

1/14/19

RECEIVED
JAN 23 2019
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

[REDACTED]@OPTONLINE.NET

DECLARATION REQUEST THAT BEFORE ANY FURTHER ACTIONS'. This court dismiss this case as [REDACTED] contemptuously violated the spirit and tenor and order that non of the submissions in the pre remand case before Judge Grimes litter up this new fresh start proceeding and in violation thereof he and Mr. O'Connell have included some of the information that the US Supreme court ordered should be destroyed, discarded and not entered in to this fresh proceeding .He has no right to refer to my doctor in such an ad hominin manner attack. Dr Puzino is aboard certified highly respected doctor. I had invited Mr McGraths' geriatric board certified doctor to make an examination and his refusal to do so and then throwing stones at our doctor is shame full.Get your own expert; but first I deny any contempt and motion that this court remove the motion for contempt as being baseless upon review of the facts enumerated below and I add this declaration to my 2 prior declarations'" submitted before I reviewed Mr.Mc Graths' disingenuous motion which a review of the timing demonstrates that the enforcement division layed a trap, prepared the motion prior to the inability to attend if the shutdown had ceased. As of the Jan 8/9 date it had not ,as its clear they had no intention of service of the Jan2,2019 email, they did not call or send the Jan2 email certified and now want this court to hold me in contempt when the only service i received binding me was the DEC27,2018letter announcing that if the shutdown continued there would be no depositions' when subpoenaed which was attached to the letter. The rest of his motion is poppy cock; but I ask the court to send its doctor to visit my wife,in a non confrontational examination for a "2ndopinion"having nothing to do with the SEC as id like to find out if there are any new drugs that this doctor knows of as joan is on [REDACTED]. so that the court will know from its own doctor the gravamen of what Dr.Puzino has stated in writing and whenever received any call he was open to receive.!! IALSO ASK FOR RELEIF IN THIS DECLARATION.

1]Granted specialists' have greater knowledge about a specific disorder and/ or an organ[s] effects'; but not the all around knowledge that makes internal medicine such a great practice as it's the GP board certified internal medicine degreed doctor that runs the entire gamut elects which specialists his patients should see for each specific problem. In fact he is the captain of the ship and without his knowledge non of the specialists would make it in time to save a life,my and my wifes lives are in his hands and he takes that seriously as he knows that we know whitout him we would not be here...In fact the psychological factor and stress have an overriding force that can break systems down and people to die without his expertise.

[REDACTED]

THE WMMA CHAPTER 11 REAVEALS THAT THE WMMA named INVESTOR OPERATORS ARE PERJURERS AND PARTICIPATED IN DEFRAUDING THE BANKRUPTCY COURT BY OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS'.NAMLY THT THE PARTNERS IN THE TOP CPA FIRMS' INFORMED THEM THRU BERJEDEKIANS STATEMENT THAT WMMA WAS IN THE CLEAR BY ITS NOT FILING A WMMA1099 AGAINST MKMA!

3]The case' before your honor comes out as one of credibility as when there is the existence of an enterprise that the individual participants must lie together to corroborate each others lies and or to tell lies which respect to their own actions.. thus the lie appears to be the truth.. fortunately all the WMMA investors' committed fraud against their own company while officers of it and while Mar iA was also a director of WMMA. This case is all about who said what to whom. I need my material, indispensable witness OTHER THAN MR AGOSTINI AND MAR LUX THEREST ARE DEAD/OR DISABLED to counter the lies when alleged to have been made in their presence.. All 6 Investors agreed in the dishonest shareholders agreement to lie, to allege i controlled Wmma and to corroborate each other testimony by alleging they were present at meetings purportedly attended when in fact there was no meeting that i attended ie 6 against one and Mr. Main one of them is a perjurer is the 7th investor. The dishonest shareholder meeting proves that they all agreed to perpetrate a fraud against WMMA and me. The Group collaborated on a taped conversation[EX A TO DEFENDATS REPLY TO THE WELLS SUBMISSION;PLEASE START ON PAGE 17,TERRESA IS MS'PUCCION ,THE SEC WHISTBLOWER LEADING THE WMMA INVESTOR GROUP OF 6 PERSONS;SHE STATES HER MESSAGE TO THEM IS COMING FROM OF" BILL [MR.WILLIAM "BILL" MCFARLANE, WHO PROMISED THEM SHARES AND COMPENSATION IN HIS NEWCO.NEWCO IS A TO BE FORMED ENTERPRISE TO STEAL WMMA ON THE CHEEP BY THE 6 AGREEING TO PERPETRATE AND PARTICIPATEINHIS CONSPIRACY TOTAKEME DOWNCONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD WMMA HOLDINGS'[WMMAH] OUT OF ITS 92% OWNERSHIP IN WMMA,BY FORCE,PERGURY AND BY THEFTAND DECEPTIONAGAINSTMEANDMR AGOSTINI THE 2OWNERSOF AMAJORITY OFWMMAHSTATING FALSLY THAT I CONTROLLED WMMA ;ITS SMALL AND LARGE THINGS AT WMMA[THE CONTROL PERSON THEORY THAT THEY ALL AGREED TO FACILITATE AND SWEAR TO.ISHE EVEN STATES SHE WILL SIGN HER NAME TO IT FIRST[A LETTER THEY WOULD ALL SIGN ASKING THE WMMA BOARDPG 17L20-I 25][ADMITTING IT CONTROLS WMMA NOT ME]]TO FIRE MKMA;[AND ME WITH IT ME !']

4]THE SEC BOUGHT THE STORY AND IT IS WHERE THIS CASE STARTS' AS IT'S THE BIRTH OF AN CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE! conspired as part of the Mc Farlane " enterprise to commit theft by fraud and deception .Unfortunately all my indispensable witness are out of action so that i cannot contravene allegations' a by any of the investor group that collectively will lie about me as they joined making a false declaration to the U.S Bankruptcy judge omitting the material fact that: if I did direct Mr. Sullivan to not book [which I did not] a 1099 for WMMA to file against MKMA revenue ,it was legal. Their declarations' made it appear that I wanted Mr Sullivan to defraud the IRS and make WMMA the instrument of that fraud; by allegedly directing him not to file A WMMA 1099 AS HE WAS WMMAS CFO. The dishonest shareholders meeting , EX A to the defendants' Wells reply ,if the court looks up "Price Water.. and KPMG "IN ITS DIRECTORY in the back of EX A the court will see that the partners 'of those CPA firms stated WMMA would be in the clear one[1] year before the chapter 11 ' If WMMA did not make a 1099 against MKMA!. MR .Main, Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Bedejekian made eachs ' fraudulent declarations corroborate each others' declaration to the chapter 11 judge in a federal court, knowing that by omitting the fact that WMMA was in the Clear, they implied to the court that i was A WMMA&IRS cheat, unworthy of becoming a trustee of WMMA IF the courts dismiss the chapter11, as I and Mr. Agostini asked the court to dismiss it. I cover the declarations herein below so that the court knows what im up against and my witness to corroborating my testimony are mostly in heaven, [REDACTED] and memory erosions with the intervening years. I ask this court to dismiss if it doesn't approve my other motions to vacate and or have the division submit a new federal district court case against me and ill waive the statutory time so that such filing will be approved. In that regard I ask the court make available the\$1million litigation fund from its resources. Also the SEC should advise us who else will be unavailable to testify?. That is the extent that the N aewco conspiracy and collusion will go to!

5]Ive lost most of my material witness that would contravene whatever other fables they all sign up to agree to state knowing the falsity of their declarations as in the WMMA CHAPTER 11 PROCEEDINGS.. Although their credibility is gone the effect of their agreement to make the allegations' and fabricate and corroborate each others testimony before your honor will require time to search for eachs' prior emails to each other myself and or to Mcfarlaneandhisotherenterprisemembers.intheirchapter11andintendedinthe SEC case,it will require at least a day for each SEC witness to cross in addition to calling them afterwards as my hostile witness as they were present at

conversations" wherein I and others participated; to disprove the enterprises' allegations' that I was a control person. The 2 weeks Mr. McGrath asked for will be an additional 2 weeks assuming they produce 10 witnesses and all investors are called. I want it understood that if any of the witnesses that are investors' don't show we adjourn the matter until they are all present. I will waive my right to the time extensions if required subject to this court's reply to all my motions' and my right to take those I disagree with up prior to any judgement which this court promised after the Scheduling hearing which I attended and participated in...

6] Mr. Mains 'a perjurer as proven by this letter' referred to exhibits to his bankruptcy court's declaration including his allegations I fired him! [SEE SEC EX 435 HIS VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION.] and Sullivan and Bekedesian omitted material facts as did Mr. Main from Judge Gambreddella. Mr. Heisteramph committed an IRS fraud when he went before an administrative law judge and declared that his stock redemption checks' were salary checks knowing the falsity of the allegations' and when he back dated his subscription agreement to avoid a domestic state court judge's stay the asset order, during a divorce, so that he back dated his subscription and employment agreements' to violate the stay on his 401k assets intended use for his subscription. IN other words he defrauded the mother of his children. All of the investors participated in attempted theft of WMMA by fraud and deception. Ms. Puccio's emails tag her as a perjurer from the outset and as a leader of a branch of the Newco enterprise., while a WMMA officer of an enterprise's attempt to steal WMMA from its rightful owners and she is one of the accredited investors that her oath demonstrates she has no credibility. The SEC Sua sponte admitted 3 of the investors have been perjurers' by the SECS own admission that 3 lied under oath declaring they were accredited when the SEC states they were not without identifying them though Mr. Lockett did inform me Ms. Puccio was one of them. [That's all of them and the ringleader Mr. McFarlanes perjured himself as a Chap 11 declared alleging he was not WMMA's president when the evidence demonstrates' he signed 2 contracts as its President and appeared on the internet introducing himself as WMMA'S' New President..Not one of the 6 investors said:

..."hey I'm not going to lie about it...he did not control everything at WMMA he was our consultant and we all know that the board of directors' controls' WMMA. We can't state that it was a Defacto CEO as Mr. Lux was the CEO and Mr. Daspin never asserted any dominance nor did he nor could he order and or direct any of us except that he was very accommodating when he lived up to MKMA's contractual consulting obligations. We asked his advice as in each of our employment contracts it outlines the scope of his firm's duties, That is not what a CEO does as in Mr. Lux's' employment contract [SEC EX 55], a CEO operates the company under the board's resolutions...We don't report to him and he was neither an officer, director and or shareholder while we were collectively operating the company..."

