```
Nancy J. Gegenheimer
 1
    U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
    Denver Regional Office
    1801 California St., Suite 1500
 3
    Denver, CO 80202
    GegenheimerN@sec.gov
    Telephone: 303-844-1050
    Facsimile: 303-844-1068
 5
 6
    Attorney for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission
 7
                          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
                               FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
 9
    Securities and Exchange
10
    Commission,
                                               No.
11
    Plaintiff.
12
                                               Complaint
    VS.
13
    Mario A. Pino,
14
15
    Defendant.
16
          Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") alleges as follows:
17
18
                                           Summary
19
                 Beginning in early 2005, defendant, Mario A. Pino ("Pino") usurped the
          1.
20
    "corporate identity" of Bancorp International Group, Inc. ("BCIT"). At the time, BCIT was an
21
    over-the-counter pink sheet company that traded as a shell and was deficient in its filings
22
    with the SEC. Pino fabricated false share certificates of BCIT, issued and disseminated
23
24
    these shares and traded in these BCIT shares while knowing these actions were fraudulent.
25
     To facilitate a market for the fraudulent shares, Pino also issued multiple false press
26
    releases about BCIT between May 2 and July 13, 2005, and issued millions of shares of
27
```

fraudulent BCIT stock to himself and others. Pino sold his stock in unregistered, non-

Filed 02/25/2008

Page 1 of 12

Case 2:08-cv-00353-MHM Document 1

- exempt transactions into the resulting inflated market. Pino sold 145,000,000 shares, earning profits of \$269,033.
 - 2. As a result of this conduct, Pino, directly and indirectly, has engaged in and unless restrained and enjoined by this Court will in the future engage in, transactions, acts, practices and courses of business that violate Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c), and 77q] and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].
 - 3. The SEC brings this action pursuant to the authority conferred upon it by Section 20(b) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(b)] and Section 21(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)], seeking a permanent injunction restraining and enjoining Pino from all the alleged violations, requiring him to disgorge ill-gotten gains, including prejudgment and post-judgment interest, and granting other equitable relief.
 - 4. The SEC seeks an order requiring Pino to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.SC. § 78u(d)(3)].
 - 5. The SEC seeks an order barring Pino from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(e)] and Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)] and pursuant to the equitable powers of the court.

6. The SEC seeks an order barring Pino from participating in the offering of any 1 penny stock pursuant to Section 20(g) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(g)] and Section 2 21(d)(6) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(6)]. 3 4 II. Jurisdiction and Venue 5 7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 22(a) of the 6 Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa]. 7 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa]. Pino resides in this judicial district and certain of the transactions, acts, practices and courses of 10 11 business constituting the violations of law alleged herein occurred within this district. 12 13 III. Defendant 14 9. Pino, is a resident of Paradise Valley, Arizona, and was the president, chief 15 executive officer, and a director of Mellon Research, Inc., a publicly traded pink sheet 16 company, from November 2003 until July 2005, when he resigned all three positions. Pino 17 18 was also the owner of Wall Street Group and Wall Street Securities Inc. 19 IV. Related Parties 20 10. BCIT is a Nevada shell corporation based in London, England. 21 22 11. BCIT's common stock is registered with the SEC under Section 12(g) of the 23 Exchange Act and quoted on the Pink Sheets. BCIT's stock is a penny stock. 24 12. The SEC suspended trading in the securities of BCIT in August 2005, due to 25 questions regarding the authenticity of securities and the accuracy of statements in press 26

releases

13. Carter Care Inc. ("Carter Care") is a privately held nursing care business 1 located in California. ٧. 3 **FACTS** 4 A. False Statements and Fraudulent Stock Sales in Connection with Carter 5 14. In February 2005, Pino devised a fraudulent scheme to assume the identity of 6 7 BCIT and to profit from the sale of BCIT stock. Pino began his BCIT caper with the 8 knowledge that BCIT's SEC filings had been delinquent since November 2000, and that 9 there was no trading in BCIT's common stock. 10 15. Between February and April 2005, Pino convinced the president of Carter 11 Care, to take Carter Care public through a purported reverse merger with BCIT. 12 16. Pino fraudulently represented to Carter Care that he owned or controlled 13 14 BCIT and that he could enter into a reverse merger with BCIT and thereby take Carter Care 15 public. 16 17. On or about February 9, 2005, Pino drafted a Stock Purchase Agreement 17 under which BCIT would purchase Carter Care in exchange for 20,000,000 shares of BCIT 18 stock, which Pino stated, represented approximately 60% of the issued and outstanding 19 stock of BCIT. Pino knew at the time that he had not seen any corporate documents that 20 21 verified the number of current outstanding shares of BCIT. In reality, the issued shares of 22 BCIT did not exceed 4,890,000 and bore the legend March Indy Corporation. 23 Pino arranged to have blank BCIT stock certificates printed, bearing the 18. 24 same CUSIP number as the legitimate BCIT. 25 19. Pino directed his associate to prepare and fax false documents to the Nevada 26 Secretary of State that purported to change BCIT's registered agent and corporate officers. 27

²⁸ Case 2:08-cv-00353-MHM Document 1 Filed 02/25/2008 Page 4 of 12

This filing with Nevada essentially hijacked BCIT and designated Pino's nominee as the sole officer and director of the corporation.

