agreed that the proposal therefore related to the company's ordinary business operations and concurred with the exclusion of the proposal. See also Repligen Corp. (avail. Apr. 1, 2022) (same). In *JPMorgan Chase & Co. (Ott)* (avail. Mar. 25, 2022), recon. denied on procedural grounds (avail. Apr. 19, 2022), the Staff concurred that the company could exclude under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) a proposal requesting an annual report of pay and total estimated compensation for each employee role, broken down by location, for the prior year giving the mean, median, and pay band (high/low) for the role, both weighted and unweighted for cost of living adjustments. The company argued that the proposal related to general compensation considerations, even though the proposal's supporting statement argued that transparency around such compensation information would enhance shareholder profits, empower employees, control reputational narrative, and reduce gender and ethnic wage gaps.

Other examples illustrating the Staff's consistent and historic approach to proposals addressing non-executive employee compensation include Amazon.com, Inc. (avail. Mar. 1, 2017), CVS Health Corp. (avail. Mar. 1, 2017), and The TJX Companies, Inc. (avail. Mar. 1, 2017), where the Staff concurred with the exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) of proposals requesting the companies to adopt and publish principles for minimum wage reform, on the basis that each "proposal relates to general compensation matters, and does not otherwise transcend day-to-day business matters." See also McDonald's Corp. (avail. Mar. 18, 2015) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting an increased minimum wage of \$11.00 per hour, on the basis that the proposal "relates to general compensation matters"); Yum! Brands, Inc. (avail. Feb. 24, 2015) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting a specific format for reporting on "store employees' median wage," noting that the proposal related to "[the company's] ordinary business operations" because "the proposal relates to compensation that may be paid to employees generally and is not limited to compensation that may be paid to senior executive officers and directors"); International Business Machines Corp. (Boulain) (avail. Jan. 22, 2009) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting that no employee above a certain management level receive a salary raise in any year in which at least two-thirds of all company employees did not receive a three percent salary raise). In each of these cases, whether the proposal requested a report or an affirmative change in employee compensation practices, the Staff concurred with exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 7

As with the proposals in the precedent discussed above, the Proposal relates to the general compensation practices for the Company's workforce. In particular, and as with the proposal in Amazon 2022, the Proposal addresses certain compensation elements for the Company's general workforce. For example, since living wage calculations are designed to address ongoing living expenses, some forms of compensation and benefits (such as certain bonuses, deferred compensation (including a 401(k) match), and educational benefits) typically are excluded from such calculations, even though those elements of compensation and benefits may be just as important in attracting and retaining workers. As such, just as the *Amazon 2022* proposal implicated the Company's ordinary business operations by emphasizing one aspect of the Company's compensation practices, the Proposal likewise seeks to delve into complex issues regarding compensation and benefits for the Company's general workforce. Particularly in the context of the Company's approximately 470,000 associates around the globe, plus its so-called contingent workers, the Proposal seeks to address workforce management issues that are not appropriate for shareholder oversight. If presented with the information requested in the Proposal, shareholders would not be in a position to determine the appropriateness of employees' compensation in the context of the local, regional, national, and international labor markets and how the information reported implicates the Company's hiring, retention, development and other human capital management practices. As noted above, the fact that the Proposal is framed as a request for a report does not change the nature of the Proposal, which concerns general employee compensation. Accordingly, as in the above-cited precedent, the Proposal relates to ordinary business matters and is properly excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

C. The Proposal Does Not Focus On A Significant Social Policy Issue That Transcends The Company's Ordinary Business Operations

In the 1998 Release, the Commission reaffirmed the standards for when proposals are excludable under the "ordinary business" provision that the Commission initially articulated in the 1976 Release. In the 1998 Release, the Commission also distinguished proposals pertaining to ordinary business matters that are excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) from those that "focus on" significant social policy issues. The Commission stated, "proposals relating to [ordinary business] matters but focusing on sufficiently significant social policy issues (e.g., significant discrimination matters) generally would not be considered to be excludable, because the proposals would transcend the day-to-day business matters and raise policy issues so significant that it would be appropriate for a shareholder vote." 1998 Release. When assessing proposals under Rule 14a-8(i)(7), the Staff considers the terms of the resolution and its supporting statement as a whole. See Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14C, part D.2 (June 28, 2005) ("In determining whether the focus of these proposals is a significant social policy issue, we consider both the proposal and the supporting statement as a whole.").

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 8

The Staff most recently discussed its interpretation of how it will evaluate whether a proposal "transcends the day-to-day business matters" of a company in Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14L (Nov. 3, 2021) ("SLB 14L"), noting that it is "realign[ing]" its approach to determining whether a proposal relates to ordinary business with the standards the Commission initially articulated in 1976 and reaffirmed in the 1998 Release. In addition, the Staff stated that it will "no longer tak[e] a company-specific approach to evaluating the significance of a policy issue under Rule 14a-8(i)(7)" but rather will consider only "whether the proposal raises issues with a broad societal impact, such that they transcend the ordinary business of the company." The Staff also stated that under its new approach proposals "previously viewed as excludable because they did not appear to raise a policy issue of significance for the company may no longer be viewed as excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7)" and that "proposals squarely raising human capital management issues with a broad societal impact would not be subject to exclusion solely because the proponent did not demonstrate that the human capital management issue was significant to the company" (citing to the 1998 Release and *Dollar General Corp.* (avail. Mar. 6, 2020) and providing "significant discrimination matters" as an example of an issue that transcends ordinary business matters).

Proposals with passing references touching upon topics that might raise significant social policy issues—but that do not focus on or have only tangential implications for such issues—are not transformed from an otherwise ordinary business proposal into one that transcends ordinary business, and as such, remain excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7). Notably, in *PetSmart, Inc.* (avail. Mar. 24, 2011), the proposal requested that the board require the company's suppliers to certify that they had not violated "the Animal Welfare Act, the Lacey Act, or any state law equivalents." The Staff concurred with exclusion, noting that "[a]lthough the humane treatment of animals is a significant policy issue, we note your view that the scope of the laws covered by the proposal is 'fairly broad in nature from serious violations such as animal abuse to violations of administrative matters such as record keeping." See also Amazon.com, Inc. (Domini Impact Equity Fund) (avail. Mar. 28, 2019) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting that the board annually report to shareholders "its analysis of the community impacts of [the company's] operations, considering near- and long-term local economic and social outcomes, including risks, and the mitigation of those risks, and opportunities arising from its presence in communities," noting that "the [p]roposal relates generally to 'the community impacts' of the [c]ompany's operations and does not appear to focus on an issue that transcends ordinary business matters").

For example, in *Amazon 2022*, the proposal requested an annual report assessing the distribution of stock-based incentives throughout the company's worldwide workforce, including a table showing stock ownership "granted and utilized" by company employees in the United States. Notably, the supporting statement included several paragraphs

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 9

regarding wealth inequality. The Staff concurred with exclusion of the proposal as relating to the company's ordinary business operations, noting that "the Proposal relates to, and does not transcend, ordinary business matters." *See also Dominion Resources, Inc.* (avail. Feb. 3, 2011) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting the company to promote "stewardship of the environment" that touched upon environmental matters—such as renewable energy—with the Staff noting that the proposal related to "the products and services offered for sale by the company").

The Staff's guidance in SLB 14L does not affect the excludability of the Proposal because, unlike the proposal in *Dollar General*, the Proposal does not raise significant discrimination matters or board-oversight of human capital issues, and it does not focus on any other issue "with a broad societal impact" such that it transcends ordinary business matters. Instead, the Proposal relates to general compensation matters; specifically, the number and category of workers whose "wages" (taking into account only certain compensation and benefits) are less than a specified amount, and how much it would cost for those elements of compensation to reach that amount.

The assertions in the Supporting Statement that income inequality "slows US economic growth" and "materially reduces the intrinsic value of the global economy" do not mean the Proposal implicates a significant social policy issue for the purposes of Rule 14a-8(i)(7). Instead, the Proposal relates to the Company's compensation and benefits practices with respect to its "full-time employees, part-time employees, and contingent workers," i.e., its general workforce, as shown by references to the Company's wage practices and requests for data on any additional amounts the Company would need to pay for the elements of compensation included in a living wage calculation to result in "a decent standard of living." The Proposal's overwhelming concern with the Company's general employee compensation practices demonstrates that the Proposal relates to an ordinary business matter, and does not transcend the Company's ordinary business, even if these references to income inequality are deemed to touch on a significant policy issue. Moreover, the fact that the living wage calculation would exclude important elements of compensation and benefits, such as certain bonuses, amounts earned upon vesting of equity compensation, and education benefits, further attenuates any connection or relationship with societal wealth inequality.

In this respect, the Proposal is comparable to the one considered in *Amazon 2022*, discussed above, where the supporting statement addressed wealth inequality but the subject matter actually related to the company's ordinary business matters and therefore was excludable. Similarly, in *Marriott International, Inc.* (avail. Mar. 26, 2021), the Staff concurred with the exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) of a proposal that requested a report on "external social costs created by the compensation policy" of the company and the effect on "overall market returns." Although the supporting statement referenced issues

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 10

such as inequality, the economy, corporate purpose, fiduciary duties, social costs of the company's business model, and other issues that the proposal characterized as "social issue[s] of great importance," the company argued, and the Staff agreed, that the proposal related to general employee compensation, not on any tangential implications of employee compensation on general society, and accordingly did not focus on a significant social policy issue.

Moreover, the Staff has consistently concurred with the exclusion of shareholder proposals under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) relating to wage reform and wage inequality for hourly and nonexecutive employees, finding that such proposals did not implicate a significant social policy matter. Of particular relevance for the Proposal, in Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. (avail. Mar. 15, 1999), the Staff concurred with exclusion of a proposal requesting a report that was to include, among other things, a description of "[p]olicies to implement wage adjustments to ensure adequate purchasing power and a sustainable living wage," with the Staff noting the proposal was excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) because the quoted language "relate[d] to ordinary business operations." Similarly, in Apple, Inc. (Zhao) (avail. Nov. 16, 2015), the proposal requested that the company's compensation committee "adopt new compensation principles responsive to America's general economy, such as unemployment, working hour[s] and wage inequality." Notably, the supporting statement discussed concerns related to wage inequality by reference to certain executive officers' compensation. The Staff concurred with exclusion of the proposal as relating to the company's ordinary business operations, noting that "the proposal relates to compensation that may be paid to employees generally and is not limited to compensation that may be paid to senior executive officers and directors." See also CVS Health Corp and The TJX Companies, Inc. (concurring with the exclusion of proposals requesting adoption and publication of principles for minimum wage reform, noting that each "proposal relates to general compensation matters, and does not otherwise transcend day-to-day business matters," despite the proponent's assertion that minimum wage was a significant social policy issue); Kmart Corp. (avail. Mar. 12, 1999) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting a report that was to include, among other things, a description of "[p]olicies to implement wage adjustments to ensure adequate purchasing power and a sustainable living wage" and noting the proposal was excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) because it "relate[d] to ordinary business operations"). Here, the Proposal relates to the ordinary business issue of general employee compensation, and as with the precedents cited above, the passing references to wealth inequality do not implicate, much less focus on, a significant social policy issue under Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

Similarly, the Staff has also consistently concurred with the exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) of proposals that do not transcend the day-to-day operations of a company, even if they touch upon or make a passing reference to human rights. *See Intel Corp.* (avail. Mar. 18, 2022) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting a

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 11

report on whether the public display of the pride flag had impacted employees' views of the company as a desirable place to work noting that the proposal "relate[d] to, but [did] not transcend, ordinary business matters"); Walmart Inc. (avail. Apr. 8, 2019) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting a report evaluating the risk of discrimination that may result from the company's policies and practices for hourly workers taking absences from work for personal or family illness because it related "generally to the [c]ompany's management of its workforce, and [did] not focus on an issue that transcends ordinary business matters"); Amazon.com, Inc. (avail. Mar. 23, 2018) ("Amazon 2018") (concurring that a proposal requesting establishment of a policy to ensure the company would not place marketing materials on online sites that express hatred or intolerance for certain groups of people was properly excludable because it related to an ordinary business issue (i.e., the manner in which the company advertises its products and services), despite statements within the resolved clause regarding hatred and intolerance based on protected classes); CVS Health Corp. (avail. Feb. 27, 2015) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting that the company "amend its equal employment opportunity policy... . to explicitly prohibit discrimination based on political ideology, affiliation or activity," finding that the proposal did not focus on a significant social policy issue, as it related to the company's policies "concerning its employees").

