Edward DaspinPro See

,Boonton N.J 07005 @optonline.net,

Case # 3-16509 SEC VS Daspin

RECEIVED
MAR 0 2 2020
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Supplemental declaration/motion to dismiss

And Elimination of & violation of defendants'

In-house constitutional rights.

Equal benefits afforded others' under the law is what i received; I was not afforded equal rights' in violation of defendants' right to receive a fair trial my hearing consisted of a kangaroo court encumbered by judge 'Murrays personal monetary interests' and which was made impossible for me to receive affair trial as by the trust she accepted as the Commission delegate; to carry out the complaints' mandate to find my alleged guilt judge Murray made a bargain to find guilt that was preordained by the former commission with the fraudulent Wells notice, that hid the exculpatory evidence and omitted the material facts', that if disclosed, proved the falsity contained therin of the allegations!

Judge Murray had no alternative available to her other than to find guilt to alleviate her monetary interests' exposure and at the same time she could live up to the trust she accepted as the delegate f the commissions to complete the complaints' finding of guilt by putting her stamp of approval on it by finding the guilt that the complaint alleged as fact.

Judge Murrays' disregard for the stay implicit in the Supreme Courts' order in Lucia VS SEC; that this defendant had the right to ensure that no adjl that had participated in ,and /or was its' architect and or could not render a just finding of fact could participate and that the defendant had the right to object which I motioned her to recuse herself to no avail. Had i known what judge murray; knew that by her becoming g adelegate of and for the Commissions' delegation of my case, she had no right to judge any case as by her agreement to be a delegate she violated the independence that a judge is required to have.

She lied to this defendant to get the very case she had agreed to be the commissions delegate!!The hearing under her was a fraud she perpetrated on me by her letter TO ME alleging she would be fair and honor all reasonable requests all the while knowing that she had committed to the commissioners' to be their AGENT, REPRESENTATIVE AND FIND THE GUILT THEY ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT PREDICATED ON OMMISSIONS'OF MATERIAL FACTS AND OMMISSIONS OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCEI RECITED IN MY SUBMISSIONS AD NAUSIUM

Just as her prior fraud of assigning a non article 2 adjl was a fraud,, that lady doesn't know how to play it straight! She perpetrated the fraud by her as she had a position as the delegators trustee, agent and representative to find the fraud alleged in the complaint initiated by the prior commissioners.

When Judge Feolak found, in the pre Lucia vs SEC order, in my favor that id be irreparably harmed if I were forced to testify under the 7 factor test that federal district court judges use to quantify a motion for an adjournment for medical reasons' judge Murray immediatly interceded; found it convenient to abuse and use her Judicial administrative powers to eliminate Judge Feolaks' postponement Sine Die

and replaced her with her presiding judge, Judge Grimes, whose purpose was to dissolve the Postponement sine die in the face of the finding of fact that if forced to testify id be irreparably harmed.

Judge Murrays purpose was made clear when she refused to reverse Judge Grimes dissolution order exposing me to imminent harm and injury as Judge Feolaks' finding of fact was in his and Judge Murrays' face! .It then became apparent that judge Murray was a fixer of defendants' guilt before any hearing and that both Judge Murray and Judge Grimes were delegate[s] and took the OIP allegations' in the complaint about me as if fact, which was the overarching reason that Judge Grimes and subsequently Judge Murray found true before any hearing ethat they believed justified my potential irreperable harm!

What are they doing here? Is this inhouse just a facade for a Paris Island wherein torture is approved for the greater good /were a Bin ladden!?? I served my country as an officer and tank commander not yet found guilty of a civil offense and no demonstration that I had Scienter of any wrong doing and I relied on the Law firm[PLAPIPEr],accounting firm[McGladery],insurer [WILLIS and Chartis insurance]who under wrote an excempt security]who found that the securities were excempt as the SEC stamped the PPS on oct10,2011!!

