EDGAR Fee System Modernization (EFSM) PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (PIA)



March 6, 2025

Office of Information Technology

Publication History

Revision	Date	Changes Made		
Initial	I 03/28/2017 Original Document			
1	1 05/26/2017 Review and Update			
2	Review and Update			
3 03/06/2025		Updated for compliance with E.O. 14168		

Privacy Impact Assessment

EDGAR Fee System Modernization

	Section 1: System Overview
1.1	Name of Project or System
	EDGAR Fee System Modernization (EFSM)
1.2	Is the system internally or externally hosted?
1.4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Externally Hosted (Contractor or other agency/organization)
1.3	Reason for completing PIA
	New project or system This is an existing system undergoing a replacement First developed: 5/26/2017 Last updated: 03/06/2025 Description of update: Updated for compliance with E.O. 14168
1.4	Does the system or program employ any of the following technologies?
	Electronic Data Warehouse (EDW) Social Media Mobile Application (or GPS) Cloud Computing Services www.sec.gov Web Portal None of the Above

Section 2: Authority and Purpose of Collection

2.1 Describe the project and its purpose or function in the SEC's IT environment

Public companies (Registrants) are required to pay fees when they issue public debt/securities. EDGAR Fee System Modernization (EFSM) will support the processing of filing fees for fee-bearing submissions and processing refunds due to Registrants for overpayments. Additionally, EFSM will provide the capability to support financial reporting and accounting requirements. OFM's Filing Fees Branch has initiated the EFSM project, a modern Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) application, to replace its current Filing Fee Sub-Ledger system which currently exists as a subsystem under EDGAR. EFSM will replace EDGAR Momentum (Fee Momentum), Offering Verification Review SharePoint site, and an Access database used to perform reconciliation.

This system will be accessed internally by OFM staff using a browser and cannot be accessed outside the SEC network. All users will be authenticated using SEC's Active Directory system. EFSM data and functions will be

Revised February 2016 Page 1 of 9

Privacy Impact Assessment

EDGAR Fee System Modernization

authorized based on the role and authorization that each user is assigned.

The EFSM system contains bank account/payment information from Registrants. EFSM does not contain any financial information of individuals. The PII information that may be collected in EFSM is the names of individuals serving as the points of contact (POCs) or agents of Registrants, their work address, phone numbers and/or email. Filing Fees branch staff will receive SEC's training regarding protection of PII information and the safeguards that must be followed for security purposes.

	Saic	eguards that must be followed for sect	iiity p	diposes.		
2.2	Wh	nat specific legal authorities, arrang	emen	ts, and/or agreements allow th	e info	rmation to be collected?
		tions 6(b) of the Securities Act of 193 te 24F-2 under the Investment Compar			curities	Exchange Act of 1934; and
2.3		No Yes If yes, provide the purpose of collection: If yes, provide the legal authority:	in So	cial Security numbers (SSNs)	? This i	ncludes truncated SSNs.
2.4		you retrieve data in the system by u	ısing	a personal identifier?		
		No Yes, a SORN is in progress Yes, there is an existing SORN				
2.5	Is t	he information covered by the Pape	erwor	k Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA	\) ?	
		No Yes				
2.6	mit	nsidering the purpose of the collecti igated?		- *		
	may requ	ere are minimal to no privacy risks to it yet be contained in EFSM. Their informative to pay filing fees as a public contained individuals.	nation	is collected as POC or an agent	t for the	e Registrants that are
				ction, Minimization, and Retenti		
3.1	Wha	at information is collected, maintained The system does not collect, maintai				
		ntifying Numbers		A1' D '		T'. 1 A
		Social Security Number		Alien Registration		Financial Accounts
		Taxpayer ID		Driver's License Number		Financial Transactions
		Employee ID File/Case ID		Passport Information Credit Card Number		Vehicle Identifiers Employer ID
		Other:	Ш	Credit Card Number		Employer ID
		neral Personal Data				
				Date of Birth		Marriage Records
		Name Maiden Name		Date of Birth Place of Birth		Marriage Records Financial Information

