ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING FILE NO. 3-16462

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION



In the Matter of

LYNN TILTON;
PATRIARCH PARTNERS, LLC;
PATRIARCH PARTNERS VIII, LLC;
PATRIARCH PARTNERS XIV, LLC;
AND
PATRIARCH PARTNERS XV, LLC,

Respondents.

#### **DECLARATION OF BRENT S. MITCHELL**

I, Brent S. Mitchell, declare, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1746, as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney in the Complex Financial Instruments Unit in the Division of Enforcement ("Division") at the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- 2. This declaration is submitted in response to the administrative law judge's order directing the Office of Litigation and Administrative Practice (OLAP) to file a declaration and amended privilege log. *In the Matter of Tilton*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 4314 (Oct. 31, 2016).
- 4. The Commission instituted the above-captioned proceeding by an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP) on March 30, 2015. The OIP alleges that Respondents violated antifraud provisions of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 in their operation of three collateral loan obligation funds (known as the Zohar Funds) by reporting misleading values for the assets held by the funds and failing to disclose a conflict of interest arising from Lynn Tilton's undisclosed approach to categorization of these assets.
- 5. Along with certain other Division staff members, I was designated by the Commission as an officer of the Commission for the purpose of conducting the investigation of Respondents' conduct. My statements herein are based on my personal knowledge of the investigation, my review of the relevant documents in this matter, as well as information provided to me by other staff members assigned to this case.

- On September 1, 2016, the administrative law judge issued two subpoenas requested by 6. Respondents. In the Matter of Tilton, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 4116 (Sept. 9, 2016). The first subpoena (the "First Request") related to the Commission's Rules of Practice and the second (the "Second Request") related to communications or meetings between Commission personnel and certain third parties. Id. On September 6, 2016, Respondents served the subpoenas on the Commission. On October 17, 2016, Respondents filed a Motion to Compel the Office of Litigation and Adminsitrative Practice to Produce Documents Responsive to Respondents' Subpoenas. On October 18, 2016, the administrative law judge issued an order directing OLAP to submit for in camera review no later than noon on October 21, 2016, the documents listed on the privilege log and an explanation as to how the privileges asserted apply to each withheld document. In the Matter of Tilton, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 4274 (Oct. 18, 2016). On October 21, 2016, OLAP submitted for in camera review the withheld documents and a verified explanation of the privileges asserted. On October 31, 2016, the administrative law judge issued an order directing OLAP to file and serve on Respondents by November 3, 2016, an amended privilege log and a declaration supporting those governmental privileges with an explanation delineating the declarant's authority to invoke them. In the Matter of Tilton, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 4314 (Oct. 31, 2016).
- 7. In response to the direction from the administrative law judge, OLAP has prepared an amended privilege log listing those documents that are responsive to those Requests upon which a claim of privilege is asserted. I have reviewed each of those documents.
- 8. The law enforcement privilege is asserted for Documents 2 through 11 and 13 through 113 as identified on the amended privilege log attached hereto as Exhibit 1. These documents fall into three major categories. First, documents 2-11 consist of communications between Division staff and staff at the Policy and Statutory Enforcement Unit ("PSEU") of the Department of Justice ("DOJ") related to granting immunity to an individual who was being interviewed during the investigation. Second, documents 41-47, 67-74, 83-89, and 90-113 consist of communications between Division staff and various Assistants United States Attorney regarding those AUSAs' requests for information from Commission investigative files to assist in their investigations. Third, documents 13-40, 48-66, and 75-82 consist of communications between Division staff and DOD attorneys and investigators regarding their requests for information from Commission investigative files to assist the DOD in its investigations.
- 9. Regarding documents 2-11, which consist of communications regarding immunity for an individual who was being interviewed during the investigation, I have reviewed the Commission's and the Respondents' witness lists, and this witness appears on neither list.
- 10. The law enforcement privilege is being asserted as to the documents in Paragraph 8 because the public disclosure of the documents could harm the Commission's, the DOJ's, and the DOD's on-going law enforcement interests by revealing what the Commission, DOJ, or DOD staff knows, what evidence they consider to be important, and their theories and strategies

in the ongoing investigations and any enforcement action that might arise from those investigations. Public disclosure of these documents creates a danger that they could be revealed to potential witnesses and/or persons who, it may turn out, have liability for conduct which is a subject of the ongoing investigations. Such disclosure would present an opportunity for those persons or entities to intimidate witnesses, destroy or fabricate evidence, and/or tailor their own testimony to conform to other evidence. The law enforcement privilege is also being asserted insofar as the substance and content of the documents gathered or created may show or contain law enforcement investigatory techniques, procedures and guidelines, public disclosure of which could impair the Commission's, the DOJ's, or the DOD's ongoing investigations (and potential future litigation in these matters) by revealing confidential law enforcement techniques and procedures. Such disclosure could reasonably be expected to create a risk of future circumvention of the law.

