| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DIS | TRICT COURT |
| 11 | WESTERN DISTRICT (| F WASHINGTON |
| 12 | AT SEATTLE | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE | Case No. |
| 16 | COMMISSION, | |
| 17 | Plaintiff, | COMPLAINT |
| 18 | v. | (Jury Demanded) |
| 19 | BRIAN D. JORGENSON and SEAN T. STOKKE, | |
| 20 | Defendants. | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission | on ("the Commission") alleges: |
| 24 | SUMMARY OF TI | HE ACTION |
| 25 | 1. Between April 2012 and October 201 | 3, Brian D. Jorgenson and Sean T. Stokke |
| 26 | repeatedly violated the federal securities laws by t | rading based on material nonpublic |
| 27 | information that Jorgenson stole from his employe | r, Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft"). As |
| 28 | a Senior Manager in Microsoft's Treasury Group, Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No. | Jorgenson possessed material nonpublic Securities and Exchange Commission 701 Market Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 1910 |

- 1 Information regarding the company and owed a duty to the company and its shareholders to 2 keep that information confidential. Jorgenson breached those duties. In advance of three 3 public announcements by Microsoft, Jorgenson tipped material nonpublic information to his 4 friend and business partner, Sean T. Stokke, who, in consultation with Jorgenson, traded on the 5 information.
- 2. First, they traded in advance of the April 30, 2012 announcement that Microsoft 7 was going to invest \$300 million in Barnes & Noble, Inc.'s ("Barnes & Noble") e-reader and digital media business. They purchased Barnes & Noble call options, which they sold for almost \$185,000 in illicit profits.
- Second, they traded in advance of Microsoft's fourth quarter earnings 3. 10 announcement on July 18, 2013. They knew that Microsoft's earnings were going to fall well short of analysts' consensus estimates and bought Microsoft options. When they sold these 13 options, they realized illicit profits of over \$195,000.
- 4. And, third, a few months later, they traded in advance of Microsoft's first quarter 14 15 earnings announcement on October 24, 2013. They knew that Microsoft's earnings would 16 exceed analysts' consensus estimates. This time, in an effort to conceal their fraud, instead of 17 trading directly in Microsoft options, they traded in options of the Technology Select Sector SPDR Fund, which held Microsoft common stock. When they sold those options, they realized almost \$13,000 in illicit profits. 19
 - 5. Jorgenson and Stokke agreed to share the profits from their scheme equally. Through their illegal conduct, they realized \$393,125 in ill-gotten gains.
- 6. Jorgenson and Stokke knowingly or recklessly engaged in the conduct described 22 23 In this Complaint, violating Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange 24 Act" [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], both directly and pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t]. Unless enjoined, they will continue to violate Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5.

27

20

21

6

Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. The Commission brings this action pursuant to Sections 21(d) and 21A of the 3 Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78u-1] to enjoin such transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business, and to obtain disgorgement, prejudgment interest, civil money penalties, 5 an officer and director bar, and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and 6 appropriate.
 - 8. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 21(e) and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(e) and 78aa].
- 9. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15] 10 U.S.C. § 78aa]. Certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business constituting the violations alleged herein occurred within the Western District of Washington. Assignment to the Seattle Division is appropriate because much of the relevant conduct took place in King County. 13

THE DEFENDANTS

- 10. Brian D. Jorgenson, age 32, is a Senior Manager in Microsoft's Treasury Group. 16 He has worked at Microsoft since 2011. From 2009 through January 2011, Jorgenson, who is a Chartered Financial Analyst, was a portfolio manager for an investment management firm "Investment Management Firm"). Jorgenson has worked in the securities and financial services industry for at least eight years. He resides in Lynwood, Washington.
- 11. 20 Sean T. Stokke, age 28, is a business analyst for an aerospace company. From May 2012 through June 2012, he worked as a contract systems analyst for Microsoft. Prior to that, like Jorgenson, Stokke worked for the Investment Management Firm; Stokke was a client support specialist. He resides in Seattle, Washington. 23

RELEVANT ENTITIES

12. Based in Redmond, Washington, Microsoft is a worldwide technology industry leader with more than \$300 billion in market capitalization and recent annual revenues over \$77 billion. The company's stock is traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol MSFT.