Judge Murray and Grimes behavior should have made me shudder that i had already been found guilty and that the delegate[s]were trustees for the Commission! Judge Murray made it clear that she should have recused herself because of her own monetary interest in the outcome, as by my being found guilty by her, she made the lawsuit I had informed her and the others' I would file against them moot and I addition her participation in a coverup that an alleged hearing was not needed as by the issuance of the complaint and the acceptance of the case by the adjl as the delegate my fate was sealed as the rest was foreplay and of no effect agreement to be a delegate there was no need to go thru the motions as my guilt was assured by the prior commissioners initiation of the complaint and based on the allegations' that the division concocted to conceal the facts demonstrating my innocence.

As a citizen I must object to the fraudulent inducement and the game that congress invented to give the commissioners a clear path to find guilt while avoiding due process the expensive trial with the presumption of innocence. Congress' Dodd Frank ammendement usurps defendants' of their constitutional rights to affair trial constructed by an adjls use of the inhouse violation of my constitutional rights by accepting as delegate the commissioners complaints'allegations against me as if i had had a trial when they knew I did not!.

My only chance at this stage is this commission as its leader is our President who i believe will not tolerate any watering down of our constitutional rights under his watch he will not permit the adjls under him to fix cases by their participation as the commissioners delegate. It is clear that the current make up of our Supreme court will find against it as its existence is a mar on the purity of our founders' other constitutional ammendement. There is no place for a commission to circumvent the constitutions guarantees that its citicens' constitutional rights can be abrogated by a prosecutions divisons' selection of inhouse juristiction which we now know is so structured as to defraud the very citicens that are to be protected. There is no protection not under the delegate and the fact that was already found by the commission before any hearing and with complete disregard for the human rights' that we are supposed to receive; a defendant is guaranteed in our Constitution had been made a face inhouse...There is no room for this violation nor is there room for a judge to fix itself by accepting being the commissioners delegate.

I was not tried by an impartial judge and the fraudulent inducement judge Murray participated in condoning by her assignment of non article 2complaint adjls just made the process of wrongdoing compounded by a factor of 10 Now she has participated in avoiding justice, by collsion with the commission by becoming the commissions delegate and 'atrustee of the complaints' allagations that im guilty before she even hears me as she was a trustee of the complaints' allegations' prior to my utterance of one word!

I ask my case be dismissed that judge Murrays violations of my rights be sanctioned that i receive a whistlblower fee for bringing out the violation and the cure possibly being that inhouse no longer eliminates due process, that the Commissioners mandate an Advocate!

PRESIDENT DONALD J TRUMP ADVOCATE BE INSTITUTED THAT IT BE PROVIDED OPEN ACCESS TO ALL THE DIVISONS' INFORMATION, TO ALL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE NOT CONTAINED IN THEIR WELLS NOTICE AND THAT THE DIVISION PROVIDE ALL OMMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS; THAT THEY LEFT OUT OF THEIR WELLS NOTICE AND THAT THE DIVISION LEADER ON EACH PROPOSED WELLS NOTICE BE SUBJECT TO AN IN CAMERA TESTIMONY WHITH THE POTENTIAL DEFENDANTS LAW FIRM SUBJECT TO 'THE SAME DISCLOSURE AND THAT THE COMMISSION THEN WEIGH THE EVIDENCE PRODUCED BY THE PARTIES TO MAKE A FINDING FOR EITHER A NO BILL, A SETTLEMENT FOR NO A NO SCEINTER APPEARING TO BE PRESEENT OR SET FOR A TRIAL WITH THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE USING AND UNDER THE RULE OF LAW. WE CAN NOT BASTERDISE THE CONSTITUTIONS' INTENT AND WE MUST PRESUME THE INNOCENCE OF EACH AND EVERY DEFENDANT UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY BY A JURY AND/OR JUDGE!

Respectfully

E M Daspin Pro See

Certificate of service on 2/26/20 I swear that i served the below person by UPSon the26,febuary,2020

Signed by EmDaspin----[all parties emailed] and then

THE HONORABLE PRESIDENTOF THEUNITED STATES DONAL j TRUMP[marked personal and confidential re SEC

MR FIELDS 3COPIES FOR THE COMMISSIONERS

Ms. shields 1 copy for Judge Feolak

MrMcGrath, MrShapanka esq, Mr Agostini

Edward DaspinPro See

Boonton NJ 07005,

RECEIVED MAR 0 2 2020

Case # 3-16509 SEC VS Daspin

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Supplemental declaration/motion to dismiss

And Elimination of & violation of defendants'

In-house constitutional rights.

