1	MICHAEL A. PIAZZA, Cal. Bar No. 235881 E-mail: PiazzaM@sec.gov		
2	E-mail: PiazzaM@sec.gov JOHN B. BULGOZDY, Cal. Bar No. 219897 E-mail: BulgozdyJ@sec.gov		
3	E-mail: BulgozdyJ@sec.gov KELLY BOWERS, Cal. Bar No. 164007 E-mail: BowersK@sec.gov		
4	E-mail: BowersK@sec.gov VICTORIA A. LEVIN, Cal. Bar No. 166616		
5	E-mail: LevinV@sec.gov NICHOLAS S. CHUNG, Cal. Bar No. 192784		
6	E-mail: ChungNi@sec.gov		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission		
8	Securities and Exchange Commission Randall R. Lee, Regional Director Briane Nelson Mitchell, Associate Regional Director 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036-3648		
9	Los Angeles, California 90036-3648		
10	Los Angeles, California 90036-3648 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (323) 965-3908		
11	INUTED CTATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No. CV 05-6584 R (RCx)	
15	COMMISSION,	Case No. C v 03-0304 K (RCx)	
16	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES	
17	V.	LAWS LAWS	
18	JAMESON L. THOTTAM,		
19	Defendant.		
20			
21			
22	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") alleges as		
23	follows:		
24	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
25	1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections		
26			
	21(d)(1), 21(e), 21A(a)(1), and 27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934		
27	("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(e), 78u-1(a)(1) & 78aa. Defendant		
28	has, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate		

commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the acts, practices, and courses of business alleged in this complaint.

2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa, because certain of the acts, practices, and courses of business constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district.

SUMMARY

- 3. This matter involves unlawful insider trading in the securities of IndyMac Bancorp, Inc. ("IndyMac") by defendant Jameson L. Thottam ("Thottam"). In July 2004, Thottam, in the course of his duties as a vice president of IndyMac's corporate strategic planning department, became aware that IndyMac's pro forma earnings in the second quarter of 2004 were significantly above the company's projections. While aware of this non-public information, Thottam purchased 200 call options in IndyMac common stock and sold the options shortly after IndyMac announced record pro forma earnings for the second quarter of 2004, thereby receiving a profit of \$40,258.
- 4. By engaging in the conduct described in this complaint, Thottam, directly and indirectly, engaged in acts, practices, and courses of business in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.
- 5. The Commission brings this action for an order permanently restraining and enjoining Thottam against future violations of the federal securities laws, ordering disgorgement of unlawful profits and prejudgment interest thereon, and imposing a civil penalty.

THE DEFENDANT

6. Thottam, age 33, is a resident of Houston, Texas. He was employed in various capacities at IndyMac's offices in Pasadena, California from February

2

1

3

4 5

7

6

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

2003 until September 2004, when he was terminated as a vice president of IndyMac's corporate strategic planning department.

RELATED ENTITY

7. IndyMac is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Pasadena, California. IndyMac's primary operating subsidiary is IndyMac Bank, F.S.B., the largest savings and loan in Los Angeles County and the tenth largest nationwide, based on assets. IndyMac's common stock is registered with the Commission and trades on the New York Stock Exchange.

THE DEFENDANT'S IMPROPER CONDUCT

- 8. In July 2004, Thottam worked on a quarterly report on IndyMac's financial performance for the second quarter of 2004 (known as the Quarterly Management and Accountability Reporting Package) ("Accountability Package") for presentation to IndyMac's board of directors. The Accountability Package contained detailed financial data, including actual versus projected pro forma balance sheet and income statement information. IndyMac had not yet announced its results for the second quarter of 2004, and the Accountability Package contained non-public information that Thottam received during the course of his duties as a vice president of IndyMac's corporate strategic planning department.
- Specifically, with respect to pro forma earnings for the second quarter 9. of 2004, the Accountability Package reported that actual earnings per share were \$0.90, a 23\% improvement over the company's target of \$0.73. Thottam also knew, or was reckless in not knowing, what analysts' expectations were for IndyMac's second quarter of 2004 because Thottam's duties at IndyMac required that he read analysts' reports on the company and its competitors.
- 10. IndyMac's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics ("Code of Conduct") is distributed to and signed by all IndyMac employees. In April 2004, Thottam signed a form certifying that he had read the Code of Conduct, which states in pertinent part:

Employees who have access to or knowledge of confidential information are not permitted to use or share that information for stock trading purposes, or for any purpose other than the conduct of our business. All non-public information about [IndyMac] should be considered confidential information. To use non-public information for personal financial benefit or to "tip" others who might make an investment decision on the basis of this information not only is unethical but may also be illegal.

- 11. Thottam knew, or was reckless in not knowing, that the information regarding IndyMac's second quarter 2004 pro forma earnings was material non-public information and that he owed a duty of trust and confidence to IndyMac and its shareholders.
- 12. Thottam knew, or was reckless in not knowing, that he should have kept the information regarding IndyMac's second quarter 2004 pro forma earnings confidential and that he could not use or take advantage of the information.
- 13. On July 23, 2004, Thottam purchased 200 August 30 IndyMac call options on a national securities exchange for \$39,370. Thottam purchased IndyMac call options in breach of his duty of trust and confidence to IndyMac. By purchasing IndyMac call options for his own benefit while aware of the positive earnings information before it became public, Thottam violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.
- 14. Before the stock market opened on July 30, 2004, IndyMac publicly announced record pro forma earnings of \$54.6 million, or \$0.90 per share, for the second quarter of 2004. IndyMac earnings per share for that quarter exceeded analysts' expectations of \$0.84 per share. On July 30, 2004, IndyMac's common stock price closed at \$33.22 per share, an increase of 3.5% from the prior trading day, on trading volume of 986,200 shares, a 272% increase from the previous trading day.

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:	
3	I.	
4	Issue a final judgment, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d),	
5	permanently enjoining defendant Thottam and his officers, agents, servants,	
6	employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with	
7	any of them, who receive actual notice of the final judgment by personal service or	
8	otherwise, and each of them, from violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15	
9	U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.	
10	II.	
11	Order defendant Thottam to disgorge all ill-gotten gains from his illegal	
12	conduct, together with prejudgment interest thereon.	
13	III.	
14	Order defendant Thottam to pay a civil penalty under Section 21A(a) of the	
15	Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-1(a).	
16	IV.	
17	Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity	
18	and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the	
19	terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered, or to entertain any suitable	
20	application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.	
21	V.	
22	Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just	
23	and necessary.	
24		
25	DATED: September 7, 2005 /s/	
26	Nicholas S. Chung Attorney for Plaintiff	
27	Securities and Exchange Commission	
28		
	_ 6	