3

Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

1

2

7

14

15

24

25

13. Barnes & Noble, Inc. ("Barnes & Noble") is a book and entertainment seller. The 1 2 company's stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol BKS. On April 30, 2012, Barnes & 3 Noble and Microsoft jointly announced Microsoft's investment of \$300 million in Barnes & Noble's e-reader and digital media business. The companies completed the deal in October 5 2012 with the creation of Barnes & Noble's subsidiary, Nook Media LLC. For its investment, 6 Microsoft acquired an approximately 17.6% ownership interest in Nook Media.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 14. Jorgenson and Stokke met in 2009 while working at Investment Management 9 Firm and became friends. In April 2011, they opened a brokerage account together with the 10 goal of generating enough profits to start their own hedge fund. In the account opening documents for their shared brokerage account ("Account 1"), Stokke's employer was listed as 'self-BioHawks;" Jorgenson and Stokke intended to name their hedge fund BioHawks Investment Group. While they opened Account 1 in Stokke's name, they made joint trading 14 decisions and agreed to share the trading profits equally.
- 15. Before commencing the insider trading scheme, they traded other securities in 16 Account 1. On multiple occasions, Stokke transferred funds from Account 1 to a personal checking account and then wrote checks to Jorgenson for various amounts. After their illegal 18 conduct commenced, Stokke transferred the proceeds to his personal bank account, but paid 19 Jorgenson in cash.
- In May 2012, they opened a second brokerage account ("Account 2" and, 16. 20 collectively with Account 1, "the Accounts") and funded it with proceeds from their illegal trading in Barnes & Noble options. And, while Account 2 was also opened in Stokke's name, they again made joint trading decisions and agreed to share the trading profits equally. 23
 - 17. Jorgenson and Stokke used the Accounts to conduct their illicit trading scheme.
 - Jorgenson And Stokke Traded In Advance Of Microsoft's April 30, 2012 A. Announcement Of Its Intention To Invest In Barnes & Noble
 - 18. On or about February 7, 2012, executives for Microsoft and Barnes & Noble

Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

7

8

15

24

25

26

27

| 1 | agreed on a framework for Microsoft's investment of \$250 million in a new corporation for the |
|---|--|
| 2 | advancement of Barnes & Noble's digital e-reader business. A week later, the companies |
| 3 | agreed that a previously signed confidentiality agreement would apply to the ongoing |
| 4 | negotiations. |

- 19. On February 17, Microsoft's Board of Directors formally reviewed the proposed 5 6 agreement.
- 20. From February 16 through April 27, the companies negotiated the deal through 8 written exchanges, telephone conferences, and in-person meetings. The final agreement 9 involved a \$300 million investment by Microsoft and dismissal of certain lawsuits that Microsoft had previously filed against Barnes & Noble.
- 21. The companies signed the agreement on Friday, April 27, 2012, and announced 12 the deal before the market opened on Monday, April 30.
- 13 22. On April 27, 2012, the last trading day before the announcement, the price of 14 Barnes & Noble common stock closed at \$13.68 per share. On April 30, after Microsoft and 15 Barnes & Noble announced the deal, the price of Barnes & Noble's common stock closed at 16 \$20.75 per share, a 51.68 percent increase. In addition, the trading volume increased 17 dramatically from fewer than 1 million shares on Friday, April 27, to almost 30 million shares 18 traded on Monday, April 30.
- 23. As a Senior Manager in the Treasury Group at Microsoft, Jorgenson possessed 20 material non-public information regarding Microsoft's deal with Barnes & Noble.
- 24. Among other things, Jorgenson's duties included evaluating and developing 21 22 potential investments for Microsoft and managing current investments of the company. Jorgenson reported to the director of corporate finance and investments, who in turn reported to Microsoft's director of corporate development, who was involved in the deal from at least mid-February. 25
- 25. Jorgenson learned about Microsoft's transaction with Barnes & Noble by at least 26 27 April 18—twelve days before the public announcement.

