

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-104188; File No. SR-CboeBZX-2025-139]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Amend its Fee Schedule

November 17, 2025.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 30, 2025, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (“Exchange” or “BZX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BZX”) proposes to amend its Fee Schedule by introducing a new Step-Up Tier and eliminating the ETP and Closed-End Fund LMM Add Liquidity Rebate. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Commission’s website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>), the Exchange’s website (https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/bzx/), and at the principal office of the Exchange.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fee Schedule applicable to its equities trading platform (“BZX Equities”) by introducing a new Step-Up Tier and eliminating the ETP and Closed-End Fund LMM Add Liquidity Rebate. The Exchange proposes to implement these changes effective October 1, 2025.

The Exchange first notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. More specifically, the Exchange is only one of 16 registered equities exchanges, as well as a number of alternative trading systems and other off-exchange venues that do not have similar self-regulatory responsibilities under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”), to which market participants may direct their order flow. Based on publicly available information,³ no single registered equities exchange has more than 14% of the market share. Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single equities exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order

³ See Cboe Global Markets, U.S. Equities Market Volume Summary, Month-to-Date (September 19, 2025), available at https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/market_statistics/.

flow. The Exchange in particular operates a “Maker-Taker” model whereby it pays rebates to members that add liquidity and assesses fees to those that remove liquidity. The Exchange’s Fee Schedule sets forth the standard rebates and rates applied per share for orders that provide and remove liquidity, respectively. Currently, for orders in securities priced at or above \$1.00, the Exchange provides a standard rebate of \$0.00160 per share for orders that add liquidity and assesses a fee of \$0.0030 per share for orders that remove liquidity.⁴ For orders in securities priced below \$1.00, the Exchange does not provide a rebate for orders that add liquidity and assesses a fee of 0.30% of the total dollar value for orders that remove liquidity.⁵ Additionally, in response to the competitive environment, the Exchange also offers tiered pricing which provides Members opportunities to qualify for higher rebates or reduced fees where certain volume criteria and thresholds are met. Tiered pricing provides an incremental incentive for Members to strive for higher tier levels, which provides increasingly higher benefits or discounts for satisfying increasingly more stringent criteria.

Step-Up Tiers

Under footnote 2 of the Fee Schedule, the Exchange offers a Step-Up Tier that provides an enhanced rebate for orders yielding fee codes B,⁶ V⁷ and Y⁸ where a Member reaches certain add volume-based criteria, including “growing” its volume as compared to a certain baseline month. The Exchange now proposes to introduce a second Step-Up Tier. The proposed criteria for Step-Up Tier 1 is as follows:

⁴ See BZX Equities Fee Schedule, Standard Rates.

⁵ Id.

⁶ Fee code B is appended to displayed orders that add liquidity to BZX in Tape B securities.

⁷ Fee code V is appended to displayed orders that add liquidity to BZX in Tape A securities.

⁸ Fee code Y is appended to displayed orders that add liquidity to BZX in Tape C securities.

- Step-Up Tier 1 provides a rebate of \$0.0028 per share in securities priced at or above \$1.00 to qualifying orders (i.e., orders yielding fee codes B, V, or Y) where a Member has a Step-Up Displayed Add TCV⁹ from September 2025 $\geq 0.11\%$; and a Member has an Ex-Subdollar Displayed ADAV¹⁰ as a percentage of Ex-Subdollar TCV¹¹ $\geq 0.16\%$.

Additionally, the Exchange notes that the proposed Step-Up Tier 1 will expire no later than March 31, 2024 [sic], which the Exchange will indicate on the Exchange's Fee Schedule.

The proposed Step-Up Tier 1, like other Add Volume Tiers and Step-Up Tiers,¹² is intended to provide an additional opportunity to incentivize Members to earn an enhanced rebate by increasing their order flow to the Exchange, which further contributes to a deeper, more liquid market and provides even more execution opportunities for active market participants.

Incentivizing an increase in liquidity adding volume through enhanced rebate opportunities encourages liquidity-adding Members on the Exchange to increase transactions and take execution opportunities provided by such increased liquidity, together providing for overall enhanced price discovery and price improvement opportunities on the Exchange. As such, increased overall order flow benefits all Members by contributing towards a robust and well-balanced market ecosystem.

ETP and Closed-End Fund LMM Add Liquidity Rebate

⁹ Step-Up Add TCV means ADAV as a percentage of TCV in the relevant baseline month subtracted from current ADAV as a percentage of TCV.

¹⁰ Ex-Subdollar ADAV means ADAV that excludes executions in securities priced below \$1.00.

¹¹ Ex-Subdollar TCV means TCV that excludes executions in securities that have an average daily price below \$1.00.

¹² See BZX Equities Fee Schedule, Footnote 1, Add/Remove Volume Tiers. See also BZX Equities Fee Schedule, Footnote 2, Step-Up Tiers.

Under footnote 14 of the Fee Schedule, the Exchange details pricing for its Lead Market Makers (“LMMs”) in BZX-listed securities. In particular, the Exchange offers an enhanced rebate of \$0.0039 that ETP LMMs¹³ in BZX-listed securities that have a consolidated average daily volume of at least 1,000,000 shares are eligible to opt-in to receive in lieu of the otherwise applicable Liquidity Provision Rate¹⁴ that would be received when certain performance-based criteria are satisfied. The Exchange now proposes to remove the ETP and Closed-End Fund LMM Add Liquidity Rebate as the Exchange no longer wishes to, nor is required to, maintain such rebate. More specifically, the proposed change removes this rebate as the Exchange would rather redirect future resources and funding into other programs and tiers intended to incentivize increased order flow.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.¹⁵ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹⁶ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the

¹³ See footnote 14(b)(i). An ETP LMM is an LMM in BZX-listed ETP and Closed-End Fund securities.

¹⁴ The applicable Liquidity Provision Rates are detailed in footnote 14(B) and are payable daily on a per-security basis to ETP LMMs that satisfy certain performance-based criteria.

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹⁷ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers as well as Section 6(b)(4)¹⁸ as it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities.

As described above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. The Exchange believes that its proposal to introduce Step-Up Tier 1 reflects a competitive pricing structure designed to incentivize market participants to direct their order flow to the Exchange, which the Exchange believes would enhance market quality to the benefit of all Members. Specifically, the Exchange's proposal to introduce Step-Up Tier 1 is not a significant departure from existing criteria, is reasonably correlated to the enhanced rebate offered by the Exchange and other competing exchanges,¹⁹ and will continue to incentivize Members to submit order flow to the Exchange. Additionally, the Exchange notes that relative volume-based incentives and discounts have been widely adopted by exchanges,²⁰ including the Exchange,²¹ and are reasonable, equitable and non-discriminatory because they are open to all Members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to (i) the value to an

¹⁷ Id.

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4)

¹⁹ See NYSE Arca Marketplace Fees, Tier Rates – Round Lots and Odd Lots (Per Share Price \$1.00 or Above), Step-Up Tiers, available at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE_Arca_Marketplace_Fees.pdf; see also Investors Exchange Fee Schedule, Transaction Fees, Incremental Fee Tiers, available at <https://www.iexexchange.io/resources/trading/fee-schedule>.

²⁰ See e.g., EDGX Equities Fee Schedule, Footnote 1, Add/Remove Volume Tiers.

²¹ See e.g., BZX Equities Fee Schedule, Footnote 1, Add/Remove Volume Tiers.

exchange's market quality and (ii) associated higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns. Competing equity exchanges offer similar tiered pricing structures, including schedules or rebates and fees that apply based upon members achieving certain volume and/or growth thresholds, as well as assess similar fees or rebates for similar types of orders, to that of the Exchange.

In particular, the Exchange believes its proposal to introduce Step-Up Tier 1 is reasonable because the proposed tier will be available to all Members and provide all Members with an opportunity to receive an enhanced rebate. The Exchange further believes its proposal to introduce Step-Up Tier 1 will provide a reasonable means to encourage liquidity adding displayed orders in Members' order flow to the Exchange and to incentivize Members to continue to provide liquidity adding volume to the Exchange by offering them an opportunity to receive an enhanced rebate on qualifying orders. An overall increase in activity would deepen the Exchange's liquidity pool, offer additional cost savings, support the quality of price discovery, promote market transparency and improve market quality, for all investors.

