

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION DIVISION OF ENFORCEMENT

100 F. Street, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549-5985

January 5, 2018

John D. Worland, Jr. Direct Dial Number (202) 551-4438

Via Electronic Mail

Honorable Jason S. Patil Administrative Law Judge Securities and exchange Commission 100 F. Street NE Washington D.C. 20549 E-Mail: alj@sec.gov

Re: In Re Angel Oak Capital Partners et al., File No.: 3-17849

Dear Judge Patil:

On November 30, 2017, the Commission issued an order ratifying the prior appointment of its administrative law judges to preside over administrative proceedings. See In re: Pending Administrative Proceedings, Securities Act Release No. 10440 (Nov. 30, 2017). As applied to this proceeding, the order directs the administrative law judge to determine, based on a de novo reconsideration of the full administrative record, whether to ratify or revise in any respect all prior actions taken by any administrative law judge during the course of this proceeding. Id. at 1-2.

It is well established that subsequent ratification of an earlier decision rendered by an unconstitutionally appointed officer remedies any alleged harm of prejudice caused by the violation. See Doolin Sec. Sav. Bank, F.S.B. v. Office of Thrift Supervision, 139 F.3d 203, 213-14 (D.C. Cir. 1998); FEC v. Legi-Tech, Inc., 75 F.3d 704, 707-09 (D.C. Cir. 1996). And that principle applies whether or not the ratifying authority is the same person who made the initial decision, so long as "the ratifier has the authority to take the action to be ratified," and, "with full knowledge of the decision to be ratified," makes a "detached and considered affirmation of th[at] earlier decision." Advanced Disposal Services East, Inc. v. NLRB, 820 F.3d 592, 602-03 (3d Cir. 2016).

Accordingly, to implement this remedy, the administrative law judge should conduct a de novo review of the administrative record, engage in an independent evaluation of the merits through the exercise of detached and considered judgment, and then determine whether prior actions should be ratified and thereby affirmed. This process ensures "that the ratifier does not blindly affirm the earlier decision without due consideration." *Advanced Disposal Services East*, 820 F.3d at 602-03.

The Division submits that the previous decisions issued by the administrative law judge in this proceeding were well-founded and respectfully requests that they be ratified. To that end, the Division attaches a proposed draft order to this letter.

Respectfully submitted,

ohn D. Worland, Jr.

Assistant Chief Litigation Counsel

(202) 551-4438

Email: worlandj@sec.gov

Attorney for Plaintiff

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Division of Enforcement

100 F. Street NE

Washington, DC 20549-9845

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-17849

In the Matter of

ANGEL OAK CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC, PERAZA CAPITAL & INVESTMENT, LLC, SREENIWAS PRABHU, AND DAVID W. WELLS,

Respondents.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

After a de novo review and reexamination of the record in these proceedings, I have reached the independent decision to ratify and affirm all prior actions made by the administrative law judge in these proceedings. This decision to ratify and affirm is based on my detached and considered judgment after an independent evaluation of the merits.

Jason S. Patil Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served true copies of the January 5, 2018 Letter of John D. Worland, Jr. to Judge Jason S. Patil and the PROPOSED ORDER by e-mail on the following on this 5th day of January, 2018:

The Honorable Jason S. Patil Administrative Law Judge Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, N.E. Washington D.C. 20549-2557 ALJ@sec.gov

James D. Sallah, Esq.
Sallah Astarita & Cox, llc
2255 Glades Road,
Suite 300E
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Phone: (561) 989-9080
jds@sallahlaw.com

<u>s/John D. Worland, Jr.</u> John D. Worland, Jr.