5

28 |Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

7

11

19

- 26. On or about April 18, 2012, Jorgenson called Stokke and advised him of 1 2 Microsoft's impending deal with Barnes & Noble. Jorgenson and Stokke agreed that they 3 would take advantage of this inside information and trade on it. Jorgenson told Stokke that 4 they should buy call options in Barnes & Noble. A call option is an agreement that gives an 5 Investor the right to buy a security at a specified price, the strike price, within a specified 6 period. Call options are profitable if the price of the underlying security increases relative to 7 the strike price.
- 27. April 18 marked the beginning of a period of intense communication between 9 Jorgenson and Stokke. The two exchanged a series of text messages that day beginning at 10 11:30 am, around the time Jorgenson met with his supervisor to discuss Microsoft's strategic investments. In addition, that evening, Jorgenson and Stokke spoke twice by telephone with 12 the calls lasting approximately 16 minutes and 10 minutes.
- 28. The next day, Jorgenson accessed Microsoft's online employee handbook, which, 14 among other things, details the company's insider trading policy. That afternoon, Jorgenson 15 and Stokke exchanged three text messages and four telephone calls.
- 29. The following day, Friday, April 20, Stokke began purchasing Barnes & Noble 16 17 call options in Account 1. He bought 40 call options across three options series that expired in 18 May 2012 with strike prices from \$12-\$14. He bought an additional 23 call options across two 19 options series that expired in July 2012 with strike prices of \$12 and \$13. That same day, 20 Jurgenson exchanged text messages with Stokke in the morning and the two had a call lasting 21 approximately 13 minutes and another call later that day.
- 30. On April 24, Stokke liquidated the 23 options that expired in July, realizing nearly 23 \$3,000 in ill-gotten gains. Stokke then used those funds, in part, to purchase additional Barnes & Noble call option contracts with shorter expirations, in order to generate a greater return.
- 31. Between April 25 and April 27, Stokke purchased another 140 Barnes & Noble 25 26 call options—95 across four series that expired in May 2012 with strike prices ranging from 27 \$13-\$16, and 45 across three series that expired in June 2012 with strike prices ranging from

6

28 |Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

8

13

22

1 | \$13-\$15.

2

9

10

11

13

17

- 32. Ultimately, Stokke purchased 203 out-of-the money and at-the-money Barnes & 3 Noble call options in ten different options series with expiration dates in May, June, and July 4 2012, at a cost of approximately \$14,000. He sold the remaining positions on April 30, after 5 the public announcement of the Microsoft and Barnes & Noble deal. These sales resulted in 6 additional ill-gotten gains in excess of \$182,000.
- 33. Thus, on their illegal Barnes and Noble trades, Jorgenson and Stokke realized ill-7 gotten gains of almost \$185,000.
 - В. Jorgenson And Stokke Traded In Advance Of Microsoft's July 18, 2013 **Earnings Announcement**
- 34. In July 2013, Jorgenson and Stokke again illegally profited from inside 12 Information Jorgenson obtained in connection with performing his duties for Microsoft.
- 35. Microsoft's fiscal year begins on July 1 and ends on June 30. At the close of 14 business on July 18, 2013, Microsoft reported earnings (excluding extraordinary items) of 15 \\$0.660 per share for the fourth quarter ending June 30, 2013. That figure was more than 11 16 percent below analysts' consensus estimates, which were approximately \$0.747 per share.
- 36. The day Microsoft announced its earnings, its common stock closed at \$35.44 per 18 share. The next day, following the announcement, Microsoft's common stock closed at \$31.40 19 per share, a decline of more than 11 percent. In addition, the volume of shares traded increased 20 by almost 200 million, from approximately 49 million shares on July 18 to approximately 248 million shares on July 19.
- 37. On July 9, 2013, Jorgenson's supervisor forwarded Jorgenson an email with 22 23 Microsoft's fourth quarter income statement attached, which showed the projected quarterly earnings falling far short of the analysts' consensus estimates. As part of his duties at Microsoft, Jorgenson analyzed how poor earnings announcements of other large cap 26 technology companies had impacted their stock prices. In conducting that analysis, he noted 27 that an 11 percent earnings miss by a large technology company in 2013 had resulted in a 10

Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

1 percent decline in that company's stock price the following day.

2

7

20

22

23

- 38. The next day, July 10, Jorgenson emailed his analysis to his coworkers. He included an interactive Excel spreadsheet that generated a potential price movement after the user inserted a fourth quarter earnings per share figure. Jorgenson intentionally omitted the actual fourth quarter earnings figures from the spreadsheet to avoid inadvertent forwarding of such sensitive information.
- 39. Jorgenson has admitted that he knew Microsoft's earnings would be significantly below market expectations and that the company's stock price would likely decline by at least six percent.
- 40. On July 9, the same day that Jorgenson received the information about
 Microsoft's earnings from his supervisor, he called Stokke. That call lasted approximately 31
 minutes. Two days later, July 11, Jorgenson and Stokke had three calls, lasting a total of about
 13 Is minutes, and exchanged three text messages. During this period, Jorgenson tipped Stokke
 14 that Microsoft's fourth quarter earnings were going to "miss badly." Jorgenson also suggested
 15 that they buy some options to get ahead of that information.
- 41. On July 12, Stokke began purchasing Microsoft put option contracts in the
 Accounts. A put option contract gives the owner the right to sell a specified amount of an
 underlying stock at a specified price, the strike price, within a specified time. A put option
 becomes more valuable as the price of the underlying stock declines relative to the strike price.
 - 42. From July 12 through July 18, at a cost of more than \$43,000, Stokke bought 1,250 Microsoft put option contracts across five different series that expired in July 2013 and with strike prices ranging from \$34-\$36.
 - 43. Between July 15 and July 18, Stokke sold 450 of the put option contracts, realizing more than \$11,000 in ill-gotten gains. Stokke sold the remaining 800 put option contracts on July 19, after the announcement, realizing nearly \$179,000 in ill-gotten gains.
- 26 44. In addition, as part of their overall scheme to profit on the material non-public 27 information regarding Microsoft's negative earnings announcement, on July 12, when they