- 11. The deliberative process privilege is asserted for Documents 2-11, 14-21, 23, 28-29, 43-46, and 71-74 as identified on the amended privilege log attached hereto as Exhibit 1. These documents fall into three major categories. First, documents 2-11 consist of communications between the Division staff and staff at the PSEU related to granting immunity to an individual who was being interviewed during the investigation. Second, documents 43-46 and 71-74 consist of communications between Division staff and various Assistants United States Attorney regarding those AUSAs' requests for information from the Commission investigative files to assist in their investigations. Third, documents 14-21, 23, and 28-29 consist of communications between Division staff and DOD attorneys and investigators regarding their requests for information from Commission investigative files to assist the DOD in its investigations.
- 12. The deliberative process privilege is being asserted as to the documents in Paragraph 11 because the documents contain inter-governmental communications, including advice, evaluations, opinions and recommendations of the staff of the Commission, of the staff of the DOJ, and of the staff of the DOD, which predate determinations of the Commission and its staff, the DOJ and its staff, or the DOD and its staff regarding investigative and enforcement matters. The production of these deliberative process materials would have an inhibiting effect upon the fullness and frankness of written expression among the Commission and its staff members and between the Commission staff, on the one hand, and DOJ or DOD staff on the other hand, and, thus, would have a detrimental effect on the Commission's, the DOJ's, and the DOD's decisionmaking processes. Compelling production of documents related to witness immunity and any grant of access to information to other federal investigative and prosecutorial agencies during their own investigations would pose a significant threat of chilling the candor and interrupting the free exchange of ideas among staff Commission members and between Commission staff and other federal agencies. This candor and free exchange of ideas form the basis for these processes, and disclosure of these materials would seriously degrade the Division's and the Commission's ability to perform their mission. I believe that Division staff would be far less willing to participate in these types of requests and inter-agency cooperation, and would be far

less likely to be open and forthcoming during the course of their analysis and discussion, if such open and frank participation may subject them to the risk of those communications being disclosed, their being subpoenaed to offer testimony about the input they have previously offered, and their being forced to explain and justify statements they made in these confidential inter-agency communications. The result would be less thoughtful and open discussion between and among Division staff, between these staff and other offices and divisions throughout the Commission, and between Commission staff and the DOJ, DOD, and other federal agencies.

- 13. The work product doctrine is asserted for Documents 1 through 113 as identified on the amended privilege log attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 14. The work product doctrine is being asserted as to the documents in Paragraph 13 because the documents listed on the amended privilege log were prepared by the Division legal staff, the DOJ legal staff, and the DOD legal and investigative staff as part of then-ongoing investigations and in anticipation of bringing enforcement actions, civil proceedings, criminal proceedings, or other litigation. The documents are deliberative in nature and reflect the mental processes of the Commission legal staff, the DOJ legal staff, and the DOD legal and investigative staff relative to this administrative proceeding and other anticipated civil and criminal matters, and should be protected from discovery by Respondents.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed this 2nd day of November 2016 at Washington, DC.

# Exhibit 1

Doc.					·	1	<del></del>		<u> </u>	
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
1		Investigative Information			YoungJae Chung, SEC	Willis Epps, contracting officer, U.S. Army	Brent Mitchell, SEC		Document 1 is a request by an SEC employee, under the direction of a senior counsel responsible for the investigation of Respondents, seeking information from a DOD contracting officer regarding a company being held in the Respondents' portfolio.	
2		Immunity Request	[Name Withheld] Witness Immunity Request	Email with Attachment		Policy and Statutory Enforcement Unit, Office of Enforcement Operations, Dep't of Justice	N. Creola Kelly and Brent Mitchell, SEC		Documents 2-11 are Emails and attachments requesting and discussing witness immunity for an individual who was being interviewed during the Division's investigation, which were prepared during the investigation by the Division legal staff for, and approved by the Policy and Statutory Enforcement Unit ("PSEU") of, the Department of Justice ("DOJ"). The individual does not appear on Respondents' or the Commission's witness lists. Documents 2-3 are the SEC's email and attachment sent to the DOJ requesting immunity.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
3	1	Immunity Request	[Name Withheld] Witness Immunity Request	Attachment					See above re: Document 2.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
4	t i	Immunity Request	Questions about	Email without	Operations, Dep't	N. Creola Kelly and Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Document 2. Documents 4-9 are emails between SEC attorneys and a DOJ attorney regarding questions from PSEU for information to assist the DOJ's making a decision whether to grant immunity, including inquiries about potentially related investigations by a U.S. Attorney's Office.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege

1

Doc.	1		<del></del>	т	<del></del>	<u></u>				
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
				<u> </u>	Robert De La					
					Cruz, Policy and					
		ļ	i		Statutory		ŀ			Work Product
ł					Enforcement Unit,					Doctrine; Law
ľ					Office of					Enforcement
			Questions about		Enforcement					Privilege:
		Immunity	your Immunity	Email without	Operations, Dep't	Brent Mitchell,		1		Deliberative
_5_	11:49 EDT	Request	Request	Attachment	of Justice	SEC			See above re: Documents 2 and 4.	Process Privilege
						Robert De La				
						Cruz, Policy and				
1						Statutory				Work Product
		l				Enforcement Unit,	Į.			Doctrine; Law
						Office of				Enforcement
	l <u>-</u>		Questions about			Enforcement	Stephen Jones and			Privilege;
		Immunity	your Immunity		Brent Mitchell,		N. Creola Kelly,			Deliberative
6	11:49 EDT	Request	Request	Attachment	SEC	of Justice	SEC	<u> </u>	See above re: Documents 2 and 4.	Process Privilege
						Robert De La				
		ŀ				Cruz, Policy and				Work Product
						Statutory Enforcement Unit,				
		•				Office of				Doctrine; Law
1	ł		Ouestions about			Enforcement				Enforcement
	04/27/2012	Immunity	your Immunity	Eilith	Brent Mitchell,	1	N. Carala Kaller			Privilege; Deliberative
7	1	Request	Request		SEC	Operations, Dep't of Justice	N. Creola Kelly, SEC		See above re: Documents 2 and 4.	Process Privilege
<b>⊢</b> ′	13.13 ED1	Request	Request	Attachment	Robert De La	or Justice	SEC		See above re. Documents 2 and 4.	Process Privilege
ı				•	Cruz, Policy and					
I		1			Statutory	-		]		Work Product
I					Enforcement Unit,					Doctrine: Law
I			ŀ		Office of					Enforcement
Į.		1	Ouestions about	Į.	Enforcement					Privilege;
l	04/27/2012	Immunity	your Immunity	Email without	Operations, Dep't	Brent Mitchell,				Deliberative
R		Request	Request	J	of Justice	SEC		1	See above re: Documents 2 and 4.	Process Privilege

2

Doc.			1				l			i -
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
	04/27/2012	Immunity	17		Brent Mitchell,		N. Creola Kelly,			Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative
9	13:19 EDT	Request	Request	Attachment	SEC	of Justice	SEC		See above re: Documents 2 and 4.	Process Privilege
10		Immunity Request	Immunity Authorization Attached	Email with		Brent Mitchell, SEC	Tina Barber, Angela Coit, Sheila Franklin, and Debora Morris, Policy and Statutory Enforcement Unit, Office of Enforcement Operations, Dep't of Justice		See above re: Document 2. Documents 10-11 are an email and attachment between the DOJ lawyer and the SEC lawyer, copying PSEU staff, approving the request for witness immunity.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
	04/30/2012		Attached	Attachment	or Justice	SEC	of Justice		See above re: Documents 2 and 10.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
12	07/16/2012 13:03 EDT	Investigative Information	Borrowing a conference room in Arizona	Email without	Norma Fontana, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, District of Arizona	Brent Mitchell, SEC	Amanda DeRoo and N. Creola Kelly, SEC		Document 12 is an email between SEC lawyers and a lawyer at a U.S. Attorney's Office to facilitate the ongoing Commission investigation into Respondents, related in particular to issues raised about a company in Respondents' portfolio.	Work Product Doctrine

Doc.	<del>                                     </del>		T							
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
13	04/04/2013	Investigative Information Request	contact info	Email without	SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL	Brent Mitchell, SEC			Documents 13-40 and 48-66 are emails and attachments between SEC lawyers and Special Agent Lance Stamper, an investigator with the Defense Investigative Service, part of the DOD's Office of Inspector General. The DOD investigator sought access to confidential Commission investigative files in connection with a DOD investigation into a company that was in Respondents' portfolio.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
1	04/04/2013	Investigative Information Request	contact info	1		SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL	Allison Lee, SEC		See above re: Document 13. Documents 14-15 are communications in which Division attorneys arrange discussions with the DOD investigator regarding the investigation of Respondents and which informed the information access request discussed in subsequent documents.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
15	04/04/2013	Investigative Information Request	contact info	N. C.		SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL	Allison Lee, SEC		See above re: Documents 13 and 14.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege

Doc.			[		T	T				
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
16	04/05/2013	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Access Request Form	Email with Attachment	Brent Mitchell, SEC	T .	N. Creola Kelly and Allison Lee, SEC		See above re: Document 13. Documents 16-27 are emails that are part of a chain that involve communications between Division attorneys and a DOD investigator regarding a formal request by the DOD for access to confidential Division investigation files. These documents include a template provided by the Division attorney that other federal agencies complete and use to request confidential investigative information from the Commission, guidance by the Division attorney to the DOD investigator about completing the form, and the DOD's submission of the information access request.	Work Product
17	04/05/2013	Investigative Information Request	Access Request by Federal Agency Other than a Financial Institution Supervisory Agency	Attachment					See above re: Document 16.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
18	04/05/2013	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Access Request Form	Email with	Brent Mitchell, SEC	1	N. Creola Kelly and Allison Lee, SEC	Brent Mitchell,	See above re: Document 16.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege

5

Doc.	1		<del></del>				<del></del>	<del></del>	T	T -
	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Туре	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
	04/05/2013	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Access Request Form	Attachment					See above re: Document 16.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
20	04/08/2013	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Access Request Form	Email with Attachment	SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL	Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Document 16. Documents 20-21 are the email and attachment in which DOD formally requests access to Commission information. The request includes a description of the purpose of the request, which is to conduct an investigation and potentially commence proceedings, and acceptance of certain conditions regarding the information provided (for example, confidentiality and notice of any public use of any documents provided pursuant to the request).	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
21	04/08/2013	Investigative Information Request	SEC Patriarch Partners email request	Attachment	SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal				See above re: Documents 16 and 20.	Work Product Doctrine: Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
22	04/08/2013	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Access Request Form	Email without	,	Brent Mitchell,			See above re: Documents 16 and 20.	Work Product Doctrine: Law Enforcement Privilege