7] But they did not admit the truth and so the proofs' are in their actions. Ms. Puccio aided them and suborned their perjury to make a case for the SEC. That dishonest shareholder meeting on 7/12/10 [+/-] I'm sure was near the beginning of her interviewing process as she had become their agent and at that time she seemed very confident in telling each of them what to remember or make up along the way and shortly after that meeting they all resigned in [8/xx/2012].

[As they signed up as agent she orchestrated the SECS' orders and Newco's orders to give them a package containing no truth with respect to the complaints' allegations of wrongdoing against me.

Mr. Nwugugu's submission to the SEC for all our documents was before that year ended. Mr. Nwugugu, who admits in his Chartist insurance claim PARA 5/6/41 that he he ,not I, wrote all WMMA's ppms. The man is a CPA, a MBA, an adjunct professor City College and he completed the 2 years of law school that provided the manner the art is to be implemented. I did review some of the Documents and even wrote some of my recommendations' for sections' of the PPMS that I believed needed his review as to content that he was not up to date on. Mr. Young would try to type them for me but he is not a court stenographer. I believed my editorial reviews would be helpful as that's part of the Consultants' job. All the other WMMA Sr executives all made suggestions' and submitted edits to him as well. His work product demands' on him at times was overwhelming as he would email all work production WMMA/WDI PPMS to almost everyone of the officers' of those corporations' and Mr. Nwugugu made it

clear that , to cover his back ,he wrote a self serving email objecting to anyone's suggestion[s]that he was opposed to and by copying the board the board became the last word on whether an inclusion and or omission should be accepted by him. However; it was his job to make the financial decision as he had to sign his name to the SEC submissions and if he still disagreed he should have left with a lowed sound before submitting any document that in his opinion was a violation of any rules, regs, and or laws .In that regard the board ended up as the final arbitrator and if they erred they did so without malice and/ or knowledge of any wrongdoing based upon Mar.Nwugugus' meetings with the board that i did not attend except on 2 occasions wherein we disagreed and the Board voted it themselves if there was any dispute. Therefore the SEC allegations' that I was responsible for the WMMA ppms content and or omitted content is false, is rubbish,! Just as is the SEC allegation that I made false and or misleading representations with respect to WMMA.theSECEX1 the WMMA ppm page3 para1/2,clearly states that no one is authorized to make any representations about the company unless contained in the PPM!.."that Wmma did not authorize anyone to make any representation unless CONTAINED IN THE PPM and that no reliance should be made on such representations' unless included in the PPM" IN ADDITION ON PAGE4, LAST LARGE PARA STATES THAT NO INVESTOR IS TO RELY FOR INVESTMENT PURPOSES ON ANY COMPANY FINANCIALS UNLESS AUDITED. That bespeaks caution was also included and acknowledged it was read in the WMMS/WDI subscription agreements reoffered to herin below and as in the SEC EX1 the WMMAS ppm!!!

8]Mr. Nwugugu who admits the 100% person responsible for the PPMS' in his financials accepted and filed before the Sec and blue sky states that WMMAS had investors living in those states and he admits in his Chartis insurance claim, filed on and/or about,12/10/12 that he was the 100% author of and his work product See para 5&6and 41at the2nd part para. Thus the SEC's allegations that investors' relied on me and /or on financials' contained therein that were foot noted as non gaap compilations' as the oct 31/11compilation, referred to in the complaint with a non reliance footnote and with this courts taking judicial notice as in the SEC Ex1,the shareholder management section that the investors overviews' prove they were all mbas',doctors' and very financially sophisticated ;it disproves with respect to me the SEC allegations', in their crafty farcical complaint. I have no responsibility, was not a shareholder ,officer and or director and Mr,.Luxs' deposition SEE SEC EX483,the 8/29/13 deposition taken when i was not in attendance confirms he not i was its ceo, that I was a consultant; that he wanted to fire me and mkma as he believed we were impediment. And that I was not a director and that the board ,not i ,controlled wmma ".There is no case hear other than an indictment against the preparers of the complaints allegations'. The man was learned in ways im not and the board made the final decision and as Mr. Main and Lux had the final responsibilities as the majority disinterested Directors of WMMA.I disown any and all responsibility for what is in, out, and/or underneath the PPMS and /or for making recommendations as was my job as a consultant as stated and disclosed in each employees contracts and in the SEC EX1WMMA ppms related party section. Mar.Nwugugu admits in his Chartis insurance claim for \$600,000.00 or for that matter any of the WMMA documents ;he was responsible for preparing and accepting responsibilities as such function was his and his alone. as Nwugugu is a CPA ,2year year law school graduate, an adjunct professor of finance and Series7and13 liscence holder.i never met a more erudite person and it was a pleasure to see him work producing all WMMA documents .He was WMMAs inhouse corporate governance committee and if he put his name on it it was his final responsibility as if he did not agree he would have left with a loud CLANG as required.. In fact; by doing so, writing the self serving emails objection[s];he made the board select whatever recommendations" he was upset about and the board made the final recommendations. Only the WMMA board had the power to make the final decision !He was selected to supply the SEC investigator's with the subpoena documents around the end of 2012.The die had been caste and the SEC led and or approved of the subsequent action[s] of their collaborator's the WMMA Newco investors',Mr.Main, Mc Farlane and the remaining Newco enterprise members' All of whom by their respective actions have been defrocked as to credibility so there is no case just a bunch of witness stating whatever the SEC wants them to say. The SEC made Ms' Puccio their agent and by doing so she suborned the perjury of each of them in her dishonest shareholders meeting led by Ms.Puccio and more than likely she informed them she was the SECs person to go to giving her the power over them to make a case to be the SEC agent by contracting her as a whistleblower.

9]As an undisclosed agent of the SEC the SEC has unwittingly made itself a party to the damages their agent has wrought on me, That includes' the investor participating in perjury about me in the bankruptcy court and in the Wayne Craig perjurious allegations about alleging that i and Luigi Agostini and WMMA Defrauded him and that WMMA was to pay for the events he was scheduled to put on and that we fraudulently induced him to sign the WMMA promoter regional contracts in July of 2011!The problem was that those regional promoter contracts were signed for WMMA by Barry Jerreyll ,his partner undisclosed and the contracts state the opposite of all his allegations of fraud His case was moved to Federal district court in New Jersey and dismissed with prejudice when he failed to come out in the second round! I believe that the SEC saw each and every loss of the i WMMA witness' in ;the Monica Petty loss before the Texas Board of taxation and in ;the Heisterkamp loss before the adjl in Michigan and in the; t heWayne Craig loss and the ;WMMA chap 11 loss and they did not include the knowledge they gained in them as in the Wells letter none of the fact came out.!!That their witness were defrocked that half of the investors swore falsely under oath and the remainders committed perjury subornation of perjury ,conspired to collude that i was a Defacto Ceo when nothing of the kind was true true. They failed to inform the Commissioners' that Mr. Nwugugu , not I prepared the WMMAPPMS'.

10] Instead they blamed me knowing that was false! And then they had the audacity to hold me responsible for omissions that they say should have been included in the PPM alleging that I had a responsibility when i did not as the board made the financials and Nwugugu filed them believing that thy were adequate or he would not have signed them as the preparer Or alleging that WMMA mission was for it to be milked by me when in fact the reverse was true and they had the books and records and instead of telling the facts that my wife's loans and advances accounted for\$500,000.00 director approved repayment over 14months payout and that over an 6month pay out for services MKMA rendered to WMMA over 14months it received \$240,000.00 which was the cap rate of 10% of the WMMA equity for the services that amounted to over \$3,250,000 services which was to be booked as a start up cost and amortized over 5years!The true facts are all that is needed to prove the falsity of the claims; but that is not good enough to build a fabricated case! He made me a defacto ceo, he falsified me as the milker instead of the milkee, he alleged i was the PPMs author and ;therefore responsible for its omissions" and then to make matters worse they alleged omissions" that were contained in the WMAPPMS risk section with respect to the irreparable harm that could be incurred by WMMA if the IMC database did not work out the way it was sold to WMMA.[The SEC FABRICATED THAT AS FACT WHEN IN THE RISK SECTION IT CLEARLY EXPOSES THE CRITICAL MATTER OF THE IMC DATABASES IMPACT WMMA IF IT FIT TO OERATE AS SOLD TO WMMA.

11]Then the SEC fabricated my motivations when in fact only I know what they were and with respect to wmma they were as pure as the driven snow. Who in their right mind as a consultant would agree that if the company, at its' sole discretion believed that any fee payment would harm the company if paid would not be obligated to make the payment??yet the SEC states I set WMMA up to milk, and or I milked\$750,000.00 out of it in the 30 months of its life knowing 2/3 was board approved loan and documented advance repayments' and the remaining\$240,000.00 represented the 10% of equity grown over 2011and 2012 agree to make that one of WMMAs powers over the efforts WMMA was contractually obligated to pay if by its payment WMMA was not harmed financially at that time it had over its' combined bank accounts \$1,250,000.00=-]as a cushion which was 12months of cash flow and if it eliminated the advances to Partners' by contract 24months' of working capital; but the investors 'knew they needed to make something cash flow wise happen or the advances stopped after 50% of equity was used up on them! That was projected to occur by April 2012 if no other investments came in.

12]So they selected the wrong use for their investment to make it happen. They believed that they should promoter each Charitable event and make it an extravaganza as Mr.Mc Farlane was more interested in being known, using other peoples' money as an MMA producer and director. He went double over budgeted expenses and he received back 1/10 of the costs of the event!. The SEC allegations are that I milked the company to its' detriment when I only received less than a 1/10 of the equity butcontributedmorethan\$3,500,000.00workingcpitaleclusiveof land advance repayment due my wife and only received out of the total \$6million in equity and subordinated capital less than 4%! %. In other words WMMA

never had to pay any MKMA fee[s] if the directors' believed such payment would work a financial harm to WMMA. There is not one consulting firm I know of and or ever made a deal that agrees to that its client gave up its rights to ever get paid for any of the services it rendered and Mr. Mc Grath wants this court to believe that **WMMA's mission was for me to milk it!** Your honor has the financial file and Mr. McGrath will admit that they only showed out going and failed to demonstrate incoming. I sacrificed myself financially to participate as consultant and my wives' warrant holdings assisted my motivation to live lean and mean.. **SEE SEC EX 51 Mr Berjedekians' initials** on the MKMA commission agreement that all WMMA Prospective investor received as well as the service service agreement which clarify that im informing the court of what the exhibits state: **All WMMA/WDI contract SEC EX13 and 14 the WMMA/CBI/MKMA operators received as an attachment to the employment agreements of all WMMA Employees[joint venture partners']!** Its detail demonstrates that everything was disclosed and that each WMMA investor/operator knew up front how the fees were calculated and the services it would provide WMMA and WDI.