28 Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

Case 2:13-cv-02275 Document 1 Filed 12/19/13 Page 9 of 15

| l | pegan purcha | sing put options, Jorgenson and Stokke also bought 200 Microsoft call options |
|-----|------------------------------|---|
| 2 | that expired i | n July 2013 with a strike price of \$36, at a cost of approximately \$6,600. |
| 3 | Jorgenson ad | mitted that they did so to hedge against a possible overall market move adverse to |
| 4 | their put opti | ons position in Microsoft. |
| 5 | 45. | Stokke sold these call options on July 15 and 16 before the announcement, |
| 6 | realizing near | rly \$5,000 in ill-gotten gains. |
| 7 | 46. | Jorgenson and Stokke realized over \$195,000 in ill-gotten gains from their July |
| 8 | 2013 trading | in Microsoft options. |
| 9 | C. | Jorgenson And Stokke Traded In Advance Of Microsoft's October 24, 2013 |
| 10 | | Quarterly Earnings Announcement |
| l 1 | 47. | Jorgenson and Stokke also traded in advance of Microsoft's October 24, 2013 |
| 12 | earnings anno | ouncement, in which Microsoft reported its earnings for the first quarter of its |
| 13 | fiscal year, e | nding September 30, 2013. |
| 14 | 48. | Microsoft reported earnings of \$0.620 per share (excluding extraordinary items), |
| 15 | which was m | ore than 14 percent higher than analysts' consensus estimates, which were |
| 16 | approximatel | y \$0.542 per share. The day after the earnings announcement, October 25, |
| 17 | Microsoft's s | tock price increased nearly 6 percent, rising from \$33.72 to \$35.73 per share. In |
| 18 | addition, trad | ing volume increased from approximately 53 million shares on October 24 to |
| 19 | approximatel | y 113 million on October 25. |
| 20 | 49. | Prior to the public announcement, through his position at Microsoft, Jorgenson |
| 21 | learned mate | rial nonpublic information about Microsoft's upcoming earnings announcement. |
| 22 | Jorgenson tip | ped that information to Stokke. |
| 23 | 50. | Wary of being detected if they traded directly in Microsoft securities, Jorgenson |
| 24 | and Stokke p | urchased call options in the Technology Select Sector SPDR Fund, an exchange |
| 25 | traded fund o | r "ETF" with the ticker symbol "XLK" (hereinafter "XLK ETF"). An "ETF" is a |
| 26 | security that, | like an index fund, tracks an index, commodity, or a group of securities, but, like |
| 27 | a stock, trade | s on an exchange. Because ETFs can be traded throughout the day like a stock, |
| 28 | Complaint SEC v. Jorgenso | 9 Securities and Exchange Commison, et al. 701 Market Street, Suite 2 |

Case No.

| 1 | they experie | nce price changes throughout the day as they are bought and sold. Jorgenson |
|----|---------------|--|
| 2 | believed tha | t the Microsoft announcement would result in an increase in the share price of the |
| 3 | XLK ETF. | |
| 4 | 51. | The XLK ETF seeks to match the returns and characteristics of the S&P |
| 5 | Technology | Select Sector Index. As of October 25, 2013, Microsoft was the third largest |
| 6 | holding of X | LK ETF, representing over 8 percent of the ETF's total assets. XLK ETF's price |
| 7 | rose from a | close of \$33.33 per share on October 24 to \$33.50 on October 25, an increase of |
| 8 | 0.51 percent | • |
| 9 | 52. | On October 24, prior to the earnings announcement, at a cost of over \$45,000, |
| 10 | Stokke boug | tht 600 out-of-the money and at-the money XLK ETF call option contracts across |
| 11 | six different | call option series that expired in October and November 2013 with strike prices |
| 12 | ranging fron | n \$32-\$34 in the Accounts. |
| 13 | 53. | The next day, after Microsoft announced its earnings, Stokke sold the options, |
| 14 | realizing abo | out \$13,000 in ill-gotten gains. |
| 15 | 54. | Jorgenson and Stokke have admitted that they traded in options in the XLK ETF |
| 16 | rather than o | options in Microsoft in an effort to conceal their fraud. |
| 17 | D. | Jorgenson And Stokke Attempted To Conceal Their Fraud |
| 18 | 55. | Jorgenson and Stokke took steps to conceal their illegal conduct. For example, in |
| 19 | or around Ju | ly 2013, they bought and used disposable cell phones, also known as "burner |
| 20 | phones," to | conceal the timing and nature of their communications with each other. |
| 21 | 56. | In addition, Stokke paid Jorgenson part of his share of their ill-gotten gains in |
| 22 | cash—payin | g him in increments of \$10,000. Jorgenson and Stokke admitted that the payments |
| 23 | were in cash | because they knew that their trading in connection with the Microsoft |
| 24 | announceme | ents was illegal and they did not want to leave a "paper trail" to Jorgenson. |
| 25 | E. | Jorgenson Breached His Duty To Microsoft And Knew That His Conduct |
| 26 | | Violated Microsoft's Policies |

28 Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

57.