Equal benefits afforded others' under the law is what i received; I was not afforded equal rights' in violation of defendants' right to receive a fair trial my hearing consisted of a kangaroo court encumbered by judge 'Murrays personal monetary interests' and which was made impossible for me to receive affair trial as by the trust she accepted as the Commission delegate; to carry out the complaints' mandate to find my alleged guilt judge Murray made a bargain to find guilt that was preordained by the former commission with the fraudulent Wells notice, that hid the exculpatory evidence and omitted the material facts', that if disclosed, proved the falsity contained therin of the allegations!

Judge Murray had no alternative available to her other than to find guilt to alleviate her monetary interests' exposure and at the same time she could live up to the trust she accepted as the delegate f the commissions to complete the complaints' finding of guilt by putting her stamp of approval on it by finding the guilt that the complaint alleged as fact.

Judge Murrays' disregard for the stay implicit in the Supreme Courts' order in Lucia VS SEC; that this defendant had the right to ensure that no adjl that had participated in ,and /or was its' architect and or could not render a just finding of fact could participate and that the defendant had the right to object which I motioned her to recuse herself to no avail. Had i known what judge murray; knew that by her becoming g adelegate of and for the Commissions' delegation of my case, she had no right to judge any case as by her agreement to be a delegate she violated the independence that a judge is required to have.

She lied to this defendant to get the very case she had agreed to be the commissions delegate!!The hearing under her was a fraud she perpetrated on me by her letter TO ME alleging she would be fair and honor all reasonable requests all the while knowing that she had committed to the commissioners' to be their AGENT,REPRESENTATIVE AND FIND THE GUILT THEY ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT PREDICATED ON OMMISSIONS'OF MATERIAL FACTS AND OMMISSIONS OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCEI RECITED IN MY SUBMISSIONS AD NAUSIUM.

Just as her prior fraud of assigning a non article 2 adjl was a fraud,, that lady doesn't know how to play it straight! She perpetrated the fraud by her as she had a position as the delegators trustee, agent and representative to find the fraud alleged in the complaint initiated by the prior commissioners.

When Judge Feolak found, in the pre Lucia vs SEC order, in my favor that id be irreparably harmed if I were forced to testify under the 7 factor test that federal district court judges use to quantify a motion for an adjournment for medical reasons' judge Murray immediatly interceded; found it convenient to abuse and use her Judicial administrative powers to eliminate Judge Feolaks' postponement Sine Die

and replaced her with her presiding judge, Judge Grimes, whose purpose was to dissolve the Postponement sine die in the face of the finding of fact that if forced to testify id be irreparably harmed.

Judge Murrays purpose was made clear when she refused to reverse Judge Grimes dissolution order exposing me to imminent harm and injury as Judge Feolaks' finding of fact was in his and Judge Murrays' face! It then became apparent that judge Murray was a fixer of defendants' guilt before any hearing and that both Judge Murray and Judge Grimes were delegate[s] and took the OIP allegations' in the complaint about me as if fact, which was the overarching reason that Judge Grimes and subsequently Judge Murray found true before any hearing ethat they believed justified my potential irreperable harm!

What are they doing here? Is this inhouse just a facade for a Paris Island wherein torture is approved for the greater good /were a Bin ladden!?? I served my country as an officer and tank commander not yet found guilty of a civil offense and no demonstration that I had Scienter of any wrong doing and I relied on the Law firm[PLAPIPEr],accounting firm[McGladery],insurer [WILLIS and Chartis insurance]who under wrote an excempt security]who found that the securities were excempt as the SEC stamped the PPS on oct10,2011!!