13] **No surprises as the SEC complaint alleges** that the sophisticated MBAS' with Treasurer over 30 of the investors names one for a \$30 billion AIG division, Ms. Puccio; another a \$8 billion hedge fund [Mr. Bejedekain, and other Mr. Sullivan a \$10 billion Public Utility! Then Mr. Lange a Harvard MBA and VP OF ABC sports of finance and Mr. Lockett's the CTO a \$4 billion beauty products' company to finish WMMA's website issues so that it cold star 5 the pay per view after he completed that as WMMA had over 30 events for 2012 once the web portal and imc sport portal joined marketing and pay per view marketing services a long with Mr. Lux the former President of Playboy., and Mr Agostini Mar. Agostini the concert promoters set up executive perfect for the WMMA Nationals and world wide promotional events. .It was a sparkling talented team.. My felony is included in para 13 of the commission agreements attachment. Instead of the enforcement division setting forth the truth they had to fake it convert a milkee into a milk or, turn a consultant into a Defacto ceo, turn a ppm authority as Mr. Nwugugu admits he wrote over 6 for company si consulted for, he makes me the author, instead of all the PPMS 100% in his Chartis claim and they deny the exhibits existence and **allege i was its author**, then for good measure the allege that a simple human recourse's fee agreement, is a disguise for an investment banking fee; then after that misrepresentation they say i received investment banking fees when I did not and if we allocated on a fifo basis the service fees for the first half of 2011, before WMMA received a dime from the 6 investors', as they had not enrolled yet, the hourly rate generated deferred capitalization of \$420,000.00 and MKMA only received \$240,000.00 of it for the 30 month effort paid over time as the investors' invested in WMMA/WDI from August to March of 2012!; but the fees for hourly services started in Jan 1, 2011 to July 31, 2011 and therefore preceded the investors human recourse's fees. Thus disproving the allegation which McGrath invented to demonstrate i received investment banking fee without a liscence when the fees were not investment banking fees to begin with.!! Agostini used the non accrual non non deferred cash in cash out method as the other deferrals were continuing obligations and with no obligation to pay unless certain accomplishments were achieved so he checked payments to MKMA from WMMA against the incoming equity, but on the MKMA there should have been the fifo accrual postings and the offset had to come from the hourly services regardless of posting in books .The accrual method to allocate the \$240,000.00 payments was against the hourly deferred obligations as they came before any H/R fee obligations'. admitting that the company lost its equity because the operators that invested wanted to beat the clock and take a chance with all their investment betting on one event!

14] Then have a cocaine, ladies man, total his car and in the hospital writing love notes to monica until 2 days before the event started and MacFarlane did not inform the board that during his 4-5 days as WMMA' President before the event, he had taken on making 2 documentary's and an Olympic tryout for one of his 155 Olympic wrestlers during the tryouts! MC farlane cheated and defrauded the 7 investor/operators into believing he would put his shoulder to the WMMA task and nothing other than that,, he led to the bank, He set up the Newco WMMA investor operators, he cheated or monica and then he manipulated the events to look like it was the felons work. Only with an inside fellows' symphizer would anyone with a brain think they could pull it off! Im a Defacto consultant and was also a consultant not a de ceo, coo, cfo, and Nwugugu disguised investment banking fees to be

Human recourses' fees when Mr Nwugugu accepted full responsibility for creating the entire service contract without me just as the PPMs[In his Chartis claim].

15]The SEC allegation in a milker is disproved as the facts show i capitalized WMMA 15 times more capital than the funds paid back to MKMA exclusive of the return of my wifes loans and advances ;then he purposely alleges that the entire WMMAPP was made by me and that its a fraud on the investors' when its admitted by Nwugugu as his 100% work product and when it admitted by Nwugugu that he and he alone copied prior WMMA service contract and created it by himself and no in a conspiracy with me to disguise the investment banking fees as HR fees. Then Mr Nwugugu's recantation letter from his lawyer and his answer to the complaints allegations' he admits, very honestly, that he copied other service contracts on his own that passed muster in other affiliated federal courts 'he played the same role...**HE excluded the WMMA Chap 11 and Craig Waynes' perjurious attempt to sue me and Mr Agostini** and did not let the commissioners know i was vindicated from wrong doing by a bankruptcy trustee and the courts' dismissing the WMMA Chap 11 and making me its trustee.

16]He failed to point out that in the Wells that in 40 year there has been no recidivism and the fact that each of those case all alleged fraudulently that i did not inform of my felony before they invested. In about 50 cases no one Judge brought that lie as they all knew the internet's role in background searches; especially when a person invests a large sum of money they always check on the internet. Mr. Langes Brad he admitted that fact, Mr. Main was my Chiropractor so he already knew of it, Ms' Puccio and Mr. Berjedekian were defrocked as Puccio Brady admitted at the 2nd interview with Mr. Young. he informed both of them. Mar Lockett and Mr Heisterkamp both admitted that i informed each as their Chartis insurance claim did not mention it or me only the fraud perpetrated by both of them by Puccio and McFarlane..Langes' Brady discloses had the internet prior to investing so there was no way to lie. McGrath did the next best thing and by so doing proved he lied doing that as he stated that my disclosure of my felony was just before they invested !which of course was devoid of the truth But anyone who will stretch that way is incorrigible. **HE SET ME UP, CALLED ME TITLES I NEVER WAS; TOOK OTHER PERSONS' RESPONSIBILITIES IN THEIR RESPECTIVE CONTRACTS AND RECITED THE ALLEGED OFFENSE AS IF MINE!** The complaints allegations were knowingly false as Mr .Lange and Ms.Puccios Brady admissions' with Berjedekian in the 2nd interview proved it was a Complaint and Wells lie. And it was. .Nor did Mr. Mc Grath take the time to explain to the commissioners' that for 40 years I had no recidivism on my part and never once being found guilty of defrauding anyone though in every disingenuous lawsuit they alleged that word and not one of 50{+/-} judge believed them as my record is clean...

17]That's a major disclosure. All they did at enforcement was stretch and stretch! and stretch! the lies and actions committed by others as if mine ! trying in every which way to make a case stick when they have none! **The reason evident:** the complaint is a hoax In order to make me guilty they put in fantastic events !that if true were events that i did not participate nor would i. And Nwugugu contravened in his recantation of his alleged Brady disclosure wherein he admits' he and only he created the service agreement by using prior service contracts as the WMMA template! In other words his responses to the complaint which he attached to his lawyers' letter denouncing his alleged Brady Disclosure, & admitted under oath, that there was no collusion by me and or anyone to the service agreements words' preparation were his alones' work product and he billed Chartis insurance for the effort by demanding he was owed \$600,000.00 and enumerating the documents he prepared and the percentage of his effort if he alleged he participated with others. The service agreements and para 5 and para 6 of the Chartis insurance claim states he was the **100% preparer and not me; yet the SEC alleges that i prepared it.** I only proof read segments of it for accuracy of content as Did Mar Main, Mr IUX, Mr Sullivan, Berjedekian, Puccio, Young and others.

18]In the SEC's pursuit to concoct and/or insinuate that I defrauded investors', all of whom now the SEC admit's, before any investment, that i admitted my felony before any investment, they fabricated an AKA by using my true first and middle name as if an aka ,they fabricated that I did Lux's ceo job knowing that he, himself ,in his depositions['SEC#483] contravened that and other allegations the SEC made in its disingenuous complaints' his not my pervue..Mr.Lux admitted in his Deposition WMMA was controlled by the WMMA board resolutions'[not in

me];that i was neither an officer ,director and or shareholder and that i did not vote when i may have been asked to attended portions of some meetings! The SEC has made false allegations alleging that the 3WMMA financial officers did not see the bank registers when they hired a bookkeeper to prepare monthly reports

That Edward Michael is my legal name and they knew it; Yet they chose to pin me with an aka that was not an aka but me!!!,In fact, the SEC has used true facts and made them look bad through to make a fraud by committing a fraudulent Wells submission and Complaint knowing the already biased ADJLS ,by the commissions' delegating their case to each adjl ,would want to find guilt and the complaints' false allegations' about me would assist them in finding guilt when non exists It. ie ;the AKA; the disclosure of my felony before weeks before and months before not minutes, as they fabricated the wrong doing of others as if mine. ie Nwugugus' admission he was the preparer of the WMMa/WDi[[Ms; yet they say me, that I capitalized WMMA with \$4,250,000.00; yet they say i was a milker of WMMA's assets when the reverse was true.!

19]They gave SECS' Ms'Beirs one sided outgoing money accounting, hiding by omission the capital I and my wife and companys' put in 6 times greater and left it as if that was the whole balance sheet item when the incoming was 6 times greater!! Anything to make me look bad like felony admittance as the inc dries , and /or that i was a Defacto ceo when not one Wmma employment agreement has me as a report to, the ppm doesn't state that and if it was true then the employees wanting their money back would have stated that: Only after Mc Farlane in the dishonest shareholder meeting pg 171-2 says:

..""BILL""//..says that we all agree ..that ed was in control of all large and small at wmma..” ‘That’s in July 2012 Just before the subpoenas and locket telling me in a moment of honesty when ; I accused him of creating a phony SEC investigation that he stated ‘..it not me its Puccio and boy friend Mc farlane, who has juice with the Sec from Mitt Romney. And Mitts Caryle group! Macfarlane is behind the SECS' investigation and Puccio is his pawn, mole and go to gal..” that it was Mc Farlanes juice with the SEC and Terresa got the inside track because of him..”

20]They failed to disclose to the commissioners that the dishonest shareholder meeting took place and that they had agreed to collude and conspire against their own investment while officers of WMMA. They failed to disclose that half the investors' perjured themselves in their respective subscription' falsely warranting that they were accredited when in fact they were not. the 6 wmma investor/ perpetrators of a fraud against wmma, me and the other defendants they were officer and one a director Mr. Main, who lied pretending he was fired by WMMA when his resignation proves the oppsite SEE His Resignation SEC Ex 435 !..The enforcement division failed to demonstrate that the trustee who had the company before him found no wrong doing by me and that there was no perpetrated wrong doing by me and mr Agostini by the company against anyone as he read the ppms and declarations proving that..