6

Doc.						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		T	1	
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type			Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
						SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville				Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement
		Investigative				Post of Duty,				Privilege;
		Information	Patriarch Access			Redstone Arsenal,				Deliberative
23	21:40 EDT	Request	Request Form	Attachment	SEC	AL			See above re: Documents 16 and 20.	Process Privilege
		Investigative				SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty,				Work Product Doctrine; Law
		Information	Patriarch Access	Email without		Redstone Arsenal,				Enforcement
		Request	Request Form		SEC SEC	AL			See above re: Documents 16 and 20.	Privilege
	04/10/2013	Investigative Information Request		Email without	SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL	Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Documents 16 and 20.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
26	04/10/2013	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Access Request Form			SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL			See above re: Documents 16 and 20.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege

7

Doc.			]							
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
27	04/10/2013 16:55 EDT	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Access Request Form	Email without		Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Documents 16 and 20.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
	04/11/2013 14:09 EDT	Investigative Information Request	Access Request			SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL	Gina Blow, SEC		See above re: Document 16. Documents 28-29 are an email and attachment from a Division attorney to the DOD investigator providing the approval for the request for access to information. The letter approval, Document 29, reflects the Commission's internal deliberations and decision to permit confidential documents to be provided to the DOD subject to the conditions outlined in the request and approval.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
	04/11/2013 14:09 EDT	Investigative Information Request	Letter Granting Access Request	Attachment	<u>one</u>	710	June Blow, obe		See above re: Documents 16 and 28.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
30	04/18/2013 08:24 EDT	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Access Request Form		Brent Mitchell,	,	N. Creola Kelly, Allison Lee, Laura Metcalfe, and Reid Muoio, SEC		See above re: Document 16. Documents 30-35 are a continuation of the email chain in Documents 16-27. These documents discuss and disclose technical details including timing of production of electronic documents pursuant to the DOD's information access request, as well as inquiries regarding computer program platforms for retaining, reviewing, and producing documents.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege

Doc.							<del></del>	r	<del></del>	T -
	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
					SA Lance			2		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ľ				Stamper, Defense					
					Criminal					
					Investigative			1		
					Service, Huntsville					Work Product
		Investigative			Post of Duty,					Doctrine; Law
	04/18/2013	Information	Patriarch Access	Email without	Redstone Arsenal,	Brent Mitchell,		i		Enforcement
31	10:25 EDT	Request	Request Form	Attachment	AL	SEC		ļ	See above re: Documents 16 and 30.	Privilege
	1	_			SA Lance					
	İ				Stamper, Defense					
	ŀ				Criminal					
	ļ				Investigative			l		]
					Service, Huntsville			1		Work Product
		Investigative			Post of Duty,	•				Doctrine; Law
	04/24/2013	Information				Brent Mitchell,				Enforcement
32	15:47 EDT	Request	Request Form	Attachment		SEC			See above re: Documents 16 and 30.	Privilege
						SA Lance				
						Stamper, Defense				
						Criminal				
						Investigative				
						Service, Huntsville				Work Product
	04/24/2013	Investigative Information	D-4-:			Post of Duty,				Doctrine; Law Enforcement
			Patriarch Access			Redstone Arsenal,		Ì	See above re: Documents 16 and 30.	1
33	16:28 EDT	Request	Request Form		SEC SA Lance	AL		<del> </del>	See above re: Documents 16 and 30.	Privilege
	}				Stamper, Defense					
					Criminal					
					Investigative					
					Service, Huntsville					Work Product
	Į.	Investigative	(		Post of Duty,					Doctrine; Law
	04/24/2013	Information	Patriarch Access			Brent Mitchell,				Enforcement
		Request	Request Form	l.		SEC			See above re: Documents 16 and 30.	Privilege
24	10.27 601	request	[Keduese Louis	Augument	CL	JUC	L	<u> </u>	Dec above te. Documents to and 30.	I HANGE

9

_							<del></del>	<del></del>		
Doc.										
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type			Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
				1		SA Lance				
						Stamper, Defense				
						Criminal				
			Ì			Investigative				]
						Service, Huntsville				Work Product
		Investigative				Post of Duty,				Doctrine: Law
	04/24/2013	Information	Patriarch Access			Redstone Arsenal,				Enforcement
35	16:31 EDT	Request	Request Form	Attachment	SEC	AL		<del> </del>	See above re: Documents 16 and 30.	Privilege
	06/03/2013 10:53 EDT	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch	Email without Attachment	AL	Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Document 16. Documents 36-40 involve communications between a Division attorney and the DOD investigator regarding questions and strategies regarding a DOD search warrant based, at least in part, on previous discussions related to the Commission's granting the information access to the DOD. In addition, the communications involve a discussion of Division investigative techniques for obtaining emails.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
	06/07/2013 09:41 EDT	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch emails	Email without		Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Documents 16 and 36.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege

Doc.										
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type		Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
						SA Lance				
						Stamper, Defense				
						Criminal				
1				]		Investigative				Ì
						Service, Huntsville				Work Product
		Investigative				Post of Duty,				Doctrine: Law
		Information			· ·	Redstone Arsenal,				Enforcement
38	10:24 EDT	Request	Patriarch emails	Attachment		AL			See above re: Documents 16 and 36.	Privilege
						SA Lance				
						Stamper, Defense				
				]		Criminal				
		ı		(		Investigative	ļ			
						Service, Huntsville				Work Product
		Investigative				Post of Duty,				Doctrine; Law
		Information				Redstone Arsenal,		Brent Mitchell,		Enforcement
39	10:24 EDT	Request	Patriarch emails			AL		SEC	See above re: Documents 16 and 36.	Privilege
l			ì		SA Lance		]	Ĭ		
					Stamper, Defense					
			ł		Criminal					
					Investigative					
			1		Service, Huntsville	}	1	ł		Work Product
		Investigative		1	Post of Duty,					Doctrine; Law
		Information	L		'	Brent Mitchell,		ŀ		Enforcement
40	11:27 EDT	Request	Patriarch emails	Attachment	AL	SEC		ļ	See above re: Documents 16 and 36.	Privilege
			l							
				<b> </b>		Pat Meadows.			Documents 41-47 are communications between	
						AUSA, U.S.			Division lawyers and an Assistant United States	Work Product
		Investigative				Attorney's Office,		}	Attorney for the U.S. Attorney's Office in Alabama that we understand adopted, either in whole or at least in	Doctrine; Law
		Information		Calendar		Northern District			part, the DOD investigation discussed above related to a	1
		Request	Talk Patriarch			of Alabama			company in Respondents' portfolio.	Privilege

11

Doc.			T		T	<del></del>		1		T
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
42	06/25/2013	Investigative Information Request	Talk Patriarch	Calendar Entry	Brent Mitchell, SEC	Pat Meadows, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Northern District of Alabama			See above re: Document 41.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
43	07/08/2013	Investigative Information Request	Access Request for the DOJ	Email with	Brent Mitchell, SEC	Ramona Albin, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Northern District of Alabama			See above re: Document 41. Documents 43-46 are a Division attorney's communications with the AUSA to provide her with an information access request form so that she could obtain evidence that the DOJ believed might be relevant to one of its ongoing investigations.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
44	07/08/2013	Investigative Information Request	Access Request by Federal Agency Other than a Financial Institution Supervisory Agency	Attachment					See above re: Documents 41 and 43.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
45	07/08/2013	Investigative Information Request	Access Request for the DOJ	Email with Attachment	Brent Mitchell, SEC	Ramona Albin, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Northern District of Alabama	N. Creola Kelly, Allison Lee, Laura Metcalfe, Reid Muoio, and John Smith, SEC		See above re: Documents 41 and 43.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
46	07/08/2013	Investigative Information Request	Access Request by Federal Agency Other than a Financial Institution Supervisory Agency	Attachment					See above re: Documents 41 and 43.	Work Product Doctrine: Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege

Doc.			1							
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
47	1	Investigative Information Request	Access request for the DOJ	Email without	Ramona Albin, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Northern District of Alabama	Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Documents 41 and 43. Document 47 acknowledges receipt of the form requesting access to the Commission's investigative information.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
48		Investigative Information Request	[Company Name Withheld] Investors		SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL	Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Document 16. Documents 48-66 are continued communications between Division attorneys and the DOD investigator following and concerning production of information to the investigator pursuant to the request for access, discussed above, regarding a company held in Respondents' portfolio. Documents 48 50 include in the chain of emails an earlier email seeking to confirm that the DOD investigator had received the requested documents. These documents include communications between a Division attorney and the DOD investigator in which they discuss information discovered by the investigator which he believed may be of interest or relevance to the Division's investigation.	
49	08/22/2013	Investigative Information Request	[Company Name Withheld] Investors	Attachment					See above re: Documents 16 and 48.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
50		Investigative Information Request	[Company Name Withheld] Investors	1	Brent Mitchell, SEC	SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL	Allison Lee, SEC		See above re: Documents 16 and 48.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege

Doc.	<u> </u>		I				1	<u> </u>		T
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
51	08/26/2013 12:43 EDT	Investigative Information Request	[No Subject]	Email with		Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Document 48. Documents 51-53 are an email from the DOD investigator to a Division attorney and two attachments (the latter of which is a blank page). The first attachment is a contract relevant to the company in Respondent's portfolio being investigated by the DOD. The email represents the DOD investigator's identification and compiling of information that he considered relevant to his and the Division's investigation.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
52	08/26/2013 12:43 EDT	Investigative Information Request	Shared Services Agreement	Attachment					See above re: Documents 48 and 51.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
53	08/26/2013 12:43 EDT	Investigative Information Request	[Blank   Document]	Attachment					See above re: Documents 48 and 51.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
54	10/31/2013	Investigative Information Request	New Tilton info	Email without	SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL	Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Document 48. Documents 54-60 are emails in a chain between Division attorneys and the DOD investigator regarding the information access request and the company in Respondents' portfolio.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
55	10/31/2013 14:53 EDT	Investigative Information Request	New Tilton info	Email without		Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Documents 48 and 54.	Work Product Doctrine: Law Enforcement Privilege