Revised February 2016 Page 2 of 9

Privacy Impact Assessment

EDGAR Fee System Modernization Telephone Number Sex Military Service **Email Address** Mother's Maiden Name Age Race/Ethnicity **Education Records** Health Plan Numbers Civil or Criminal History Zip Code Other: **Work-Related Data** Occupation Telephone Number Salary XJob Title \boxtimes **Email Address** Work History \boxtimes Work Address **Business Associates** \boxtimes Certificate/License Number **PIV Card Information** Fax Number П П Other: **Distinguishing Features/Biometrics** Photographs Genetic Information **Fingerprints** Voice Recording Video Recordings Voice Signature □ Other: System Administration/Audit Data Date/Time of Access **ID Files Accessed** User ID XП IP Address Oueries Ran Contents of Files Other: П 3.2 Why is the PII listed in Question 3.1 collected, used, shared, or maintained by the system or project? POC information is collected from Registrants for purposes of processing refund requests. Whose information may be collected, used, shared, or maintained by the system? 3.3 **SEC Employees** Purpose: ☐ SEC Federal Contractors Purpose: ☐ Interns Purpose: Members of the Public Purpose: To contact Registrants for purposes of processing refund requests. ☐ Employee Family Members Purpose: ☐ Former Employees Purpose: ☐ Job Applicants Purpose: ☐ Vendors Purpose: Other: Purpose:

3.4 Describe the PII minimizing mechanisms and if the PII from the system is being used for testing, training, and/or research efforts.

PII is not used for testing, training, and/or research efforts. The system collects the minimally required PII to process refund requests.

Revised February 2016 Page **3** of **9**

Privacy Impact AssessmentEDGAR Fee System Modernization

3.5	Has a retention schedule been established by the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA)?
	□ No.
	M. Vac
	∑ Yes.
	There is a seven year retention schedule.
3.6	What are the procedures for identification and disposition at the end of the retention period?
	All of a registrants account activity will be stored in EFSM indefinitely so that registrants can receive accurate
	account activity statements. If EFSM is retired, then the data will be kept in accordance with the records retention
	schedule.
3.7	Will the system monitor members of the public, employees, and/or contractors? □ N/A
	N/A☐ Members of the Public
	Purpose: Employees
	Purpose:
	□ Contractors
	Purpose:
3.8	Considering the type of information collected, what privacy risks were identified and how were those risks
	mitigated?
	The PII collected from individuals serving as POC or agents for Registrants is limited to name, work address, telephone, and or email. Because the information collected on individuals is limited to the data elements above,
	there is no significant privacy risk to the individual. Limiting the collection of information mitigates the privacy
	risk.
	Section 4: Openness and Transparency
4.1	What forms of privacy notice were provided to the individuals prior to collection of data? <i>Check all that</i>
	apply. □ Privacy Act Statement
	1 Tivacy Act Statement
	☐ System of Records Notice
	□ Privacy Impact Assessment
	Date of Last Update: This PIA will serve as notice to individuals whose information may be in the
	system.
	☐ Web Privacy Policy
	☐ Other notice:
	□ Notice was not provided.
4.2	
4.2	Considering the method(s) of notice provided what privacy risks were identified regarding adequate notice and how well those risks mitigated?

Revised February 2016 Page **4** of **9** The information collected is for the purposes of processing payments submitted by Registrants. The PII collected only relates to a POC or agent of the Registrant and is not the subject of the information collection. Privacy risks regarding notice are mitigated by this PIA which details the limited collection, purpose, and use of the information collected on the individuals.

