

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34-97689; File No. SR-NYSEAMER-2023-14)

June 9, 2023

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 1 and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, to Adopt New Section 811 of the NYSE American Company Guide to Establish Listing Standards Related to Recovery of Erroneously Awarded Incentive-Based Executive Compensation

I. Introduction

On February 22, 2023, NYSE American LLC (“NYSE American” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to adopt new Section 811 of the NYSE American Company Guide (“Company Guide”) to require issuers to adopt and comply with a policy providing for the recovery of erroneously awarded incentive-based compensation received by current or former executive officers as required by Rule 10D-1 under the Act (“Rule 10D-1”). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on March 13, 2023.³ On April 24, 2023, the Commission extended the time period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.⁴ On June 7, 2023, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change, which replaced and superseded the proposed rule

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 97054 (March 7, 2023), 88 FR 15466 (“Notice”). No comments were received in response to this Notice.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 97361, 88 FR 26370 (April 28, 2023).

change as originally filed.⁵ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, from interested persons and is approving the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, on an accelerated basis.

II. Background and Description of the Proposal, as Modified by Amendment No. 1

On October 26, 2022, the Commission adopted final Rule 10D-1⁶ to implement Section 954 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (“Dodd-Frank Act”), which added Section 10D to the Act. Section 10D of the Act requires the Commission to adopt rules directing the national securities exchanges to prohibit the listing of any security of an issuer that is not in compliance with the requirements of Section 10D of the Act. Rule 10D-1 requires national securities exchanges that list securities to establish listing standards that require each issuer to adopt and comply with a written executive compensation recovery policy and to provide the disclosures required by Rule 10D-1 and in the applicable Commission filings.⁷

⁵ Amendment No. 1 is available on the Commission’s website at <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nyseamer-2023-14/srnyseamer202314-201279-402762.pdf>. In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange (i) proposes to amend Section 801 of the Company Guide to make it clear, consistent with the language of proposed Section 811 of the Company Guide (“Section 811”), that every listed issuer is subject to Section 811 unless such issuer is eligible for an exemption set forth in that rule; (ii) amends proposed Section 811(b) to provide that the effective date of Section 811 would be October 2, 2023; and (iii) amends proposed Section 811(h) (Noncompliance with Section 811 (Erroneously Awarded Compensation)) (“Section 811(h)”) to provide that in the event of any failure by a listed issuer to comply with any requirement of Section 811, the Exchange may at its sole discretion provide such issuer with an initial six-month cure period and an additional six-month cure period.

⁶ 17 CFR 240.10D-1.

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 96159, 87 FR 73076 (November 28, 2022) (“Adopting Release”). Rule 10D-1 requires such exchange listing rules to be effective no later than one year after November 28, 2022. Rule 10D-1 further requires that each listed issuer: (i) adopt the required recovery policy no later than 60 days following the effective date of the listing standard; (ii) comply with the recovery policy for all incentive-based compensation received by executive officers on or after the effective date of the applicable listing standard; and (iii) provide the required disclosures on or after the

Under Rule 10D-1, listed companies must recover from current and former executive officers incentive-based compensation received during the three completed fiscal years preceding the date on which the issuer is required to prepare an accounting restatement.

As required by Rule 10D-1, the Exchange proposes to adopt Section 811 entitled “Erroneously Awarded Compensation.” Proposed Section 811 (the “Rule”) mirrors the text of Rule 10D-1. Specifically, proposed Section 811 would require Exchange listed issuers to adopt a recovery policy that complies with the requirements of the Rule (“recovery policy”), comply with their recovery policy, and provide the required disclosures in the applicable Commission filing.⁸ Proposed Section 1003(h) would prohibit the initial or continued listing of any security of an issuer that is not in compliance with the requirements of any portion of the rule.⁹

Specifically, proposed Section 811(c)(1) would require each issuer, for initial and continued listing, to adopt and comply with a written recovery policy providing that the issuer will recover reasonably promptly the amount of erroneously awarded incentive-based compensation in the event that the issuer is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to the material noncompliance of the issuer with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws, including any required accounting restatement to correct an error in previously issued financial statements that is material to the previously issued financial statements, or that would result in a material misstatement if the error were corrected in the current period or left uncorrected in the current period.

effective date of the listing standard.

⁸ See proposed Section 811(b) and (c).

⁹ See proposed Section 1003(h).