21]THERE IS NO CONTEMPT BY EITHER MS.DASPIN AND OR EDWARD MICHAEL DASPIN, EXCEPT CONTEMPT BY MAR.MC GRTH OF THE SUPRME COURTS' ORDER TO DESTROY THE [REREMAND CASE FILES AND NOT USE ANY DOCUMENTS, FINDINGS DISCUSSED IN THAT PRIOR PROCEEDINGS.. IN THIS REGARD JUDGE MURRAY OVERSAW JUDGE GRIMES AND INSERTED JUDGE GRIMES INTO JUDGE FEOLAKS CASE ; WAS JUDGE MURRY WAS EXPOSED, FACILITATED HIS FINDINGS AND PARTICIPATED IN THE ADJUDICATION PROCESS AS IF SHE HAD THE FRONT ROW SEAT !JUST AS IN DUKA JUDGE MURRAY IS SO FAMILIAR WITH THE CASES BEFORE HER ADJLS THAT SHE KNOWS IN THE MIDDLE OF EACHES' CASE WHEN SHE OVER OR SHE UNDER BOOKED JUDGES IN THE MIDDLE OF CASES AS WITH MINE AND WITH DUKA TO THE EXTENT THAT SHE REASIGNS JUDGES WHEN ITS OBVIOUS BY THEIR ACTIONS THAT SHE REMOVES THOSE THAT DO NOT SEE IT HER WAY AND THEN SHE USES THE SCHEDULING POWER GIVEN HER TO PROVIDE JUSTICE IN AN ABUSE OF POWER AND DISCRETION

22]I cant' facilitate forcing my wife to comply with it and no certified jan 2/19 notice was sent to me let alone signed for MrMcGrath now wants this court to find me in contempt by alleging he sent a jan 2, email that he knows by no receipt given I did not open.. I NEVER HEARD OF A PROSECUTORS' EMAIL NOTICE MEANING A DEFENDANTS'

IN CONTEMPT! HOW NOVEL!! Judge it doesn't deserve anymore time to answer he did not prove his motion is valid as there was no contempt this jan 2nd letter email is not notice to me unless i received it and he would have certified the letter of jan 2. 2019, which he did not. that's not contempt that's baiting a contempt trap! His email was not read by me which i did not until after he called me on the 8th/jan 2019. If he wanted me to show he would have called me on the 3, 4, 5th

He waited in hiding until the day knowing no one would show as the shut down was and still is in effect!! My doctors emails represent my notice and the doctor invited whoever I sent the notice to [Mr. Mc Grath and this court] to call him if they had any further questions! No one called him. Now; in addition to a phony contempt motion; Mr. Mc Grath wants to disprove doctor Puzinos' letters contents by going backwards 2 years ago right into contempt and the verboten files that the division was to have destroyed, discarded and never to have brought up. If the court humors Mr. McGrath's obvious attempt to throw more crap than is already in; this case will go on for 2 more years and I and Joan will probably be long since gone. The Supreme courts' order that none of that crap be saved and or included and that this case be the fresh start for everyone had now been opened in a sneaky crafty way by his alleging contempt to then open the door to the prior SUPREME COURT voided case wherein Judge Grimes' findings and support for such finding are now reintroduced to cast aspersions' on my wives. Doctors to whom it may concern, if he doesn't want to accept it let the court appoint a geriatric board, certified as is Dr Puzino, doctor to visit her in the privacy of her home examine my wife and recommend what ever else we can do for her condition! It will be greatly appreciated. When all Mr. Mc. Grath had to do was bring his own expert to my home to test my wife in a peaceful environment that would not stress her out to prove that the court ordered subpoena was unduly burdensome served on a [REDACTED], who the courts' doctor may examine in the privacy of her home.. MC Grath's vindictive nature after breaking the settlement by inserting roadblocks he knew were insurmountable for me; absents forcing me to steel my wives' funds as her power of attorney!

23] MR MCGRATHS' ATTEMPTS TO HAVE ME INVADE MY WIFES' TRUST FELL ON DEAF EARS JUST AS HIS SUBORNATION ATTEMPT FOR MR. AGOSTINI TO FILE A FALSE DECLARATION ALLEGING THAT IT WAS MR. AGOSTINI THAT ASKED FOR THE SETTLEMENT, knowing that it WAS NOT TRUE AS EVIDENCED BY MR Mc GRATHS' EMAIL ASKING MR .AGOSTINI TO TAKE A DEAL Mr. Mc Grath offered him to settle that Mr. McGrath's email stated to Mr. Agostini that Mr. Agostini could not refuse!

24] Of course I would never stoop so low to cover my rear and since ; he knew that would be the only way for me to accomplish his goal for me to pay him 75 times more than he knew I had in my bank accounts. I can only conclude he wanted me to invade my wife's trust and that in and of itself is what we are dealing with. OR HE HAD NO INTENTIONS OF SETTLING WHEN WE WERE BEFORE YOUR HONOR SO IN BAD FAITH HE GREED ONCE I AGREED TO FORGIVE THE \$3,800,000.00 IN LEGAL RICO CLAIMS I HAVE AGAINST THE ENTERPRISES MEMBERS. He attempted to suborn Mr. Agostini's perjury, He omit material information and exculpatory information he had in his possession prior to submitting the WELLS LETTER AND THE COMPLAINT AND OIP; yet by non inclusion he defrauded the commissioners' to initiate the complaint. Defrauded the adjl to find what the evidence Mr. Mc Grath withheld clearly proves the falsity of the prior findings'; as Mr. McGrath using a phony contempt motion to violate the Supreme courts' order for a fresh start!; he also violated the intentions contained in the settlement we agreed to before your honor into a good faith settlement that we had resolved and that he obviously had no intentions to settle other than to con your honor into believing he was flexible.. That's the last thing he is as evidenced by his subsequent email stating that his review of the settlement transcript made it clear that he had not reached one.. Why would an honest man have to go to the transcript if he knew he had not settled!? Because he thought we settled in good faith; but wanted to see if the snakes he threw in as asides gave him the way to go either way he wanted to interpret it. I again ask this court to enforce it as it was supposed to be in good faith settled. Well I guess that is the reason that this court won't get a straight line to the RES. That settlement motion should be approved by this court finding that the bare bones we agreed on was the RES of the settlement as i gave up his only concerns the \$1,000,000.00 and the \$2,800,000.00 and informed him he had a deal! I gave them up as I wanted to eliminate anymore waste of my time to be able to spend the rest of my time with my wife. I ask that

this court permit Mr. MC Grath to email the transcript so I can discuss it intelligently and demonstrate when the offer [his concerns', as asked by the court, was his offer; when I accepted to eliminate his concerns was the acceptance. Thereafter there was no more negotiating as the deal was made all subsequent conversations was nothing more than an attempt to unseal the deal! We had already agreed to eliminate his 2 concerns. Therefore to provide this offer and acceptance proof that we had the agreements bare bones accepted as at that moment the parties had consummated the transactions. The rest was extraneous BS... If he wants to know where the money went I informed him her operating annual costs are about \$125,000.000.00 a year and in 4 years that's \$600,000.00! McGrath wants to deal in creating mystery and assuming everyone lies as that's his trademark to keep a soiled, old case on the books.. introducing old exhibits that besmirch a board certified internal medicine doctors simplistic to whom it may concern who is the senior internal medicine doctor for mid atlantic health care, the 50th best cardiac hospital group in the United states as per Newsweek.?.

25] This court did not demonstrate contempt for the Supreme courts' order albeit I did notice the court it does have conflicts of interest [s] as it was involved in the prior adjudication of Judge Grimes and opened the way for him to take the ball after the court rid Judge Feolak of the case by its creating a scheduling problem Sua Sponte [or for her own personal problem as the court left that open. But its not open by the Supreme court that they do not want anyone who was involved in the prior adjudication, as this court was as I repeatedly made prior submission 'before the Lucia findings' blaming this court for use of its powers' in a way that went against this defendants' rights' Judge Grimes bias against Judge Feolaks. This court specifically did not correct Judge Grimes' bias by dissolution of the postponement when judge Feolak found as fact that id be irreparably harmed if he did so as he forced me to testify by the dissolution and by so being biased against Judge Feolaks' order, in the face of her finding id be irreparably harmed if forced to testify, which prevails now more than 3 years ago and for which ive asked for a dismissal on the medical grounds, the interviewing loss of defendants material indispensable witness' that prevent this defendant from receiving a fair trial as those witness are fact witness and can contravene allegations in the complaint! Prior to Lucia's decision I accused this court of micro managing and manipulating the scheduling to obtain the Judge Grimes' knowingly biased results to my disadvantage and for this court to rule he was within his rights to violate, by his bias, when this court did not remediate the bias it let it foment and by doing so I suffered. Why would this court want to self nominate itself to hear the fresh start case when this court was enmeshed in the Judge Grimes selection, the eviction of Judge Feiolak and the Judge Feolaks' finding of fact that if he forced me to testify, which he did, by his dissolving judge Feolaks' postponement sine die order, that I would be irreparably harmed! yet this court just stood by and this courts participation in that case and the resultant that occurred as a result of this court involvement, even if innocently transpired; kept this courts' hooks' in the SEC VS Daspin case?. I believe your honor had a duty to correct any judges bias toward another judges finding of facts' by the dissolution of my protections' and failure to do so made this court an aider and abettor of that which was to follow, a default judgement against me ..

26] Mr. McGrath's recent contempt of the Supreme courts' order to violate the fresh start implicit in the Supreme courts' order as your honors notice to all of the 150 defendants perceptibly found was their intentions .. this defendant fresh start. I ask that this court either dismiss or vacate this case as my prior motions request and for those violations that Mr. McGrath has been emboldened to make before your honor, I believe he should be sanctioned. The U.S. Supreme courts' intentions were clear.. It granted all 150 defendats a fresh start because this court, the former commissioners those enforcement individuals that fraudulently induced the defendants to continue under threat of subpoena and/or contempt knowing that the former adjs were violaters' and that any show of power was just another fraudulent inducement that defendants better comply or else! Which led Judge Grimes to BIAS against Judge Feolaks protective order thereby harming me and my wife.. This courts involvement in this case is inconsistent with the supreme courts desire that I receive a fresh start and as this court was delegated this case by the commission this courts bias must be judicially noticed. and its ultimate default judgements' adjudication was submitted to this court as Judge Grimes superior and in your honors' role as chief Administrative and or Presiding Judge... Also your honor showed its hand when I asked it at the scheduling hearing to provide its orders as final orders so that I would not have to request emergent relief!

27]As in Lucia he requested relief when he understood that the In house was a violation of his rights by being forced to submit to an adjl a violater of article 2,the appointments clause ..I also see that by the Commissioners delegating their responsibilities to the adjls on each case they have biased each adjl as well as your honor against the defendants independent of the article 2violation and under the 1st amendment I submit to your honor my grievance that this proceeding is unconstitutional land violates the rules of ethical conduct as well as the SEC rules that no adjl shall be biased when rendering against a defendant and/or biased for the SEC and for its commissioners' initiative complaints. The 4/5and 14ammendmentand the 8ammendmentarebeingviolatedinhouseas a result of the Commisisoners' delegation of each of its cases to the adjls once accepted as this court has done this court is biased against me..Each adjl that accepted the delegation must find for the SEC ,unless by prearrangement to make the guilt innocent ratios when comparing them to the federal district court rations for SEC cases comparable if the commission or your honor as Chief administrative judge so decides..