- 20. Pino directed his associate to follow up by sending a letter to BCIT's transfer agent authorizing his associate to gather and receive all information held by the transfer agent relating to BCIT.
- 21. At the end of April 2005, Pino issued or caused to be issued, 41 certificates in connection with the Carter Care bogus transaction. The certificates represented over 249 million shares, including over 20 million shares issued to Pino.
- 22. On May 2, 2005, Pino issued a press release falsely announcing that BCIT and Carter Care had engaged in a reverse merger. By May 3, BCIT's stock price had jumped to \$0.08 per share. Prior to April 29, 2005, there had been no market for trading in BCIT's stock.
 - 23. In early May, 2005, Pino was contacted by the president of the legitimate BCIT, Thomas Megas. BCIT's president told Pino that the Carter Care transaction was not authorized. Notwithstanding that Pino knew that BCIT's president did not authorize any of the actions Pino was taking, Pino sold shares.
 - 24. Thereafter, on May 12, 2005 Pino sent out a press release stating that the reverse merger was cancelled after due diligence. This press release was materially false and misleading because it did not disclose that BCIT never had been negotiating with Carter Care and the transaction never had been authorized in the first place, but instead stated that the transaction had been cancelled.
- 25. Notwithstanding full knowledge that the Carter Care transaction was not authorized, Pino sold his 20,005,000 million shares into the inflated market between April 29 and May 15, 2005, and reaped gains of \$108,120.

²⁸ Case 2:08-cv-00353-MHM Document 1 Filed 02/25/2008 Page 5 of 12

26. No registration statements were filed in connection with the issuance or sale 1 of these BCIT stock certificates. B. Continued False Statements and Fraudulent Stock Sales in Connection 3 with the Business of BCIT 4 27. Notwithstanding the Carter Care debacle, Pino continued undeterred. Pino 5 told the president of BCIT that he would make restitution and pay damages for his attempt to hijack BCIT. Unbeknownst to BCIT's president, Pino's plan was to generate money from the continued sale of unregistered shares of his hijacked BCIT, and use the proceeds to pay the legitimate BCIT. Pino continued to issue false press releases and disseminate 10 false information about BCIT, which continued to facilitate a market in the shares. Pino 11 continued to trade fraudulent BCIT securities. 12 13 28. On May 25, 2005, Pino ordered, or caused to be printed new BCIT stock 14 certificates, again bearing the same CUSIP number as the real BCIT. Pino did this without 15 permission or direction from BCIT's president, the only person with authority to print new 16 stock certificates. Pino paid the printing cost for these certificates and received them on or 17 about May 26, 2005. 18 29. From at least May 26 through July 13, 2005, Pino engaged in an information 19 campaign to condition the market for his stock sales. Pino issued false press releases in 20 21 this time period, to wit: 22 On May 31, 2005, Pino issued a press release announcing that BCIT a. 23 "is currently negotiating with an exciting business it hopes to acquire." The 24 statement was materially false and misleading. 25

26

1	b.	On May 31, 2005, Pino issued a press release announcing that "BCIT
2		is to close on a monumental deal with keen competition from competitors."
3		These statements were materially false and misleading.
4	C.	On June 2, 2005, Pino issued a press release announcing that "BCIT
5		is presently involved in an active negotiation to acquire gold deposit rights
6		with an approximate value of one billion (USD)." These statements were
7		materially false and misleading.
8	d.	On June 13, 2005, Pino issued a press release announcing that "BCIT
9	u.	
10		has entered into an exclusive agreement with CVG (Corporacion
11		Venezuela de Guayana) of the Venezuelan Government to commercially
12		develop gold deposits at a site in the State of Bolivar. This agreement is
13		for concessions No. 32. There has already been infrared testing done on
14		the property and Bancorp will be sending down a team of geologists for
15 16		additional testing." These statements were materially false and misleading.
17		At the time Pino issued this press release, BCIT did not have any
18		employees, let alone geologists and had no agreement to develop gold
19		
20		deposits in Venezuela.
21	e.	On July 11, 2005, Pino issued a press release announcing that "BCIT
22		is a high growth, diversified mining company that is presently operating in
23		multiple regions in Venezuela." This statement was materially false and
24		misleading; BCIT had no operations whatsoever, including no operations in
25		Venezuela.
26	30.	During this time BCIT's president emailed Pino reiterating that Pino had no

²⁸ Case 2:08-cv-00353-MHM Document 1 Filed 02/25/2008 Page 7 of 12

authority to act for BCIT and that only he, Thomas Megas, had authority to act for BCIT.

He directed Pino not to issue any releases or other documents without specific authorization from BCIT's president.

