

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940
Release No. 6191 / November 29, 2022

Admin. Proc. File No. 3-20950

In the Matter of

MICHELLE MACCIO

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On August 9, 2022, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting administrative proceedings (“OIP”) against Michelle Maccio pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.¹ On September 14, 2022, the Division of Enforcement filed a Status Report and Request for Briefing Schedule, which established that service of the OIP was made on Maccio on August 19, 2022, pursuant to Rule 141(a)(2)(i) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice.²

As stated in the OIP, Maccio’s answer was required to be filed within 20 days of service of the OIP.³ As of the date of this order, Maccio has not filed an answer. The prehearing conference and the hearing are thus continued indefinitely.

Accordingly, Maccio is ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE by December 13, 2022, why she should not be deemed to be in default and why this proceeding should not be determined against her due to her failure to file an answer and to otherwise defend this proceeding. Maccio’s submission shall address the reasons for her failure to timely file an answer, and include a proposed answer to be accepted in the event that the Commission does not enter a default against her.

When a party defaults, the allegations in the OIP will be deemed to be true and the Commission may determine the proceeding against that party upon consideration of the record

¹ *Michelle Maccio*, Advisers Act Release No. 6081, 2022 WL 3212337 (Aug. 9, 2022).

² 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(i).

³ *Maccio*, 2022 WL 3212337, at *2; Rules of Practice 151(a), 160(b), 220(b), 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.151(a), 160(b), .220(b).

without holding a public hearing.⁴ The OIP informed Maccio that a failure to file an answer could result in deeming her in default and determining the proceedings against her.⁵

If Maccio files a response to this order to show cause, the Division may file a reply within 14 days after its service. If Maccio does not file a response, the Division shall file a motion for entry of an order of default and the imposition of remedial sanctions by January 10, 2023. The motion for sanctions should address each statutory element of the relevant provisions of Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act.⁶ The motion should discuss relevant authority relating to the legal basis for, and the appropriateness of, the requested sanctions and include evidentiary support sufficient to make an individualized assessment of whether those sanctions are in the public interest.⁷ The parties may file opposition and reply briefs within the deadlines provided by the Rules of Practice.⁸ The failure to timely oppose a dispositive motion is itself a basis for a finding of default;⁹ it may result in the determination of particular claims, or the proceeding as a whole, adversely to the non-moving party and may be deemed a forfeiture of arguments that could have been raised at that time.¹⁰

⁴ Rules of Practice 155, 180, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155, .180.

⁵ *Maccio*, 2022 WL 3212337, at *2.

⁶ *See, e.g., Shawn K. Dicken*, Exchange Act Release No. 89526, 2020 WL 4678066, at *2 (Aug. 12, 2020) (requesting additional information from the Division “regarding the factual predicate for Dicken’s convictions” and “why these facts establish” the need for remedial sanctions); *see also Shawn K. Dicken*, Exchange Act Release No. 90215, 2020 WL 6117716, at *1 (Oct. 16, 2020) (clarifying the additional information needed from the Division).

⁷ *See generally Rapoport v. SEC*, 682 F.3d 98, 108 (D.C. Cir. 2012) (requiring “meaningful explanation for imposing sanctions”); *McCarthy v. SEC*, 406 F.3d 179, 190 (2d Cir. 2005) (stating that “each case must be considered on its own facts”); *Gary L. McDuff*, Exchange Act Release No. 74803, 2015 WL 1873119, at *1, *3 (Apr. 23, 2015); *Ross Mandell*, Exchange Act Release No. 71668, 2014 WL 907416, at *2 (Mar. 7, 2014), *vacated in part on other grounds*, Exchange Act Release No. 77935, 2016 WL 3030883 (May 26, 2016); *Don Warner Reinhard*, Exchange Act Release No. 61506, 2010 WL 421305, at *3-4 (Feb. 4, 2010), *appeal after remand*, Exchange Act Release No. 63720, 2011 WL 121451, at *5-8 (Jan. 14, 2011).

⁸ *See* Rules of Practice 154, 160, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.154, .160.

⁹ *See* Rules of Practice 155(a)(2), 180(c), 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .180(c); *see, e.g., Behnam Halali*, Exchange Act Release No. 79722, 2017 WL 24498, at *3 n.12 (Jan. 3, 2017).

¹⁰ *See, e.g., McBarron Capital LLC*, Exchange Act Release No. 81789, 2017 WL 4350655, at *3-5 (Sep. 29, 2017); *Bennett Grp. Fin. Servs., LLC*, Exchange Act Release No. 80347, 2017 WL 1176053, at *2-3 (Mar. 30, 2017), *abrogated in part on other grounds by Lucia v. SEC*, 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018); *Apollo Publ’n Corp.*, Securities Act Release No. 8678, 2006 WL 985307, at *1 n.6 (Apr. 13, 2006).

The parties' attention is directed to the most recent amendments to the Commission's Rules of Practice, which took effect on April 12, 2021, and which include new e-filing requirements.¹¹

Upon review of the filings in response to this order, the Commission will either direct further proceedings by subsequent order or issue a final opinion and order resolving the matter.

For the Commission, by the Office of the General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary

¹¹ *Amendments to the Commission's Rules of Practice*, Exchange Act Release No. 90442, 2020 WL 7013370 (Nov. 17, 2020), 85 Fed. Reg. 86,464, 86,474 (Dec. 30, 2020), <https://www.sec.gov/rules/final/2020/34-90442a.pdf>; *Instructions for Electronic Filing and Service of Documents in SEC Administrative Proceedings and Technical Specifications*, <https://www.sec.gov/efapdocs/instructions.pdf>. The amendments impose other obligations such as a new redaction and omission of sensitive personal information requirement. *Amendments to the Commission's Rules of Practice*, 85 Fed. Reg. at 86,465-81.