

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 95509 / August 16, 2022

Admin. Proc. File No. 3-20743

In the Matter of
ZENOSENSE, INC.

SCHEDULING ORDER

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) issued an Order Instituting Proceedings (“OIP”) on February 9, 2022, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, against Zenosense, Inc. (“Respondent”).¹ On February 18, 2022, Respondent filed its answer. The parties held a prehearing conference on May 4, 2022, and then submitted separate statements regarding the prehearing conference, in which they agreed to certain deadlines with respect to briefing on a motion for summary disposition.

On August 11, 2022, the Division filed a motion for summary disposition pursuant to Commission Rule of Practice 250(b) that requested that the Commission revoke the registration of Respondent’s securities.² Based on the parties’ agreement, it is appropriate to set the following briefing schedule for the Division’s motion for summary disposition.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent’s opposition is due by August 25, 2022, and the Division’s reply is due by September 1, 2022.³

¹ *Zenosense, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 94209, 2022 WL 394645 (Feb. 9, 2022).

² *See* 17 C.F.R. § 201.250(b) (providing that summary disposition is appropriate if “there is no genuine issue with regard to any material fact and . . . the movant is entitled to summary disposition as a matter of law”). Motions for summary disposition may be made under Rule 250(b) after a respondent’s answer has been filed and documents have been made available to the respondent for inspection and copying pursuant to Rule of Practice 230. *Id.*; 17 C.F.R. § 201.230. According to the prehearing conference statements, the Division made available these documents on May 10, 2022.

³ Attention is called to Rules of Practice 150-153, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.150-153, with respect to form and service, and Rule of Practice 250(e) and (f), 17 C.F.R. § 201.250(e) and (f), with

An opposition to a motion for summary disposition should precisely specify in the brief the basis for that opposition, identify with particularity the material factual issues in dispute, and address relevant Commission precedent.⁴ Pursuant to Rule of Practice 180(c), a party's failure to comply with this order may result in the Commission's determination of the matter at issue against that party, entry of a default, dismissal of the proceeding, or the prohibition of the introduction of evidence or the exclusion of testimony regarding the matter at issue.⁵

For the Commission, by the Office of the General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary

respect to length limitations. *See also In re: Pending Admin. Proceedings*, Exchange Act Release No. 88415, 2020 WL 1322001, at *1 (Mar. 18, 2020) (stating that “pending further order of the Commission, all reasonable requests for extensions of time will not be disfavored as stated in Rule 161” (citing 17 C.F.R. § 201.161(b)(1))).

⁴ *See, e.g., United Dev. Funding III, L.P.*, Exchange Act Release No. 85197, 2019 WL 936699, at *1-2 & nn.6-11 (Feb. 26, 2019) (discussing appropriateness of summary disposition in Section 12(j) proceeding); *Absolute Potential, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 71866, 2014 WL 1338256, at *5-8 (Apr. 4, 2014) (discussing types of factual disputes that may be relevant in ruling on summary disposition in Section 12(j) proceeding).

⁵ 17 C.F.R. § 201.180(c).