Here, although the Proposal invokes human rights throughout the Resolved clause and Supporting Statement, the Proposal's request itself is for an annual report providing information on certain elements of employee and worker compensation and benefits. In this regard, the Proposal is similar to those in *Intel, Walmart, Amazon 2018*, and the other precedent above, where the proposals touched but did not focus on human rights or other significant policy issues as the proposals related to the companies' policies concerning their employees—an ordinary business matter—and addressed but did not focus on human rights or other significant policy issues.

D. The Proposal Is Excludable Because It Seeks To Micromanage The Company

The 1998 Release states that micromanagement "may come into play in a number of circumstances, such as where the proposal involves intricate detail, or seeks to impose specific . . . methods for implementing complex policies." In SLB 14L, the Staff clarified that not all "proposals seeking detail or seeking to promote timeframes" constitute micromanagement, and that going forward the Staff "will focus on the level of granularity sought in the proposal and whether and to what extent it inappropriately limits discretion of the board or management." To that end, the Staff stated that this "approach is consistent with the Commission's views on the ordinary business exclusion, which is designed to preserve management's discretion on ordinary business matters but not prevent

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 12

shareholders from providing *high-level direction* on large strategic corporate matters." SLB 14L (emphasis added).⁷

In SLB 14L, the Staff also stated that to assess whether a proposal probes matters that are "too complex" for shareholders, as a group, to make an informed judgment, it may consider "the sophistication of investors generally on the matter, the availability of data, and the robustness of public discussion and analysis on the topic." The Staff stated that it would also consider "references to well-established national or international frameworks when assessing proposals related to disclosure" as indicative of topics that shareholders are well-equipped to evaluate. *Id*.

In assessing whether a proposal seeks to micromanage a company's ordinary business operations, the Staff evaluates not just the wording of the proposal but also the action called for by the proposal and the manner in which the action called for under a proposal would affect a company's activities and management discretion. *See Deere & Co. (avail.* Jan. 3, 2022) and *The Coca-Cola Co. (avail.* Feb. 16, 2022) (both involving a broadly phrased request that required detailed and intrusive actions to implement); *Verizon Communications, Inc. (National Center for Public Policy Research)* (avail. Mar. 17, 2022) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting the company to annually publish the written and oral content of diversity, inclusion, equity, or related employee-training materials because it probed too deeply into matters of a complex nature). Moreover, "granularity" is only one factor evaluated by the Staff. As stated in SLB 14L, the Staff focuses "on the level of granularity sought in the proposal and whether and to what extent it inappropriately limits discretion of the board or management."

-

While the Proposal does not focus on a significant social policy issue that transcends the Company's ordinary business operations, a proposal may be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) if it seeks to micromanage a company regardless of whether it implicates a significant policy issue or topic that transcends a company's ordinary business. See Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14E (Oct. 27, 2009), at note 8, citing the 1998 Release for the standard that "a proposal [that raises a significant policy issue] could be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(7), however, if it seeks to micro-manage the company by probing too deeply into matters of a complex nature upon which shareholders, as a group, would not be in a position to make an informed judgment." For example, since the issuance of SLB 14L, the Staff concurred with the exclusion of proposals addressing how companies interact with their shareholders on significant social policy issues because the proposals sought to micromanage how the companies addressed those policy issues. See The Kroger Co. (Domini Impact Equity Fund) (avail. Apr. 25, 2023) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal that micromanaged the company even though the objective of the proposal was to "mitigate severe risks of forced labor and other human rights violations in the [c]ompany's produce supply chain"); Amazon.com (avail. Apr. 7, 2023), recon. denied (avail. Apr. 20, 2023) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal addressing climate change goals due to micromanagement); Chubb Limited (Green Century Equity Fund) (avail. Mar. 27, 2023) (same).

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 13

Here, the Proposal dictates an unusual and highly prescriptive format that would require assembling granular detail to produce the requested report. The Proposal does not reference or otherwise require the Company "to use a particular living wage calculator or methodology," reflecting that, as noted in SLB 14L, there are no "well-established national or international frameworks" for preparing the requested report. Notwithstanding that element of discretion, the Proposal nevertheless would require the Company to calculate the compensation paid to workers that is included within the scope of a "living wage" calculation (sometimes referred to as the "prevailing wage"), determine the level of compensation that constitutes a "living wage" for its employees, assemble the same type of information from the third parties who employ any "contingent workers," and provide specific calculations and statistics based on comparisons of those amounts. Each element of that process requires the collection of data that is not readily available and can be terribly complex. For example, the website that the Supporting Statement cites for a definition of "living wage" advocates a living wage methodology that is explained in an approximately 390-page book, which states, "[d]etermining prevailing wages is not as simple as it may seem at first glance because remuneration comes in many different forms." The methodology guide goes on to explain:

Prevailing wages are determined by adding up the value of all forms of remuneration using guidelines described in this manual regarding which forms of remuneration should be included and how each of these should be valued for comparison with a living wage. Some forms of remuneration such as overtime and deferred benefits are excluded. Special rules are provided for how to value in kind benefits because of their controversial nature. ¹⁰

The book has chapters addressing each of these valuations. The calculation of the living wage threshold also is complex, requiring food, housing and other costs to be estimated, and adjustments for the size of a worker's household and number of workers in the household. For example, the Supporting Statement cites a website for the "Living Wage calculator," which provides a living wage calculator that breaks living wages down by state, county, and metropolitan statistical area, and reflects living wages for 12 different family types. As such, the Proposal limits management's discretion in how it addresses publicly the value of the compensation and benefits its workers receive, requiring the exclusion of certain elements of compensation and the benefits since they may be variable (including when based on performance) or deferred, and therefore not available to pay day-

11 Available at https://livingwage.mit.edu/.

⁸ Available at https://www.globallivingwage.org/about/anker-methodology/.

Anker & Anker, <u>Living Wages Around the World: Manual for Measurement</u>, Chap. 2.1.4, linked at https://www.globallivingwage.org/about/anker-methodology/.

 $^{^{10}}$ Id

Available at https://livingwage.mit.edu/articles/103-new-data-posted-2023-living-wage-calculator.

Office of Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance January 12, 2024 Page 14

to-day expenses, and requiring comparison of such amounts against a set of norms that can be highly variable based on individual employee situations and locations. The Proposal therefore does not provide "high-level direction on large strategic corporate matters" (emphasis added) but instead takes a granular approach, requiring detailed and intrusive actions to implement, and probing details that are too complex for shareholders, as a group, to make an informed judgment. The Proposal thereby micromanages how the Company reports on the level of compensation and benefits it provides associates, and accordingly is excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

CONCLUSION

The Proposal addresses the compensation of the Company's general workforce, prescribing an unusual and complex standard for reporting, and therefore relates to ordinary business and seeks to micromanage the topic, while failing to focus on a significant social policy issue. As demonstrated by the foregoing analysis and precedent, this is exactly the type of day-to-day business matter that Rule 14a-8(i)(7) is intended to avoid. Moreover, the Proposal may be omitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(7) because it seeks to micromanage the Company by probing too deeply into complex matters upon which shareholders as a group would not be in a position to make an informed judgment. Accordingly, we respectfully request that the Staff concur that the Proposal may be excluded from the Company's 2024 Proxy Materials pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

We would be happy to provide you with any additional information and answer any questions that you may have regarding this subject. Correspondence regarding this letter should be sent to shareholderproposals@gibsondunn.com. If we can be of any further assistance in this matter, please do not hesitate to call me at (202) 955-8287 or Stacy S. Ingram, the Company's Associate General Counsel and Deputy Corporate Secretary, at (770) 384-2858.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth A. Ising

Elizalette Asing

Enclosures

cc: Stacy S. Ingram, The Home Depot, Inc. Marcela Pinilla, Zevin Asset Management Jessica Wrobel



December 5, 2023

Mr. Edward (Ted) P. Decker Chair, President, and Chief Executive Officer The Home Depot, Inc. 2455 Paces Ferry Road Atlanta, Georgia 30339

/ia email:	and	investor	relations@homede	ot.com

Dear Mr. Decker,

We are long-term investors who believe that attracting and retaining talent is one of the core drivers and predictors of business success. We seek an opportunity to engage Home Depot on the enclosed investor statement on living wages. Over 130 signatories representing US\$4.5T in assets under management and advisement have signed in support of corporate transparency and action on pathways toward a living wage. We believe paying a living wage is a cornerstone of building a resilient workforce, which is documented to promote retention and the well-being of employees.

In our enclosed statement we outline the case for providing a living wage to direct employees and contract workers and the disclosures and actions needed to help investors understand the company's short and long-term human capital management planning, including wage-setting policies and practices. A widely accepted definition of a living wage is calculated at a rate that will ensure workers can afford basic needs and do not have to rely on government subsidies.¹

We commend Home Depot for undertaking a racial equity audit and for engaging with stakeholders to widen and strengthen its DEI efforts including expanded disclosure across race/ethnicity and gender, despite the external pressures to the contrary. We further commend the company for updating its nominating corporate governance committee charter to include oversight of ESG-related matters including political engagement activities.

In alignment with these practices, Home Depot conducts an annual analysis of pay and compensation practices for U.S. associates and raised its wages to \$15 per hour across its operations in United States and Canada.² We applaud the company's 2023 commitment, effective in workers' paychecks as of February 17, to invest \$1 billion in "wage, benefits, training, and career development for its associates." ³

Despite the significant step taken recently by Home Depot and several companies like Amazon to effectively double the federal minimum wage of \$7.25 to \$15, data shows that in many regions where The Home Depot operates the cost of living exceeds the income required to cover the costs of basic needs.⁴ Across the United States millions of workers are struggling to pay the bills, with almost 4 in 10 Americans recently telling the U.S.

¹ https://www.globallivingwage.org/

² https://corporate.homedepot.com/sites/default/files/2023-

^{07/2023%20}Home%20Depot%20ESG%20Report vF.4 7.25.23%20%28compressed%29.pdf

https://corporate.homedepot.com/news/earnings/home-depot-announces-fourth-quarter-2022-earnings

⁴ https://livingwage.mit.edu/

Census that they were having difficulties meeting their household expenses, and about 13 million workers also reporting they are working multiple jobs.

As one of the country's largest employers, we believe Home Depot management and board would benefit from a living wage gap exercise to help with Home Depot's long term human capital management strategy. Particularly within the U.S. context, where deeply ingrained inequalities based on race and gender continue to persist, and where women and Black and Hispanic workers remain disproportionately represented in the lowwage workforce, living wages are a practical solution to issues of systemic inequality with direct and broad economic impacts.

