

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34-98406; File No. SR-CBOE-2023-047)

September 15, 2023

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Amend its Fees Schedule

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 14, 2023, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “Cboe Options”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “Cboe Options”) proposes to amend its Fees Schedule. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website (<http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx>), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule to modify the fee for the SPX (and SPXW) Floor Market-Maker Tier Appointment Fee.³

By way of background, Exchange Rule 5.50(g)(2) provides that the Exchange may establish one or more types of tier appointments and Exchange Rule 5.50(g)(2)(B) provides such tier appointments are subject to such fees and charges the Exchange may establish. In 2010, the Exchange established the SPX Tier Appointment and adopted an initial fee of \$3,000 per Market-Maker trading permit, per month.⁴ The SPX (and SPXW) Tier Appointment fee for Floor Market-Makers currently applies to any Market-Maker that executes any contracts in SPX and/or SPXW on the trading floor.⁵ The Exchange now seeks to increase the fee for the SPX/SPXW

³ The Exchange initially filed the proposed fee change, among other changes, on June 1, 2022 (SR-CBOE-2022-026). On June 10, 2022, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted SR-CBOE-2022-029. On August 5, 2022, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted SR-CBOE-2022-042. On September 26, 2022, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted SR-CBOE-2022-050 to address the proposed fee change relating to the SPX/SPXW Floor Market-Maker Tier Appointment Fee. On November 23, 2022, the Exchange advised of its intent to withdraw that filing and submitted SR-CBOE-2022-060. On January 20, 2023, the Exchange withdrew SR-CBOE-2022-060 and submitted SR-CBOE-2023-008. On March 21, 2023, the Exchange withdrew SR-CBOE-2023-008 and submitted SR-CBOE-2023-016. On May 19, 2023, the Exchange withdrew SR-CBOE-2023-016 and submitted SR-CBOE-2023-028. On July 18, 2023, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted SR-CBOE-2023-035. On September 11, 2023, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted SR-CBOE-2023-046. On September 14, 2023, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted this proposal. Notably, no comment letters were received in connection with any of the foregoing rule filings.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62386 (June 25, 2010), 75 FR 38566 (July 2, 2010) (SR-CBOE-2010-060).

⁵ The Exchange notes that the fee is not assessed to a Market-Maker Floor Permit Holder

Floor Market-Maker Tier Appointment from \$3,000 per Market-Maker Floor Trading Permit to \$5,000 per Market-Maker Floor Trading Permit.

In connection with the proposed change, the Exchange also proposes to update Footnote 24 in the Fees Schedule, as well as remove the reference to Footnote 24 in the Market-Maker Tier Appointment Fee Table. By way of background, in June 2020, the Exchange adopted Footnote 24 to describe pricing changes that would apply for the duration of time the Exchange trading floor was being operated in a modified manner in connection with the COVID-19 pandemic.⁶ Among other changes, Footnote 24 provided that the monthly fee for the SPX/SPXW Floor Market-Maker Tier Appointment Fee was to be increased to \$5,000 per Trading Permit from \$3,000 per Trading Permit. As the Exchange now proposes to maintain the \$5,000 rate on a permanent basis (i.e., regardless of whether the Exchange is operating in a modified state due to COVID-19 pandemic), the Exchange proposes to eliminate the reference to the SPX/SPXW Floor Market-Maker Tier Appointment Fee in Footnote 24.⁷

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁸ Specifically, the

who only executes SPX (including SPXW) options transactions as part of multi-class broad-based index spread transactions. See Cboe Options Fees Schedule, Market-Maker Tier Appointment Fees, Notes.

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 89189 (June 30, 2020), 85 FR 40344 (July 6, 2020) (SR-CBOE-2020-058).

⁷ The Exchange notes that since its transition to a new trading floor facility on June 6, 2022, it has not been operating in a modified manner. As such Footnote 24 (i.e., the modified fee changes it describes) does not currently apply.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁹ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹⁰ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act, which requires that Exchange rules provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Trading Permit Holders and other persons using its facilities.

The Exchange believes the proposed fee is reasonable as the Exchange believes it remains commensurate with the value of operating as a Market-Maker on the Exchange's trading floor in the SPX pit, which has the largest physical presence on the Exchange's trading floor. For example, the Exchange recently transitioned from its previous trading floor, which it had occupied since the 1980s, to a brand new, modern and upgraded trading floor facility. The Exchange believes customers continue to find value in open outcry trading and rely on the floor for price discovery and the deep liquidity provided by floor Market-Makers. The build out of a new modern trading floor reflects the Exchange's commitment to open outcry trading and focus on providing the best possible trading experience for its customers, including Market-Makers.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁰ Id.