28].Were it not that your honor wearing 2 hats' is also administrative Chief judge my SEC rights' the only place I can go is to the commissioners or the federal district court.. I beleive the order of preference is the Commissioners' first. IN HOPES THAT THEY WILL RESPND TOMYPRAYERS FOR RELEIFAS SO FAR THIS COURTHASNOTANSWEREDMOSTOFMYMOTIONSAS IT STATEDIT WOULD DO RIGHTAFTER THE SCHEDULINGMOTION WHICH WAS WASTED TIMES THE CORTSHOVED A SCHEDULEIINFORMEDITI DID NOT PHYSICALLYADORMENTALLY HAVE THE ENRGY TO MOVE THIS CASALOBG AFTER THECHRITMASOLIDAYSIN2MONTHSTIME.

29]I have no assistant's training in defending an action brought by fraudulent inducement by enforcements deliberate omissions of material facts in the Wells and complaint and I asked for a fresh start ;but was not only denied but denied by your honor knowing that your honor has un waivable conflicts of interests recited in all submission's' ive made to this court that has been intimately aware of the Grimes' Adjudication and his reviewing officer! By reference herein ,i attach them as part of this submission as if attached which i Mar McGrath is great at building upon straw assumptions' ' new paper assumptions' when the quickest way to make a finding is with a court approved independent doctor that i request the court retain a geriatric doctor to visit our home with the court, if it likes to see for itself the validity of the medical opinion ..I am not going to spend the next 3months on motion practice for my proposed lawyers' if this court finds a way for me to recover the litigation fund stolen from me by theft by fraud and deception that the Adjil were constitutionally authorized to hear my delegated case from the former commissioners' and under your honors' purview.

30]I ask that administrative law judge seek the consent of the commissioners' this chief so that i can defend if forced to and which as this court knows will cause me irreperabe harm,but I wont violte a courtorderunless restrained by events greater effectonmeandormyloveones. ,unless the court grants' my request for the divisions' assistance to present my defense within the time frames that i can and will achieve if granted. i will copy this declaration to the Commission as well as its prior commissioners' are also responsible for the theft of my litigation fund used upon a fake adjl who was not constitutionally authorized to hear my case as they were inferior officers; I require to my former litigation fund to be made whole. This court was also a responsible person and the conduit for the fake Adjil! The court knows' ;but for the fraudulent inducement that the former commissioners' perpetrated by delegating the HON.Carol Feolak thru your honor ,that i would still have my litigation fund of\$1,000,000.00 to defend this new fresh start hearing. .The reason that i informed your honor at the hearing that i could not meet the schedule that your honor proposed ,was not out of disrespect but to be forth right and to inform the court that its schedule must be flexible to accommodate defendants as well as the division for valid reasons' that I put forth on the record. The court at that time did not want to hear or heard it; but did not like the truth that i gave it. I remember when your honor gave Judge Grimes its permission for him to grant and extend the divisions' request to adjourn my prior case for 6 months going over the required year, under the rules either300 or360!! need that accommodation for the reasons' on the record and as herein motioned.

.31]I have and had given Mr.McGrath and his proposed doctor complete access at my wifes' home to prove to his own doctor my wifes' condition and its meaningless to attempt to discredit her doctor when Mr McGrath failed to have his own doctor call Dr.Puzino and or give a 2nd opinion not to a 2year old disagreement over evidence not relevant let him have the best test, his own doctor , to end this red herring....Let Mr. Mc Grath have his court ordered physician test my wife while not violating her doctors' orders! I wont argue it as im sure your honor will deal with it.as there has been no court contempt, since an email is not proof of service to me. That i never opened until i was called by Mr.McGrath on Jan 8th,2019!; although the subpoena served on jo an was served it was not understood and/or retained as her memory lasts 5 minutes and is gone [REDACTED],over a half hour, 5 times. I Invite the SEC to visit my home with a geriatric doctor to confirm her condition instead of casting doubt on her condition as Dr. Puzino advised in his letter! [REDACTED] and if this court believes that I have a duty to force her to attend when I have no such duty to force anyone to do anything and particularly against her doctors' orders' than it's a sad day in our judicial system. . For myself as explained that Mr. McGrath has a duty to have sent certified mail his jan2,209 notice if he wants to present a contempt case. Until then this court is requested to let DrPuzinos' letter[s] stand as good notice to the court and Mr.McGrath ; that despite his earlier letter of DEC27th,2018 to the effect that he would not move forward if the shutdown continued ,and it did I request that this court deny his motion in its entirety. By referring to my 2 prior declarations' in this reply to the enforcement motions' ,which I oppose in each and every request as burdensome, unduly burdensome and not necessary as this court did not find that I did not intend to participate only that I was trying to explain real issues . The doctors' letter made the subpoena request of me then impossible for me to attend in my current [REDACTED] and because service was not effectuated. .I believe Mr.Mc Graths' inability to pick up the phone until the day of the subpoena already called off by his Dec27th letter proves he wanted me to be contempt & in default as its obvious that he had anticipated our absence ,from Dr. Puzino[s] letter and had written the motion and its detailed 15 pages before the date contined on it and before his threat that he would take actions' against us. .It was a set up pure and simple.. Those 15 pages took over 2 days to prepare so you were sitting in await of and to facilitate the contempt motion you tried to facilitate by not calling me after I did not respond to his letter of Jan2,2019 nor his not certifying a change in plans by mail.

32]ITS ALL A BIG FARCE TO SET UP A TRAP FOR DEFAULT WHEN NON WAS INTENDED NOR DID IT EXIST.NOR DID HE GIVE LEGAL NOTICE EITHER BY PHONE AND OR CERTIFIED MAIL. HE KNEW I COULDN'T COME ,BUT HE WANTED TO MAKE A CONTEMPT CASE WHEN IN FACT HE PURPOSLY WHITHELD THE FORMAL NOTICE AND THEN YELLS"" CONTEMPT" .WHAT CONTEMPT??IT IS NOT THERE !HE ALTERED THE SUBPEONA DATE BY HIS DEC 27 TH NOTIICE ,THAT IF THE SHUTDOWN CONTINUED THERE WOULD BE NO DEPOSITION. THAN HE SENDS' AN EMAIL THAT DESPITE THE PRIOR NOTICE ITS ON BUT DOESN'T CALL, OR CERTIFY, THAT NEW NOTICE ;BUT NOW WANTS THIS COURT TO FIND CONTEMPT TO A JAN2,2109 LETTER EMAIL UNCERTIFIED AND WITH NO PHONE CALLS!! UNTILTHE DAY OF THE APPEARANCE IN THE OLD SUBPEONA WHICH HE MODIFIED BY HIS ATTACHED LETTER ! MODIFIED BY HIS ATTACHED DEC27,2018,LETTER CONTAINING THE SUBPEONA.HE SUPERCEDED YOUR HONORS SUBPEONA AND NOW WANTS HIS UNREGERSTED EMAIL OF JAN2,2019 TO BECOME THE RES OF A CONTEMPT CASE KNOWING THAT I DID NOT OPEN IT UNTIL AFTER HE CALLED ON THE 8TH.2019!!????

33]JUDICIAL NOTICE SHOULD BE TAKEN THAT THIS MAN ATTEMPTS TO SUBORN ' THE PERJURY OF OTHERS,EXCLUDES' EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE ,DOES NOT PROVIDE FULL DISCLOSURE TO THE COMMISIONERS' AND/OR THIS COURT AND THEM SETS TRAPS' FOR WITNESS' TO BE IN DEFAULT BY NOT CERTIFYING CHANGE OF PLANS AND NOT CALLING IN THE INTERIM WHEN HE RECEIVED NO RESPONSE BY ME TO HIS JAN2,19 EMAIL AND KNOWS IT WAS NOT OPENED BY ME!.HE THEN MAKES A DEAL BEFORE YOUR HONOR AND THEN TRASHES IT BY PUTTING A ROAD BLOCK NOT INTENDED AND /THATOR DISCUSSED.I DID STATE I WOULD PAY A THITH OF MY SS FOR 5 YEARS AS A GOOD WILL JESTURE OR TO THAT EFFECT.WHAT CAME BACK MADE THE SETTLEMENT WE AGREED ON A FRAUD ON ME AND THIS COURT..ITS DOWNRIGHT DISHONEST AND DISHEARTINING TO SEE A MAN IN FRONT OF THE TOP SEC JUDGE IN THE SEC AND CONSTITUTIONALLY APPOINTED, BE MADE A FOOL OF AND ME A TRIPLE FOOL FOR BELEIVING HIM IN THE FIRST PLACE!.HE JUDGE YOU'LL NEVER BE INDEPENDANT IF YOU LET HIM GET AWAY WITH THIS CHARADE.

HOWEVER ;THERE IS A REAL CONTEMPT BY, MR MC GRATH AND MR.OCONNELL; OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURTS'ORDER TO DISCARD THE PRIOR CASE FILE AND NOT SUBMIT PRIOR EVIDENCE AND FINDINGS ESPECIALLY. TO PRECLUDE THE"NEW"ADJL FROM THE DISEMINATION OF ANY OLD ADJUDICATION BAGGAGE.

34]MR .MCGRATH EVIDENTLY BELEIVES THAT IM GOING TO DEFEND THE JUDGE GRIMES FINDINGS' AND OR SUBJECT DR.PUZINO TO ABUSE ;WHEN I HAD INVITED MCGRATH TO BRING A GERIATRIC BOARD CERTIFIED DOCTOR TO HER HOME TO HELP HER BY DIAGNOSING WHAT SHE HAS THAT DOCTOR PUZINO ALREADY DIAGNOSED AS DID !! AT THE SAME TIME REPORTING WHAT ADDITIONAL MEDICATION SHE SHOULD TAKE AS SHE IS ON [REDACTED] NOW ;BUT I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS A SHOT COMING NEXT MONTH TO CURE IT FROM A CBS NEWS PRESS REALEASE FOR THE CURE NEXT MONTH! I RESENT MR. MCGRATHS' PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT.I INVITE HIM AND THIS COURT TO VISIT WITH THE DOCTOR!