The Exchange believes that its proposal to introduce Step-Up Tier 1 is reasonable as the proposed criteria does not represent a significant departure from the criteria currently offered in the Fee Schedule. The Exchange also believes that the proposal represents an equitable allocation of fees and rebates and is not unfairly discriminatory because all Members will be eligible for the proposed Step-Up Tier 1 and have the opportunity to meet the tier's criteria and receive the corresponding enhanced rebate if such criteria is met. Without having a view of activity on other markets and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would definitely result in any Members qualifying for proposed Step-Up Tier 1. While the Exchange has no way of predicting with certainty how the proposed changes will

impact Member activity, based on the prior month's volume, the Exchange anticipates that at least one Member will be able to satisfy proposed Step-Up Tier 1. The Exchange also notes that proposed changes will not adversely impact any Member's ability to qualify for enhanced rebates offered under other tiers. Should a Member not meet the proposed new criteria, the Member will merely not receive that corresponding enhanced rebate.

Furthermore, the Exchange believes that its proposal to eliminate the ETP and Closed-End Fund LMM Add Liquidity Rebate is reasonable because the Exchange is not required to maintain this rebate nor provide ETP LMMs an opportunity to receive enhanced rebates. The Exchange believes its proposal to eliminate this rebate is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it applies to all ETP LMMs (i.e., the rebate will not be available for any ETP LMM). The proposed rule change merely results in ETP LMMs not receiving an enhanced rebate, which, as noted above, the Exchange is not required to offer or maintain. Further, ETP LMMs remain eligible to receive the applicable Liquidity Provision Rate should they satisfy certain performance-based criteria. In addition, the proposed rule change to eliminate the ETP and Closed-End Fund LMM Add Liquidity Rebate enables the Exchange to redirect resources and funding into other programs and tiers intended to incentivize increased order flow.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Rather, as discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposed change would encourage the submission of additional order flow to a public exchange, thereby promoting market depth, execution incentives and enhanced execution opportunities, as well as price discovery and transparency for all Members. As a result, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes further the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering competition among

orders, which promotes “more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small.”

The Exchange believes the proposed rule changes do not impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Particularly, the Exchange’s proposal to introduce Step-Up Tier 1 will apply to all Members equally in that all Members are eligible for the new tier, have a reasonable opportunity to meet the proposed tier’s criteria and will receive the enhanced rebate on their qualifying orders if such criteria is met. The Exchange does not believe the proposed change burdens competition, but rather, enhances competition as it is intended to increase the competitiveness of BZX by amending existing pricing incentives in order to attract order flow and incentivize participants to increase their participation on the Exchange. Greater overall order flow, trading opportunities, and pricing transparency benefits all market participants on the Exchange by enhancing market quality and continuing to encourage Members to send orders, thereby contributing towards a robust and well-balanced market ecosystem.

The proposed change to eliminate the ETP and Closed-End Fund LMM Add Liquidity Rebate will not impose any burden on intramarket competition because the change applies to all ETP LMMs uniformly in that the rebate will no longer be available to any ETP LMM.

Next, the Exchange believes the proposed rule changes do not impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. As previously discussed, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. Members have numerous alternative venues that they may participate on and direct their order flow, including other equities exchanges, off-exchange venues, and alternative trading systems. Additionally, the Exchange represents a small percentage of the overall market. Based on

publicly available information, no single equities exchange has more than 14% of the market share.²² Therefore, no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order flow. Indeed, participants can readily choose to send their orders to other exchange and off-exchange venues if they deem fee levels at those other venues to be more favorable. Moreover, the Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Specifically, in Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”²³ The fact that this market is competitive has also long been recognized by the courts. In *NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission*, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: “[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is ‘fierce.’ ... As the SEC explained, ‘[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution’; [and] ‘no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted’ because ‘no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers’”²⁴ Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe its proposed fee change imposes any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

²² Supra note 3.

²³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005).

²⁴ *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782-83 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006-21)).

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act²⁵ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4²⁶ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-CboeBZX-2025-139 on the subject line.

²⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2025-139. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>).

Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2025-139 and should be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 21 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁷

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

²⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).