The issuer’s recovery policy must apply to all incentive-based compensation received by a person: (A) after beginning service as an executive officer; (B) who served as an executive officer at any time during the performance period for that incentive-based compensation; (C) while the issuer has a class of securities listed on a national securities exchange or a national securities association; and (D) during the three completed fiscal years immediately preceding the date that the issuer is required to prepare an accounting restatement as described in paragraph (c)(1) of the Rule.¹⁰ An issuer’s obligation to recover erroneously awarded compensation is not dependent on if or when the restated financial statements are filed.

For purposes of determining the relevant recovery period, the date that an issuer is required to prepare an accounting restatement as described in paragraph (c)(1) of the Rule is the earlier to occur of: (A) the date the issuer’s board of directors, a committee of the board of directors, or the officer or officers of the issuer authorized to take such action if board action is not required, concludes, or reasonably should have concluded, that the issuer is required to prepare an accounting restatement as described in paragraph (c)(1) of the Rule; or (B) the date a court, regulator, or other legally authorized body directs the issuer to prepare an accounting restatement as described in paragraph (c)(1) of the Rule.¹¹

The amount of incentive-based compensation that must be subject to the issuer’s recovery policy (“erroneously awarded compensation”) is the amount of incentive-based compensation received that exceeds the amount of incentive-based compensation that otherwise would have

¹⁰ See proposed Section 811(c)(1)(i). In addition to these last three completed fiscal years, the recovery policy must apply to any transition period (that results from a change in the issuer’s fiscal year) within or immediately following those three completed fiscal years. However, a transition period between the last day of the issuer’s previous fiscal year end and the first day of its new fiscal year that comprises a period of nine to 12 months would be deemed a completed fiscal year.

¹¹ See proposed Section 811(c)(1)(ii).

been received had it been determined based on the restated amounts, and must be computed without regard to any taxes paid. For incentive-based compensation based on stock price or total shareholder return, where the amount of erroneously awarded compensation is not subject to mathematical recalculation directly from the information in an accounting restatement: (A) the amount must be based on a reasonable estimate of the effect of the accounting restatement on the stock price or total shareholder return upon which the incentive-based compensation was received; and (B) the issuer must maintain documentation of the determination of that reasonable estimate and provide such documentation to the Exchange.¹²

The issuer must recover erroneously awarded compensation in compliance with its recovery policy except to the extent that one of the conditions set forth below is met, and the issuer's committee of independent directors responsible for executive compensation decisions, or in the absence of such a committee, a majority of the independent directors serving on the board, has made a determination that recovery would be impracticable.

- The direct expense paid to a third party to assist in enforcing the policy would exceed the amount to be recovered. Before concluding that it would be impracticable to recover any amount of erroneously awarded compensation based on expense of enforcement, the issuer must make a reasonable attempt to recover such erroneously awarded compensation, document such reasonable attempt(s) to recover, and provide that documentation to the Exchange.
- Recovery would violate home country law where that law was adopted prior to November 28, 2022. Before concluding that it would be impracticable to recover any amount of erroneously awarded compensation based on violation of home

¹² See proposed Section 811(c)(1)(iii).

country law, the issuer must obtain an opinion of home country counsel, acceptable to the Exchange, that recovery would result in such a violation, and must provide such opinion to the Exchange.

- Recovery would likely cause an otherwise tax-qualified retirement plan, under which benefits are broadly available to employees of the registrant, to fail to meet the requirements of 26 U.S.C. 401(a)(13) or 26 U.S.C. 411(a) and regulations thereunder.¹³

The issuer is prohibited from indemnifying any executive officer or former executive officer against the loss of erroneously awarded compensation.¹⁴

Proposed Section 811(c)(2) would require that each issuer file all disclosures with respect to such recovery policy in accordance with the requirements of the federal securities laws, including the disclosure required by the applicable Commission filings.

Proposed Section 811(d) would provide that the requirements of the Rule do not apply to the listing of: (1) a security futures product cleared by a clearing agency that is registered pursuant to section 17A of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78q-1) or that is exempt from the registration requirements of section 17A(b)(7)(A) (15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(7)(A)); (2) a standardized option, as defined in 17 CFR 240.9b-1(a)(4), issued by a clearing agency that is registered pursuant to section 17A of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78q-1); (3) any security issued by a unit investment trust, as defined in 15 U.S.C. 80a-4(2); and (4) any security issued by a management company, as defined in 15 U.S.C. 80a-4(3), that is registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-8), if such management company has not awarded incentive-based compensation

¹³ See proposed Section 811(c)(1)(iv).

