

**BEFORE THE
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C.**

In the Matter of the Application of

Michele Gray

For Review of Action Taken by FINRA

File No: 3-20651

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW

The notice stated that, “FINRA has determined that the claims alleged in Mrs. Gray statement of claim.” was denied. FINRA does not have an appeals process through which a party may challenge an award. This means that FINRA does not hear appeals on arbitration awards. However, the denial award was based on “corruption, fraud, or undue means” INRA declined to accept the claims, nor does not provide for denial in the claims.

Mrs. Gray submits this Application for Review to the Commission requesting that to be permitted to bring his case in the forum that she is entitled to and bound to by the FINRA Industry Code Rules

A person aggrieved by final action of FINRA under the Rule 1000 Series may apply for review by the SEC pursuant to Section 19(d)(2) of the Exchange Act. The filing of an application for review shall not stay the effectiveness of a decision constituting final action of FINRA, unless the SEC otherwise orders.

Mrs. Gray receive an email from attorney of FIRNA (SEE ATTACHED) on November to baited Mrs. Gray to file a “Notice of Appearance” so FIRNA may file a Motion of Dismiss.

WITHDRAW NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

Pursuant to the Exchange Act, Rule of FIRNA and CFR Chapter 7 SEC Exchange Act Is Mrs. Gray required to appearance for an “Application of Review”. If the presiding judge state is “not required” then Mrs. Gray hereby withdraw her Notice of Appearance.

Dated December 11, 2021

Mrs. Gray certify this document was Email to FIRNA Attorney filed on to Efax



Michele Gray

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Toony Void <reenegray661@gmail.com>
To: "Doctor Nixon, Tanya" <tanya.doctornixon@finra.org>

Mon, Nov 22, 2021 at 2:42 PM

Efap help desk working on this issue to file

[Quoted text hidden]

I write further to my email below because I have not heard from you. Earlier today, FINRA has filed a motion to dismiss the appeal on the grounds that the Commission does not have jurisdiction to hear it. You were served with a copy of the motion in a separate email.

As I mentioned below, the proper forum for challenging the arbitration award is in a federal or state court. However, there are limitations on your time to do so. For example, under the Federal Arbitration Act, Sec. 12, a petition to vacate an award must be served upon the adverse party within three months after the award is filed or delivered. There are also limitations on time under state law. I urge you to seek legal advice about your options for challenging the award as soon as possible.

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Toony Void <reenegray661@gmail.com>
To: "Passaro, Ersilia" <Ersilia.Passaro@finra.org>

Tue, Nov 30, 2021 at 3:14 PM

This case is only for Review

[Quoted text hidden]

Passaro, Ersilia <Ersilia.Passaro@finra.org>
To: Toony Void <reenegray661@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 30, 2021 at 3:18 PM

I do not understand your point. You have filed an application for review with the Commission. This is the same as saying an appeal with the Commission. Again, I urge you to seek legal counsel.

From: Toony Void <reenegray661@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 3:15 PM
To: Passaro, Ersilia <Ersilia.Passaro@finra.org>
Subject: Re: FW: Michele Gray v. Fidelity Brokerage Service

EXTERNAL: Verify sender before opening attachments or links.

[Quoted text hidden]

Toony Void <reenegray661@gmail.com>
To: "Passaro, Ersilia" <Ersilia.Passaro@finra.org>

Tue, Nov 30, 2021 at 3:20 PM

There no appeal it only for review I will file no appearance and review only by the end of the day no later than Friday



[Quoted text hidden]