Further, as the demand for improved human capital management disclosures helps to drive more precise tracking of workforce data, companies ahead of regulatory developments will be better positioned to set long-term strategies for providing a living wage across the workforce. The Securities and Exchange Commission's Investor Advisory Committee (IAC) recently voted to recommend a new workforce disclosure rule, which may set rules for companies to gather and track relevant information about their employees, including the total cost of a company's labor and the number of people employed, broken down by whether those people are full-time, part-time, or contingent workers.

Given the current economic environment and with growing pressures to raise wages, we are submitting our shareholder filing package within the submission deadline. However, we look forward to learning more about Home Depot's wage practices within the context of our Living Wages Statement. This proposal is submitted on behalf of Jessica Wrobel ("Proponent"), a shareholder of Home Depot shares for inclusion in the Company's 2024 proxy statement in accordance with Rule 14a-8 of the General Rules and Regulations of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-8).

The proposal requests that The Home Depot Corporation (the "Company") Board of Directors to oversee the preparation of a Living Wage Report to provide investors with information needed to assess the extent to which the Company is complying with international human rights standards and acting to mitigate systemic risks stemming from growing income inequality. The Report should be updated and published annually and include:

- Number of Home Depot workers paid less than a living wage, broken down by full-time employees, part-time employees, and contingent workers;
- By how much aggregate compensation paid to workers in each category falls short of the aggregate amount they would be paid if they received a living wage; and
- The living wage benchmark/methodology used for these disclosures.

Companies and investors will benefit from taking steps to better understand the gap that exists between current wage and benefit policies and practices and the long-term pathways for current and future Home Depot

workers. As we engage a wider set of companies across industries, we aim to foster greater transparency in the corporate workforce. We will look forward to scheduling a meeting with you and discussing our request.

We look forward to scheduling a meeting with you. Please contact Marcela Pinilla at arrange for a mutually convenient time.

Sincerely,

Marcela Pinilla on behalf of Living Wage Investor Statement Signatories

Marcela Pinilla Director of Sustainable Investing Zevin Asset Management

CC:



Attn: Corporate Secretary
The Home Depot, Inc.
2455 Paces Ferry Road, Building C-22
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

December 5, 2023

Via email:

<u>shareholder proposals@homedepot.com</u>
Ms. Isabel Janci, Vice President, Investor Relations, investor relations@homedepot.com

Re: Shareholder proposal for 2024 Annual Shareholder Meeting

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Zevin Asset Management is an investment manager who has integrated sustainability principles into investment decision-making since 1997. We are pleased to be long-term shareowners of The Home Depot shares and applaud the steps the company has taken recently in its gender and race/ethnic diversity, equity, and inclusion initiatives. We are glad to have had thoughtful engagements with management in the past on paid sick leave and charitable contributions and look forward to continued engagement with Home Depot's sustainability leadership team on this topic.

As discussed in our enclosed investor engagement letter, Zevin Asset Management is submitting the attached shareholder proposal, on behalf Jessica Wrobel ("Proponent"), a shareholder of Home Depot the requisite shares for inclusion in the Company's 2024 proxy statement in accordance with Rule 14a-8 of the General Rules and Regulations of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-8).

Shareholders request The Home Depot Corporation (the "Company") Board of Directors to oversee the preparation of a Living Wage Report to provide investors with information needed to assess the extent to which Home Depot is complying with international human rights standards and helping to mitigate systemic risks stemming from income inequality. The Report should be updated and published annually and include:

- Number of Home Depot workers paid less than a living wage, broken down by full-time employees, parttime employees, and contingent workers;
- By how much aggregate compensation paid to workers in each category falls short of the aggregate amount they would be paid if they received a living wage; and
- The living wage benchmark/methodology used for these disclosures

The Proponent has continuously beneficially owned, for at least three years as of the date hereof, at least \$2,000 worth of the Company.



A letter from the Proponent authorizing Zevin Asset Management to act on its behalf and a custodial proof of ownership letter will follow. A representative of the Proponent will attend the stockholders' meeting to move the resolution as required.

We are available December 21st between 1-3pm ET or December 22nd between 1-3pm EST and look forward to coordinating a time to discuss our request at a mutually convenient time. Any co-filers of this proposal have authorized Zevin Asset Management to conduct the initial engagement meeting and may participate subject to their availability. Signers of the Living Wage Statement and holders of HD shares may join this dialogue.

If you have questions or would like to sug	gest other times to meet,	, we can be contacted by email at
or Jessica Wrobel at		Marcela will serve as primary filer and
contact going forward.		

Sincerely,

Marcela I. Pinilla

Director of Sustainable Investing Zevin Asset Management

Living Wage Report

Resolved

Shareholders request The Home Depot Corporation (the "Company") Board of Directors to oversee the preparation of a living wage report to provide investors with information needed to assess the extent to which the Company is complying with international human rights standards and assessing systemic risks stemming from growing income inequality. The Report should be updated and published annually and include:

- Number of Home Depot workers paid less than a living wage, broken down by full-time employees, parttime employees, and contingent workers;
- By how much aggregate compensation paid to workers in each category falls short of the aggregate amount they would be paid if they received a living wage; and
- The living wage benchmark/methodology used for these disclosures.

Supporting Statement

Income inequality slows US economic growth by reducing demand by 2 to 4 percent.¹ High levels of income inequality threaten investors' diversified portfolios by slowing economic growth, limiting upward mobility, and exacerbating political polarization.²

A living wage is a level of compensation that is "sufficient to afford a decent standard of living for the worker and her or his family" in their location, including "food, water, housing, education, health care, transportation, clothing, and other essential needs including provision for unexpected events." The Universal Declaration of Human Rights recognizes that "[e] veryone who works has the right to just and favourable remuneration ensuring for himself and his family an existence worthy of human dignity."

Home Depot conducts an annual analysis of pay and compensation practices for US associates and raised its wages to \$15 per hour across its operations in the US.⁵ However, Home Depot does not disclose the gaps between prevailing and living wages across operations.

Shareholders are unable to assess the Company's contribution to systemic risks created by income inequality, which are linked to depressed wages and compliance with international human rights norms. Data shows that in many regions where The Home Depot operates the cost of living exceeds the income required to cover the costs of basic needs.⁶

Additionally, Home Depot hires contingent workers through staffing or vendor contracts, including part-time temporary workers, independent contractors, consultants, contract employees, and seasonal hires. Currently

¹ https://www.epi.org/publication/secular-stagnation

² https://tiiproject.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/TIIP-Stewardship-Final.pdf, at 2.

³ https://www.globallivingwage.org/about/what-is-a-living-wage/

⁴ https://www.ohchr.org/en/human-rights/universal-declaration/translations/english, Article 23.

⁵ https://corporate.homedepot.com/sites/default/files/2023-

<u>07/2023%20Home%20Depot%20ESG%20Report_vF.4_7.25.23%20%28compressed%29.pdf</u>

⁶ https://livingwage.mit.edu/

investors lack visibility into Home Depot's wage practices in this segment of its workforce, posing blind spots to decision-useful information.

Collectively closing the living wage gap worldwide could generate as much as an additional \$4.56 trillion every year through increased productivity and spending, ⁷ equivalent to a more than 4 percent increase in annual GDP.

Inadequate pay thus materially reduces the intrinsic value of the global economy, which in turn affects investment portfolios.

As one of the country's largest employers, Home Depot would benefit from a living wage gap exercise to help with its long-term human capital management strategy. This Proposal does not require Home Depot to use a particular living wage calculator or methodology.

⁷ https://tacklinginequality.org/files/introduction.pdf

INVESTOR SUPPORT FOR A LIVING WAGE FOR U.S. WORKERS

The undersigned investors, representing \$4.5 trillion in assets under management and advisement, call on U.S. companies to take steps towards the payment of a living wage to direct and contract workers, in line with international human rights standards. Long-term investments in the workforce are good for business, helping companies attract and retain talented employees, increase job satisfaction, and improve worker performance. Wage increases for the lowest earners can also aid in addressing broader systemic risks such as income inequality and gender and racial disparities in the U.S. labor market that can have long-term societal and economic impacts.

What is a Living Wage and Why is it Important?

The Global Living Wage Coalition defines a living wage as:

The remuneration received for a standard workweek by a worker in a particular place sufficient to afford a decent standard of living for the worker and her or his family. Elements of a decent standard of living include food, water, housing, education, health care, transportation, clothing, and other essential needs including provision for unexpected events.¹

The concept of a living wage as a human right is recognized in multiple international treaties and frameworks such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Preamble of the International Labour Organization (ILO) Constitution, and the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).²

According to polls, "84% of Americans believe large companies have a responsibility to pay full-time adult workers in frontline jobs enough to make ends meet." 63% of voters believe workers need to earn more than \$20 an hour to have a decent quality of life, including 71% of Democrats, 56% of Republicans, and 63% of independent/third-party voters. 4

¹ https://www.globallivingwage.org/about/what-is-a-living-wage/

https://www.ohchr.org/en/human-rights/universal-declaration/translations/english;
https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:55:0::NO::P55 TYPE,P55 LANG,P55 DOCUMENT,P55 N
ODE:KEY,en,ILOC,/Document; https://sdgs.un.org/goals

³ https://justcapital.com/reports/across-political-divides-americans-agree-companies-should-prioritize-workers-economic-security-driving-competitive-advantage/

⁴ https://www.dataforprogress.org/blog/2023/5/24/725-isnt-cutting-it-in-this-economy-voters-support-raising-the-minimum-wage-to-20-per-hour

CEOs of leading U.S. companies identified their employees as a priority stakeholder and voiced their commitment to fair compensation and benefits in the Business Roundtable's 2019 Statement on the Purpose of a Corporation, and publicly support increasing the federal minimum wage.⁵

The Gap between the Minimum Wage and a Living Wage

The U.S. federal minimum wage has remained stagnant at \$7.25 an hour since 2009. Given cost of living increases, a worker earning the federal minimum wage today has effectively received a 28% pay cut.⁶

Addressing the issue of living wages is even more necessary today after the highest period of inflation in four decades caused a substantial reduction in real wages for American workers. Despite claims that rising wages are a main cause of inflation, wages can continue to grow while inflation declines.

Estimates show that in 2022, 51% of all the workers at Russell 1000 companies, who in total made up about 15% of the employed population in the U.S. in 2021, are not earning a family-sustaining living wage. MIT's Living Wage Calculator estimates that "a single mother with two children earning the federal minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour needs to work 252 hours per week, the equivalent of almost six full-time minimum-wage jobs, to make a living wage." Even in the 30 states where minimum wages are above the federal minimum wage, ranging between \$8.75 per hour in West Virginia to \$15.74 per hour in Washington, these state minimum wages are still not living wages. 90% of Americans live in a place where the living wage is \$20/hr or more.

Direct employees and contract workers in traditionally low-wage retail, restaurant, hospitality, and gig sectors are most likely to earn below the living wage.¹³ According to EPI, 21 million workers make less than \$15 per hour.¹⁴ Shift reports that "56% of hourly service sector workers make less than \$15 per hour and 25% make less than \$12 per hour across 66 large companies." ¹⁵ While workers at these companies earn sub-living wages, the CEOs take home extremely high pay, averaging \$24.5 million in 2021, further

⁵ https://system.businessroundtable.org/app/uploads/sites/5/2023/02/WSJ_BRT_POC_Ad.pdf | Excerpt:

[&]quot;INVESTING IN OUR EMPLOYEES. This starts with compensating them fairly and providing important benefits. It also includes supporting them through training and education that help develop new skills for a rapidly changing world. We foster diversity and inclusion, dignity and respect."; https://www.businessroundtable.org/policy-perspectives/building-americas-tomorrow-ready-workforce/federal-minimum-wage-policy-2

 $^{^{6}\,\}underline{\text{https://justcapital.com/news/3-charts-show-why-companies-should-regularly-raise-wages-to-match-inflation/}$

⁷https://fred.stlouisfed.org/graph/?g=Qzbt&utm_source=newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=newsletter axiosmarkets&stream=business

⁸ https://fortune.com/2022/12/01/americans-wages-inflation-price-spiral-myth-matthew-nestler/

⁹ https://justcapital.com/news/just-capital-and-new-data-partner-revelio-labs-find-about-half-of-russell-1000-employees-do-not-make-family-sustaining-wage-in-2022/

¹⁰ https://livingwage.mit.edu/articles/103-new-data-posted-2023-living-wage-calculator

¹¹ https://www.dol.gov/agencies/whd/mw-consolidated

¹² https://www.fastcompany.com/90872566/fight-for-15-hour-not-living-wage-time-20#:~:text=By%20this%20yardstick%2C%2090%25%20of,short%20of%20the%20%2420%20threshold.