35]IF you don't want to fix the settlement based on capability and prior settlements in this matter and if your honor wont address the motions' I submitted in my 1/8/19 declaration EX F DATING BACK TO August 2018!Its not me delaying the hearing but the agencies' self caused delays'. I DID SUBMIT TO EITHER MR KOLODNEY OR O'CONEL BY EMAIL THE LIST OF WITNESS CONTRARY TO MR MCGRATH ALLEGATION ,IT WAS SEVERAL WORDS TO THE EFFECT OF"ALL OF THE WMMA ASOCIATED PERSONS".TO INCLUDE ALL WMMA AFFILIATED PERSONS.OR SOMETHING GENERAL LIKE THAT. 'MR MCGRATH IS WRONG THAT I AM NOT PREPARED TO FOLLOW THRU ,AS I AM AND IWILL NOT LET HIS SET UPS TO FIND ME IN CONTEMPT CAUSEA DEFAULT IF I CAN HELP IT AND IF I CANT THEN ITS NOT A DEFAULT AS I CAN ONLY DO WHAT I CAN DO ON A CERTAIN DAY AND IF IM NOT CAPABLE THAT'S NOT A CRIME; MUCH A MAR.MC GRATH WOULD LIKE IT TO BE.AT 80 YEARS OLD AND IN ONE MORE MONTH 81;IVE LIVED MY LIFE AND I AM NOT AFRAID OF ANYTHING AND OR ANYON EAS EVERY DAY THE GOOD LORD GIVES ME AND JOAN ISA BLESSING AND ONE THAT MAY BE SWEEP AWAY IN A MINUTE AS THE GOOD LORD DESIRES. BUT IF I DON'T RECEIVE MY\$1,000,000.00 FUND THEN I NEED THE ASSITANCE SO THAT I CAN TRY TO HOLD MY OWN UNDER MY PROTEST; AS IF FORCED TO GO FOREWARD BY ORDER OF THIS COURT I WILL DO THE BEST OF MY BILITY AND BASED ON [REDACTED] ISSUES WE SHALL TRY TO ACCOMADATE THIS COURT AS IT DECLARED IT WOULD DO THE SAME.I WILL CONTINUE TO HONOR ORDERS AS BEST AS WE CAN AS REGARDLES OF MY IMPRESSIONS' AS CONTAINED HEARIN,AND BEFORE I RESPECT THE COURTS'ACHEIVEMENTS AND KNOW THAT THE COUNTRY IS INDEBTED FOR THIS COURTS' ADMINIATRAION OF ONE OF OUR MOST IMPORTANT AGENCYC ;ITS JUST THAT DIRECTING ALL THAT SUPER POWER AT INNOCENT[S] LIKE MR.LUX,MR.AGOSTNI AND ME WAS SUCH A WASTE OF TIME AND MONEY WHICH MR.MC GRATH KNEW FROM THE BEGINNIG AS HE WAS INFORMED BY ME THE BURN RATE OF THE CASH FLOWS AND IF HE USES THE 5 FINGERS ON HIS HANDS I CAN ASSURE HIM THE EROSION HE SPEAKS OF IS THE NORMAL EXPENSES OF THE HOUSHOLD TO RUN IT.NONEWAS HIDDEN AWAY.ILLTAKEA LIE DETECTOR ON THAT ANYTIME!MR MC GRATH HAS NO ECONOMIC REASON TO FURTHER WASTE THE COUNTRIES MONEYAND MY TIME AND HEALTH.SO FAR IT MUST BE OVER \$1,750,000.00 WITH ALLOCABLE GOVERNMENT OVERHEAD,THERE IS NO SMOKING GUN LEFT FOR HIM TO HIDE UNDER TO SUBSTANTIATE THIS WHITCH HUNT.I FEEL LIKE OUR PRESIDENT AS THERE ALSO WAS NO COLLUSSION AND OR CONSPIRACY EXCEPTON THE PLAINTIFFS AND NEWCO ENTERPRISE MEMBERS SIDE..WHY DON'T YOUR HONOR END THIS TRAGEDY BY YOUR OWN POWER AS ITS TIME TO SAVE MONEYAND REALLOCATE YOUR RESCOURCES ON OTHER MORE PROFITABE PERSUATS.!UNLESS THE COURT LIKESMYCOMPANYANDIN THAT EVENT WE DO NOTNEED TO MAKE IT A LAWSUIT,JUST ACCEPTING MY INVITTION AND BRINGING YOUR DOCTOR ALONG.JUDGE

36] THE COURT AND MR MC GRATH AS INDIVIDUALS WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY IRREPERABLE HARM INCURED BY ME AND MY FAMILY AS I AGAIN REFER TO A FINDING OF FACT ABOUT MY BAD HEALTH THAT IF I AM FORCED BY THIS COURTS ORDERS THAT CREATES STRESS TO/ON ME AND WHICH WILL IRREPERABLY HARM ME AS JUDGE FEOLAKS'FINDING'!IM OVER OUR AVERAGE LIFE EXPEC TENCY NOW SO ANY PUSHING COULD BRING ME OVER THE TOP AND THAT WOULD DEMONSTRATE THAT OUR EXECUTIVE BRANCH DOESN'T CARE ANDI KNOW HE DOES.[But in my mind I liken this cases pursuit to the \$800.00 toilet roll holder that our Navy brought 20 years' ago only1000 times worse..its a blatant misuse of our tax dollars and you both know it is true..]TO PUSH ME TO

TESTIFY WILL IRREPERABLY HARM ME AS A RESULT OF MY [REDACTED] IF ANY VISIT TO MY HOME HARMS MY WIFE IN ANY WAY EXCEPT FOR A 2ND OPINION AS IVE INVITED YOU TO DO. FOR SUCH DAMAGE AS THAT FINDING OF FACT DID NOT GO AWAY NOR WAS IT RESPECTED BY JUDGE GRIMES'THEJUDGEYOURHONORPLAYEDMUSICALCHAIRSWITH WHEN THIS COURT WAS NOT HAPPY WITH JUDGE FEOLAKS DECISION AND FINDING. AND WE WILL KNOW IF YOUR HONOR RESPECTS DISABLING EVENTS AS IF THE LAST 2 SETTLEMENTS MR MCGRATH MADE DEMONSTRATE THE SCALE OF IMPORTANCE THAT THIS NO ASSET CASE HAD AND NOW ITS ONLY IMPORTANCE IS TO FINISH ME OFF AS THE COMMISSIONERS DELEGATION OF WHAT IT WANTS DONE.,UNESS [REDACTED] AND THEN WE WILL HAVE TO ADJOURN,OR IF I HAVE ANOTHER CARDIAC EVENT ,AS I HAD WHEN MR MC GRATH ATTENDED THE LAST DEPOSITION IN MY DOCTORS OFFICE

37].AS FAR AS THE WITNESS THAT MAR MCGRATH ALLUDED TO I WANT THEM AS HEINABOVE AND BELOW REFFERED TO AS HEARIN I WANT AS WITNESS' ALL THE INTERVEIERS' THAT COLLABERATED ON THE BRADY[I KNOW THAT LIST EXISTS AS IT WAS SENT TO US BY ENFORCEMENT AND I DON'T SE IT ON THE SEC DISC.AND EACHES'NOTES OF EACH INTERVEIW ,THE DATE OF IT AND WHEN IT WAS PROVIDED AND ANY TAPES TO THE DEBRIFING AS MR MCGRATH ATTEMPTED TO SUBORN THE PERJURY OF MR AGOSTINI AND M R.NWUGUGU ACCUSED THE DIVISION OF MEDDLING WITH HISAND THE BRADY DISCLOSURES; WETHER BY FRAUD AND/OR GROSS NEGLIGENCE WAS HIS WORDS' SO IM ENTITLED TO KNOW WHAT IM UP AGAINST AN TO USE ON CROSS EXAMINATIONABDORDIRECTASIWANT THE EXPLICITRGHT TO CALLTHESAMEWITNESS ASENFOCEMENTUSES ANDAS AHOSTILEWITNESS DEPENDING ON THE PLAINTIFFS CASE IN THE INVESTIGATIVE DECISION AND MR BURT . I WANT ALL PERSONS HAVING FIRST,2ND &THIRD CONTACT WITH MR MCFARLANE AT THIS AGENCY AND EACH OF THE OTHER INVESTORS WMMA/DIOPERTORS AND HIM AS WELL AS BARRY JERRYL AND WAYNE CRAIG,MONICA PETTY AND HER COMPLETE EMAIL CHAIN WITH MR MCFARLANE AND FROM MC FARLANE AS WELL; BUT REQUIRE ASISTANCE FROM OR MONEY TO OBTAIN A LAWYER OR AGENCYS' INVESTIGATORS' THAT LED THE INVESTIGATION ON THIS CASE AND PARTICIPATED IN THE BRADY INTERVEIWS' AND FIRST CONTACT WITH MC FARLANE AND MR MC FARLANE BY WHOM AND WHEN AND UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES' THE AGENCYS' INDIVIDUAL [S] TO ASSIST ME COULD BE OCONNELL OR KOLODNY TO SERVE AS EXHIBIT SET UP PERSON ACCESSING THE SEC AND MY BATES STAMPED DISC[S] SO THAT AS I ASK FOR AN EXHBIT DURING DIRECT AND OR CROSS AND WITH A COPY IN 5 PARTS' SO THE COURT,PROSECUTOR,WITNESS,MYSELF AND FOR AN ADDITIONAL COPY TO BE USED FOR OTHER WITNESS UNLESS THE COURT HAS A SCREEN FOR ALL PARTIES PRESENT TO SEE.

.38]I AM ENTITLED TO THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS TO THEM THAT THE PLAINTIFS' WITNESS' HAVE TESTIFIED TO AND /OR BEEN DEBRIEFED AND TAKEN FROM ANY SEC EMPLOYEE, ADJL AND OR ANY STENOGRAPHIC DEBRIEFINGS AND OR TRANSCRIPT FROM ANY OF THE SEC WITNESS IN ANY OF THE RELATED CASES INCLUDING THE CHAP 11 AND WAYNE CRAIG LOSS IN FRONT OF THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT AND HIS PLEADINGS' AND ANSWERS TAKEN.THEN ILL BE READY AFTER MY NERVE GMAGEISNRALIZEDBYA PROCEDURE.TIS STARTING TOMIMPROVEBASEDONTHEHOT TUBS SO IF THE IMPROVEMENT CONTINUES BY THEENDOF THIS WEEK I SHOULD BE OUT OF MOST OF THE PAIN AND IF NOT ILL GET THE PROCEEDURE AND EPIDURAL INJECTION OF STEROIDS' AND NOVOCINE INTO MY BACKS VERTIBRAE..REPORT AND WHEN IT WILL BE GONE SO I CAN FOCUS..AND SUBJECT TO MY RIGHT TO QUESTION THE PLAINTIFFS'WITNSS AND THOSE THEY REFER TO THAT THE PLAINTIFF USES, ALL MS'PUCCIO DEBRIEFINGS IN ADDITION TO THE BRADY DISCLOSURES AND IF AFTER THE BRADY AND OR BEFORE THERE WAS ANY EXCEPTED DEPRIEFINGS AND THEY WERE EXCEMPTED BY THE SEC FROM THEIR WITNESS IN THE INTERIM TO THEM..I WILL NEED THE LIST OF SEC PERSONS THAT INTERVEIWED THE WITNESS'AND THE INVESTORS' WHICH I HAD SEEN THAT LIST AND I NEED IT TO REVEIW AND MY ABILTY TO CALL ON THEM AS POTENTILLY HOSTILE WITNESS.'