¹⁴ See proposed Section 811(c)(1)(v).

to any executive officer of the company in any of the last three fiscal years, or in the case of a company that has been listed for less than three fiscal years, since the listing of the company.

Proposed Section 811(e) would provide that, unless the context otherwise requires, the following definitions apply for purposes of the Rule:

- *Executive Officer.* An executive officer is the issuer's president, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer (or if there is no such accounting officer, the controller), any vice-president of the issuer in charge of a principal business unit, division, or function (such as sales, administration, or finance), any other officer who performs a policy-making function, or any other person who performs similar policy-making functions for the issuer. Executive officers of the issuer's parent(s) or subsidiaries are deemed executive officers of the issuer if they perform such policy making functions for the issuer. In addition, when the issuer is a limited partnership, officers or employees of the general partner(s) who perform policy-making functions for the limited partnership are deemed officers of the limited partnership. When the issuer is a trust, officers, or employees of the trustee(s) who perform policy-making functions for the trust are deemed officers of the trust. Policy-making function is not intended to include policy-making functions that are not significant. Identification of an executive officer for purposes of the Rule would include at a minimum executive officers identified pursuant to 17 CFR 229.401(b).
- *Financial reporting measures.* Financial reporting measures are measures that are determined and presented in accordance with the accounting principles used in preparing the issuer's financial statements, and any measures that are derived

wholly or in part from such measures. Stock price and total shareholder return are also financial reporting measures. A financial reporting measure need not be presented within the financial statements or included in a filing with the Commission.

- *Incentive-based compensation.* Incentive-based compensation is any compensation that is granted, earned, or vested based wholly or in part upon the attainment of a financial reporting measure.
- *Received.* Incentive-based compensation is deemed received in the issuer’s fiscal period during which the financial reporting measure specified in the incentive-based compensation award is attained, even if the payment or grant of the incentive-based compensation occurs after the end of that period.

Proposed Section 811(b) would provide that the effective date of the Rule (“effective date”) is October 2, 2023 and that each listed issuer must (i) adopt the recovery policy no later than 60 days following the effective date; (ii) comply with its recovery policy for all incentive-based compensation received (as such term is defined in proposed Section 811(e)) by executive officers on or after the effective date;¹⁵ and (iii) provide the required disclosures in the applicable Commission filings required on or after the effective date.¹⁶

¹⁵ As described above, a listed issuer would have to comply with its recovery policy for all incentive-based compensation received by executive officers on or after the effective date of the applicable listing standard (i.e., Section 811). Incentive-based compensation that is the subject of a compensation contract or arrangement that existed prior to the effective date of Rule 10D-1 would still be subject to recovery under the Exchange’s rule if such compensation was received on or after the effective date of Section 811, as required by Rule 10D-1. See Adopting Release, *supra* note 7, and also definitions of “incentive based compensation” and “received” in proposed Section 811(e).

¹⁶ See Amendment No. 1, *supra* note 5, at 5-6. In support of proposing an effective date of October 2, 2023, the Exchange states it believes this is consistent with Section 10D “and

The Exchange also proposes additional clarifying changes to Section 801 of the Company Guide (“Section 801”) to make clear, consistent with the language of proposed Section 811, that every listed issuer would be subject to proposed Section 811 unless such issuer is eligible for an exemption set forth in that rule.¹⁷

The Exchange states that the proposed new requirements described above are consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because they further the goal of ensuring the accuracy of the financial disclosure of listed issuers and may improve the overall quality and reliability of financial reporting as well as provide clarification by conforming the text of Section 801 to the requirements of proposed Section 811.¹⁸

As described above, Rule 10D-1 requires national securities exchanges to prohibit the initial or continued listing of any security of an issuer not in compliance with its rules adopted to comply with Rule 10D-1. The Exchange proposes therefore to require that a listed issuer will be subject to delisting in the event of any failure by such listed issuer to comply with any requirement of Section 811, including the requirement to adopt a recovery policy that complies with the applicable listing standard, disclose the policy in accordance with Commission rules or comply with its recovery policy. The Exchange states that the proposed delisting process that sets forth procedures that would apply if an issuer failed to comply with Section 811 is closely modeled on the provisions with respect to late filings set forth in Section 1007 of the Company

the goal of implementing the proposed rule promptly while also being consistent with the expectations of listed issuer that the proposed rules would take effect a year after the adoption of Rule 10D-1 based on the issuers’ understanding of a statement made . . . in the Rule 10D-1 Adopting Release.” See id.