¹³ https://www.kff.org/report-section/double-jeopardy-low-wage-workers-at-risk-for-health-and-financial-implications-of-covid-19-issue-brief/

¹⁴ https://www.epi.org/blog/workers-are-46-more-likely-to-make-below-15-an-hour-in-states-paying-only-the-federal-minimum-wage/

¹⁵ https://shift.hks.harvard.edu/the-company-wage-tracker/

exacerbating wage disparities.¹⁶ The AFL-CIO's Executive PayWatch analysis of 2022 executive pay at S&P 500 companies found an average CEO-to-median worker pay ratio of 272-to-1.¹⁷ Studies also point to the rise of stock buybacks as a contributor to income inequality, as buybacks benefit top executives and shareholders at the expense of investments in the workforce and innovation.¹⁸

By contrast, in the United Kingdom, significant progress has been made in raising the minimum wage closer to a real Living Wage rate (as determined by the UK Living Wage Foundation). A major factor in driving this change has been the adoption of the voluntary real Living Wage rate by businesses and the public sector, demonstrating the feasibility of implementing a higher base rate of pay. There is currently a gap of £1.58 between the UK Government's "National Living Wage" for all workers over 23 years old (£10.42 across UK), and the "Real Living Wage" as determined by the UK Living Wage Foundation (£12 across UK), and the gap is wider for London.¹⁹

The Business Case for Paying a Living Wage

From a business perspective, companies have a lot to gain from ensuring a living wage for their employees. While the assumption that paying a living wage will hurt a firm's bottom line prevails, research suggests that paying a living wage is a long-term investment that can yield significant business benefits. Productivity increases when employees are motivated and incentivized. In *The Case for Good Jobs*, Zeynep Ton finds that making meaningful investments in the workforce as part of a "Good Jobs Strategy" benefits companies, their shareholders, and customers, while "paying workers low wages is actually very expensive for companies."

- London employers who implemented a living wage experienced improved worker morale, motivation, and productivity, better recruitment and retention, and reputational benefits beyond their workforces.²¹
- When comparing employer/employee performance in living wage and non-living wage workplaces in Canada, living wage workers are more loyal to their employers, more engaged at work, and have lower turnover.²²
- In the U.S., despite raising the wages of its hourly and entry-level employees and dramatically lowering their healthcare costs, PayPal's profits grew 28%.²³

¹⁶ https://shift.hks.harvard.edu/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Company Wage Tracker 4 16.pdf | See table on page 3 of the Research Brief

¹⁷ https://aflcio.org/paywatch/company-pay-ratios

¹⁸ https://corpgov.law.harvard.edu/2020/10/23/the-dangers-of-buybacks-mitigating-common-pitfalls/

¹⁹ https://www.livingwage.org.uk/what-real-living-wage | Note: The UK *minimum* wage, applicable to workers between 18-23 years old, is lower than the "National Living Wage", so there would be a larger gap between the minimum wage and the real living wage for younger workers.

https://justcapital.com/news/zeynep-ton-case-for-good-jobs-worker-investments-higher-returns-2023/#:~:text=But%20the%20reality%20is%2C%20human,actually%20very%20expensive%20for%20companies https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/gla migrate files destination/archives/mayor-economic unit-docs-living-wage-benefits-summary.pdf

https://www.randstad.ca/employers/workplace-insights/talent-management/the-benefits-of-paying-your-employees-

well/#: ```: text=higher % 20 wages % 20 mean % 20 staff % 20 turn over % 20 decreases & text=Employees % 20 are % 20 now % 20 forced % 20 to, to % 20 stay % 20 with % 20 their % 20 employers.

https://www.inc.com/minda-zetlin/paypal-wages-ndi-profits-growth-dan-schulman.html

• Lower turnover following wage increases can also lead to cost savings; an increase in wages at the San Francisco airport led to a turnover decline of 34%, or a savings of \$6.6 million per year.²⁴

On the other hand, failing to create workplaces where all workers are engaged and their basic needs are met may expose companies to significant reputational and financial risk. This includes operational disruptions and costs incurred from high turnover, employee unrest, and labor shortages; reputational erosion and loss of consumer confidence and trust; worsening product and/or service quality; and even litigation and regulatory action.

How Living Wages Address Systemic Inequality

The social and economic benefits of living wages are consequential, and those benefits reverberate throughout society, the economy and by extension, diversified portfolios. Living wages reduce working poverty, which in turn helps tackle systemic inequality. These benefits are well-documented:

- "Closing the living wage gap worldwide could generate an additional \$4.56 trillion every year through increased productivity and spending," increasing annual GDP by more than 4%.²⁵
- Income inequality slows U.S. economic growth by reducing demand by 2 to 4%. 26
- A 1% increase in inequality leads to a 1.1% per capita GDP loss over a 5-year period. 27
- Gender and racial gaps created \$2.6 trillion in losses to U.S. GDP in 2019.²⁸ Eliminating racial disparity would add \$5 trillion to the U.S. economy over five years.²⁹

Particularly within the U.S. context, where deeply ingrained inequalities based on race and gender continue to persist, living wages are a practical solution to issues of systemic inequality. For low-wage sector workers, raising wages can create a more level playing field and improve the livelihoods of women and people of color, who make up the majority of this workforce. ³⁰ Some studies also suggest a correlation between wage increases for low-income workers and improved health outcomes for workers and their families. ³¹ For companies that make commitments to racial justice, gender equity, and human rights, following through on these pledges requires investment in the wages of workers.

Steps for Companies

To generate the benefits outlined above and demonstrate a commitment to ensuring that direct employees and other workers who participate in the company's business operations are paid living wages in accordance with international human rights standards, we implore each company to work constructively with all workers as well as labor unions, and franchisee, and subcontracted operations, to

 $^{^{24}\} https://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/living-wages-and-economic-performance-the-san-francisco-airport-model/\#: ``:text=Following%20implementation%20of%20the%20QSP, of%2010%20percent%20or%20more and the same of the same$

²⁵ https://tacklinginequality.org/files/introduction.pdf; https://www.statista.com/statistics/268750/global-gross-domestic-product-gdp/

²⁶ https://www.epi.org/publication/secular-stagnation/

²⁷ https://www.pionline.com/sponsored-content/facing-hard-truths-material-risk-rising-inequality

²⁸ https://www.frbsf.org/our-district/about/commitment-to-

equity/#:~:text=Racial%20and%20ethnic%20inequities%20cost,inequity%20will%20continue%20to%20grow http://citi.us/3olxWH0

³⁰ https://www.oxfamamerica.org/explore/countries/united-states/poverty-in-the-us/low-wage-map-2022/

³¹ https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/2022-07/How%20the%20Minimum%20Wage%20Affects%20Low-Wage%20Workers%20and%20Their%20Families%20v2.pdf

increase wages to a living wage. We also encourage individual companies to prioritize the following shortand long-term steps to increase investors' understanding of company wage practices and progress toward paying living wages to their direct employees and contract workers:

- 1. Adopt and disclose a policy and strategy that makes clear the company's commitment to take steps towards paying its workers a living wage, as differentiated from a fair, market, minimum, or legally compliant wage, and inclusive of lobbying alignment. Periodically disclose progress toward meaningful implementation of the policy;
- 2. End the payment of subminimum wages;³²
- 3. Disclose wage-setting strategies and compensation metrics, including;
 - Wage gap analysis detailing the number of workers (full-time, part-time, and contract workers) below a living wage, how far these workers are below a living wage, and the living wage benchmark/methodology used to determine this and related living wage metrics.³³
 - Median employee wage, with a detailed explanation of which employees are covered.
 - Lowest starting wage and how many workers earn this amount.
 - Percent of third-party contracted workers.
 - Quantitative adjusted and unadjusted median racial and gender pay ratios across all employees including all components of compensation.
 - Benefits (e.g. healthcare, childcare, commuting subsidies) workers receive as part of their total remuneration.
 - Employee turnover data broken down by worker type.
 - Data on collective bargaining coverage.
- 4. Perform and disclose cost-benefit analyses of wage increases, focusing on both the short-term and long-term costs/benefits;
- 5. Expand the scope of the board's compensation committee to include oversight of compensation practices for all levels of employees and contract workers, not just executives, and amend the board committee charter to formalize this responsibility and disclose how the company is addressing the gap between CEO pay and median worker pay;

We understand that, given the substantial gaps between current company wages and living wages, meeting a living wage benchmark will take time. However, with earnest commitment, recognition of the long-term benefits, and leadership on the issue, companies can lead and spur change. We encourage all companies to consider these disclosure requests and expect that companies will act within a reasonable but expeditious timeframe, demonstrating their progress routinely and transparently.

³² A "subminimum wage" is a wage paid that is less than the federal or state-mandated minimum wage. Federal law allows companies to pay less than the legally mandated minimum wage to certain groups of workers.

³³ For example, Living Wage for US provides freely available living wage data by commuting zone at https://livingwageforus.org/

Achmea Committee on Mission Responsibility Through

Achmea Investment Management Investment of the Presbyterian Church (U.S.A.)

Adasina Social Capital Communications Workers of America

Adrian Dominican Sisters, Portfolio Advisory

Community Capital Management

Board Congregation of Divine Providence, Melbourne,

KY

AFL-CIO Congregation of Sisters of St. Agnes

AJF Capital Services, Inc.

Congregation of St. Joseph

AkademikerPension CorpGov.net

Æquo Shareholder Engagement Services

Amalgamated Bank

Province of Saint Joseph of the Capuchin Order -

Corporate Responsibility Office

American Baptist Home Mission Society

Dana Investment Advisors

American Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation

Daughters of Charity of Province of St. Louise

AP Pension Domini Impact Investments LLC

AP2 Dominican Sisters of Grand Rapids

Aquinas associates DPAM

Arjuna Capital Ethos Engagement Pool International

As You Sow Ethos Foundation

Atkinson Foundation Etica Funds – Responsible Investments

Auburn Theological Seminary Everence and the Praxis Mutual Funds

Augustine Asset Management Figure 8 Investment Strategies

Aviva Investors Folksam

Azzad Asset Management Fondo de Pensiones Empleados de Telefonica

Bell Asset Management Fondo Scuola Espero

Boston Common Asset Management Franciscan Sisters of Allegany NY

Boston Impact Initiative Franciscan Sisters of Perpetual Adoration

Cardano Friends Fiduciary Corporation

CCLA Investment Management

Future Super

CCOO, FP. Green America

Change Finance, PBC Heartland Initiative

Hill-Snowdon Foundation PIRC Ltd

Horizons Sustainable Financial Services Promethos Capital

Impax Asset Management Raiffeisen Schweiz

Investor Advocates for Social Justice Region VI Coalition for Responsible Investment

Jesuit Committee on Investment Responsibility Responsible Alpha, Inc.