39]I discredit each investor so that the court learns it is their incompetence not my alleged control as that's/ theWMMA BOARDS POWERANDALWAYS WAS.I never voted on the board nor asked any member to vote I just gave information I had on any questions they needed from me.A consultants job never ends. They ruined their

and my capitalized investments. The details of the deal and number of shares he paid and sold shares he received and bond agreements with him requiring him to certify he would not patriate with any asset or stock purchaser. His Carlyle and Blackstone investors that he allege had committed to invest subject to his Wayne Craigs complaint and our submissions' ditto Monica Petty ditto WMMA Chap 11, ditto Heisteramp, The WMMA bankruptcy as well as the Dishonest shareholders meeting and MS Puccios emails from 12/5/11-12/12/11 emails re Mr Sullivan and Brejedekian, Mr, McFarlane's 2 President of WMMA signed contracts with in demand and with bell canada.. prove conclusively who operated the company when it lost \$1,250,000.00! Not me. That there was no wrongdoing at WMMA other than Mr. Nwugugu's mistake in calling the Reg D as it had no audited financials so all investors permitted had to be to be accreted to be accepted had to be accredited investors. .BIG deal.!

40] Mr Mc Grath disguised WMMA'S mission fabricating that its mission was to be milked of its assets by me. That is not only untrue but the reverse is true as the financials' Mr Shpanka ESQ submitted in a 6 part exhibit proves where \$500,000.00 of the \$740,000.00 went as board of directors' approved repayment to my wife for her loans, her advances from the Amex bills approved by the board as valid expenses and the startup cost. [The remaining \$240,000.00 was paid to MKMA and on a FIFO GAAP accounting should have been booked as payment for the hourly charges in the service contract and not allocated against Hr fees, but in WMMA'S books and due to the fact that Mr. Agostini wanted to book the revenue and pay Mr. Burnham for his requiring of employees I had no problem with his accounting it as H/R fees as that's where the money to pay the MKMA hourly accruals on MKMA'S accounting for the first 3.5 months of 2011. No investors invested any WMMA money until August of 2011; so when the equity came in the contract provides 10% to be allocated to pay the deferred hourly services. Non of the success fees were paid to MKMA as on an hourly basis on a FIFO accrual basis, the hours for the first half of 2011 were only to be paid first and allocated against its payment. ;but since I directly and/or indirectly was responsible for inputting into WMMA./WDI \$4,250,000,000.00 by me [the reverse is true and MKMA AND MY WIFE WERE BEING MILKED BY WMMA WMMAS TILLOWS MKMA \$2,250,000.00! We did not mind giving it credit. He disguised WMMA'S MISSION AS IF IT WAS TO MILK ITS ASSETS FOR THE DEFENDANTS BENEFIT WHEN IN FACT IT WAS THE DEFENDANTS' AND THEIR COMPANYS AND FAMILY MEMBERS THAT INVESTED CAPITAL OF \$250,000.00 into WMMA

41] Mr McGrath flaunted untruths contravened by the facts and inserted them in his disingenuous complaint and if I'm given time to reply with exhibits to the complaints' allegations' which as a one armed paper hanger will take me 3 solid months I'll be able to disprove with exhibits and the pages contained therein {+/-} all allegations in the complaint except allegations that the Newco McFarlane members' alleged that they were at meetings were I said this and/or that; but the subscription agreements' investor warrants' that each investor read the PPM which it was a part of SEE SEC EX 1. that PPM on page 3 states that the company does not authorize nor should anyone rely on any information that is not contained in the PPM making any alleged group meetings of no effect. If this court can give me an accommodations' on time I believe I can obtain this court's consent to trash this case. If the court does not have the time to review the submissions as we are in the 5th's month since I sent the court copy's' of motions for vacating this case and that's still valid as enforcement failure to refute the facts enumerated therein and which they acknowledged receiving and did not deny the facts presented therein, was their admission that each and every fact contained therein is the strong inference of its truth as a fact. But illness and or any problems will extend that period. I'm not a law firm and do not intend to rollover dead; but if I'm not given the time to do the job and the assistance then I will need my lawyers to defend me and I ask for the money to do so.

.42] MR McGrath went thru a hearing and its taped if I get it to be able to respond to his refusal to finish the settlement. Till respond with exhibits faster than without them to prove the falsity of each and every plaintiff witness is all allegation's and fictitious complaint allegations. They are all contrived by omissions' of fact, factual allegations' that are not facts but fiction compiled by very fertile fiction writers minds. Such false statements was made throughout the complaint but the proof lies in 2300 bates stamped exhibits I created and the SEC files. If your honor does not want to reimburse me all I need is the administrative and legal supports' as at 80, with my material witness unavailable!, I'll need the exhibits and the divisions assistance to pull documents I refer to in my

cross and or direct of Mr. Agostini and Mr. Lux, 2 of the three WMMA BOARD OF DIRECTORS' as Mr. Main lost his credibility in his declarations in the WMMA CHAPTER ;11. As this court is aware I assert that the Civil Rico statutes are applicable here and that there were separate but yet collaborated to get me on this matter which as a result commenced about 5/1-/12. With Mr. Wayne's disingenuous allegations' against WMMA myself and Mr. Agostini only to seek relief in what ended up, the federal district court is the judge dismissed it with prejudice in our favor; proving that WMMA was harmed by the WMMA investors Newco conspiracy to put us out of business, to weaken my negotiating position to protect WMMA vs McFarlane and Newco. The dishonest tape demonstrates all the little investors' attempts to weaken me so that McFarlane obtain WMMA on the cheap WLLS reply [SEE dishonest meeting EX A to defendants' reply and search for "On the cheap". CAN YOUR HONOR BELIEVE WHAT WE ARE DEALING WITH! THEY, THE WMMA INVESTOR. OPERATORS WANTED TO PUT MCFARLANE IN POSITION OF POWER AGAINST THEIR OWN COMPANY INSTEAD OF HELPING ME HELP THEM [and Mr. Agostini and myself..] MCFARLANE WAS CORRECT IN INFORMING MONICA THAT HE INTENDED TO DUMP THEM AFTER THEY GAVE HIM THE KEYS TO THE MAGIC KINGDOM BY COERCING ME! ITS UNBELIEVABLE WHAT MONEY DOES TO THE MINDS OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE NO MORALS' ISN'T IT AND MS. PUCCIO IS THE LEADER OF THE CONSPIRACY. SHE WENT SO FAR AS STATING SHE WOULD SIGN HER NAME TO IT. IE; A LETTER FROM THE WMMA 6 INVESTORS TO THE WMMA BOARD TO FIRE MKMA [AND OF COURSE ITS CONSULTANT ME.]. THE WMMA OFFICERS FIGHTING FOR THEM AS WMMA INVESTORS AND STILL WMMA OFFICERS. !THIS IS THE CASE MCGRATH PUT INTO THIS AGENCY! ITS A DEMENTED GROUP OF OFFICERS SHOOTING DOWN THEIR OWN INVESTMENT WHILE INSIDE WMMA?. WHO COULD DEFEND THIS "ET TU BRUTA". position with McFarlane.. That's the role of the WMMA investors participating to depress WMMA's value WHILE THEY ARE STILL WMMA OFFICERS AND OWN THE WMMA PREFERRED AND ITS WARRENTS FOR ADDITIONAL COMMON SHARES.!!!! That enterprise joined the McGrath Prosecutor enterprise which were assisted,

43] Mr. McGrath's dissertation about my alleged disrespect is untrue, as had I been in contempt this court has the power to lock me up but as I can't afford a nurse and would not [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED] she would need the same accommodations'. I'm serious. Judge he is making this a circus. I was trying to inform the court that if it did not change the schedule it might as well default me as I can't make the times the court has set for the hearing and I require either the assistance of the division for document recall of their own and my witnesses' or my litigation fund back! When I was well on the phone with your honor I wanted the court to know I'm no longer qualified to represent myself as prose without the staff assistance for direct and cross and re-direct. I submitted and copied your honor in August [SEE my declaration of 1/8/19 for the chronological submissions] EX F. I ask your honor to learn the case by the courts' review of the EXF submissions' and to rule on them prior to entertaining any other enforcement motions' as they have priority over 5 month old matters than I respectfully request that your honor order that the schedule that I could not approve be modified to meet this defendant's needs and to accommodate me.. I'm not a spring chicken and this case will end up vacated or dismissed your honor for the reasons stated in the EXF submissions' and this declaration. I need a real lawyer who is paid from the litigation fund that I was defrauded to use by members of this agency and that the time for this hearing be moved out for about 6 more months, not to violate rules 300 and/or 360 as this case is being made out of enforcements' raising disingenuous issues that the supreme court ordered not to be pursued and Mr. McGrath and even the commissioners must obey the law as the U.S Supreme court ordered it in Lucia; they spanked your hands' the other adjls and the commissioners' and its enforcement division' when it was enforcements' sophistry that caused me to believe that the Adjls were the real deal

44] I WILL NOT PARTICIPATE IN ANY PROCEEDING VIOLATING THE LETTER AND INTENTIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.. I WILL NOT ATTEND AND THIS COURT SHOULD NOT PERMIT THE CONTEMPT OF THE ORDER OF YOUR HONORS' SUPERIORS.. I KNOW THIS COURT HAS RESPECT FOR AUTHORITY AS IT DISCHES IT OUT ON A DAILY BASIS THINKING OF WHAT THE JUSTICES' WOULD THINK BY THIS EMBARRASSING SITUATION IF HE TRIES TO INTRODUCE ANY OF THAT OLD CRAP.. AS HE HAS VIOLATED THE SUPREME COURT'S ORDER AND IN SO DOING JEOPARDIZED MY CASE, MORE THAN IT WAS PRIOR TO HIS INSULTING 15 PAGE MOTION OBVIOUSLY PREPARED LONG BEFORE HIS NOTICE IF MY WIFE DID NOT VISIT HIM HE WOULD TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTIONS.. YOUR HONOR

MUST PRETEND MY WIFE IN A HOSPITAL AS THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I'M USING HER HOME FOR HER TO HAVE PEACE AND UNDISTURBED HAPPINESS WITH ME AND MAX AND ABIGAIL OUR 2 GOLDENS;

45] Mr. Nwugugu goes so far as to explain that 3 bankruptcy judges in three different jurisdictions found nothing wrong with the service agreement and the fees contained therein as Judge Gambardella and her trustee saw no wrongdoings by ME and or Mr. Agostini and/or Mar. LUX's' administration.. The judge agreed and by dismissing the WMMA case before her and sending WMMA to me and Mar. Agostini, she has in effect ruled that the service fee document the SEC accused me of co-preparing with Mr. Nwugugu allegedly to disguise investment banking fees by calling them in the contract human resources' fees has left earth as I never heard of an investment banking fee that recites its to be calculated at 25% of the first years compensation!! And not a percentage of the investment at all, just a % of the employee compensation??! It's a smoke screen from an Indian on cocaine! As are the entire OIP allegations.! Judicial notice should be taken that a federal bankruptcy court found no wrongdoing in WMMA .