Securities and Exchange Commission 701 Market Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19106 Telephone: (215) 597-3100

During the relevant period, Microsoft had a Corporate Policy on Insider Trading,

| 1 | which was included in the Microsoft Handbook for employees. That policy prohibited |
|---|--|
| 2 | employees from buying, selling, or tipping others regarding the securities of Microsoft or any |
| 3 | other company with which Microsoft was negotiating an investment or acquisition. Jorgenson |
| 4 | was familiar with the Microsoft Handbook, accessing the online version at least three times— |
| 5 | twice during 2011, shortly after he began working for Microsoft, and again on April 19, 2012, |
| 5 | the day before Stokke began buying Barnes & Noble call options in Account 1. |

58. In addition, on January 11, 2011, at or around the time he began working for Microsoft, Jorgenson acknowledged his obligation not to disclose Microsoft's confidential information, signing an Employee Agreement that prohibits such disclosure.

F. Jorgenson and Stokke Violated The Federal Securities Laws

- 59. In violation of duties he owed to Microsoft and/or its shareholders, Jorgenson 12 misappropriated and/or used material nonpublic information from his employer, Microsoft. In 13 advance of the three public announcements discussed herein, Jorgenson knowingly and/or 14 recklessly tipped Stokke, his friend and business partner, material nonpublic information in violation of his fiduciary duty, or obligation arising out of a similar relationship of trust and 16 confidence.
 - 60. Jorgenson knew that the information tipped was nonpublic and material for trading purposes or acted with reckless disregard of the nature of the information.
- 61. A reasonable investor would have viewed the information relating to the three 20 public announcements as being important to his investment decision and or significantly altering the mix of information available to the public. In addition, Microsoft considered this Information confidential and had policies or procedures to protect confidential information.
- 62. Jorgenson tipped the material nonpublic information to Stokke knowing that he 24 would trade on the basis of that information, or recklessly indifferent as to whether Stokke would trade, and with the expectation of receiving a benefit.
- 63. As an experienced trader who hoped to start a hedge fund and as an experienced 26 27 professional in the securities and financial services industry, Jorgenson knew that it was a

28 Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

7

10

11

17

19

23

1 |violation of the securities laws to purchase securities, including options, while in possession of material nonpublic information, or to tip that information to someone else so that they could purchase securities. 3

- 64. Jorgenson intended for Stokke to trade on the basis of the material nonpublic Information he provided, collaborated with him on deciding what trades to place, and expected to receive half of the profits.
- 65. Stokke knew, or should have known, that Jorgenson provided the material nonpublic information to him in violation of a fiduciary duty or similar obligation arising from 9 a relationship of trust and confidence. And Stokke intentionally or recklessly traded while in possession of this material nonpublic information.
 - 66. As an experienced trader who hoped to start a hedge fund and with experience working in the securities and financial services industry, Stokke knew that it was a violation of the securities laws to purchase securities, including options, while in possession of material honpublic information, or to tip someone else so that they could purchase securities.
 - 67. On the basis of the material nonpublic information tipped by Jorgenson, Stokke purchased Barnes & Noble, Microsoft, and XLK ETF securities. All of the purchases alleged herein resulted from Jorgenson and Stokke's possession and use of material nonpublic information in order to benefit themselves.
- 68. Stokke provided Jorgenson approximately \$40,000-\$50,000 in cash derived from 19 their illicit trading. In addition, Jorgenson and Stokke believed, understood, and/or expected that half of the value of the Accounts in which they carried out their scheme belonged to Jorgenson. They kept a significant portion of the proceeds from their illegal trades in the 23 Accounts because they were collaborating on investing and hoped to start a hedge fund 24 together.
- 69. Jorgenson and Stokke admitted that they engaged in the conduct described herein 25 26 and that they knew it was illegal for them to trade on the basis of material nonpublic 27 information.

Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

4

6

7

11

15

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF 1 Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder 2 The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference each and every 70. 3 allegation in paragraphs 1 through 69, inclusive, as if they were fully set forth herein. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants, knowingly or 71. 5 recklessly, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, directly or indirectly, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or the mails, or the facilities of a national securities exchange: employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; 9 (a) made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts (b) 10 necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and/or engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate (c) 13 14 as a fraud or deceit upon any person in connection with the purchase or sale of any security. By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Defendants have violated and, unless 72. 15 16 enjoined, will continue to violate Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 18 Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10(b)-5 Thereunder, 19 Pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Exchange Act 20 73. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference each and every 21 allegation in paragraphs 1 through 72, inclusive, as if they were fully set forth herein. 74. 23 In advance of Microsoft's October 24, 2013 earnings announcement, Defendants traded in XLK ETF to take advantage of material nonpublic information Jorgenson had obtained through his employment at Microsoft. 75. Had Defendants communicated, purchased, or sold Microsoft common stock 26 while in possession of that material, nonpublic information, such trades would have violated

Complaint SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. Case No.

| 1 | Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10(b)-5 thereunder. |
|----|--|
| 2 | 76. The XLK ETF is a group of securities that contains Microsoft common stock. |
| 3 | 77. By purchasing XLK ETF call options while in possession of material nonpublic |
| 4 | information regarding Microsoft, pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Exchange Act, Defendants |
| 5 | violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10(b)-5 thereunder and, unless enjoined, |
| 6 | will continue to violate Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 |
| 7 | [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]. |
| 8 | PRAYER FOR RELIEF |
| 9 | WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court enter a final |
| 10 | judgment: |
| 11 | I. |
| 12 | Permanently restraining and enjoining Jorgenson and Stokke from, directly or |
| 13 | indirectly, violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 |
| 14 | thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]; |
| 15 | II. |
| 16 | Ordering Jorgenson and Stokke, jointly and severally, to disgorge the unlawful trading |
| 17 | profits derived from the activities set forth in this Complaint, together with prejudgment |
| 18 | interest; |
| 19 | III. |
| 20 | Ordering Jorgenson and Stokke to pay civil penalties up to three times the profits made |
| 21 | pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u-l]; |
| 22 | IV. |
| 23 | Permanently barring Jorgenson from acting as an officer or director of any issuer |
| 24 | that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. |
| 25 | § 781] that is required to file reports under Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. |
| 26 | § 78o(d)] pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(e)] and Section |
| 27 | 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)]; and |
| 28 | Complaint 14 Securities and Exchange Commis SEC v. Jorgenson, et al. 701 Market Street, Suite 2 Case No. Philadelphia, PA 19 |

ssion 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19106 Telephone: (215) 597-3100

| 1 | V. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and necessary. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Dated: December 19, 2013 |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Respectfully submitted, |
| 7 | |
| 8 | By: s/ John V. Donnelly III |
| | JOHN V. DONNELLY III (Conditionally Admitted |
| 9 | Pursuant to Local GR 2(c)(2)) |
| 10 | DonnellyJ@sec.gov |
| 11 | Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE |
| 12 | COMMISSION 701 Market Street, Suite 2000 |
| 13 | 701 Market Street, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19106 |
| 14 | Telephone: (215) 597-3100 Facsimile: (215) 597-2740 |
| 15 | 1 acsimile. (213) 371-2140 |
| • | Of Counsel: |
| 16 | DANIEL M. HAWKE |
| 17 | BRENDAN P. McGLYNN G. JEFFREY BOUJOUKOS |
| 18 | PATRICIA A. PAW |
| 19 | Assume Complete CC |
| 20 | Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE |
| 21 | COMMISSION 701 Market Street, Suite 2000 |
| 22 | Philadelphia, PA 19106 Telephone: (215) 597-3100 |
| 23 | Facsimile: (215) 597-2740 |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 26 | Complaint 15 Securities and Exchange Commi |

Complaint
SEC v. Jorgenson, et al.
Case No.