JLens Robert F. Kennedy Human Rights

Lady Lawyer Foundation Sarasin & Partners LLP

Legal & General Investment Management School Sisters of Notre Dame Cooperative

LGPS Central Investment Fund

Marguerite Casey Foundation School Sisters of Notre Dame, Central Pacific

JPIC Office

Maryknoll Fathers and Brothers School Sisters of St. Francis

Maryknoll Sisters SDG Invest

Mercy Investment Services, Inc.

Service Employees International Union

Miller/Howard Investments, Inc.

Seva Foundation

Mirova Seventh Generation Interfaith Coalition for

Missionary Oblates/OIP TRUST Responsible Investment

MN SHARE

Nathan Cummings Foundation ShareAction

Natural Investments SharePower Responsible Investing

NEI Investments Sisters of Bon Secours USA

Nest (National Employment Savings Trust)

Sisters of Charity of Nazareth Congregational

Nomura Asset Management Co., Ltd.

Sisters of Charity of Nazareth Western Province

NorthStar Asset Management, Inc.

Leadership

Northwest Coalition for Responsible Investment

Sisters of Charity of New York

Öhman Fonder Sisters of Charity of Saint Elizabeth

Oxfam America Sisters of Charity of the Blessed Virgin Mary

P+, Pension Fund for Academics Sisters of Mary Reparatrix

Pension Fund of the Christian Church (Disciples

Sisters of St. Dominic - Socially Responsible

of Christ)

Investment Committee

Sisters of St. Dominic of Blauvelt, New York

Sisters of St. Francis of Dubuque, IA

Sisters of St. Francis of Philadelphia

Sisters of St. Joseph of Springfield, MA

Sisters of the Holy Cross

Sisters of the Holy Names of Jesus and Mary, General Administration

Sisters of the Humility of Mary

SOC Investment Group

Social Justice Committee of the UU Congregation at Shelter Rock

Socially Responsible Investment Coalition

Sonen Capital

Stardust

Storebrand Asset Management

Sustainable Advisors Alliance, LLC

The Shareholder Commons

The Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge

Trillium Asset Management

Tulipshare, Sustainable Investment Fund

U Ethical Investors

Unitarian Universalist Association

United Church Funds

United for Respect Education Fund

Ursuline Sisters

Vancity Investment Management

Veris Wealth Partners

William Caspar Graustein Memorial Fund

Zevin Asset Management

Via Shareholder Proposal Portal

Securities and Exchange Commission Office of the Chief Counsel Division of Corporation Finance 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549

Re: Request by The Home Depot, Inc. to omit proposal submitted by Zevin Asset Management on behalf of Jessica Wrobel

Ladies and Gentlemen,

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Zevin Asset Management, on behalf of Jessica Wrobel (the "Proponent") submitted a shareholder proposal (the "Proposal") to The Home Depot, Inc. ("Home Depot" or the "Company"). The Proposal asks Home Depot to prepare a Living Wage Report containing certain information.

In a letter to the Division dated January 12, 2024 (the "No-Action Request"), Home Depot stated that it intends to omit the Proposal from its proxy materials to be distributed to shareholders in connection with the Company's 2024 annual meeting of shareholders. Home Depot argues that it is entitled to exclude the Proposal in reliance on Rule 14a-8(i)(7), on the ground that the Proposal deals with the Company's ordinary business operations. As discussed more fully below, Home Depot has not met its burden of proving its entitlement to exclude the Proposal, and the Proponent respectfully requests that the Company's request for relief be denied.

The Proposal

The Proposal states:

Resolved

Shareholders request The Home Depot Corporation (the "Company") Board of Directors to oversee the preparation of a living wage report to provide investors with information needed to assess the extent to which the Company is complying with international human rights standards and assessing systemic risks stemming from growing income inequality. The Report should be updated and published annually and include:

• Number of Home Depot workers paid less than a living wage, broken down by full-time employees, part-time employees, and contingent workers;

- By how much aggregate compensation paid to workers in each category falls short of the aggregate amount they would be paid if they received a living wage; and
- The living wage benchmark/methodology used for these disclosures.

Ordinary Business

Rule 14a-8(i)(7) allows a company to exclude a proposal related to the company's ordinary business operations. Home Depot argues that the Proposal relates to the Company's ordinary business operations because it addresses "general employee compensation," without implicating a significant policy issue, and because it would micromanage the Company. Neither argument is has merit.

The Applicable Standard

Home Depot misrepresents the Staff's approach to workforce-related proposals. The Company cites Staff Legal Bulletin ("SLB") 14A,¹ which dates from 2002, to support its claim that the Staff has "applied a bright-line analysis to proposals concerning equity or cash compensation" allowing exclusion of such proposals on ordinary business grounds if they involve general employee (i.e., non-senior executive) compensation.² The Commission has stated that matters involving management of the workforce generally relate to companies' ordinary business operations. However, the Commission has also made clear that a workforce-related proposal addressing a "significant social policy issue" transcends ordinary business and may not be omitted.

Indeed, SLB 14A made just such an exception: It stated that proposals seeking shareholder approval of stock option plans—which implicate general employee compensation due to participation by non-senior executive employees—were non-excludable so long as the proposals addressed plans with potentially material dilution to existing shareholders. SLB 14A cited the "widespread public debate" on the issue as supporting a conclusion that the proposals implicated a significant social policy issue and shareholders should be allowed to vote on them.

Though Home Depot points to determinations in which the Staff concurred that companies could exclude workforce-related proposals that did not address significant social policy issues, many determinations have gone the other way, finding that proposals dealing with human capital issues transcended ordinary business. They include proposals on general employee compensation matters such as cash-balance pension plans,³ gender pay equity,⁴ and inappropriately risk-promoting incentive compensation paid by major financial institutions.⁵ Non-compensation proposal topics related to the workforce, including race⁶ and sexual orientation⁷ discrimination in employment,

¹ Staff Legal Bulletin 14A (July 12, 2002)

² No-Action Request, at 5.

³ International Business Machines Co. (Feb. 16, 2000)

⁴ R.R. Donnelly & Sons Co. (Jan. 6, 1999)

⁵ Wells Fargo & Co. (Mar. 14, 2011, recon. denied, Apr. 5, 2011).

⁶ See ACTWU v. Wal-Mart, 821 F. Supp. 877 (S.D.N.Y. 1993).

⁷ OGE Energy, Inc. (Feb. 24, 2004)

disclosure of EEO-1 reports,⁸ the MacBride non-discrimination principles,⁹ and worker health and safety,¹⁰ have also been deemed to qualify as significant social policy issues.

SLB 14L,¹¹ issued in November 2021, emphasized that the Staff's analytical focus will be on whether an otherwise excludable proposal "raises issues with a broad societal impact" even if the proponent does not demonstrate the issue's significance to the specific company. SLB 14L illustrated this principle by pointing to human capital matters like that raised in the Proposal, stating that a "proposal[] squarely raising human capital management issues with a broad societal impact" would not be subject to exclusion.

Following SLB 14L's issuance, the Staff reversed itself on paid sick leave proposals, denying requests to exclude proposals submitted for the 2022 proxy season on ordinary business grounds. Proponents had urged that paid sick leave qualified as a significant social policy issue, given widespread public debate during the COVID-19 pandemic and broader public health implications of workers being forced to choose between lost wages and reporting to work while sick. ¹² Thus, it is clear that SLB 14L marked a meaningful change in how the Staff evaluates significant social policy issues.

As the paid sick leave example shows, the Staff's conclusion that a subject does not constitute a significant social policy issue is not set in stone: More skillful arguments, a shift in interpretive approach, an intensified public debate, or a broader societal impact can and do support a different outcome. In 2024, the payment of a living wage qualifies as a significant social policy issue transcending ordinary business due to both the widespread public debate regarding a living wage and the broad societal impact of payment of sub-living wages on racial and gender equity, income inequality, public health, and the provision of some public services.

The Concept of a Living Wage is Rooted in Human Rights Principles, While a Minimum Wage is Generally Unconnected to Dignity, Fairness or Purchasing Power Considerations

A living wage is compensation "received for a standard workweek by a worker in a particular place sufficient to afford a decent standard of living for the worker and his or her family. Elements of a decent standard of living include food, water, housing, education, health care, transportation, clothing, and other essential needs including provision for unexpected events." The concept of a living wage is reflected in international human rights principles: The Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that "[e]veryone who works has the right to just and favourable remuneration ensuring for himself and his family an existence worthy of human dignity." U.N. Sustainable Development Goal 8 calls for the promotion of "decent work for all," which is

⁸ Circuit City Stores, Inc. (Apr. 6, 1999)

⁹ The TJX Companies (Apr. 1, 1999)

¹⁰ Amazon.com, Inc. (Apr. 6, 2022)

¹¹ Staff Legal Bulletin 14L (Nov. 3, 2021).

¹² Compare CVS Health Corporation (Feb. 19, 2021) (concurring that proposal seeking an analysis and report on the feasibility of making the company's COVID-19 sick leave policy permanent was excludable on ordinary business grounds) with CVS Health Corporation (Mar. 18, 2022) (declining to allow exclusion of proposal asking that all employees be provided with some amount of paid sick time)

¹³ https://www.globallivingwage.org/about/what-is-a-living-wage/

¹⁴ https://www.ohchr.org/en/human-rights/universal-declaration/translations/english

¹⁵ https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal8

"productive and delivers a fair income." The Proposal does not "invoke" human rights in an effort to benefit from that topic's status as a significant social policy issue, as Home Depot seems to imply 17; rather, the living wage concept's genesis in human rights principles provides an organic connection between the two subjects.

A living wage is distinct from a minimum wage,¹⁸ which is a legal requirement that need not be set by reference to purchasing power, dignity, or fairness.¹⁹ Indeed, the fact that the federal minimum wage has not changed in 14 years—resulting in a 28% pay cut for a minimum wage worker during that time²⁰--shows the disconnect between the minimum wage and the actual purchasing power represented by a living wage. The federal minimum wage has lagged cost of living increases so much that Home Depot's \$15 per hour starting wage, which it touts as being "more than double the federal minimum wage,"²¹ falls short of a living wage for a single childless person in every U.S. state.²²

This distinction matters because several determinations Home Depot cites in the No-Action Request involved proposals addressing minimum wages. The proposal in a 2015 McDonald's²³ determination asked the company to increase the minimum or lowest wage paid to its (or its franchisees') employees. The Staff allowed exclusion on ordinary business grounds, rejecting the proponent's argument that "raising the minimum wage for fast-food workers" was a significant social policy issue. This focus on companies in a specific industry raising their lowest pay rate is much narrower than the Proposal's focus on a living wage. The CVS²⁴ and TJX²⁵ proposals urged the companies to adopt principles for "minimum wage reform," and the Staff was unpersuaded that the debate over the minimum wage at that time was a significant social policy issue. It is worth noting that these determinations preceded the issuance of SLB 14L and its emphasis on broad societal impact.

Home Depot also relies on Wal-Mart²⁶ and Kmart,²⁷ selectively quoting from the proposals at issue in those 1999 determinations to make them seem more similar to the Proposal than they

¹⁶ https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/economic-growth/

¹⁷ No-Action Request, at 10-11

¹⁸ Home Depot cites determinations allowing exclusion of proposals regarding the minimum wage on page 6 of the No-Action Request.

¹⁹ <u>See</u> https://abcnews.go.com/Business/difference-minimum-wage-living-wage-matters/story?id=96251007 ("Crucially, the minimum wage does not derive from a calculation of the subsistence level for a given region or household size, but rather is set by elected officials within a federal, state or local government."); https://drexel.edu/hunger-free-center/research/briefs-and-reports/minimum-wage-is-not-enough/ ("While the U.S. minimum wage was never truly a living wage, over time it has done less to reflect the true value of workers and no longer supports families in a way that promotes health and keeps them out of poverty.")

