


HARD COPY COPY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION



ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-17352

In the Matter of

SAVING2RETIRE, LLC, AND
MARIAN P. YOUNG,

Respondents.

DIVISION OF ENFORCEMENT'S
LETTER REGARDING RATIFICATION
OF ALL PRIOR ACTIONS

On November 30, 2017, the Commission issued an order ratifying the prior appointment of its administrative law judges to preside over administrative proceedings. *See In re: Pending Administrative Proceedings*, Securities Act Release No. 10440 (Nov. 30, 2017). As applied to this proceeding, the order directs the administrative law judge to determine, based on a de novo reconsideration of the full administrative record, whether to ratify or revise in any respect all prior actions taken by any administrative law judge during the course of this proceeding. *Id.* at 1-2.


It is well established that subsequent ratification of an earlier decision rendered by an unconstitutionally appointed officer remedies any alleged harm or prejudice caused by the violation. *See Doolin Sec. Sav. Bank, F.S.B. v. Office of Thrift Supervision*, 139 F.3d 203, 213-14 (D.C. Cir. 1998); *FEC v. Legi-Tech, Inc.*, 75 F.3d 704, 707-09 (D.C. Cir. 1996). And that principle applies whether or not the ratifying authority is the same person who made the initial decision, so long as "the ratifier has the authority to take the action to be ratified," and, "with full knowledge of the decision to be ratified," makes a "detached and considered affirmation of th[at] earlier decision." *Advanced Disposal Services East, Inc. v. NLRB*, 820 F.3d 592, 602-03 (3d Cir. 2016).

Accordingly, to implement this remedy, the administrative law judge should conduct a de novo review of the administrative record, engage in an independent evaluation of the merits through the exercise of detached and considered judgment, and then determine whether prior actions should be ratified and thereby affirmed. This process ensures "that the ratifier does not blindly affirm the earlier decision without due consideration." *Advanced Disposal Services East*, 820 F.3d at 602-03.

The Division submits that the previous decisions issued by an administrative law judge in this proceeding, including the initial decision issued on October 19, 2017, were well-founded and respectfully requests that they be ratified. To that end, the Division attaches a proposed draft order to this letter.

Dated: January 5, 2018

Respectfully submitted,



Jennifer D. Brandt
Texas Bar No. 00796242
United States Securities and
Exchange Commission
Fort Worth Regional Office
Burnett Plaza, Suite 1900
801 Cherry Street, Unit 18
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
Phone: (817) 978-6442
Fax: (817) 978-4927
Brandtj@sec.gov

COUNSEL FOR
DIVISION OF ENFORCEMENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule 150 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, I hereby certify that true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on the following persons on January 5, 2018, by the method indicated:

By UPS and email:

Honorable James Grimes
Administrative Law Judge
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20549-2557

Finis Cowan, Esq.
One Greenway Plaza
Suite 100
Houston, TX 77046
finis@finiscowan.com
ycgc@comcast.net
Counsel for Respondents



Jennifer D. Brandt

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-17352

In the Matter of

SAVING2RETIRE, LLC, AND
MARIAN P. YOUNG,

Respondents.

ORDER RATIFYING AND AFFIRMING
ALL PRIOR ACTIONS

After a de novo review and reexamination of the record in these proceedings, I have reached the independent decision to ratify and affirm all prior actions made by an administrative law judge in these proceedings, including the initial decision issued on October 19, 2017. This decision to ratify and affirm is based on my detached and considered judgment after an independent evaluation of the merits.

SO ORDERED.

Signed _____

James E. Grimes
Administrative Law Judge