Judge Murray and Grimes behavior should have made me shudder that i had already been found guilty and that the delegate[s]were trustees for the Commission! Judge Murray made it clear that she should have recused herself because of her own monetary interest in the outcome, as by my being found guilty by her, she made the lawsuit I had informed her and the others' I would file against them moot and I addition her participation in a coverup that an alleged hearing was not needed as by the issuance of the complaint and the acceptance of the case by the adjl as the delegate my fate was sealed as the rest was foreplay and of no effect agreement to be a delegate there was no need to go thru the motions as my guilt was assured by the prior commissioners initiation of the complaint and based on the allegations' that the division concocted to conceal the facts demonstrating my innocence.

As a citizen I must object to the fraudulent inducement and the game that congress invented to give the commissioners a clear path to find guilt while avoiding due process the expensive trial with the presumption of innocence. Congress' Dodd Frank ammendement usurps defendants' of their constitutional rights to affair trial constructed by an adjls use of the inhouse violation of my constitutional rights by accepting as delegate the commissioners complaints'allegations against me as if i had had a trial when they knew I did not!.

My only chance at this stage is this commission as its leader is our President who i believe will not tolerate any watering down of our constitutional rights under his watch he will not permit the adjls under him to fix cases by their participation as the commissioners delegate. It is clear that the current make up of our Supreme court will find against it as its existence is a mar on the purity of our founders' other constitutional ammendement. There is no place for a commission to circumvent the constitutions guarantees that its citicens' constitutional rights can be abrogated by a prosecutions divisons' selection of inhouse juristiction which we now know is so structured as to defraud the very citicens that are to be protected. There is no protection not under the delegate and the fact that was already found by the commission before any hearing and with complete disregard for the human rights' that we are supposed to receive; a defendant is guaranteed in our Constitution had been made a face inhouse... There is no room for this violation nor is there room for a judge to fix itself by accepting being the commissioners delegate.

I was not tried by an impartial judge and the fraudulent inducement judge Murray participated in condoning by her assignment of non article 2complaint adjls just made the process of wrongdoing compounded by a factor of 10 Now she has participated in avoiding justice, by collsion with the commission by becoming the commissions delegate and 'atrustee of the complaints' allagations that im guilty before she even hears me as she was a trustee of the complaints' allegations' prior to my utterance of one word!

I ask my case be dismissed that judge Murrays violations of my rights be sanctioned that i receive a whistlblower fee for bringing out the violation and the cure possibly being that inhouse no longer eliminates due process, that the Commissioners mandate an Advocate!

PRESIDENT DONALD J TRUMP ADVOCATE BE INSTITUTED THAT IT BE PROVIDED OPEN ACCESS TO ALL THE DIVISONS' INFORMATION, TO ALL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE NOT CONTAINED IN THEIR WELLS NOTICE AND THAT THE DIVISION PROVIDE ALL OMMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS; THAT THEY LEFT OUT OF THEIR WELLS NOTICE AND THAT THE DIVISION LEADER ON EACH PROPOSED WELLS NOTICE BE SUBJECT TO AN IN CAMERA TESTIMONY WHITH THE POTENTIAL DEFENDANTS LAW FIRM SUBJECT TO 'THE SAME DISCLOSURE AND THAT THE COMMISSION THEN WEIGH THE EVIDENCE PRODUCED BY THE PARTIES TO MAKE A FINDING FOR EITHER A NO BILL, A SETTLEMENT FOR NO A NO SCEINTER APPEARING TO BE PRESEENT OR SET FOR A TRIAL WITH THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE USING AND UNDER THE RULE OF LAW. WE CAN NOT BASTERDISE THE CONSTITUTIONS' INTENT AND WE MUST PRESUME THE INNOCENCE OF EACH AND EVERY DEFENDANT UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY BY A JURY AND/OR JUDGE!

Respectfully

E M Daspin Pro See,

Certificate of service on 2/26/20 I swear that i served the below person by UPSon the26,febuary,2020

Signed by EmDaspin-----[all parties emailed] and then

THE HONORABLE PRESIDENTOF THEUNITED STATES DONAL j TRUMP[marked personal and confidential re SEC

MR FIELDS 3COPIES FOR THE COMMISSIONERS

Ms. shields 1 copy for Judge Feolak

MrMcGrath, MrShapanka esq, Mr Agostini