.46]. The extent that this division has gone to make a case alleging that the H/R fees are a disguise for investment banking fees'; and then taking that as if correct [which the division has Mr. Nwugugu's recantation of what he states are true. In Mr. Nwugugu's 'recantations' of his Brady and in his answers to the complaint as if he were a defendant wherein he admits he prepared the MKMA/CBI/WMMA contract and then enforcement, knowing this alleges that I was its co-author and that I received investment banking fees' without license should be put in jail. McGrath makes bold faced lies contravened by the facts and disregard those facts and build upon the false facts' that Mr. McGrath rigged as if they were true. Then he uses them to deduce that I did not have an investment bank license knowing that his basis is his own concocted Human Resources contracts' fee calculation based on the compensation of the employee and not on the amount of his investments. Mr. Lux's' deposition also informed Mr. McGrath that the h/R fees were based on 25% of the employees' compensation and not on the amount of the investment. Mr. Lux was the only CEO and he knew the pay per view business and industry more than 90% of the CEOs in that industry the internet and cable marketing pay per view industry.. He is the KING as Playboy.' Former President and national Geos' Sr Vp marketing. The man's' credentials are spotless in that regard. Just as Greg Lange a Harvard MBA and VP finance at ABC Sports with Rune Arledge and with Howard Cosell and the Mohamed Ali fights prove his prior background, he fits in with WMMA.. But in his dialogue in the dishonest shareholder meeting and conspiracy to collude and participate with the Newco enterprise against his own company and while an officer of WMMA Mr. Lange informs the group that their agreeing to back Mr. McFarlane against the company he was still an officer of "WAS THE WAY ITS DONE.."

47] This case turns on who said what to who and who was and or was not present and who said what to whom?? This has become obsolete and I herewith motion again that it be dismissed for my inability to bring factual witness that were material and indispensable to be contravene the plaintiffs witness to the plaintiffs' witness as all they will do is continue on perjuring themselves as the SEC exhibits' I'm referring to indicate that is what they have been mentored to do. The Chap 11 declarations 'of Mar Main declaring he was fired when he resigned [SEC Ex 435!], Mr. McFarlane [Declared he was not President of WMMA I proved to the contrary that he was. [see EX 467 as referred to in my opposition to his declaration in opposition to my motion for the court to dismiss the WMMA Chapter 11. Wherein the Trustee appointed by the court stated : [SEE His Declaration SEC EX 456]] after a thorough investigation he found no wrong doing by me and there was no need to continue. He recommended a dismissal, Mr. Sullivan and Main again perjured himself by his corroboration of his presence when I allegedly directed Sullivan to do the wrong thing and to defraud the IRS and WMMA; that was their was their clear intentions' to prove to the court that I'm not a fit steward to operate the Debtor and therefore they all pled that the court should not dismiss the chap 11 which would have resulted in a Newco, McFarlane plan of reorganization. They also perjured themselves in their declarations by alleging with Mr. Main perjurious declaration attesting to his presence when the alleged directive was made alleging that I directed Mr Sullivan not to file a WMMA 1099 against MKMA as if I wanted him to defraud WMMA and the IRS! ,If the court review EX A to defendant's response to the Wells submission and looks up Price Water and KPMG in the directory in the rear of Ex a the court will see that a year before the falsly declared statement that I directed him they independently knew" that WMMA was in

the clear;" yet they postured their respective bankruptcy declarations' and omitted those material facts! They committed a fraud on the court to make it appear that i wanted him to commit a fraudulent act against WMMA and the IRS! The dishonest tape is Ex A to the defendants reply Breif on the Wells letter! See also in that EX A Pg 17; In the conspiracy wherein TERRESA[MS PUCCIO] and this cases' SEC whistleblower ;she quotes[L-2-L-4]"BILL "[Mc farlane]says' L6-L10 ..we should.." say ed controls everything large and small". Mr.Berjedekians' declaration that I directed Sullivan also omitted the material information that proved that if i did direct him and I had no authority over any wmma employee as their respective employment contracts disclose that i was a mkma employee working as their company's 'consultant with no power to bind WMMA or to direct any of its officers.As its in the contract with mkma and wmma!

48]These above exhibits are all the wmma investor operators' violations' under oath of the subscription warrantees ,and half of the WMMA investors', the SEC admits perjured themselves that they were accredited when the SEC owns up to their violation of the oath the SEC states they lied under the subscription warrantee oath that they were accredited when they were not. .that also informed each in that subscription agreement that if they lied about being accredited they would be participating in causing WMMA irreparable Harm. There would be no SEC INVOLVEMENT if they were all accredited they all warranted! as the REGD was in actuality a REG C ,but it escaped Mr.Nwugugus fertile like mind..Everyone makes mistakes; but not to know that since there were no audited financials in the REG 506filing meant that he should have used the REG C as no one as no none accredited persons were to be investor operators. They would not have been permitted so that is the reason they lied! to be permitted to invest! it as a REG C as each investor warranted they were accredited !.However the SEC passed over it as they stamped the PPMS submitted without any audit.. The SEC failed to do its job as if they received a PPM they should read it for glaring errors .I have no knowledge in the Reg c or reg d until this lawsuit. Unfortunately; over 7 of my material indispensable witness are either dead, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]?!O The years of delay because of the SECs' issues has a large impact on our memories' and im at a disadvantage just by that fact on [REDACTED] [REDACTED] The time is the agency's' fault! Had they not cheated we would not be here.

49]Had Mr. Mc Grath not opposed my motion for a TRO when I submitted an OCS for the agency to permit my case to be litigated in Federal district court which was the reason for my filing in federal court .We would have saved 4years and enforcement would have admitted that they were selecting the agency's inhouse because the adjs were inferior officers and therefore fixed against defendants' as inferior officers report to the Commissioners that initiated the law suit ;and worse, they are delegated to put on the those of the delegator ! Had enforcement not opposed my motion for them to file my case in the district court we wouldn't be here.. We would be out of this case. But enforcement did not inform the federal judge sitting on emergent matters that day, nor did enforcement care to disclose the mandate that the new rules of jurisdiction mandated it be in federal district court for all defendants' that sought emergent relief and tros' and oscs' for [REDACTED]! All they did was point to Dodd Franks' divisions; right to select jurisdiction; but they even hid to explain to the judge that the commission new rules at that time mandated that any requirement for relief attributable to [REDACTED] and emergent orders be exclusive jurisdiction and the district court judge hear the division exparte and before we could adequately respond she rules issuing the fact that the action had not yet been filed so that there was nothing to retrain. Of course had she known the mandate she might have been convinced to state that they should file it in the district if they intended to file it as that's where the jurisdiction was mandated show cause why they should not do so. But it was a foreclosed decision and the responsible parties for the decisions' loss of credibility will eventually fess up if mandated to federal district court which was my reason for seeking that courts intervention ..In other words in my case I have justice on my side.

50]The burden falls' down to the agency to show cause why they should not have submitted [instead they omitted' material information to the defendants ,why they covered up the constitutional violation when they were prepared to do it in 2008 had all consents for signature but withheld it ??and failed to take advantage of the constitutionality of the article2 appointment to the detriment of this defendant. Also we have the fact that by

delegation, by the commissioners' delegate the commissioners' case to the Adjl who by accepting that delegation must presume that the commissioners' action of initiating the complaint and its allegations' requires the delegatee to take the side and mindset of the delegator! The adjls' acceptance of the case and it fiduciary to the commissioners; has biased them in opposition of the defendants' position as it is the emissary job description ,if you will permit that analogy to fulfill the initiatives that the delegator describes in the complaint. IN effect accepting the position of the commissioners' enforcement divisions' Breif as if coming from the commissioner as well as the bias created by the acceptance of the delegation to each adjl at the time they accept the case from the commissioners' .

Respectfully;

delegation, by the commissioners' delegate the commissioners' case to the Adjl who by accepting that delegation must presume that the commissioners' action of initiating the complaint and its allegations' requires the delegatee to take the side and mindset of the delegator! The adjls' acceptance of the case and it fiduciary to the commissioners; has biased them in opposition of the defendants' position as it is the emissary job description ,if you will permit that analogy to fulfill the initiatives that the delegator describes in the complaint. IN effect accepting the position of the commissioners' enforcement divisions' Breif as if coming from the commissioner as well as the bias created by the acceptance of the delegation to each adjl at the time they accept the case from the commissioners' .

Respectfully;

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Edward M. Daspin". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned below the "Respectfully;" text.

Edward M. Daspin

case number 3-16509AT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ON 1/14/18 I SERVICED UPS TO REMIT THIS SERVICE EDWARD M DASPIN_____

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES. THE HONORABLE DONALD J TRUMP

THE VICE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES THE HONORABLE MICHAEL PENCE

THE HONORABLE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE, PAUL RYAN

MR FIELD OR THE COMMISSIONERS (3 COPIES)

MS SHIELDS (1 COPY FOR THE JUDGE BRENDA MURRAY;

MR MCGRATH, MR KOLODNY, MR O'CONNELL, MR SHAPANKA, MR AGOSITINI, MR LUX, MR L CHESTER MAY FOR MKMA & ME
FOR CBI, MR LUIGI AGOSTINI (CORPORATE STAFF, MR GARY KRENSEL CORPORATE STAFF)

Handwritten signature of Edward M. Daspin in black ink, with a circled 'R' to the right of the signature.