 $^{^{20}\} https://justcapital.com/news/3-charts-show-why-companies-should-regularly-raise-wages-to-match-inflation/$

²¹ No-Action Request, at 2

²² MIT Living Wage Calculator data on the living wage for each state can be found at https://livingwage.mit.edu/ (click link for state and then link for "results for [state] as a whole"). The lowest state living wage for a single childless worker is West Virginia's \$18.94. (https://livingwage.mit.edu/states/54)

²³ McDonald's Corporation (Mar. 18, 2015)

²⁴ CVS Health Corp. (Mar. 1, 2017)

²⁵ The TJX Companies, Inc. (Mar. 1, 2017)

²⁶ Wal-Mart Stores Inc. (Mar. 15, 1999)

²⁷ Kmart Corporation (Mar. 12, 1999)

actually were. The Wal-Mart and Kmart proposals asked the companies to report on the actions the companies were taking to ensure they did not purchase from suppliers "who manufacture items using forced labor, convict labor, or child labor, or who fail to comply with laws protecting their employees' wages, benefits, working conditions, freedom of association and other rights." From our 2024 perspective, we would recognize these as proposals addressing workplace human rights, a long-standing significant social policy issue.

Home Depot does not quote or acknowledge any of that broader human rights language, focusing instead on material appearing outside of the resolved clauses that was phrased as a recommendation: "We believe the report should include a description of . . . Policies to implement wage adjustments to ensure adequate purchasing power and a sustainable living wage." Thus, a living wage was not the focus of the Wal-Mart and Kmart proposals but rather a small and apparently negotiable implementation detail. The Staff's reasoning made clear that it was this minor reference to wages that led to exclusion of the entire proposals.

Those Wal-Mart and Kmart determinations do not, 25 years later, compel the same outcome here. The proposals at issue in those determinations differed substantially from the Proposal, which was submitted in a time with different public concerns, public policy initiatives, and labor demands. Those factors compel the conclusion that the Proposal's subject is a significant social policy issue and are discussed in the following section.

The Living Wage is a Subject of Consistent Widespread Public Debate

Extensive press coverage, a central role in the recent wave of union organizing and strikes, public policy initiatives, and polling data support the existence of a consistent widespread public debate on the issue of a living wage.

The focus of the U.S. debate over worker pay has shifted to emphasize the importance of a living wage. Higher-than-average rates of inflation as the country emerged from the COVID-19 pandemic put tremendous strain on workers already just getting by²⁸ and highlighted the importance of wages covering basic necessities whose prices were increasing.²⁹ According to ABC News.com:

Near-historic price increases for basics like food and gas have drawn scrutiny to a question at the heart of the economy: How much money must a worker make to stay afloat? . . . As cost increases persist and workers try to keep up, buzzwords like 'poverty wage,' 'minimum wage' and 'living wage' are coming back into the lexicon, shaping conversations about what it means to make enough and who decides where to draw the line.³⁰

Consulting firm pwc recently stated:

A. recent survey found that 63% of workers could not absorb a \$500 emergency expense (https://www.cnbc.com/2023/08/31/63percent-of-workers-are-unable-to-pay-a-500-emergency-expense-survey.html)
 See Dominic Gates, "Alaska Airlines Faces Contract Fight With Flight Attendants' Claims of Poverty-Level Wages," The Seattle Times, Dec. 23, 2023 ("The pressure from high inflation since COVID-19 battered the economy has caused a crisis among low-wage earners."); Mackenzie Mays, "Fed up' California workers across industries demand more amid rising cost of living," Los Angeles Times, Mar. 23, 2023

³⁰ https://abcnews.go.com/Business/difference-minimum-wage-living-wage-matters/story?id=96251007

From our findings and the external environment, one thing is clear - the concept of living wages has become an expected and integral part of the Social considerations within ESG, and is now becoming recognised as such by regulators, investors, ESG ratings agencies, organisations and workers.³¹

Recent press coverage of the plight of workers not paid a living wage has been abundant. Although the pandemic provided many opportunities to highlight low-wage workers' sacrifices and challenges, more recent examples from 2023 and 2024 include:

- David Leonhardt, "How Elba Makes a Living Wage," The New York Times, July 7, 2023
- "Amazon, DoorDash, Walmart, trapping workers in poverty," UN News, Oct. 31, 2023
- Daniel McFadin, "Homeless liaisons serve kids in need; Finding food and shelter for students, families proves large, daily challenge," Arkansas Democrat-Gazette (Little Rock), Nov. 20, 2023 ("When it comes to why his district has so many 'families in transition,' Donnell said the most common reason he hears is 'the jobs that they have are not living wage jobs."")
- Anna Spoerre, "She was making \$8.50 an hour. This Kansas City woman fights for a living wage for all," The Kansas City Star, Jan. 16, 2023
- Alejandra Reyes-Velarde, "Tourism workers seek \$25 minimum wage before Olympics, World Cup in Los Angeles, Jun 1, 2023
- Gili Malinsky, "Minimum wages are going up, but typical workers still don't make enough to get by in any U.S. state," CNBC.com, Feb. 16, 2023
- Margaret Christopherson, "Purdue graduate student raise gets tepid response; Group notes that it is still below a living wage," The Indianapolis Star, Apr. 9, 2023 (Purdue University graduate worker labor organization criticized graduate worker pay increase as "unacceptable: every Purdue worker is entitled to a living wage in return for the work they do.")
- Jon Emont, "Fashion Firms Still Wrestle With How to Pay Workers a Living Wage," <u>The Wall Street Journal</u>, January 4, 2024 ("A decade ago, global fashion companies set out to raise the pay of workers who make the clothes they sell to a living wage. It hasn't happened."
- James Dean, "Fewer than 40% of New Yorkers earn a living wage, Cornell Chronicle, Jan. 9, 2023
- Catherine Muccigrosso, "Poverty doesn't fly.' Charlotte airport workers rally for better pay and benefits," The Charlotte Observer, Sept. 19, 2023
- Phyllis Cha, "Rideshare drivers push for passage of Chicago Rideshare Living Wage and Safety Ordinance," Chicago Sun-Times, Dec. 14, 2023
- Gabriel San Roman, "Disneyland workers primed for salary bump; Victory in a legal battle over living wage is expected to buoy thousands," Los Angeles Times, Nov. 6, 2023
- Sarah Moreno, "Rent on her apartment went up so she rented a small room. She can't afford it either," The Miami Herald, Dec. 20, 2023 (citing data on Florida living wage)
- Sarah Rahal, "Good Detroit forecast cited; But UM report finds that only 36% of city's residents make a living wage," The Detroit News (Michigan), Sept. 1, 2023 ("However, a good deal of work remains to achieve widely shared economic success, and the city lags several of its Midwestern counterparts in the number of workers earning a living wage," UM economists said.")
- Emma Janssen, "This is how much you need to make to live alone in Florida, new report says," The Miami Herald, Sept. 14, 2023 ("Living alone in Florida is expensive, a new report shows. . . . A new study from personal finance site GoBanking calculated the amount of money a single person needs to make to earn a living wage in each state.")
- Brianna Taylor, "Minimum wage in California is going up again in 2024. Is it enough to live on?"
 Sacramento Bee (California), Dec. 27, 2023

³¹ https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/services/tax/esg-tax/global-living-wage-survey.html

- Dean Narciso, "City Council OKs 'living wage' ordinance for contract workers; \$20 hourly rate tops state's \$10.10 minimum," The Columbus Dispatch (Ohio), July 19, 2023
- Chloe Hilles, "Lake County mental health providers battling staffing shortages linked to low wages, large caseloads and burnout," Chicago Tribune, Dec. 6, 2023 ("As proud as I am that I'm paying more, it is not a living wage,' she said. 'For my single employees who have just graduated, \$50,000 a year is not enough for them to get an apartment on their own.")
- Lizzy McLellan Ravitch, "'A real crisis': Five takeaways on pay for child care in Pa.; A new report finds that employees don't make a living wage in any of the state's counties," The Philadelphia Inquirer, Mar. 3, 2023

Much of the recent surge in union organizing and strike activity has stemmed from workers' demands to be paid a living wage. These fights, at a level not seen since decades, have involved workers in many industries and geographies. Examples from just the past 18 months include:

- Auto and parts workers: "There's no economic balance': UAW members fighting for a 'living wage' as cost of living concerns rise," WKBW.com, Sept. 19, 2023; Chris Isidore & Vanessa Yurkevich, "United Auto Workers go on strike," CNN.com, Sept. 16, 2023 (quoting UAW director in Indiana and Ohio stating, "We need to pay our workers a decent living wage... We cannot have people working for \$15 and \$16 an hour getting government assistance to feed their families when these corporations are getting billions of dollars to build new factories."); Lizzy McLellan Ravitch, "UAW workers at Montco plant strike; The employees at a Dometic facility in Royersford seek higher wage increases than what the company offered, said the union," The Philadelphia Inquirer, Sept. 12, 2023 ("The union said that offer isn't enough given the rate of inflation in recent years. 'We have a decent portion of this workforce that, quite frankly, is below the living wage,' Local 644 president Jim Hutchinson said.")
- Educators: Julia Silverman and Sami Edge, "Emotions run high as teachers strike; Educators -- and a smattering of parents -- picket at Portland's 81 schools," The Oregonian, Nov. 2, 2023 (quoting school social worker saying pay is "just not a living wage in Portland."); Hannah Mackay, "Grad student union outlines top 5 demands for UM; Living wage, emergency fund, child care top list," The Detroit News, Mar. 30, 2023; Rick Sobey, "Andover: Judge Hits Striking Teachers With \$50K Fine," The Boston Herald, Nov. 14, 2023 (quoting union statement that "The School Committee has not broken us, nor have they broken our resolve to see IAs (instructional assistants) paid a living wage"); Mercedes CannonTran, "California state university; Cal Poly Pomona faculty leads first day of strikes," Orange County Register (California), Dec. 5, 2023 ("Leano echoed Sandoval, saying he wanted to make sure "every single member of this faculty gets paid a fair and living wage.")
- Health care workers: Emmanuel Camarillo and Mary Norkol, "Loretto Hospital workers set to strike Monday morning," Chicago Sun-Times, July 30, 2023 ("Union members are demanding a living wage that they say is needed to address unsafe staffing levels.")
- Flight attendants: Dominic Gates, "Alaska Airlines Faces Contract Fight With Flight Attendants' Claims of Poverty-Level Wages," The Seattle Times, Dec. 23, 2023 ("Sara Nelson, AFA international president, said the lack of a living wage for new flight attendants represents 'the last vestiges of the sexism in the industry' from the early days of aviation when 'flight stewardesses' were not expected to be independent breadwinners.")
- <u>Retail workers</u>: Kristine De Leon, "Powell's Books workers set to strike on Labor Day," The Oregonian, Aug. 12, 2023 ("Over 85% of Powell's union workers currently make below the area's

living wage, with many workers remaining 'capped' below a living wage -- including those who've worked for the company for decades,' the union said in a statement.")

