UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-20248

In the Matter of

WESLEY KYLE PERKINS

Respondent.

BRIEF OPPOSING CONSOLIDATION AS INAPPROPRIATE

WESLEY PERKINS' BRIEF OPPOSING CONSOLIDATION AS INAPPROPRIATE

NOW COMES respondent, Wesley Kyle Perkins ("Respondent" or "Perkins") undersigned counsel, who respectfully opposes consolidation because it will not promote efficiency as contemplated by the relevant rule, representing as follows:

On March 22, 2021, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") issued an Order Instituting Proceedings ("OIP) on the basis that Perkins has a final judgment rendered against it in the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana matter entitled *Securities and Exchange Commission v. World Tree Financial, LLC, et al.*, Number 6:18-cv-01229.¹ On April 6, 2022, the SEC requested positions of the parties on whether consolidation of matters related to World Tree and Perkins is appropriate.² On May 20, 2022, Perkins filed a Motion to Stay Proceedings pending the outcome of the appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reviewing the judgment

¹ Exch. Act Rels. 91378 and 91379 (Mar. 22, 2021).

² Exch. Act Rel. 94619 (April 6, 2022).

of the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana rendered against World Tree.³

Under Rule 201(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, the Commission may make such orders of consolidation "to avoid unnecessary cost or delay." Consolidating the administrative proceeding file number 3-20248 and file number 3-20249 does not promote efficiency, and will, in fact, *create* unnecessary cost and waste SEC's limited resources. An order consolidating these matters will not serve the interests of the parties and will not conserve resources. Consolidation will compound the judicial investment of the SEC to fully adjudicate both files simultaneously. The alleged actions taken by each respondent are distinguishable and will require independent testimony and evidence. Consolidation is likely to unduly complicate the proceedings pending before the SEC.

Perkins anticipates this administrative proceeding to be mooted following a decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in Docket Number 21-30063. All briefing and arguments have been made before the Fifth Circuit. The parties are awaiting a ruling following review of the judgment of the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana. World Tree anticipates a ruling sometime this year. It is not in the interest of the SEC or the public to expend resources on an administrative proceeding that is predicated on a judgment that has a significant chance of reversal.

It is not necessary to consolidate file no. 3-20248 and file no. 3-20249 and will only serve to unduly increase costs and compound testimony. For the foregoing reasons, World Tree opposes consolidation as inappropriate.

³ Exch. Act Rel. 4668 (May 20, 2022).

^{4 17} C.F.R. § 201.201(a).

⁵ See, Id.

Respectfully submitted,

LAUREN ASHLEY NOEL

Durio, McGoffin, Stagg, & Guidry

220 Heymann Boulevard Lafayette, LA 70503 Office: (337) 233-0300

Fax: (337) 233-0694

Email: lauren@dmsfirm.com

Dated: May 20, 2022

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Commission Rule of Practice 151 (17 C.F.R. § 201.151), I certify that the:

BRIEF OPPOSING CONSOLIDATION AS INAPPROPRIATE

was served on May 20, 2022 upon the following parties as follows:

By eFAP and Email

Vanessa Countryman, Secretary Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F. Street, N.E., Mail Stop 1090 Washington, DC 20549-1090 Facsimile: (703) 813-9793

Email: apfilings@sec.gov

By Email

Lynn M. Dean
Securities and Exchange Commission
444 South Flower Street, Suite 900
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(323) 965-3574 (direct dial)
(213) 443-1904 (facsimile)
Email: deanl@sec.gov

Counsel for the Division of Enforcement

Dated: May 20, 2022