UNITED STATES OF AMERICA before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Release No. 90471 / November 20, 2020

Admin. Proc. File No. 3-19465

In the Matter of

CHINA LONGYI GROUP INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS LTD., LEO MOTORS, INC., AND LNPR GROUP, INC.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AS TO CHINA LONGYI GROUP INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS LTD.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") issued an Order Instituting Proceedings ("OIP") on September 17, 2019, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, against respondent China Longyi Group International Holdings Ltd. ("Respondent").

On October 29, 2019, the Division of Enforcement filed a motion for an order entering a default against Respondent and revoking the registration of its securities. The motion included a Declaration of Charles Davis ("Davis Declaration"), which stated that service of the OIP was effected on Respondent on September 25, 2019 by service on the New York Secretary of State. Respondent is a limited corporation registered to do business in New York and has inactive status with the state. Service can be effected on such an entity by properly serving the New York Secretary of State.² The Davis Declaration stated that, and attached evidence showing that, the

China Longyi Group Int'l Holdings Ltd., Exchange Act Release No. 86990, 2019 WL 4463727 (Sept. 17, 2019). The OIP also instituted proceedings against Leo Motors, Inc. and LNPR Group, Inc., each of which subsequently had the registration of its securities revoked pursuant to a settlement. China Longyi Group Int'l Holdings Ltd., Exchange Act Release No. 87710, 2019 WL 6793797 (Dec. 10, 2019); China Longyi Group Int'l Holdings Ltd., Exchange Act Release No. 87553, 2019 WL 6130654 (Nov. 15, 2019).

See 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii) (providing that service may be made upon an entity "by delivering a copy of the [OIP] to an . . . agent authorized by appointment or law to receive such notice"); N.Y. Bus. Corp. L. § 306(b)(1) (describing a means of serving a New York corporation).

Division engaged a private process server who served a copy of the OIP on the New York Secretary of State on September 25, 2019.

As stated in the OIP, Respondent's answer was required to be filed within ten days of service of the OIP.³ As of the date of this order, Respondent has not filed an answer. The prehearing conference and the hearing are thus continued indefinitely.

Accordingly, Respondent is ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE by December 4, 2020, why the registration of its securities should not be revoked by default due to its failure to file an answer and to otherwise defend this proceeding. When a party defaults, the allegations in the OIP will be deemed to be true and the Commission may determine the proceeding against that party upon consideration of the record without holding a public hearing.

If Respondent fails to respond to this order to show cause, it may be deemed in default, the proceeding may be determined against it, and its securities may be revoked.⁴ Upon review of the filings in response to this order, the Commission will either direct further proceedings by subsequent order or issue a final order resolving the matter.

The parties' attention is called to the Commission's March 18, 2020 order regarding the filing and service of papers and stating that pending further order of the Commission parties to the extent possible shall submit all filings electronically at apfilings@sec.gov.5

For the Commission, by the Office of the General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority.

Vanessa A. Countryman Secretary

Rules of Practice 151(a), 160(b), 220(b), 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.151(a), .160(b), .220(b).

Rules of Practice 155, 180, 17 C.F.R. § 201.155, .180; see China Longyi Group Int'l Holdings Ltd., Exchange Act Release No. 86990, 2019 WL 4463727, at *3 ("If Respondents fail to file the directed Answers, . . . [they] may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against them").

⁵ See Pending Administrative Proceedings, Exchange Act Release No. 88415, https://www.sec.gov/litigation/opinions/2020/33-10767.pdf.