


TO: J. LYNN TAYLOR, ASST SEC.

FROM: Richard Tilford

DATE: Nov 05, 2022

RE: REPLY TO YOUR (UNDATED) LETTER



I RECEIVED A CERTIFIED LETTER FROM THE SEC BEARING YOUR NAME. AS YOU PROBABLY KNOW, THERE WERE TWO LABELS ON THE ENVELOPE BOLDLY STATING "LEGAL MAIL - OPEN ONLY IN THE PRESENCE OF INMATE". IN SPITE OF THIS, THE ENVELOPE HAD BEEN OPENED BEFORE I RECEIVED IT. I ASKED THE MAIL LADY "WHY" IT WAS OPENED. SHE STATED "WE EMAILED HUNTSVILLE ABOUT THIS AND WERE TOLD TO OPEN IT". THIS LEADS TO TWO ISSUES: A) I ACCEPTED YOUR CERTIFIED LETTER NOT KNOWING IT HAD ALREADY BEEN OPENED (USPS VIOLATION), B) UPON IMMEDIATE INSPECTION OF CONTENTS I FOUND:

1. LETTER FROM YOU - 4 PAGES
2. SERVICE LIST - 1 PAGE
3. FORMATING CHECKLIST - 2 PAGES
4. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING - 1-4 OF 7. I DO NOT HAVE PAGE 5, 6, 7 OF 7.

I REQUEST YOUR ASSISTANCE FOR THE FOLLOWING:

1. PLEASE VERIFY THE PAGES/ITEMS LISTED ABOVE IS A COMPLETE LIST OF WHAT WAS SENT TO ME.
2. PLEASE SENT PAGES 5-7 (OF 7) TO ME, PLUS ANY OTHER <sup>ITEMS</sup> MISSING PAGES, IF APPLICABLE.
3. I AM NOT AN ATTORNEY AND I HAVE NO ACCESS TO THE INTERNET. I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT "RULE" 100, 141, 151, 201,

210, 221, 222, 230, 231, 232, 233, 250, ETC, ETC MEANS.

THEREFORE, SO THAT I CAN ANSWER AS PROPERLY AS POSSIBLE, I REQUEST ANY INFO YOU MAY HAVE TO ASSIST WITH THIS. I ASK THIS BECAUSE I HAVE ALREADY ANSWERED TO WHAT I THINK YOU ARE ASKING ONLY TO HAVE MY REPLIES IGNORED ONLY BECAUSE I DIDN'T FOLLOW SOME PROCEDURE (?), WHEN SENT TO U.S. COURT.

THANK YOU,  
RT

(I APOLOGIZE FOR THE APPEARANCE OF THIS LETTER. NOT ONLY DO I NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THE INTERNET, I HAVE NO ACCESS TO A COMPUTER, NOR EVEN A TYPEWRITER.)