¹⁷ See id. at 12.

¹⁸ See id. at 12-13.

Guide.¹⁹ Specifically, the Exchange proposes to adopt proposed Section 1003(h) of the Company Guide (Noncompliance with Section 811 (Erroneously Awarded Compensation)) to provide that a listed issuer that is out of compliance with the Rule²⁰ and fails to regain compliance within any cure period provided by the Exchange (as further described below) would have its listed securities immediately suspended and the Exchange would immediately commence delisting procedures with respect to all such listed securities.²¹ Proposed Section

¹⁹ See *id.* at 10. The Exchange’s original filing included provisions establishing cure periods to be applied in the event of a listed issuer’s failure to adopt a recovery policy within the required time period, but did not establish cure periods for other incidents of noncompliance with Section 811. Amendment No. 1 revised these cure period provisions so that they are now applicable to all incidents of noncompliance with Section 811 and not just delayed adoption of recovery policies. See *id.* at 4 n.4. The Exchange states that it believes the compliance procedures, as amended, “are appropriately rigorous and are consistent with the public interest and the interests of investors.” See *id.* at 13.

²⁰ Proposed Section 1003(h)(ii) provides that a listed issuer will be deemed to be below standards in the event of any failure by such listed issuer to comply with any requirement of the Rule. The listed issuer would be required to notify the Exchange in writing within five days of any type of delinquency. When the Exchange determines that a delinquency has occurred, it will promptly send written notification to a listed issuer of the procedures set forth in the rule and, within five days of the date of receipt of such notification, the listed issuer will be required to (i) contact the Exchange to discuss the status of resolution of the delinquency and (ii) issue a press release disclosing the occurrence of the delinquency, the reason for the delinquency and, if known, the anticipated date the delinquency will be cured. If the listed issuer has not issued the required press release within five days of the date of the delinquency notification, the Exchange will issue a press release stating that the issuer has incurred a delinquency and providing a description thereof. See proposed Section 1003(h)(ii).

²¹ See proposed Sections 1003(h)(ii) and (iii). Such listed issuer would not be eligible to follow the procedures outlined in Section 1009 of the Company Guide with respect to such a delisting determination, and any such listed issuer would be subject to delisting procedures as set forth in Section 1010 of the Company Guide. Section 1010 of the Company Guide (Procedures for Delisting and Removal) generally provides that whenever the Exchange determines that a class of securities should be removed from listing, it will follow the procedures contained in Part 12 of the Company Guide. Part 12 of the Company Guide (Procedures for Review of Exchange Listing Determinations) sets forth procedures for an issuer to request an independent review of determinations that prohibit or limit the continued listing of the issuer’s securities on the Exchange by the Exchange’s Listing Qualifications Panel, Committee for Review, or Board of Directors

1003(h)(ii) would provide that the Exchange may afford a listed issuer that fails to comply with any of the requirements of the Rule an initial six-month period to cure the deficiency.²² If the issuer fails to cure the delinquency within the initial cure period, the Exchange may either afford the issuer up to an additional six months to cure the deficiency or, if the Exchange determines that an additional cure period is not appropriate,²³ commence suspension and delisting procedures in accordance with Section 1010 of the Company Guide.²⁴ Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Exchange may in its sole discretion decide (i) not to afford a listed issuer any initial cure period or additional cure period, or (ii) at any time during such cure period, to truncate the cure period and immediately commence suspension and delisting procedures if the listed issuer is subject to delisting pursuant to any other provision of the Company Guide, including if the Exchange believes, in the Exchange's sole discretion, that continued listing and trading of a listed issuer's securities on the Exchange is inadvisable or unwarranted.²⁵ In determining whether an initial or additional cure period is appropriate, or whether either such period should be truncated, the Exchange will consider the likelihood that the delinquency can be cured during such period.²⁶ The Exchange may also commence suspension and delisting

(as such terms are defined in Section 12 of the Company Guide).

²² During such six-month period, the Exchange would monitor the listed issuer and the status of resolution of the delinquency until the delinquency is cured. See proposed Section 1003(h)(iii).