Section 5: Limits on Uses and Sharing of Information

5.1 What methods are used to analyze the data?

When a registrant requests a refund, a POC at the company is requested to address any questions about the refund request. In addition to obtaining the POC, an address or bank routing/account number where a registrant would like their refunds sent is requested. Registrants are required to request the return of unused funds (refund) using an electronic form on EDGAR to ensure that the registrant has access and authority to the EDGAR account.

	an electronic form on EDGAR to ensure that the registrant has access and authority to the EDGAR account.
5.2	Will internal organizations have access to the data?
	□ No ⊠ Yes
	Organizations: Division of Corporation Finance, Investment Management, and Office of Information Technology EDGAR Operations Team
5.3	Describe the risk to privacy from internal sharing and describe how the risks are mitigated.
	The risk to privacy for individuals due to internal sharing is low. The POC information is maintained for the
	purpose of issuing a refund to the Registrants, if applicable. The primary sharing of the information collected is related to the fee submissions of the registrants and the analysis of that information with offices and divisions that have a business need for the information. This rarely includes refund information and POC information collected
	In the past seven years, there have only been a handful of cases where another office has inquired about the POC for a refund request. All information in EFSM is shared only on a need to know basis.
5.4	Will external organizations have access to the data?
	⊠ No
	□ Yes
	Organizations:
5.5	Describe the risk to privacy from external sharing and describe how the risks are mitigated.
	None.
	Section 6: Data Quality and Integrity
6.1	Is the information collected directly from the individual or from another source?
U.1	Directly from the individual.

6.2 What methods will be used to collect the data?

EFSM will interface with EDGAR to collect data from Registrants. This includes POC and agent information, and bank information. EFSM system will also receive information from Delphi GL system and Treasury. Delphi GL system and Treasury will provide general ledger and cash receipt reporting information to EFSM (primarily for reconciliation purposes).

Once the new system is in production, the data from the previous Momentum system will be migrated to and stored in EFSM.

6.3 How will the data collected from individuals, or derived by the system, be checked for accuracy and completeness?

Revised February 2016 Page **5** of **9**

Privacy Impact AssessmentEDGAR Fee System Modernization

	EFSM relies on the registrant to provide accurate information when submitting fee submissions. In addition, SEC
	staff will have the capability to enter updated information into the system when necessary.
6.4	Does the project or system process, or access, PII in any other SEC system?
	⊠ No
	☐ Yes.
	System(s):
(=	
6.5	Consider the sources of the data and methods of collection and discuss the privacy risk for this system
	related to data quality and integrity? How are these risks mitigated? Not applicable.
	Not applicable.
	Section 7: Individual Participation
7.1	What opportunities are available for individuals to consent to uses, decline to provide information, or opt
	out of the project? If no opportunities are available to consent, decline or opt out, please explain.
	The only individual data collected is POC information from a registrant in case there are questions about a refund
	request made by the registrant. It is within the discretion of the registrant to provide a POC regarding its refund
	request.
7.2	What procedures are in place to allow individuals to access their information?
	Once a refund request is submitted, the information gets sent to EDGAR and then EFSM. Individuals are not able
	to access the contact/bank account information provided by the registrant.
7 2	
7.3	Can individuals amend information about themselves in the system? If so, how?
	No
7.4	Discuss the privacy risks related to individual participation and redress? How were these risks mitigated?
	Given the limited purpose for collecting information for a POC, the privacy risks to the individual are minimal.
	Section 8: Security
8.1	Has the system been authorized to process information?
	☐ Yes
	SA&A Completion Date:
	Date of Authority to Operate (ATO) Expected or Granted:
	⊠ No
	We are in the process of going through SA & A
8.2	Identify individuals who will have access to the data in the project or system and state their respective
8.4	roles.
	□ Users
	Roles: EFSM Staff to carry out their assigned duties; REFADMIN role to oversee the application
	functionality.
	□ Contractors
	Roles:
	☐ Managers
	Roles:
	□ Program Staff
	Roles:
	IXOICO.