- 31. Pino continued undeterred and issued press releases and distributed false information about BCIT, including those described above, and others.
- 5 32. Pino also orchestrated a fax blasting operation touting mining contracts, and 6 email spam campaign announcing the status of BCIT's mining operations.
 - 33. In addition, Pino directed the creation of a purported BCIT website, bancorpinc.com/newsite, that falsely described BCIT as a multinational mining company that mined precious metals and diamonds.
 - 34. During this time period, Pino issued new BCIT certificates to himself and to promoters to, in his words, "get the market moving," because "we needed liquidity and the dollar volume of the stock to be higher." In all, Pino issued over 238 million shares of these new BCIT stock certificates bearing forged signatures of BCIT's president and former secretary.
 - 35. No registration statements were filed with respect to these stock issuances.
 - 36. Pino's false press releases and trading activities facilitated a market for the fraudulently issued BCIT certificates. Pino sold 125,000,000 shares in open market transactions between June 2 and July 21, 2005, earning profits of \$160,913.
 - 37. All of the information Pino disseminated about BCIT was false. BCIT never entered into any mining contracts and never was in the mining business. On August 1, 2005, BCIT's president issued a press release on behalf of BCIT, which stated that BCIT had never issued any shares bearing the legend Bancorp International Group, Inc.; BCIT had never entered into a reverse merger with Carter Care; BCIT had never been in

- negotiations with Carter Care: BCIT did not sign or enter into any agreements relating to any gold or diamond mining venture and never made any announcements to that effect.
- 38. Pino's actions involved fraud and resulted in substantial losses or created a 3 4 risk of substantial losses to other persons.

VI. Claims for Relief

6

5

1

First Claim for Relief

7 8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

(Violation of Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act)

[15 U.S.C. § 77e(a) and (c)]

- 39. Paragraphs 1 through 38 are hereby re-alleged and incorporated by 10 reference.
 - 40. Pino, directly or indirectly (a) made use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell securities as to which no registration statement was in effect through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise; (b) carried or caused to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce, by any means or instruments of transportation, securities as to which no registration statement was in effect for the purpose of sale or for delivery after sale; or (c) made use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy through the use of medium of any prospectus or otherwise securities as to which no registration statement was in effect, or while the registration statement was the subject of a refusal order or stop order or (prior to the effective date of the registration statement) and public proceeding of examination under Section 8 of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77h].
- 26 41. By reason of the foregoing, Pino violated, and unless restrained and enjoined, will violate Section 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act.

1		Second Claim for Relief	
2		(Violation of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act)	
3		[15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)]	
4	42.	Paragraphs 1 through 38 are hereby re-alleged and incorporated by	
5	reference.		
6	43.	Pino, directly or indirectly, in the offer or sale of BCIT securities, by use of any	
7	means or in	struments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the	
9	mails, while	acting with scienter (a) employed a devise, scheme, or artifice to defraud, (b)	
10	obtained mo	oney or property by means of untrue statements of material fact or omissions to	
11	state mater	ial facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the	
12	circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (c) engaged in transactions		
13	practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or decei		
14	upon the purchasers of BCIT securities.		
15 16	44.	By reason of the foregoing, Pino violated, and unless restrained and enjoined,	
17	will violate S	Section 17(a) of the Securities Act.	
18		Third Claim for Relief	
19	(Violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder)		
20	[15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]		
21	45.	Paragraphs 1 through 38 are hereby re-alleged and incorporated by	
22	reference.	raragraphic in an eaging of and mercely to an eaged and meet perated by	
23	46.	Pino, directly or indirectly, with scienter, in connection with the purchase or	
2425		securities, by use of any means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce or	
26			
27		ne mails, employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; made an untrue	
28 _C		f material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the 00353-MHM Document 1 Filed 02/25/2008 Page 10 of 12	
_			

1	statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not
2	misleading; or engaged in an act, practice, or course of business which operated or would
3	operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchasers or sellers of such securities.
4	47. By reason of the foregoing, the Pino violated, and unless restrained and
5	enjoined, will violate Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder.
6	VII. Prayer for Relief
7	Wherefore, the SEC respectfully requests that the Court:
9	A.
10	Find that Pino committed the violations alleged.
11	B.
12	Enter a permanent injunction, in a form consistent with Rule 65(d) of the Federal
13	Rules of Civil Procedure, enjoining Pino from violating, directly or indirectly, each of the
14	provisions of law and rules alleged in this Complaint.
15 16	
17	C.
18	Order that Pino be ordered to disgorge all ill-gotten gains together with pre-judgment
19	and post-judgment interest, resulting from the violations alleged herein.
20	
21	D.
22	Order Pino to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act and
23	Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act in an amount to be determined by the Court.
24	
25	
26	E.
27	

²⁸Case 2:08-cv-00353-MHM Document 1 Filed 02/25/2008 Page 11 of 12

1	Order that Pino be barred from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has		
2	a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act or that is		
3	required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, pursuant to Section		
4	20(e) of the Securities Act and Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act and the Court's		
5	equitable powers.		
6	F.		
7	Order that Pino be barred from participating in an offering of penny stock pursuant to		
9	Section 20(g) of the Securities Act and Section 21(d)(6) of the Exchange Act.		
10	G.		
11	Grant such other relief as this Court may deem just or appropriate.		
12	Dated this 25 th day of February, 2008.		
13	s/ Nancy Gegenheimer		
14	NANCY GEGENHEIMER		
15	ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF		
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			