Doc.		T		T		T	T	<u> </u>		
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
						SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville				Work Product
		Investigative				Post of Duty,				Doctrine; Law
	10/31/2013	Information			Brent Mitchell,	Redstone Arsenal,		Brent Mitchell,		Enforcement
56	14:53 EDT	Request	New Tilton info	Attachment	SEC		Amy Sumner, SEC	SEC	See above re: Documents 48 and 54.	Privilege
	10/31/2013	Investigative Information		Email without	Brent Mitchell,	SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal,				Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement
57	14:53 EDT	Request	New Tilton info	Attachment	SEC		Amy Sumner, SEC		See above re: Documents 48 and 54.	Privilege
£0	10/31/2013	Investigative Information	Many Tille as in fa		Brent Mitchell,	SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal,		Brent Mitchell,	Such as Draw and 49 and 54	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement
_58	14:55 EDT	Request	New Tilton info	Attachment	SEC	AL	Amy Sumner, SEC	SEC	See above re: Documents 48 and 54.	Privilege
		Investigative			B. AMAL II	SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty,				Work Product Doctrine; Law
59	10/31/2013 14:55 EDT	Information Request	Talk Patriarch	Calendar Entry	Brent Mitchell, SEC	Redstone Arsenal,		ŀ	See above re: Documents 48 and 54.	Enforcement Privilege
Jy	114.33 [0]	Irreduesi	Traik rautaich	Lenti y	DEC	IUr	<u> </u>	L	Joec above to. Documents 40 and 54.	II HVIICEC

15

Doc.	T		1			r				T
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
		Investigative	·			SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal,				Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement
60		Request	New Tilton info		· ·	AL	Amy Sumner, SEC		See above re: Documents 48 and 54.	Privilege
		Investigative Information	Cornyn floor		SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty,	Brent Mitchell,	Amy Summer, SEC		See above re: Document 48. Documents 61-62 are emails between a Division attorney and the DOD investigator concerning a speech by a U.S. Senator	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement
61	_	Request	speech		AL	SEC			deemed relevant by the investigator to the investigation of the company held in Respondents' portfolio.	Privilege
	. 11/01/2013	Investigative Information	Cornyn floor	Email without	Brent Mitchell,		SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal,			Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement
62	13:14 EDT	Request	speech		SEC	Amy Sumner, SEC	AL		See above re: Documents 48 and 61.	Privilege
		Investigative			SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty,				See above re: Document 48. Documents 63-64 are emails between a Division attorney and the DOD	Work Product Doctrine; Law
	11/12/2013	Information	L		·	Brent Mitchell,			sharing and commenting on an online article regarding	Enforcement
63	17:38 EST	Request	LT Zohar Funds	Attachment	AL	SEC	l .		an investor in and insurer of Respondents.	Privilege

Doc.				T						T
	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	  Explanation	Privilege(s)
						SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville				Work Product
		Investigative	ŀ			Post of Duty,				Doctrine; Law
		Information		Email without	Brent Mitchell,	Redstone Arsenal,				Enforcement
64	20:00 EST	Request	LT Zohar Funds			AL			See above re: Documents 48 and 63.	Privilege
	01/23/2014	Investigative Information Request	American LeFrance			Brent Mitchell, SEC			See above re: Document 48. Documents 65-66 are emails between a Division attorney and the DOD regarding American LeFrance, a company that had dealings with the company in Respondents' portfolio that was being investigated by the DOD.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
	01/23/2014	Investigative Information Request	American LeFrance		Brent Mitchell,	SA Lance Stamper, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Huntsville Post of Duty, Redstone Arsenal, AL			See above re: Documents 48 and 65.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
67	04/23/2015	Investigative Information	Meeting today	Email without		Katherine Goldstein, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York			Documents 67-70 are two email chains between a Division attorney and an Assistant United States Attorney setting up a call to discuss the possibility of an access request by the DOJ seeking information from the Division's investigation of Respondents Lynn Tilton and Patriarch Partners.	Work Product Doctrine: Law Enforcement Privilege

Doc.	]			<del>                                     </del>		<u> </u>		T		
	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
68	04/23/2015	Investigative Information Request	Meeting today		Katherine Goldstein, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York	Dugan Bliss, SEC			See above re: Document 67.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
69		Investigative Information Request	Patriarch			Katherine Goldstein, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York			See above re: Document 67.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
70	04/23/2015	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch		Katherine Goldstein, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York	Michael Osnato,	-		See above re: Document 67.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege:
71	04/23/2015	Investigative Information Request	access request	1	Katherine Goldstein, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York	Michael Osnato, SEC	Christopher Frey and Telemachus Kasulis, AUSAs, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York		Documents 71-74 are emails and attachments in which the DOJ requests and the Commission grants the DOJ's request for access to information that is part of the Commission's confidential investigative file related to Respondents.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege; Deliberative Process Privilege
	04/23/2015	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch.pdf	Attachment					See above re: Document 71. Document 72 is the DOJ's request for access to information.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege;

