ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

RECEIVED MAY 18 2017 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

/

The Application of BLOOMBERG L.P.

For Review of Action Taken by Certain Self-Regulatory Organizations

NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE LLC'S REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS THE APPLICATION OF BLOOMBERG L.P. FOR REVIEW OF ACTION TAKEN BY CERTAIN SELF-REGULATORY ORGANIZATIONS

NYSE respectfully submits this reply brief in support of its motion to dismiss the

File No. 3-17951

Application filed by BLP.¹

BLP apparently concedes that the Application is moot. See BLP Mem. at unnumbered page 2. And the Application is indeed moot: Because the Amendments have been withdrawn by the participants of the CTA and CQ Plans, the object of BLP's Application no longer exists. Moreover, the withdrawn Amendments merely sought to clarify existing fees that were the subject of a separate fee filing²; that fee filing remains in effect and was never challenged by BLP.

¹ Capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings set forth in New York Stock Exchange LLC'S Memorandum of Law in Support of its Motion to Dismiss The Application of Bloomberg L.P. For Review of Action Taken By Certain Self-Regulatory Organizations, dated May 8, 2017. References to the Response of Bloomberg L.P. to New York Stock Exchange LLC's Motion to Dismiss, dated May 12, 2017, are in the form "BLP Mem. at x."

² See Release No. 34-73278; File No. SR-CTA/CQ-2014-03.

Furthermore, BLP's purported condition to its concession that the Application is moot (BLP Mem. at unnumbered page 2 ("provided that any dismissal is without prejudice to BLP's ability to re-file its application in the event the CTA plan participants ever seek to rely on the withdrawn amendments"³)) is a dodge. If there is a future fee filing that BLP wishes to challenge, it can do so on the basis of *that filing* and whatever record might exist for it. But because the Amendments have been withdrawn, the Application cannot be the basis for any relief and should be dismissed as moot.

BLP's assertion that the Application is timely is wrong. BLP does not dispute that CTA filed the Notice of Determination with the Commission on March 23, 2017. Instead, BLP contends that the start of the 30-day period set by Rule 420(b) should be based upon a letter from NYSE to BLP confirming that the Amendments would apply to BLP's "Server Application Program Interface product." BLP Mem. at unnumbered page 1. But that letter does not take the place of the Notice of Determination filed -- and made publicly available -- with the Commission four days earlier. Indeed, BLP does not deny that it received notice of the Amendments when the Notice of Determination was filed.

Alternatively, BLP argues that the 30-day period under Rule 420(b) should be measured from the date that the Notice of Determination was published in the Federal Register (March 28, 2017). See BLP Mem. at unnumbered 1. This argument ignores the plain language of Rule 420(b), which provides that an applicant must file an application for review with the Commission within 30 days after the notice of determination is filed with *the Commission*. The timing of publication in the Federal Register is not what Rule 420(b) is measured on.

³ BLP, a SIFMA member (*See* http://www.sifma.org/associate-members/#B), has asserted the same purported condition to its concession that the Application is moot that SIFMA advanced in its response to New York Stock Exchange LLC'S Motion to Dismiss The Application of Securities Industry And Financial Markets Association For Review of Action Taken By Certain Self-Regulatory Organizations. *See* File No. 3-17493.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, NYSE respectfully requests that BLP's Application be

dismissed.

4

Dated: May 17, 2017 New York, New York Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

By: Tonglas W. Hankin (MTM)

Douglas W. Henkin Seth T. Taube 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112 Tele: (212) 408-2500 Fax: (212) 408-2501

Counsel for New York Stock Exchange LLC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

File No. 3-17951

For Review of Action Taken by Certain Self-

The Application of BLOOMBERG L.P.

Regulatory Organizations

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 17, 2017, I caused a copy of the foregoing

Memorandum of Law in support of NYSE's Motion to Dismiss to be served on the parties listed

below.

Brent J. Fields Secretary U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549 (By Hand-Delivery)

Eric Swanson General Counsel and Secretary BATS Exchange, Inc. Bats BYX Exchange, Inc. EDGX Exchange, Inc. EDGA Exchange, Inc. 8050 Marshall Drive, Suite 120 Lenexa, KS 66214 (By First Class Mail)

Joanne Moffic-Silver Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary CBOE Holdings, Inc. 400 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60605 (By First Class Mail) Michelle Bond Deputy Head of Global Regulatory Affairs Bloomberg L.P. 1101 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005 (By First Class Mail)

Joshua Lipton Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 Counsel for Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, NASDAQ BX, Inc., NASDAQ PHLX LLC and International Securities Exchange, LLC (By First Class Mail)

Emily R. Kasparov Vice President, Associate General Counsel and Corporate Secretary Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. 440 South LaSalle Street, Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60605 (By First Class Mail) Marcia E. Asquith Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary FINRA 1735 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 (By First Class Mail)

M 3

: :-

Dated: May 17, 2017

Sophia Lee Investors Exchange LLC General Counsel 4 World Trade Center, 44th Floor New York, NY 10007 (By First Class Mail)

Douglas W. Henkin (MJM)