²³ In determining whether an additional cure period is appropriate, the Exchange will consider the likelihood that the delinquency can be cured during the additional cure period. See proposed Section 1003(h)(iv).

²⁴ An issuer would not be eligible to follow the procedures outlined in Section 1009 of the Company Guide.

²⁵ See id.

²⁶ See id.

procedures without affording any cure period at all or at any time during the initial or additional cure period if the Exchange believes, in the Exchange's sole discretion, that it is advisable to do so on the basis of an analysis of all relevant factors.²⁷ In no event would the Exchange continue to trade a listed issuer's securities if that listed issuer has failed to cure its delinquency with the Rule on the date that is twelve months after the date the Exchange notified the issuer of the delinquency.²⁸

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.²⁹ In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.³⁰ Specifically, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,³¹ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, and are not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers,

²⁷ See id.

²⁸ See proposed Section 1003(h)(iv).

²⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b). In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule change's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

³⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

³¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

brokers, or dealers. In addition, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(7) of the Act,³² which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange provide a fair procedure for the prohibition or limitation by the exchange of any person with respect to access to services offered by the exchange. The proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, is also consistent with Section 10D of the Act³³ and Rule 10D-1 thereunder, as further described below.³⁴

The development and enforcement of meaningful listing standards for a national securities exchange is of substantial importance to financial markets and the investing public. Meaningful listing standards are especially important given investor expectations regarding the nature of companies that have achieved an exchange listing for their securities, and the role of an exchange in overseeing its market and assuring compliance with its listing standards.³⁵ The corporate governance standards embodied in the listing rules of national securities exchanges, in particular, play an important role in assuring that companies listed for trading on the exchanges' markets observe good governance practices, including a fair approach and greater accountability for the recovery of erroneously awarded compensation.³⁶

³² 15 U.S.C. 78(b)(7).

³³ 15 U.S.C. 78j-4.

³⁴ 17 CFR 240.10D-1.

³⁵ See, e.g., Securities Exchange Release Nos. 65708 (November 8, 2011), 76 FR 70799 70802 (November 15, 2011) (SR-NASDAQ-2011-073); 63607 (December 23, 2010), 75 FR 82420, 82422 (December 30, 2010) (SR-NASDAQ-2010-137); 57785 (May 6, 2008), 73 FR 27597, 27599 (May 13, 2008) (SR-NYSE-2008-17); and 93256 (October 4, 2021), 86 FR 56338 (October 8, 2021) (SR-NASDAQ-2021-007).

³⁶ See, e.g., Securities Exchange Release No. 68639 (January 11, 2013), 78 FR 4570, 4579 (January 22, 2013) (SR-NYSE-2012-49) (stating, in connection with the modification of exchange rules for compensation committees of listed issuers to comply with Rule 10C-1 of the Act, that corporate governance listing standards “play an important role in assuring that companies listed for trading on the exchanges’ markets observe good governance

In enacting Section 10D of the Act,³⁷ Congress resolved to require national securities exchanges to establish listing standards to require listed issuers to develop and comply with a policy to recover incentive-based compensation erroneously awarded on the basis of financial information that requires an accounting restatement.³⁸ In October 2022, as required by this legislation, the Commission adopted Rule 10D-1 under the Act, which directs the national securities exchanges to establish listing standards that require issuers to: (i) develop and comply with written policies for recovery of incentive-based compensation based on financial information required to be reported under the securities laws, applicable to the issuers' executive officers, during the three completed fiscal years immediately preceding the date that the issuer is required to prepare an accounting restatement; and (ii) disclose those compensation recovery policies in accordance with Commission rules. In response, the Exchange has filed the proposed rule change, which includes rules intended to comply with the requirements of Rule 10D-1.

practices, including a reasoned, fair, and impartial approach for determining the compensation of corporate executives” and stating that the proposal would foster “greater transparency, accountability and objectivity” in oversight of compensation practices.).

³⁷ Pub. L. No. 111-203, § 954, 124 Stat. 1376, 1904 (2010) (codified at 15 U.S.C. 78j-4).

