

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 98405 / September 15, 2023

Admin. Proc. File No. 3-20406

In the Matter of

PAUL HANSON

RENEWED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On July 21, 2021, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) issued an order instituting administrative proceedings (“OIP”) against Paul Hanson (“Respondent”) pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.¹ On April 29, 2022 and July 26, 2022, the Division of Enforcement filed status reports establishing that service of the OIP was made on Respondent on July 29, 2021, pursuant to Commission Rule of Practice 141(a)(2)(i).²

On August 1, 2022, the Commission issued an order that Respondent show cause why this proceeding should not be determined against him due to his failure to file an answer and to otherwise defend this proceeding.³ But it appears that the order to show cause may not have been properly served on Respondent. Under the circumstances, we find it appropriate to renew the order to show cause and extend the deadline by which Respondent must file a response to that order. The prehearing conference and the hearing remain continued indefinitely.

We further note that, on September 12, 2022, the Division moved for an order of default and the imposition of remedial sanctions against Respondent. Although it appears the Division properly served the motion on Respondent, Respondent did not file a response. Consequently, Respondent must also address his failure to respond to the Division’s motion when responding to this renewed show cause order.

Accordingly, Respondent is ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE by September 29, 2023, why he should not be deemed to be in default and why this proceeding should not be determined against him due to his failure to file an answer, to respond to the Division’s motion, and to otherwise defend this proceeding. Respondent’s submission shall address the reasons for his

¹ *Paul Hanson*, Exchange Act Release No. 92462, 2021 WL 3110020 (July 21, 2021).

² 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(i).

³ *Paul Hanson*, Exchange Act Release No. 95399, 2022 WL 3043162 (Aug. 1, 2022).

failure to timely file an answer or response to the Division's motion, include a proposed answer to be accepted in the event that the Commission does not enter a default against him, and address the substance of the Division's request for sanctions. If Respondent responds to this order to show cause, the Division may file a reply within 14 days after its service.

When a party defaults, the allegations in the OIP will be deemed to be true and the Commission may determine the proceeding against that party upon consideration of the record without holding a public hearing.⁴ The OIP informed Respondent that a failure to file an answer could result in deeming him in default and determining the proceeding against him.⁵ The failure to timely oppose a dispositive motion is also a basis for a finding of default.⁶ Like failing to file an answer, it may result in the determination of particular claims, or the proceeding as a whole, adversely to the non-moving party and may be deemed a forfeiture of arguments that could have been raised at that time.⁷

The parties' attention is directed to the e-filing requirements in the Rules of Practice.⁸ Respondent must serve the Division with a copy of any document that he files with the Commission, and he must include a certificate of service with each document that he files.⁹

Upon review of the filings in response to this order, the Commission will either direct further proceedings by subsequent order or issue a final opinion and order resolving the matter.

⁴ Rules of Practice 155, 180, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155, .180.

⁵ *Hanson*, 2021 WL 3110020, at *2.

⁶ See Rules of Practice 155(a)(2), 180(c), 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), 201.180(c); see, e.g., *Benham Halali*, Exchange Act Release No. 79722, 2017 WL 24498, at *3 n.12 (Jan. 3, 2017).

⁷ See, e.g., *McBarron Capital LLC*, Exchange Act Release No. 81789, 2017 WL 4350655, at *3-5 (Sept. 29, 2017); *Bennett Grp. Fin. Servs., LLC*, Exchange Act Release No. 80347, 2017 WL 1176053, at *2-3 (Mar. 30, 2017), *abrogated in part on other grounds by Lucia v. SEC*, 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018); *Apollo Publ'n Corp.*, Securities Act Release No. 8678, 2006 WL 985307, at *1 n.6 (Apr. 13, 2006).

⁸ *Amendments to the Commission's Rules of Practice*, Exchange Act Release No. 90442, 2020 WL 7013370 (Nov. 17, 2020), 85 Fed. Reg. 86,464, 86,474 (Dec. 30, 2020), <https://www.sec.gov/rules/final/2020/34-90442a.pdf>; *Instructions for Electronic Filing and Service of Documents in SEC Administrative Proceedings and Technical Specifications*, <https://www.sec.gov/efapdocs/instructions.pdf>. The amendments impose other obligations such as a redaction and omission of sensitive personal information requirement. *Amendments to the Commission's Rules of Practice*, 85 Fed. Reg. at 86,465–81.

⁹ See Rule of Practice 150, 17 C.F.R. § 201.150 (generally requiring parties to serve each other with their filings); Rule of Practice 151(d), 17 C.F.R. § 201.151(d) (“Papers filed with the Commission . . . shall be accompanied by a certificate stating the name of the person or persons served, the date of service, the method of service, and the mailing address or email address to which service was made, if not made in person.”).

For the Commission, by the Office of the General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary