	•			
1	JONATHAN S. POLISH (Illinois Bar No. 6237890) polishj@sec.gov	May Char		
2	ANNE C. MCKINLEY (Illinois Bar No. 6270252)	Marie Carlo		
3	mckinleya@sec.gov RICHARD G. STOLTZ (Missouri Bar No. 56398) stoltzr@sec.gov	CARRELLAND VI.		
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff	The State of the s		
.5	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	"Ma		
6	175 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 900 Chicago, Illinois 60604 Telephone: (312) 353-7390			
7	Facsimile: (312) 353-7398			
8		$SB_A$		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT (	OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION		
12	U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case		
13	COMMISSION,	4 1 0 7		
14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF		
15	vs.	TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED		
	WILLIAM MICHAEL GALLAHAIR,			
16	Defendant.			
17				
18	Plaintiff U.S. Securities and Exchange Commi	ssion (the "Commission") alleges:		
19	SUMMARY OF T	HE ACTION		
20	1. This case involves unlawful insider trading by Defendant William Michael Gallahair			
21				
22	("Gallahair"), a former vice president of sales at McKesson Corporation ("McKesson"). In June			
23	2005, Gallahair overheard a telephone call by his supervisor discussing McKesson's planned tender			
24	offer for D&K Healthcare Resources, Inc. ("D&K"). Acting on this information, Gallahair purchased			
	20,000 shares of D&K stock in his personal brokerage account. Two weeks later, on July 11, 2005,			
25	McKesson announced that it would acquire D&K through a tender offer. In the wake of this			
26	announcement, the price of D&K's stock rose more than 68% from the previous day's closing price			
27	of \$8.50 to \$14.30 per share. Gallahair realized profits of more than \$120,170.			
28	·			

2. By breaching his duty of loyalty, trust, and confidence to McKesson and trading on inside information about McKesson's tender offer for D&K, Gallahair violated Sections 10(b) and 14(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78n(e)] and Rules 10b-5 and 14e-3 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5 and 240.14e-3].

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 21(e), 21A, and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(e), 78u-1, and 78aa]. Gallahair, directly or indirectly, has made use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the acts, practices and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.
- 4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §78aa], because a substantial portion of the acts and transactions constituting the violations alleged in this Complaint occurred within the Northern District of California and because McKesson, key witnesses, and pertinent documents are all located within the district.

#### INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

5. Assignment to the San Francisco Division is appropriate pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-2(c) and (d) because a substantial portion of the acts and omissions that give rise to the Commission's claims occurred in San Francisco, California, where McKesson's headquarters are located.

#### **DEFENDANT**

- 6. William Michael Gallahair, age 62, resides in Newport Beach, California. From January 1999 until March 2006, Gallahair was employed by McKesson as a vice president of sales in McKesson's Santa Fe Springs, California office. In March 2006, in response to his violation of McKesson's policy prohibiting insider trading, and his violation of McKesson's policy prohibiting employees from purchasing securities of McKesson's competitors, McKesson asked Gallahair to resign.
- 7. As part of his employment with McKesson, Gallahair annually reviewed and signed McKesson's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics ("Code"). The Code prohibited McKesson's

12

11

13 14

15 16

18

19

17

20

21

22

24

25

23

26 27

28

employees from buying or selling securities of other companies if they acquired non-public information about such companies in the course of their employment. The Code also prohibited employees from having any ownership interest in any of McKesson's competitors.

#### RELEVANT ENTITIES

- 8. McKesson Corporation is a Delaware corporation with headquarters in San Francisco, California. It is a Fortune 500 healthcare services company in the business of distributing pharmaceutical products and providing software, consulting and outsourcing services worldwide. At all relevant times, McKesson's securities have been registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §781(b)] and have been publicly-traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "MCK."
- 9. Until August 2005, D&K Healthcare Resources, Inc. was a Delaware corporation with headquarters in St. Louis, Missouri. D&K operated as a wholesale distributor of pharmaceuticals, healthcare and beauty products to pharmacies and other healthcare providers, primarily in the Midwest and Southern United States. Until its acquisition by McKesson in August 2005, D&K's securities were registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act [15] U.S.C. §781(g)] and were publicly-traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol "DKHR."

### A. McKesson's Tender Offer for D&K

10. In late February 2005, McKesson initiated discussions with D&K about a potential merger between the two companies. In March 2005, McKesson took significant steps to acquire D&K through a tender offer. On or about March 24, 2005, the companies signed a confidentiality agreement, and McKesson submitted a written expression of interest to acquire D&K. On or about April 18, 2005, McKesson entered into a non-binding expression of interest with D&K. During the week of April 25, 2005, McKesson and D&K entered into an exclusivity agreement prohibiting D&K from soliciting other bidders. Around the same time, McKesson also began performing due diligence work concerning D&K. McKesson instructed all employees with any knowledge of the anticipated tender offer to refer to the potential acquisition solely by the code name "Project Spirit," thus keeping the anticipated tender offer strictly confidential.

- 11. Between May 9 and May 13, 2005, McKesson sent several employees from its finance department to St. Louis, Missouri to conduct on-site due diligence of D&K's operations.
- 12. During the last two weeks of May 2005 and the first week of June 2005, McKesson and D&K engaged in discussions about the details of the acquisition. At or around this time, Gallahair's supervisor was tasked with overseeing the integration of D&K's operations. Gallahair, on the other hand, played no role in the D&K acquisition.
- 13. During the week of July 4, 2005, McKesson and D&K negotiated the final terms of the tender offer. On or about July 8, 2005, McKesson's and D&K's boards of directors approved the tender offer.
- 14. Before the stock market opened on July 11, 2005, McKesson and D&K publicly announced the acquisition through a tender offer for \$14.50 per share. That day, D&K's share price rose approximately 68% from the previous day's closing price of \$8.50, rising to \$14.30 per share.
  - 15. On August 30, 2005, McKesson completed its acquisition of D&K.

# B. <u>Defendant Gallahair Misappropriated Material Non-public Information About</u> <u>McKesson's Tender Offer For D&K And Traded On That Information</u>

- 16. On Thursday, June 23, 2005, Gallahair's supervisor flew to southern California to make sales calls with Gallahair. Gallahair picked up his supervisor at the Orange County airport early in the morning. They spent the rest of the day traveling to customers in Gallahair's car.
- 17. While Gallahair was driving, his supervisor participated in a call with another McKesson employee regarding the integration of D&K's operations. By overhearing much of the conversation, Gallahair learned of the pending acquisition.
- 18. On or about the same day, his supervisor told Gallahair that the supervisor was going to be taking on new job responsibilities with McKesson, and therefore would no longer be Gallahair's direct supervisor.
- 19. The next day, June 24, 2005, Gallahair left a message for his stockbroker, who was out of the office for the day, about Gallahair's intention to purchase a particular stock.

- 20. On June 28, 2005, Gallahair called a friend in St. Louis, Missouri. He told his friend that McKesson was going to acquire a pharmaceutical distributor or manufacturer located in St. Louis. He asked his friend to research the names of St. Louis-based healthcare companies.
- 21. Shortly after the telephone call, Gallahair's friend sent him two e-mails listing the names of several St. Louis companies.
- 22. In a subsequent call, Gallahair told his friend that the second e-mail included the name of the company he had in mind.
- 23. That same day, Gallahair's stock broker returned Gallahair's message. Gallahair instructed his stock broker to purchase shares of D&K stock. The stock broker asked Gallahair if he had any non-public information about D&K. Gallahair denied any such knowledge. Rather, Gallahair assured his stock broker that his interest in D&K stemmed from his belief that one of the larger pharmaceutical distribution companies would ultimately acquire D&K.
- 24. Gallahair's stock broker advised Gallahair to invest approximately 5% of his brokerage portfolio in D&K stock. Gallahair declined his broker's advice, directing his broker to invest 10% of his portfolio in D&K. Gallahair explained that he wanted to get a greater return on his investment.
- 25. On June 29, 2005, at Gallahair's request, Gallahair's stock broker sold shares of several other securities in Gallahair's brokerage portfolio, including Cisco Systems, Inc., Merrill Lynch & Co., and Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. He used proceeds from the sells to purchase 20,000 shares of D&K stock at \$8.30 per share, for a total cost of \$166,000.
  - 26. Prior to June 29, 2005, Gallahair never owned shares of D&K stock.
- 27. During the first week of July 2005, Gallahair attended a sales conference in Washington, D.C. sponsored by McKesson for its clients. Gallahair's supervisor was also in attendance.
- 28. The conference occurred approximately one week before McKesson's public announcement of its tender offer for D&K. During the conference, Gallahair approached his supervisor and asked him if his job change was related to "St. Louis or D&K." Gallahair's supervisor did not respond.