For example, the new trading floor provides a state-of-the-art environment and technology and more efficient use of physical space, which the Exchange believes better reflects and supports the current trading environment. The Exchange also believes the new infrastructure provides a cost-effective, streamlined, and modernized approach to floor connectivity. For example, the new trading floor has more than 330 individual kiosks, equipped with top-of-the-line technology that enables floor participants to plug in and use their devices with greater ease and flexibility. The new trading floor provided by the Exchange also provides floor Market-Makers with more space and increased capacity to support additional floor-based traders on the trading floor. Moreover, the new trading floor is conveniently located across the street from the LaSalle trading floor, which resulted in minimal disruption to TPH floor participants, many of whom have office space nearby, including in the same facility in which the trading floor is located. The Exchange believes the new location, which was also home to the Exchange's original trading floor in the 1970s and early 1980s, is also able to support robust trading floor infrastructure as it currently hosts several banks, trading firms and even trading floors (i.e., trading floors for the Chicago Mercantile Exchange and BOX Options Market). The Exchange also believes the relocation to the new trading floor resulted in a streamlined and simplified trading floor and facility fee structure, as further described in the Exchange's proposal to amend certain facility fees in connection with the new trading floor.¹¹ The Exchange also notes that it has not sought to pass through a number of costs incurred in connection with the new trading floor, including design, construction and other on-going maintenance costs. The Exchange also intends to offer free coffee and beverages on the new trading floor. Moreover, the Exchange has not modified

¹¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 96001 (October 6, 2022), 87 FR 62129 (October 13, 2022) (SR-CBOE-2022-049).

many of its facilities fees in several years. The Exchange therefore believes the proposed increase to the SPX (and SPXW) Floor Market-Maker Tier Appointment fee is reasonable because the Exchange's investment in its new modern cutting-edge trading floor has improved the quality of the trading floor, particularly to the benefit of SPX Market-Makers as they operate in the largest pit on the new trading floor.

The Exchange further believes the proposal to increase the fee is reasonable as the Exchange has provided further value to Market-Makers by expanding the suite of SPX products available to Market-Makers on the trading floor since 2010 when the SPX (and SPXW) Floor Market-Maker Tier Appointment fee was first adopted. For example, in 2013, the Exchange began listing SPXPM.¹² In 2016, the Exchange began listing SPX Weekly options with Monday and Wednesday expirations.¹³ Most recently in 2022, the Exchange added SPX Weekly options with Tuesday and Thursday expirations.¹⁴ The introduction of these products means SPX options now have an available expiration every trading day of the week, thereby providing Floor Market-Makers with additional opportunities to trade SPX and greater trading flexibility as compared to 2010. Moreover, average daily volume (ADV) in SPX has increased nearly 30%. In particular, Market-Maker open outcry ADV in SPX has increased nearly 15% since 2010. Further, increased ADV, and specifically increased Market-Maker open outcry in SPX provides increased

¹² See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68888 (February 8, 2013), 78 FR 10668 (February 14, 2013) (SR-CBOE-2012-120).

¹³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76909 (January 14, 2016), 81 FR 3512 (January 21, 2016) (SR-CBOE-2015-106). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 78531 (August 10, 2016), 81 FR 54643(August 16, 2016) (SR-CBOE-2016 -146).

¹⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 94682 (April 12, 2022), 87 FR 22993 (April 18, 2022) (CBOE-2022-005).

trading opportunities for SPX Market-Makers which the Exchange believes is commensurate with the value of the proposed increase of the Tier Appointment Fee.

