UNITED STATES OF AMERICA before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Release No. 92856 / September 2, 2021

o. 3-20042	
In the Matter of	
STRATABASE	

ORDER DISMISSING PROCEEDING

On September 22, 2020, the Commission issued an order instituting an administrative proceeding ("OIP") against STRATABASE under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The OIP alleged that STRATABASE had violated periodic reporting requirements and sought to determine, based on those allegations, whether it was "necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to suspend . . . or revoke the registration" of STRATABASE's securities.

On June 21, 2021, the Division of Enforcement moved to dismiss this proceeding. The Division states that, on June 9, 2021, it spoke with counsel for Strata Power Corporation, the successor to STRATABASE. The Division states that it subsequently confirmed the following representations made during that conversation by counsel for Strata Power Corporation: (i) that when STRATABASE changed its name after moving to Canada in 2004, it also inadvertently changed CIK numbers; and (ii) that Strata Power Corporation was up to date in its periodic filings at the time that this proceeding was instituted and remains current.

We have determined to grant the Division's motion. Because STRATABASE stopped filing periodic reports under its old CIK number and began filing periodic reports under its new

¹ STRATABASE, Exchange Act Release No. 89951, 2020 WL 5657523 (Sept. 22, 2020); see also 15 U.S.C. § 78l(j).

CIK number, it appeared to be delinquent in its filings when, in fact, it was not delinquent. Given the circumstances, it is appropriate to dismiss this proceeding.²

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that this proceeding is dismissed.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman Secretary

See 21st Century Telesis II, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 73854, 2014 WL 7146044 (Dec. 16, 2014) (granting Division of Enforcement's motion to dismiss Exchange Act Section 12(j) proceeding where respondent's change of CIK numbers made it appear to be delinquent when, in fact, it had continued to file periodic reports using its new CIK number).