	29	On July 11, 2005, immediately following McKesson's public announcement	nent of its
tender	offer fo	r D&K, Gallahair instructed his stock broker to sell all of his D&K stock.	Gallahair
realize	d \$120,	170.13 in profits from the sale of D&K stock.	

#### C. Defendant Gallahair's Changing Explanations For His Purchase of D&K Stock

- 30. Gallahair has since offered conflicting explanations of his reasons for purchasing shares of D&K.
- 31. On or around June 28, 2005, Gallahair told his friend in St. Louis that he believed McKesson was going to acquire a St. Louis-based pharmaceutical manufacturer or distributor.
- 32. Also on June 28, 2005, Gallahair told his stock broker that he believed one of the larger pharmaceutical distribution companies would acquire D&K.
- 33. In October 2005, McKesson's outside attorneys and others questioned Gallahair about his purchase of D&K stock. Gallahair told them he purchased such stock because he thought McKesson's largest competitor would likely acquire D&K.
- 34. In January 2007, Gallahair testified to the staff of the Commission that he purchased D&K's stock based on a chance encounter with a stranger at the Oakland, California airport in early May 2005. According to Gallahair, he shared a table in an airport food court with a pharmacist from St. Louis and his wife. Gallahair testified that the pharmacist, upon seeing Gallahair's shirt with the McKesson logo, told Gallahair about D&K, which the pharmacist supposedly characterized as a "great company." Gallahair testified that he could not recall the name of the St. Louis pharmacist. He further testified that he did not thereafter take steps to research D&K or its stock until late June 2005, when he had the aforementioned call with his friend in St. Louis.

#### **COUNT ONE**

Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder [17 C.F.R. §240-10b-5]

- 35. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 34.
- 36. Gallahair's purchase of 20,000 shares of D&K stock was based on material, non-public information that Gallahair misappropriated from McKesson in violation of the duties of

trust and confidence that he owed McKesson. Gallahair knew, or was reckless in not knowing,

1

1	42. As a result of the activities described above, Gallahair has violated, and unless		
· 2	restrained and enjoined, will continue to violate Section 14(e) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C.		
3	§78n(e)] and Rule 14e-3 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §240.14e-3].		
4	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
5	WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:		
6	I.		
7	Issue an Order of Permanent Injunction restraining and enjoining Defendant Gallahair from		
8	directly or indirectly violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §78j(b)] and Rule 10b-		
9	5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5];		
10	II.		
11	Issue an Order of Permanent Injunction restraining and enjoining Defendant Gallahair from		
12	directly or indirectly violating Section 14(e) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §78n(e)] and Rule 14e-3		
13	thereunder [17 C.F.R. §240.14e-3];		
14	Ш.		
15	Order Defendant Gallahair to disgorge any ill-gotten gains derived from his unlawful insider		
16	trading described herein, plus prejudgment interest;		
17	IV.		
18	Order Defendant Gallahair to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Ac		
19	[15 U.S.C. §78u-1];		
20	V.		
21	Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal		
22	Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that		
23	may be entered, or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the		
24	jurisdiction of this Court; and		
25	VI.		
26	Grant such other relief as this Court may determine to be just and appropriate.		
27			
28			

## JURY TRIAL DEMANDED Plaintiff demands a trial by jury. DATED: November 12, 2008 Respectfully Submitted, U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION By one of their attorneys: Jonathan S. Polish Anne C. McKinley Richard G. Stoltz Attorneys for Plaintiff