Revised February 2016 Page **6** of **9**

		Developers						
		Roles:						
		System Administrators						
	_	Roles:						
		Others:						
		Roles:						
8.3	Car	the system be accessed outside of a connect	ed SEC	networl	k?			
	\boxtimes	No						
		Yes						
		If yes, is secured authentication required?		No		Yes		Not Applicable
		Is the session encrypted?		No		Yes		Not Applicable
8.4		w will the system be secured?						
		ill be built and stored within the SEC IT network		based a	ccess contr	ols are imple	mente	ed. Identification
	and	authentication is enabled through Active Direct	tory.					
8.5	Doe	s the project or system involve an online coll	ection o	f persor	nal data?			
	\boxtimes	No		_				
		Yes						
		Public URL:						
8.6	Das	a the cite house a most of mains on metics?						
0.0		s the site have a posted privacy notice? No						
		Yes						
	\boxtimes	N/A						
8.7		s the project or system use web measuremen	t and/o	custon	nization te	chnologies?		
	\boxtimes	No						
		Yes, but they do not collect PII						
		Yes, and they collect PII						
		res, and they concer in						
8.8		cribe any privacy risks for this system that r	elate to	the tech	nology an	d security of	f the s	ystem and how
		se risks are mitigated. privacy risks are minimal considering the secur	rity prote	ections i	n nlace reg	arding limite	d acce	ess controls
		tification and authorization controls through ac						
	the S	SA&A process.		•		•	,	
			. 1 111	1 /	1''			
9.1	Descr	Section 9: Accourable what privacy training is provided to use				ic to the eve	tem o	r project.
7.1		EC staff will have to go through SEC privacy tr						i projecti
					•			
9.2		the system generate reports that contain info	ormatio	on ind	lividuals?			
		No Yes						
		105						
9.3	Do co	ontracts for the system include Federal Acqu	isition R	egulation	on (FAR) s	and other ar	plica	ble clauses
		ing adherence to the privacy provisions and		_	(1.111)	Julior up	rneu	

Revised February 2016 Page **7** of **9**

		No Yes This is not a contractor operated system
9.4	Doe	s the system employ audit logging or event logging?
		No
	\boxtimes	Yes
		The following is recorded in the EFSM audit logs. The report and query provide details about the new records, added, changes to existing records, and records deleted. The logged data is reviewed on a regular

records added, changes to existing records, and records deleted. The logged data is reviewed on a regular basis and retained indefinitely unless determined otherwise.

EFSM Logged Reference Data						
Accession Number	Budget Dimension	Form Type	Penalty Type	Text Code		
Accounting Event	Bureau	Form Type Category	Principal	Transaction Definition		
Accounting Period	CIK	Fund	Receivable Type	Treasury Symbol		
Act	CIK Category	GL Account	Relationship Edit	Workflow Groups		
Agency	CIK Options	GL Account Entry	Report Definition	Write-Off Reason		
Agency Location Code	CIK Type	GL Account Type	Revenue Source			
Approval Template	CIR Detail Key	General System Options	Security Category			
Approval Type	CIR Inbound Crosswalk	Interest Reason	Security Organization			
BETC	Calendar Date	Interest Type	Security Role			
Bank ABA/BIC	Country	Office	Security Type			
Batch File Layout	County	Outstanding Bills	State			
Batch File Location	Disbursing Office	Payment Category	System ID			
Batch Job	Document Type	Payment Options	System Settings			
Billing Option	File Number	Payment Type	Tender Type			

What auditing measures/controls and technical safeguards are in place to prevent misuse (e.g., unauthorized 9.5 browsing) of the data? What mechanisms are in place to identify security breaches?

EFSM security model is made up of security roles which are assigned to end users. A security role defines the permitted actions that a user can execute when assigned the security role. For example, the areas of the system the user can view the type of transactions a user can process, and the work items a user can take action on. If a user attempts to perform an action that they are not permitted to take, the violation is logged and can be viewed in the Security Violations Report.

9.6 Given the sensitivity of the PII in the system, manner of use, and established safeguards, describe the expected residual risk related to access.

No residual risks are identified. The PII collected is not sensitive in nature and the security safeguards and controls in place adequately protect the PII collected.

Revised February 2016 Page 9 of 9