1	DARREN E. LONG (D.C. Bar No. 474261)			
2	longd@sec.gov Attorney for Plaintiff			
3	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 100 F Street, NE Washington DC 20540 5010			
4	Facsimile: (202) 772-9287 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION			
5				
6				
7				
8	GECHDITIES AND EVOLUNGE			
9	COMMISSION,			
10	Plaintiff,			
11	VS.			
12	PETER D. NUNAN,			
13 14	Defendant.			
15				
16	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") alleges:			
17				
18	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
19	1. The Commission brings this action under Section 21(d) and 21A of the Exchange			
20	Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78u-1].			
21	2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under Sections 21(d), 21(e), 21A, and			
22	27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e), 78u-1 & 78aa]. Defendant, directly or			
23	indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or the mails,	or the		
24	facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practice	es, and		
25	courses of business alleged in this Complaint.			
26				
27				
28				
	- 1 - COMI	PLAINT		

- 3. Venue is proper in this district under Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §78aa] because Defendant resides in and because one or more acts or transactions constituting the violation occurred within the Northern District of California.
- 4. Assignment to the San Jose Division is appropriate pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and 3-2(e) because the events or omissions giving rise to the Commission's claims occurred, among other places, in Santa Clara County.

SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

- 5. This case involves unlawful insider trading by Peter D. Nunan in the securities of FSI International, Inc., a Minnesota-based semiconductor equipment company. In 2012, Nunan traded on material, nonpublic information that Tokyo Electron Ltd., a Japanese semiconductor equipment company, was in negotiations to acquire FSI. At the time, Nunan was a senior engineering executive at the U.S. subsidiary of another Japanese semiconductor equipment company, Screen Holdings Company, Ltd. A member of FSI's board of directors provided Nunan with material, nonpublic information concerning the acquisition in an attempt to solicit a competing bid from Screen Holdings. Over the course of many months, Nunan was a conduit for confidential information about the acquisition negotiations from the FSI director to an executive at Screen Holdings responsible for evaluating potential corporate acquisitions.
- 6. Pursuant to his employment agreement and his company's policies, Nunan had a duty not to trade on or otherwise misuse confidential information concerning this potential acquisition. In breach of that duty, between February 14 and August 9, 2012, Nunan purchased in his personal accounts 105,000 shares of FSI stock using the material, nonpublic information that the FSI director had provided him about the potential acquisition of FSI. Nunan also recommended the trade to his brother, who purchased 1000 shares of FSI stock in July 2012.

- 7. On the morning of August 13, 2012, Tokyo Electron and FSI publicly announced that they had entered into a definitive agreement for Tokyo Electron to acquire FSI, pursuant to a cash tender offer, at a price of \$6.20 per share. This agreed purchase price represented a premium of more than 50% over the prior closing price of FSI stock at \$4.04 per share. That day, after the public announcement of the acquisition, the price of FSI stock increased over 52% on heavy trading and closed at a price of \$6.16 per share. The next day Nunan sold most of his FSI stock. The illicit profits from his unlawful trading and tipping totaled \$254,858.
- 8. By engaging in the conduct alleged in this Complaint, Nunan violated the antifraud provisions of Sections 10(b) and 14(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78n(e)], and Rules 10b-5 and 14e-3 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.1 4e-3]. The Commission seeks in this action a permanent injunction, disgorgement with prejudgment interest, and a civil penalty.

DEFENDANT

9. Peter D. Nunan ("Nunan"), age 58, currently resides in Monte Sereno, California. During the relevant time period, Nunan was a Senior Engineering Fellow at Screen SPE USA, LLC (formerly known as DNS Electronics, LLC), which is a subsidiary of Screen Holdings Co., Ltd. (formerly known as Dainippon Screen Manufacturing Co., Ltd.). During the relevant time period, Nunan worked in Sunnyvale, California.

OTHER RELEVANT ENTITIES

10. TEL FSI, Inc. (formerly known as FSI International, Inc.) ("FSI") is a Minnesota corporation based in Chaska, Minnesota. FSI is a supplier of semiconductor equipment and services. Prior to its acquisition by Tokyo Electron, which was completed in October 2012, FSI's common stock was registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78l(b)] and traded on the NASDAQ Exchange.

- 11. Tokyo Electron Ltd. ("Tokyo Electron") is a Japanese company headquartered in Tokyo, Japan. Tokyo Electron, which is a public company traded on the Tokyo Stock Exchange, is a global supplier of electronics and semiconductor equipment.
- 12. Screen SPE USA, LLC (formerly known as DNS Electronics LLC) ("Screen SPE USA") is a limited liability company based in Sunnyvale, California. It is a subsidiary of Screen Holdings Co., Ltd. (formerly known as Dainippon Screen Manufacturing Co., Ltd.) ("Screen Holdings"), a privately held Japanese company that develops, manufactures, and sells semiconductor equipment. The U.S. subsidiary supports sales and services of the parent company's products in the U.S.