- Hospitality workers: Kurtis Lee, "Union Members Authorize Strike at Las Vegas Resorts," The New York Times, Sept. 28, 2023 (quoting Las Vegas food server as stating that "[t]he workers deserve a living wage"); Jill Cowan, "L.A.'s Hotel Workers to Strike in 'Waves' for a Living Wage," The New York Times, July 7, 2023; Kevin Smith, "Labor action; 4 more hotels reach tentative agreements with union," Orange County Register (California), Dec. 13, 2023 ("Hotel workers at the Beverly Hilton are eager to kick off the awards season now that Hollywood is back in full swing because they have a contract with a living wage," [union leader] Petersen said in a statement"); Suhauna Hussain, "Union, 10 more hotels reach deals. Is strike nearing an end?" Los Angeles Times, Dec. 17, 2023 ("Kurt Petersen, co-president of Unite Here Local 11, said in a statement Saturday. 'Our members are more determined today to win a living wage than the first day of this historic strike. Nothing will stop them.")
- Starbucks workers: "Workers at Starbucks in University City vote to join union, 6abc.com, Jan. 12, 2024 ("The union said this is the 11th store in Pennsylvania to join. It says nationwide more than 9,000 baristas have organized for 'justice, fighting for improvements on core issues including respect, living wages, racial and gender equity, and fair scheduling."); Rani Molla, "How a bunch of Starbucks baristas built a labor movement," Vox.com, Apr. 8, 2022 (quoting Starbucks worker stating "Tell me why I don't deserve a living wage."); Zach Schonfeld, "Starbucks union requests wage increases apply at unionized stores," The Hill, Aug. 1, 2022 ("Workers at dozens of Starbucks stores in the U.S. have formed unions in the past few months, with employees citing struggles with work conditions and making a living wage.")

When former Starbucks CEO Howard Schultz testified before the Senate Labor Committee in March 2023³² and touted the company's average \$17.50 wage, he was reminded by Indiana Republican Senator Mike Braun that "Even \$17, that's not a living wage in this day and age."³³

- <u>Human services employees</u>: Alexandra Hardle, "Why the people taking your Medicare questions by phone are on strike," The Arizona Republic (Phoenix), Nov. 15, 2023 ("Ercanbrack said some of that [federal contract] money is siphoned off for things like large bonuses for the management team, as opposed to paying their employees a living wage.")
- Performers: Mary McNamara, "Actors can't afford to bend on AI," Los Angeles Times, Oct. 3, 2023 ("The studios created a system in which working actors can no longer earn a living wage in their chosen profession, and it's up to the studios to change that."); Kevin Smith, "Striking workers do not reach deal," Orange County Register (California), Nov. 28, 2023 ("Medieval Times management, they say, has consistently blocked their efforts to secure a 'living wage' and provide improved safety measures.")
- <u>Gig workers</u>: Matthew Medsger, "WAGE WAR; Rideshare drivers keep pushing," The Boston Herald, Oct. 18, 2023 (quoting Uber driver as stating, "We deserve a living wage and basic protections like the freedom to join a union")

As the labor militancy described above shows, substantial efforts have focused on demands that employers pay a living wage. Put another way, living wage supporters have looked beyond legal requirements to address the gap between the minimum and living wage, the approach taken by the

³² https://www.c-span.org/video/?526579-1/senate-hearing-starbucks-treatment-union-organizing

³³ Megan K. Stack, "This is how the bosses win," The New York Times, July 23, 2023

Proposal. However, some public policy initiatives have also explicitly aimed at promoting or achieving a living wage over the past several years. For example:

- The Detroit City Council unanimously approved resolutions supporting health care workers bargaining with a city hospital and striking casino workers. The resolutions stated, "The Detroit City Council supports working people across every sector of our economy and recognizes that working people deserve respect, adequate workplace protections, and the right to a living wage."³⁴
- The sponsor of Sacramento's "Health Care Worker Minimum Wage Ordinance" explained the choice of \$25 per hour by referring to the wage rate for the area specified in the MIT living wage calculator.³⁵
- The use of cost-of-living adjustments ("COLAs") for minimum wages reflect living wage considerations. On January 1, 2024, COLAs will lead to increases in the minimum wages of 14 states and 36 cities and counties. Later in 2024, the minimum wages of one state and 20 cities and counties will increase as a result of COLAs. 36 Ballot initiatives to index the minimum wage to inflation have been submitted in Alaska 37 and Ohio. 38
- The city of Tukwila, Washington adopted a measure³⁹ providing that "required effective July 1, 2023, every large employer shall pay to each employee an hourly wage of not less than the 2022 'living wage rate' in the City of SeaTac, established pursuant to SeaTac Municipal Code Section 7.45.060, adjusted for 2023 by the annual rate of inflation."⁴⁰
- The California ballot initiative to be voted on in 2024 to raise the minimum wage to \$18 per hour is titled "The Living Wage Act." ⁴¹
- The importance of workers receiving a living wage is cited as a reason for ballot initiatives to increase the minimum wage:
 - o "Minimum wage is not supposed to be a starvation wage. It's supposed to be hopefully close to a living wage" 42
 - o "When [Missouri workers] earn a decent wage and can care for their health and their families' health without risking their jobs or paychecks, then they can fully participate in their communities and contribute to Missouri's economic growth" ⁴³
 - o "Thousands of Ohioans of all walks of life work hard but don't take home enough to cover the basics." 44

³⁴ Sarah Rahal, "Detroit City Council issues support for DMC workers during contract stalemate," The Detroit News, Oct. 31, 2023; https://www.detroitcasinocouncil.org/city-council-resolution/

³⁵ Katie Valenzuela, "Here's why I propose that Sacramento's health care workers make at least \$25 an hour," Sacramento Bee, July 29, 2023

³⁶ https://www.nelp.org/wp-content/uploads/Raises-in-2024.pdf, at 2-3.

 $^{^{37}\} https://www.alaskasnewssource.com/2024/01/11/new-ballot-initiative-aims-increase-alaskas-minimum-wage-require-paid-sick-leave/$

³⁸ https://www.policymattersohio.org/research-policy/fair-economy/work-wages/minimum-wage/13-minimum-wage-would-help-14-million-working-ohioans

³⁹ https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/washington-update-tukwila-passes-higher-4035074/

⁴⁰ https://www.tukwilawa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024-Tukwila-Minimum-Wage-Notice.pdf

⁴¹ https://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/release-18-dollar-minimum-wage-in-california/

⁴² https://www.adn.com/politics/2024/01/09/group-seeking-to-increase-alaska-minimum-wage-turns-in-signatures-to-put-question-on-2024-ballot/

⁴³ https://mobudget.org/analysis-min-wage-earned-sick-ballot/

⁴⁴ https://www.policymattersohio.org/research-policy/fair-economy/work-wages/minimum-wage/13-minimum-wage-would-help-14-million-working-ohioans

- o "People who work for a living should earn a living." ⁴⁵
- o "Every worker, irrespective of their background [or] ability, deserves the dignity of making a living wage, a fair wage" 46

Florida, for its part, may move in the opposite direction by passing legislation specifically negating living wage measures. H.B. 433 would prohibit local living wage requirements applicable to employees of government contractors in high cost of living areas such as Miami-Dade and Broward Counties.⁴⁷ Earlier last year, a different version of the bill was not passed,⁴⁸ but a new bill preempting living wage rules was introduced in November.⁴⁹

Payment of a living wage is a high priority for Americans. Research firm JUST Capital ranks companies on the issues identified as most important in polling; consistently, "[p]ays workers fairly and offers a living wage that covers the cost of basic needs at the local level" comes out on top. ⁵⁰ In a 2023 survey by Data for Progress, 1,244 likely voters were asked what hourly wage a worker needed to earn to "have a decent quality of life (that is, the ability to afford basic necessities such as groceries, rent or mortgage payments, transportation, and other essential bills without struggling)". ⁵¹ Sixty-three percent of those likely voters believed that a worker needs to earn more than \$20 per hour to have a decent quality of life, with 50% of voters favoring an increase in the minimum wage to \$20 an hour. ⁵² These results indicate that the American public recognizes the gulf between a living wage and minimum wage.

Living wage is emerging as an issue in political races. One South Carolina pastor identified it as a key challenge for 2024 presidential candidates: "We need to create a living wage with the out-of-control cost-of-living expenses." Announcing her candidacy for Wisconsin's 3rd Congressional District seat, Tara Johnson stated: "A big part of my background is I have lived in, and my kids have grown up in, a union household, and we understand the importance of a living wage for every family . . . It's gas and it's food, but it's also healthcare... That's definitely a pressing issue for voters in the 3rd district." Nelsie Yang, running for re-election to the St. Paul, MN city council, singled out the need for a living wage as the "most pressing issue" in her ward. 55 Another St. Paul city council identified "ensuring St. Paulites make an affordable living wage" as a priority. 56 Arizona

 $^{^{45}\} https://www.oklahoman.com/story/news/2024/01/05/oklahoma-supreme-court-minimum-wage-petition-for-ballot-what-we-know/72107626007/$

⁴⁶ https://dcist.com/story/23/09/19/montgomery-county-introduces-bill-to-phase-out-tipped-minimum-wage/

⁴⁷ Editorial, "'These are not children' and Florida lawmakers' other lousy excuses for undoing workers' rights," The Miami Herald, Dec. 16, 2023.

⁴⁸ Lawrence Mower, "Florida Legislators Decide to Leave Local Living Wage' Rules Alone," The Miami Herald, May 2, 2023.

⁴⁹ https://www.flsenate.gov/Session/Bill/2024/433

⁵⁰ https://justcapital.com/reports/2023-survey-worker-issues-most-imporant-to-americans-amid-labor-strikes-ai/

⁵¹ https://www.dataforprogress.org/blog/2023/5/24/725-isnt-cutting-it-in-this-economy-voters-support-raising-the-minimum-wage-to-20-per-hour

⁵² https://www.dataforprogress.org/blog/2023/5/24/725-isnt-cutting-it-in-this-economy-voters-support-raising-the-minimum-wage-to-20-per-hour

⁵³ Story Hinckley, "Biden's urgent task: Reengaging Black voters; President Biden's support from Black voters, a key to his 2020 presidential win, has waned. Is the challenge a broader one for the Democratic Party?" The Christian Science Monitor, Jan. 8, 2024

⁵⁴ Lawrence Andrea, "Johnson enters race for 3rd Congressional District," Milwaukee Journal Sentinel, Sept. 7, 2023.

⁵⁵ Greta Kaul, "Yang aims to continue push for progressive policies," Star Tribune (Minneapolis, MN), Oct. 21, 2023

⁵⁶ Greta Kaul, "Six run for open East Side seat on St. Paul city council, Star Tribune (Minneapolis, MN), Oct. 28, 2023)

Congressional candidate Kurt Kroemer cited "the housing crisis and a living wage" as examples of "how we prioritize what is truly important in our country." ⁵⁷

The Failure by Employers to Pay Workers a Living Wage Has Broad Societal Impacts, Exacerbating Racial and Gender Inequity and Income Inequality, Transferring Wealth From Taxpayers to Low-Wage Employers, Harming Public Health, and Impeding the Delivery of Important Public Services,

Employers' failure to pay workers a living wage has many broad societal impacts, including inequality-related systemic risks that are especially harmful to diversified investors. Home Depot seems to suggest that the Proponent hopes to establish income inequality as a significant social policy issue and allow the Proposal to leverage that status in order to avoid exclusion.⁵⁸ That is not the case, as shown by the discussion above, which argues that the living wage is a significant social policy issue. However, under SLB 14L, broad societal impacts such as exacerbation of various forms of inequality are relevant to the significant social policy issue analysis.

The lack of a living wage exacerbates racial and gender inequity. Women, black, and Latino workers are overrepresented among low-wage workers. ⁵⁹ A 2022 study of workers in Tompkins County, New York found that about two-thirds of black workers were making less than the living wage for the area, while a slightly higher proportion of white workers were making more than the living wage. ⁶⁰ Raising the floor by paying a living wage would help move workers out of poverty, reducing racial and gender disparities in income. JUST Capital polling has found that Americans recognize the connection between a living wage and racial justice. In a 2022 survey, 77% of respondents agreed that "racial equity cannot be achieved without all workers being paid a living wage."