³⁸ As a part of the Dodd-Frank Act legislative process, in a 2010 report, the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs stated that it is “unfair to shareholders for corporations to allow executive officers to retain compensation that they were awarded erroneously.” See Report of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, S.3217, Report No. 111-176 at 135- 36 (Apr. 30, 2010) (“Senate Report”) at 135. See also Adopting Release, supra note 7, 87 FR at 73077 (citing to the Senate Report) (“The language and legislative history of the Dodd-Frank Act make clear that Section 10D is premised on the notion that an executive officer should not retain incentive-based compensation that, had the issuer’s accounting been correct in the first instance, would not have been received by the executive officer, regardless of any fault of the executive officer for the accounting errors. The Senate Report also indicates that shareholders should not ‘have to embark on costly legal expenses to recoup their losses’ and that ‘executives must return monies that should belong to the shareholders.’”).

The Exchange’s proposed Section 811 incorporates the requirements of Rule 10D-1. The Commission believes that the Exchange’s proposal will foster greater fairness, accountability, and transparency to shareholders of listed issuers by advancing the recovery of incentive-based compensation that was erroneously awarded on the basis of financial information that requires an accounting restatement, consistent with Section 10D of the Act³⁹ and Rule 10D-1 thereunder,⁴⁰ and will therefore further the protection of investors consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act.⁴¹ In addition, as the Commission stated in the Adopting Release, the recovery requirements may provide executive officers with an increased incentive to take steps to reduce the likelihood of inadvertent misreporting and will reduce the financial benefits to executive officers who choose to pursue impermissible accounting methods, which can further discourage such behavior.⁴² The Commission believes that these benefits of the Exchange’s new rules on the recovery of erroneously awarded compensation will protect investors and the public interest as required under Section 6(b)(5) of the Act.

Rule 10D-1 and proposed Section 811 require that a listed issuer recover the amount of erroneously awarded incentive-based compensation “reasonably promptly.” The Adopting Release stated that whether an issuer is acting reasonably promptly “will depend on the particular facts and circumstances applicable to that issuer” and “the final rules do not restrict exchanges from adopting more prescriptive approaches to the timing and method of recovery under their

³⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78j-4.

⁴⁰ 17 CFR 240.10D-1.

⁴¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁴² See Adopting Release, *supra* note 7, 87 FR at 73077. See also Amendment No. 1, *supra* note 5, at 12, agreeing with the Commission’s statement on the benefits of the recovery policy.

rules in compliance with Section 19(b) of the Exchange Act ...”⁴³ Rule 10D-1 also does not compel the exchanges to adopt a more prescriptive approach to the timing and method of recovery. In its proposal, the Exchange stated that “the issuer’s obligation to recover erroneously awarded incentive-based compensation reasonably promptly will be assessed on a holistic basis with respect to each such accounting restatement prepared by the issuer” and that “[i]n evaluating whether an issuer is recovering erroneously-awarded incentive-based compensation reasonably promptly, the Exchange will consider whether the issuer is pursuing an appropriate balance of cost and speed in determining the appropriate means to seek recovery, and whether the issuer is securing recovery through means that are appropriate based on the particular facts and circumstances of each executive officer that owes a recoverable amount.”⁴⁴ The Commission believes this guidance provided by the Exchange is consistent with the Commission’s statements regarding when an issuer is acting “reasonably promptly” as expressed in the Adopting Release, with Rule 10D-1 and with the Act.⁴⁵

Rule 10D-1 requires issuers subject to the listing standards to adopt a recovery policy no later than 60 days following the date on which the applicable listing standards become effective and to comply with their recovery policy, and provide the required disclosures, on or after the effective date. The Exchange, in Amendment No. 1, is proposing that the effective date of Section 811 be October 2, 2023.⁴⁶ The Exchange believes that setting this date as the effective

⁴³ See Adopting Release, supra note 7**Error! Bookmark not defined.**, 87 FR at 73104. For example, the Commission stated that after the exchanges have observed issuer performance they can use any resulting data to assess the need for further guidelines to ensure prompt and effective recovery. See id.

⁴⁴ See Amendment No. 1, supra note 5, at 5.

⁴⁵ See Adopting Release, supra note 7**Error! Bookmark not defined.**, 87 FR 73104.

⁴⁶ See Amendment No. 1, supra note 5, amending proposed Section 811(b).

date will ensure that issuers have more than a year from the date Rule 10D-1 was published in the Federal Register to adopt recovery policies.⁴⁷ This is consistent with language in Rule 10D-1 and the Adopting Release, while also ensuring prompt implementation of this proposed rule.