FACTS

- 13. At all relevant times in this Complaint, Nunan was as a Senior Engineering Fellow at Screen SPE USA, the U.S. subsidiary of Screen Holdings, a Japanese semiconductor equipment company. Nunan owed a duty of trust and confidence to his employer. Pursuant to his employment agreement and company's policies, Nunan had a duty not to trade on or otherwise misuse confidential information.
- 14. By December 2011, Tokyo Electron, a Japanese semiconductor equipment company, had entered into negotiations to acquire FSI, a Minnesota-based semiconductor equipment company. From December 2011 and continuing through August 2012, Tokyo Electron took substantial steps towards commencement of a tender offer for FSI securities. This included submitting a letter of interest to FSI with proposed pricing, a meeting between executives of the two companies to exchange confidential information, and due diligence work. The acquisition negotiations continued through the summer of 2012, and eventually resulted in an acquisition agreement that the companies publicly announced on August 13, 2012.

- 15. No later than February 2012, a member of FSI's board of directors who had a professional relationship with Nunan informed Nunan that Tokyo Electron was in negotiations to acquire FSI. The director told Nunan this confidential information because FSI's board of directors was interested in soliciting a competing bid for FSI. Nunan worked for the U.S. subsidiary of Screen Holdings, another semiconductor equipment company. The FSI director knew Nunan had a professional relationship with an executive at Screen Holdings responsible for evaluating potential corporate acquisitions, and Nunan acted as a conduit for information between the FSI director and the executive at Screen Holdings.
- 16. From at least early February 2012 and continuing through August 2012, the FSI director provided Nunan with material, nonpublic information about Tokyo Electron's negotiations to acquire FSI. This included information about proposed pricing and the timing and progress of due diligence. Nunan provided this information to the executive at Screen Holdings responsible for evaluating a potential competing bid, and he also gathered additional information about FSI as requested by the executive. Ultimately, Screen Holdings did not submit a competing bid.
- 17. Nunan knew from the material, nonpublic information that the FSI director had provided him, that Tokyo Electron was bidding to acquire FSI at a substantial premium over the market price of FSI stock. Nunan received the material, nonpublic information about the potential acquisition in the course of his employment, and had a duty not to trade on or otherwise misuse the confidential information for his personal benefit.
- 18. In breach of his duty, Nunan traded on the material, nonpublic information.

 Between February 14 and August 9, 2012, Nunan purchased 105,000 shares of FSI in his personal brokerage accounts at a weighted average price of approximately \$3.76 per share. Additionally,

in further breach of his duty, Nunan recommended the trade to his brother, who purchased 1000 shares of FSI on July 23, 2012 at a price of \$3.45 per share.

19. On the morning of August 13, 2012, Tokyo Electron and FSI publicly announced that they had entered into a definitive agreement for Tokyo Electron to acquire FSI, pursuant to a cash tender offer, at price of \$6.20 per share. The agreed purchase price represented a premium of more than 50% over the prior day's market closing price of \$4.04 per share. After the public announcement of the acquisition, the market price of FSI stock increased more than 52% on heavy trading and closed at a price of \$6.16 per share. Nunan sold most of his FSI stock the following day. The illicit profits, realized and unrealized, from his unlawful trading and tipping totaled \$254,858.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder

- 20. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19, above.
- 21. Defendant, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, by use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or the mails, or the facilities of a national securities exchange:
 - a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
 - made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts
 necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances
 under which they were made, not misleading; and/or
 - c. engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any persons, including purchasers or sellers of the securities.

22. By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Nunan violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)], and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELEIF

Violations of Section 14(e) of the Exchange Act and Rule 14e-3 Thereunder

- 23. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 above.
- 24. By engaging in the conduct described above, in connection with a tender offer, Nunan knowingly or recklessly engaged in one or more fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative acts.
- 25. By reason of the foregoing, Nunan violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, Section 14(e) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78n(e)] and Rule 14e-3 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.14e-3].

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court enter Final Judgment:

I.

Permanently restraining and enjoining Defendant from, directly or indirectly, engaging in conduct in violation of Sections 10(b) and 14(e) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78n(e)] and Rules 10b-5 and 14e-3 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.14e-3];

II.

Ordering Defendant to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, the illicit trading profits resulting from the conduct alleged in this Complaint;

1	III.			
2	Ordering Defendant to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act,			
3	[15 U.S.C. § 78u-1]; and			
4	IV.			
5	Granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and necessary.			
6 7				
8	DATED: May 2, 2016 Respectfully submitted	d,		
9	/s/ Darren E. Long			
10	Scott W. Friestad, <u>frie</u>			
11	Darren E. Long, longo	l@sec.gov		
12	Matthew Scarlato, sca	rlatom@sec.gov		
13	100 E Street N E	_		
14	14 Phone: (202) 551-478	8 (Long)		
15		•		
16	Securities and Exchange Commission			
17	17			
18	18			
	19			
20				
21				
22				
23 24				
	25 25			
26				
	27			
28				

- 8 -