To demonstrate the value the Exchange believes Marker-Makers find transacting with SPX on the trading floor (notwithstanding the proposed fee change), Market-Maker presence on the new trading floor in SPX and SPXW has actually increased. Particularly, as of December 30, 2022, there are 12 additional Market-Makers trading SPX and SPXW on the trading floor as compared to May 2022 (which was the month prior to the proposed fee change being implemented on a permanent basis and transition to the new trading floor).¹⁵ Further, in June 2022, the month in which the proposed fee change took effect on the new trading floor on a permanent basis, there were 5 additional Market-Makers trading SPX and SPXW on the trading Floor as compared to May 2022. Further, as of December 30, 2022, there are 4 additional Market-Makers trading SPX and SPXW on the trading floor as compared to March 2020, which was the last month the Exchange assessed \$3,000 for the SPX and SPXW Floor Market Maker Tier Appointment fee. The Exchange believes the increasing SPX and SPXW Market-Maker presence on the trading floor since the last time the Exchange assessed \$3,000 for the SPX and SPXW Floor Market Maker Tier Appointment fee (i.e., March 2020) and since the time the current proposal was submitted (i.e., June 2020) speaks not only to the value Market-Makers find in participating as a Market-Maker in SPX and SPXW on the (new and improved) trading floor, but also to the reasonableness of the fee.

¹⁵ As noted above, the Exchange has been assessing \$5,000 for the SPX and SPXW Floor Market Maker Tier Appointment fee since June 2020 as the Exchange was operating in a modified state until its transition to the new trading floor in June 2022, at which time the Exchange submitted this proposal to make such increase permanent.

The Exchange finally believes its proposal to increase the SPX (and SPXW) Floor Market-Maker Tier Appointment fee is reasonable as it is the same amount that has been assessed under Footnote 24 for the last three years. Additionally, the Exchange believes its proposal to increase the fee is reasonable as the fee amount has not been increased since it was adopted over 12 years ago in July 2010.¹⁶ Particularly, since its adoption 13 years ago, there has been notable inflation. Indeed, the dollar has had an average inflation rate of 2.6% per year between 2010 and today, producing a cumulative price increase of approximately 40% inflation since 2010, when the SPX and SPXW Floor Market-Maker Tier Appointment was first adopted.¹⁷ Additionally, for nearly ten years, Market-Makers were only subject to the original rate that was adopted in 2010 (i.e., \$3,000) notwithstanding an average inflation rate of 2.6% per year. The Exchange acknowledges its proposed fee exceeds 40%. However, the Exchange believes such increase is reasonable given many Market-Makers for nearly 10 years did not have to pay increased fees notwithstanding yearly inflation. For example, by not increasing the fee each year to correspond to the average per year inflation rate of 2.6%, Market-Makers trading SPX on the trading floor since 2011 through 2020 (when then Exchange originally increased the fee due to the COVID-19 pandemic) have saved nearly \$10,000. Moreover, the Exchange historically does not increase fees every year, notwithstanding inflation. The Exchange therefore believes that proposing a fee in excess of the cumulative 40% inflation rate is still reasonable, especially when considered in conjunction with all of the additional and further rationale discussed above. The Exchange is also unaware of any standard that suggests any fee proposal that exceeds a yearly or cumulative inflation rate is unreasonable.

¹⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62386 (June 25, 2010), 75 FR 38566 (July 2, 2010) (SR-CBOE-2010-060).

¹⁷ See <https://www.officialdata.org/us/inflation/2010?amount=1>.

The proposed change is also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory as it applies to all Market-Makers that trade SPX on the trading floor uniformly. The Exchange believes it's reasonable equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to increase the SPX/SPXW floor Market-Maker Tier Appointment fee and not the SPX/SPXW electronic Market-Maker Tier Appointment fee, as Floor Market-Makers are not subject to other costs that electronic Market-Makers are subject to. For example, while all Floor Market-Makers automatically have an appointment to trade open outcry in all classes traded on the Exchange and at no additional cost per appointment, electronic Market-Makers must select an appointment in a class (such as SPX) to make markets electronically and such appointments are subject to fees under the Market-Maker Electronic Appointments Sliding Scale.¹⁸

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the proposed changes would be applied in the same manner to all Floor Market-Makers that trade SPX (and/or SPXW). As noted above, the Exchange believes it's reasonable to increase the SPX/SPWX Tier Appointment Fee for only Floor Market-Makers only as opposed to electronic Market-Makers, because electronic Market-Makers are subject to costs Floor Market-Makers are not, such as the fees under Market-Maker EAP Appointments Sliding Scale.

¹⁸ See Cboe Options Rules 5.50(a) and (e). See also Cboe Options Fees Schedule, Market-Maker EAP Appointments Sliding Scale.

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the proposed rule changes apply only to a fee relating to a product exclusively listed on the Exchange. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe its proposed changes to the incentive programs impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁹ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4²⁰ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-CBOE-2023-047 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-CBOE-2023-047. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright

protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-CBOE-2023-047 and should be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 21 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²¹

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Deputy Secretary.

²¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).