With respect to a listed issuer that fails to comply with proposed Section 811, the Exchange has proposed delisting procedures that are closely modeled on its current procedures applicable to listed issuers subject to a filing delinquency set forth in Section 1007 of the Company Guide.⁴⁸ The Commission believes that these procedures, as modified by Amendment No. 1, for listed issuers out of compliance with proposed Section 811, which are consistent with the procedures for filing delinquencies, adequately meet the mandate of Rule 10D-1 and are consistent with investor protection and the public interest, since they give a listed issuer a reasonable time period to cure non-compliance with these important requirements before they will be delisted while helping to ensure that listed issuers that are non-compliant will not remain listed for an inappropriate amount of time.⁴⁹ Additionally, the proposed delisting process,

⁴⁷ Listed issuers will need to have their recovery policy in place no later than 60 days following the effective date of October 2, 2023, which would be more than a year after publication of Rule 10D-1 in the Federal Register. Listed issuers will also have to comply with their recovery policy for all incentive-based compensation received by executive officers on or after the effective date of October 2, 2023, and provide the required disclosures in the applicable Commission filings on or after the effective date of October 2, 2023. See Adopting Release, supra note 7, and also definitions of “incentive based compensation” and “received” in proposed Section 811(e). See also supra notes 15-16 and accompanying text.

⁴⁸ See supra notes 19-26 and accompanying text.

⁴⁹ The Exchange originally proposed that if an issuer was non-compliant with any of the provisions of the Rule (except for a delayed adoption of a recovery policy), the Exchange would immediately suspend and commence delisting procedures with respect to such issuer’s listed securities. See Notice, supra note 3, at 15468-69. As discussed above, Amendment No. 1 amended the Exchange’s proposed delisting provisions to provide to that in the event of any failure by a listed issuer to comply with any requirement of Section 811, the Exchange may provide such issuer with an initial six-month cure period and an additional six-month cure period. See Amendment No. 1, supra note 5.

including the cure period and the right to a review of a delisting determination by a committee of the Board of Directors of the Exchange, is consistent with Section 6(b)(7) of the Act in that it provides a fair procedure for the review of delisting determinations based on violations of the Exchange's rules for recovering erroneous compensation.

IV. Solicitation of Comments on Amendment No. 1 to the Proposed Rule Change

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning whether the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, is consistent with the Exchange Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-NYSEAMER-2023-14 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSEAMER-2023-14. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be

available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSEAMER-2023-14, and should be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 21 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*].

V. Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1

The Commission finds good cause to approve the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice of the filing of Amendment No. 1 in the Federal Register. In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange amended the proposal to (i) add a clarifying amendment to Section 801 to make it clear that, consistent with the language of proposed Section 811, every listed issuer is subject to Section 811 unless such issuer is eligible for an exemption set forth in that rule; (ii) propose that the effective date of Section 811 be October 2, 2023; and (iii) allow the Exchange, in its sole discretion, to provide a listed issuer that fails to comply with any requirement of Section 811 an initial six-month cure period and an additional six-month cure period.⁵⁰ The changes in Amendment No. 1 provide greater clarity to the proposal. The changes to Section 801 will ensure that the requirements of that section of the Company Guide conform to the requirements of proposed Section 811. The change to the effective date of the listing standards is consistent with Rule 10D-1 and language

⁵⁰ See Amendment No. 1, supra note 5.

in the Adopting Release. The change to the delisting procedures and the cure periods for non-compliance being proposed by the Exchange are similar to those that exist under the Exchange's rules for the late filing of annual and quarterly reports that the Commission has previously approved as consistent with the Act.⁵¹ The amended proposal also provides for a cure period for any violations of Section 811 similar to the approach taken by Nasdaq in its proposal to adopt rules to comply with Rule 10D-1.⁵² Nasdaq's proposal has also been approved by the Commission as consistent the Act.⁵³ Accordingly, the Commission finds good cause, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Exchange Act,⁵⁴ to approve the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, on an accelerated basis.

⁵¹ See Section 1007 of the Company Guide.

⁵² See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 97060 (March 7, 2023), 88 FR 15500 (March 13, 2023) (SR-Nasdaq-2023-005).

⁵³ See Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 1 and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change to Establish Listing Standards Related to Recovery of Erroneously Awarded Executive Compensation (June 9, 2023) (SR-Nasdaq-2023-005).

⁵⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

VI. Conclusion

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁵⁵ that the proposed rule change (SR-NYSEAMER-2023-14), as modified by Amendment No. 1, be, and hereby is, approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵⁶

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary

⁵⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁵⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).