

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 90520 / November 25, 2020

Admin. Proc. File No. 3-19496

In the Matter of

CHINA GERUI ADVANCED MATERIALS GROUP
LIMITED

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) issued an Order Instituting Proceedings (“OIP”) on September 23, 2019, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, against respondent China Gerui Advanced Materials Group Limited (“Respondent”).¹

On October 17, 2019, the Division of Enforcement filed the Declaration of Gina Joyce, which stated that, pursuant to Rule 141(a)(2)(ii) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice, service of the OIP was made on Respondent on October 11, 2019.² On November 20, 2019, the Division filed a motion requesting that the Commission find Respondent in default for not filing an answer and that it revoke the registration of each class of its securities based on the record and allegations in the OIP.

As stated in the OIP, Respondent’s answer was required to be filed within ten days of service of the OIP.³ As of the date of this order, Respondent has not filed an answer. The prehearing conference and the hearing are thus continued indefinitely.

¹ *China Gerui Advanced Materials Group Limited*, Exchange Act Release No. 87066, 2019 WL 4640448 (Sept. 23, 2019).

² 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii); 141(a)(2)(iv); *see Water Splash, Inc. v. Menon*, 137 S. Ct. 1504, 1513 & n.7 (2017) (holding that “in cases governed by the Hague Service Convention, service by mail is permissible if two conditions are met: first, the receiving state has not objected to service by mail; and second, service by mail is authorized under otherwise-applicable law”); *see also* Hague Conference on Private Int’l Law, Table Reflecting Applicability of Articles 8(2), 10(a)(b) and (c), 14(2) and 16(3) of the Hague Service Convention, available at <https://assets.hcch.net/docs/6365f76b-22b3-4bac-82ea-395bf75b2254.pdf> (showing that the United Kingdom does not oppose service by mail under Article 10(a)).

³ Rules of Practice 151(a), 160(b), 220(b), 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.151(a), .160(b), .220(b).

Accordingly, Respondent is ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE by December 9, 2020, why the registration of its securities should not be revoked by default due to its failure to file an answer and to otherwise defend this proceeding. When a party defaults, the allegations in the OIP will be deemed to be true and the Commission may determine the proceeding against that party upon consideration of the record without holding a public hearing.

If Respondent fails to respond to this order to show cause, it may be deemed in default, the proceeding may be determined against it, and its securities may be revoked.⁴ Upon review of the filings in response to this order, the Commission will either direct further proceedings by subsequent order or issue a final order resolving the matter.

The parties' attention is called to the Commission's March 18, 2020 order regarding the filing and service of papers, which provides that pending further order of the Commission parties to the extent possible shall submit all filings electronically at apfilings@sec.gov.⁵

For the Commission, by the Office of the General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary

⁴ Rules of Practice 155, 180, 17 C.F.R. § 201.155, .180; *see China Gerui*, 2019 WL 4640448, at *2 (“If Respondent fails to file the directed Answer, . . . [it] may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against [it] . . .”).

⁵ *See Pending Administrative Proceedings*, Exchange Act Release No. 88415, <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/opinions/2020/33-10767.pdf>.