Addressing racial and gender inequity helps lift the economy: One estimate pegs the growth in the U.S. economy from eliminating racial disparities at \$8 trillion, while reducing gender inequity "could add as much as USD \$13 trillion to global GDP." 62

Failure to pay a living wage also fuels income inequality, which in turn harms the economy. According to the Economic Policy Institute ("EPI"), "[e]rosion of the minimum wage is the main reason for the increase in inequality between low-and middle-wage workers." Rising inequality, EPI says, has depressed demand by "2 to 4 percentage points of GDP annually in recent years." The Business Commission to Tackle Inequality estimates that "[c]losing the living wage gap worldwide could generate an additional USD \$4.56 trillion every year through increased productivity and spending." In addition to economic impacts, high levels of inequality are "eroding social cohesion, diminishing trust in key institutions, fueling civil conflict and political polarization, and undermining

⁵⁷ Tara Kavaler, "Kroemer joins Democrats aiming to face Schweikert in battle for House seat," The Arizona Republic, May 2, 2023

⁵⁸ See No-Action Request, at 9-10

⁵⁹ https://www.americanprogress.org/article/raising-the-minimum-wage-would-be-an-investment-in-growing-the-middle-class/

⁶⁰ https://news.cornell.edu/stories/2022/09/tompkins-county-living-wage-study-reveals-racial-disparities

⁶¹ https://justcapital.com/reports/americans-agree-that-advancing-racial-equity-starts-with-paying-a-fair-wage/

⁶² https://tacklinginequality.org/files/introduction.pdf

⁶³ https://www.epi.org/blog/tight-link-minimum-wage-wage-inequality/

⁶⁴ https://www.epi.org/publication/secular-stagnation/

⁶⁵ https://tacklinginequality.org/files/introduction.pdf

our collective capacity to tackle complex challenges." ⁶⁶ Seventy percent of respondents to a 2021 JUST Capital survey indicated that corporate CEOs have a role to play in addressing income inequality. ⁶⁷

Workers not paid a living wage must resort to government-funded safety net programs like the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, Medicaid, and housing vouchers, to meet their basic needs. Reliance on these programs shifts the cost of doing business from low-wage employers to taxpayers, a form of wealth redistribution from poor to rich. A study found that families of year-round workers who would see a wage increase if the federal minimum wage were increased to \$15 an hour accounted for \$107 billion per year in costs for the five largest safety-net programs. These programs create government bureaucracies and "entangle eligible workers in the operations of the welfare state and its complex paperwork." Paperwork or government errors can lead to programs being cut off with little or no warning.

Broad consensus exists that "income is a social determinant of health." To achieve health equity, the World Health Organization recommends that workers should have "quality" work at a living wage. Low-wage employment leads to worse health outcomes, and a report adopted at the American Medical Association's 2022 meeting stated that "[w]age, income and health research suggests that policies to boost the take-home pay of low-income populations would improve their health status and lower health care costs." A University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee study of low-wage workers comparing 18 cities with living wage ordinances with 40 lacking such protections found better health outcomes among the workers in areas with more generous living wage policies. To

Failure to pay a living wage leads to staffing challenges and disruptions in delivering vital human services. A 2023 Boston Globe editorial attributed the collapse of Massachusetts' day habilitation programs for medically fragile developmentally disabled people to the agencies' failure to pay a living wage and subsequent inability to attract qualified staff. Thicago's recently-passed budget includes beginning to reopen city mental health clinics; supporters urge that union representation at clinics would "lead to living-wage salaries" and stabilize staffing levels.

⁶⁶ https://tacklinginequality.org/files/introduction.pdf, at 4.

⁶⁷ https://justcapital.com/reports/survey-analysis-americans-agree-ceos-have-a-role-to-play-in-addressing-income-inequality-believe-workers-are-being-left-behind/

⁶⁸ https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-21-410t.pdf

⁶⁹ Michael Lind, "America pays a high price for low wages," The Wall Street Journal, Apr. 29, 2023

 $^{^{70}\} https://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/the-public-cost-of-a-low-federal-minimum-wage/$

⁷¹ Michael Lind, "America pays a high price for low wages," The Wall Street Journal, Apr. 29, 2023

⁷² E.g., https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2023/01/24/1150798086/6-8-million-expected-to-lose-medicaid-when-paperwork-hurdles-return; https://www.nytimes.com/2023/05/26/us/politics/medicaid-coverage-pandemic-loss.html

⁷³ https://www.apha.org/policies-and-advocacy/public-health-policy-statements/policy-database/2017/01/18/improving-health-by-increasing-minimum-wage

⁷⁴ https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/43943/9789241563703_eng.pdf, at 6.

⁷⁵ https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/health-equity/ama-low-wage-work-exacerbates-health-inequities

⁷⁶ https://uwm.edu/news/the-effect-of-living-wage-laws/

⁷⁷ Editorial, "State owes families in need of 'day hab' services an explanation," The Boston Globe, Aug. 15, 2023

⁷⁸ Jack Sheridan, "Mayor Brandon Johnson's mental health plan in Chicago starts small but carries big political implications," Chicago Tribune, Dec. 4, 2023

Home Depot argues that a significant social policy issue is somehow ancillary to, or not the central focus of, the Proposal. Home Depot cites on pages 8-11 of the No-Action Request to determinations in which the Staff allowed exclusion of proposals whose central focus was not a significant social policy issue.

In PetSmart, ⁷⁹ the proposal asked the company to require its suppliers to attest that they had not violated certain laws related to animal cruelty. PetSmart urged that the laws in question governed not only animal cruelty, a significant policy issue, but also mundane matters such as record keeping. The Staff concurred and granted relief, citing the breadth of the laws referenced in the proposal. Similarly, the Amazon⁸⁰ proposal was captioned "Address Wealth Inequality Through an Ownership Culture," but its resolved clause did not mention inequality or urge Amazon to take any specific steps to address it. Instead, it sought disclosure of "the distribution of stock-based incentives throughout the workforce (such as but not limited to performance share units, employee stock purchase plans, restricted stock units, and options)" organized by job classification. The proposal's supporting statement discussed wealth inequality at some length, and characterized it conclusorily as a "significant social policy issue," it was not clear how the proposal's disclosure request would reduce such inequality. The proposal in Repligen⁸¹ seems to have suffered from similar infirmities.

Here, by contrast, the significant social policy issue of a living wage is front and center in the Proposal. The Proponent is not relying on the relationship between living wage and human rights principles, or on some type of inequality being deemed a significant social policy issue, to make the case that the Proposal's subject transcends ordinary business, although those connections help to illustrate the significance of the issue and its societal impacts. Living wage qualifies as a significant social policy issue under the long-standing standard that an issue must be a consistent subject of widespread public debate, as well as SLB 14L's more recent emphasis on broad societal impact.

The Proposal Would Not Micromanage Home Depot

Home Depot claims that the Proposal is excludable because it would micromanage the Company. SLB 14L shifted the Division's approach to analyzing whether a proposal can be excluded on ordinary business grounds due to micromanagement. SLB 14L explained that recent Staff application of the micromanagement doctrine "expanded the concept of micromanagement beyond the Commission's policy directives" and "may have been taken to mean that any limit on company or board discretion constitutes micromanagement." Going forward, SLB 14L stated, the Staff "will focus on the level of granularity sought in the proposal and whether and to what extent it inappropriately limits discretion of the board or management."

The Living Wage Report sought by the Proposal is not excessively granular, as it would include only three items: the number of Kohl's employees paid less than a living wage, broken down by full-time, part-time, and contingent workers; the gap for each group of employees between the aggregate compensation actually paid and the compensation they would have received if Kohl's paid a living wage; and the living wage benchmark or methodology employed. These items do not qualify as "intricate detail." Contrast the Proposal's request with the detail sought by the Deere 82 and

⁷⁹ PetSmart, Inc. (Mar. 24, 2011).

⁸⁰ Amazon.com, Inc. (McRitchie) (Apr. 8, 2022)

⁸¹ Repligen Corporation (Apr. 1, 2022)

⁸² Deere & Co. (Jan. 3, 2022)

Verizon⁸³ proposals, cited by Home Depot, which asked the companies to publish all written and oral materials used in diversity, equity and inclusion or "related" trainings for employees each year.

The Proposal is also not overly prescriptive. It would not require Home Depot to use any particular living wage methodology in preparing the requested report. Home Depot claims that this flexibility shows that there are no "well-established national or international frameworks" governing the Proposal's subject. While it is true that living wage methodologies vary somewhat, due to differences in data sources and approaches to assessing formula inputs like housing quality, they all aim to estimate the amount a worker would need to earn to pay for basic needs. In other words, there is broad agreement on the elements of a living wage, despite minor methodological differences.

Home Depot highlights complexities associated with the Anker methodology, but the Proposal does not ask the Company to concern itself with that process, either by compiling living wage rates itself or inserting itself into a data provider's calculations. Instead, Home Depot would select a living wage calculator, obtain the relevant living wage data, generate a gap analysis for its workforce, and report on the results. The MIT Living Wage Calculator makes its data available in tabular form upon request; that data could be matched with Home Depot's own data about its workforce, which would include information such as location necessary to determine the applicable living wage. If Home Depot does not maintain data in its human capital management software regarding certain factors relevant to the living wage, like number of dependents, the Company could adopt simplifying assumptions to obviate the need for that data. For example, Home Depot could decide to use the living wage for a certain family size for all employees and disclose any assumptions used in the Living Wage Report. (Living Wage for US provides an example of such an assumption regarding family size. ⁸⁷)

Home Depot could also obtain expert assistance to help it integrate living wage estimates into its human capital management software and determine how to calculate the gap between current wages and a living wage. For example, Living Wage for US provides research tools and consulting services aimed at providing support to employers. The World Business Council for Sustainable Development also has developed benchmarks and a comparison tool for companies. Although initial set-up would likely involve some expenditure of time and resources, subsequent reports would only require obtaining updated living wage data and recalculating the gap.

As shown by the widespread public debate, including press coverage, renewed labor militancy, public policy initiatives, and public opinion, as well as the broad societal impacts, payment of a living wage transcends ordinary business and is an appropriate subject for shareholder input. The Proposal would not micromanage Home Depot, moreover, because the Company has latitude

⁸³ Verizon Communications Inc. (NCPPR) (Mar. 17, 2022)

⁸⁴ No-Action Request, at 13

⁸⁵ https://livingwage.mit.edu/pages/faqs

⁸⁶ There is reason to believe that Kohl's uses the powerful and flexible enterprise management software Workday. (https://blog.workday.com/en-us/2017/why-retail-digital-transformation-begins-with-employees.html; https://forms.workday.com/en-gb/webinars/how-kohls-uses-workday-success-plans/form.html?step=step1_default)

⁸⁷ See https://livingwageforus.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Living-Wage-For-US-Methodology.pdf

⁸⁸ https://livingwageforus.org/portfolio-item/fee-structure/

⁸⁹ https://www.wbcsd.org/Overview/News-Insights/WBCSD-insights/Leveling-up-on-living-wages-to-address-inequality

to choose among providers of living wage data and the Proposal does not request intricate detail. Home Depot has thus failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it is entitled to omit the Proposal in reliance on Rule 14a-8(i)(7), and the Proponent respectfully asks that its request for relief be denied.

* * *

The Proponent appreciates the opportunity to be of assistance in this matter. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at 617.301.0029.

Sincerely,

Marcela Pinilla Director of Sustainable Investing Zevin Asset Management

cc: Elizabeth Ising
Eising@gibsondunn.com