Doc.							i			7
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
						Katherine				Work Product
						Goldstein, AUSA,				Doctrine; Law
						U.S. Attorney's	Laura Metcalfe,			Enforcement
	li .	Investigative	}			Office, Southern	Michael Osnato,	ł		Privilege;
		Information		Email with		District of New	and Amy Sumner,			Deliberative
73	15:07 EDT	Request	access request	Attachment	Amy Lam, SEC	York	SEC	_	See above re: Document 71.	Process Privilege
										Work Product
										Doctrine; Law
										Enforcement
	1	Investigative							See above re: Document 71. Document 74 is the	Privilege;
		Information	Letter Granting						Commission's Letter granting the request for access to	Deliberative
/4	15:07 EDT	Request	Access Request	Attachment					information.	Process Privilege
					CPT Eric M.					
					Liddick, Attorney-					
	i				Advisor,					
					Procurement Fraud					
					Branch, Contract					
	1			ſ	and Fiscal Law				Documents 75-82 are communications between a	
	ŀ		Patriarch Partners		Division, U.S.				Division attorney and a DOD attorney concerning contact information for Respondents Lynn Tilton's and	Work Product
	f	Investigative	- Attorney		Army Legal				Patriarch Partner's counsel. We understand that this	Doctrine: Law
	04/27/2015	Information	Contact		Services Agency,			]	request was in follow-up to the earlier grant of access to	Enforcement
75	10:06 EDT	Request	Information			Dugan Bliss, SEC			information by the Commission to the DOD.	Privilege

Doc.			T		<del>"</del>			T		
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
76	04/27/2015	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Partners - Attorney Contact Information	Email with	CPT Eric M. Liddick, Attorney- Advisor, Procurement Fraud Branch, Contract and Fiscal Law Division, U.S. Army Legal Services Agency, Fort Belvoir, VA	Dugan Bliss, SEC			See above re: Document 75. This is a duplicate of document 75, but which contains an error when converting the email from the archives (a conversion error) that was corrected in Document 75.	Work Product Doctrine: Law Enforcement Privilege
77	04/27/2015	Investigative Information Request		Attachment					See above re: Documents 75 and 76. This is a document identifying the conversion error.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
78	04/27/2015	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Partners - Attorney Contact Information	Email without		CPT Eric M. Liddick, Attorney- Advisor, Procurement Fraud Branch, Contract and Fiscal Law Division, U.S. Army Legal Services Agency, Fort Belvoir, VA			See above re: Document 75.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege

Doc.	Dan Data	Cotomoni	C1.1 4	D T	E 21 E	r r-	E 21 CC	E il DCC	Part water	Duinilla ma (a)
-#-	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
						CPT Eric M. Liddick, Attorney- Advisor, Procurement Fraud Branch, Contract and Fiscal Law				
l			Patriarch Partners	l		Division, U.S.				Work Product
		Investigative	- Attorney			Army Legal		İ	oce above ic. Document 15. Tills is a capitente of	Doctrine; Law
	04/27/2015	Information		Email without		Services Agency,			document 78, but which contains a conversion error that	Enforcement
79	10:09 EDT	Request	Information	Attachment	Dugan Bliss, SEC	Fort Belvoir, VA			was corrected in Document 78.	Privilege
80	04/27/2015	Investigative Information Request			CPT Eric M. Liddick, Attorney- Advisor, Procurement Fraud Branch, Contract and Fiscal Law Division, U.S. Army Legal Services Agency, Fort Belvoir, VA	Dugan Bliss, SEC			See above re: Document 75. This is a duplicate of	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
						<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-	Work Product
	il .	Investigative							See above re: Documents 75 and 80. See below re:	Doctrine; Law
l	04/27/2015	Information		l				Į.	Document 82. This is a document identifying the	Enforcement
81	10:10 EDT	Request		Attachment				L	conversion error in Document 80.	Privilege

Doc.										
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
	04/27/2015	Investigative Information	Patriarch Partners - Attorney Contact		CPT Eric M. Liddick, Attorney- Advisor, Procurement Fraud Branch, Contract and Fiscal Law Division, U.S. Army Legal Services Agency,					Work Product Doctrine: Law Enforcement
	I	Request	Information			Dugan Bliss, SEC			   See above re: Documents 75, 80 and 81.	Privilege
	04/28/2015	Investigative Information Request	Meeting today		Katherine Goldstein, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York	Dugan Bliss, SEC			Documents 83-89 are emails between Division attorneys and staff and an Assistant United States Attorney discussing the timing of production of documents in response to the AUSA's information access request.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
<u>-</u> 2.	04/29/2015	Investigative Information		Email without	Katherine Goldstein, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York	Michael Osnato, SEC				Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
	04/29/2015	Investigative Information Request				Katherine Goldstein, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York			See above re: Document 83.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege

22

Doc.				1		I	1	_	<del> </del>	T
	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
						Katherine				
				i		Goldstein, AUSA,				
						U.S. Attorney's				Work Product
		Investigative	1	1		Office, Southern		1		Doctrine; Law
	04/29/2015	Information		1	Michael Osnato,	District of New				Enforcement
86	13:15 EDT	Request	follow up	Attachment	SEC	York			See above re: Document 83.	Privilege
					Katherine					
					Goldstein, AUSA,					L
			•	1	U.S. Attorney's					Work Product
		Investigative			Office, Southern					Doctrine, Law
		Information			District of New	Michael Osnato,				Enforcement
87	13:18 EDT	Request	follow up	Attachment	York	SEC		_	See above re: Document 83.	Privilege
			<u> </u>	-		Katherine Goldstein, AUSA,				
			Lynn Tilton and		II.	U.S. Attorney's				Work Product
		Investigative	Patriarch Partners		Judy Bizu,	Office, Southern			See above re: Document 83. Document 88 is an email	Doctrine: Law
		Information		Email without	•	District of New			from a Division paralegal concerning a disk of requested materials that was sent pursuant to the grant	Enforcement
		Request	11665)		Specialist, SEC	York	Amy Sumner, SEC		of access to information.	Privilege
					Katherine		, o, o		or develop to information.	igs
					Goldstein, AUSA,				! 	
			Lynn Tilton and	[	U.S. Attorney's		l	l		Work Product
		Investigative	Patriarch Partners		Office, Southern	Judy Bizu,			See above re: Documents 83 and 88. Document 89 is	Doctrine; Law
	04/30/2015	Information	(D-3350, HO-	Email without	District of New	Paralegal			an email from Assistant United States Attorney	Enforcement
89	13:18 EDT	Request	11665)	Attachment	York		Amy Sumner, SEC		concerning the disk.	Privilege

Doc.						_				
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
	05/11/2015	Investigative Information Request	Tilton/Patriarch Partners	Email without		Amy Sumner, SEC	Daniel Goldman, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York		Documents 90-113 are emails and attachments between Division attorneys and staff and Assistant United States Attorneys regarding the Commission providing information pursuant to the previously-described information access request to assist the DOJ in an investigation. Documents 90-93 are emails in a chain arranging communications by Division lawyers with AUSAs regarding the DOJ's investigation of Respondents Tilton and Patriarch Partners.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
	05/12/2015	Investigative Information Request	Tilton/Patriarch	Email without		AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District	Daniel Goldman, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York		See above re: Document 90.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
	05/12/2015	Investigative Information Request	Tilton/Patriarch Partners		Christopher Frey, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York	Amy Sumner, SEC	Daniel Goldman, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York		See above re: Document 90.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
	05/12/2015	Investigative Information Request	Tilton/Patriarch Partners	Email without Attachment		Southern District	Daniel Goldman, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York		See above re: Document 90.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
	05/20/2015	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Partners (D-3350)	Email without		Christopher Frey, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York	Dugan Bliss, Nicole Nesvig, and Amy Sumner, SEC		See above re: Document 90. Document 94 is an email from a Division paralegal concerning a disk of requested materials that was sent pursuant to the grant of access to information.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege

Doc.	I							_		
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
95	05/20/2015 17:41 EDT	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Partners (D-3350)	Attachment	Judy Bizu, Paralegal Specialist, SEC	Christopher Frey, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York	Dugan Bliss and Amy Sumner, SEC		requested materials that was sent pursuant to the grant	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
96	05/20/2015 17:43 EDT		Patriarch Partners (D-3350)	Email without		Judy Bizu, Paralegal Specialist, SEC	Dugan Bliss, Nicole Nesvig, and Amy Sumner, SEC		See above re: Document 90. Document 96 is an email from an Assistant United States attorney responding to Document 94.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
97	05/29/2015 13:00 EDT	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Partners (D-3350)		Judy Bizu, Paralegal	Christopher Frey, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York	Dugan Bliss and Amy Sumner, SEC		See above re: Document 90. Document 97 is an email from a Division paralegal concerning a disk of requested materials that was sent pursuant to the grant of access to information.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
98	05/29/2015 13:43 EDT	Investigative Information Request	Patriarch Partners (D-3350)			Judy Bizu, Paralegal Specialist, SEC	Dugan Bliss and Amy Sumner, SEC		See above re: Document 90. Document 98 is an email from Assistant United States attorney responding to Document 97.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege
99	06/09/2015 13:52 EDT	Investigative Information Request		Email without		Christopher Frey, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District			Documents 99-104 are a chain of emails and attachments between a Division attorney and an AUSA concerning access to documents filed by Respondents in this Administrative Proceeding.	Work Product Doctrine; Law
100	06/09/2015	Investigative Information Request		Email without	Christopher Frey, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District	Amy Sumner, SEC	Daniel Goldman, AUSA, U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York		See above re: Document 99.	Work Product Doctrine; Law Enforcement Privilege

Doc.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	  Explanation	Privilege(s)
	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type		Christopher Frey,	Eman CC	Eman BCC	Explanation	1 Tivinege(s)
			In the Matter of			AUSA, U.S.				Work Product
		Investigative	Lynn Tilton, et			Attorney's Office,				Doctrine; Law
	06/09/2015			Email with		Southern District			bee above to: Document 33: Bocament 10: 15 an eman	Enforcement
101		Request	'		Amv Sumner, SEC			]	1	Privilege
101	17.04 601	Request	10402)	Attachment	Amy Summer, SEC	of New York		<del> </del>	Attorney concerning the requested documents.	Work Product
ł		latiantina								
	06/09/2015	Investigative						i		Doctrine; Law Enforcement
102	1	Information		A 44 = = h == = = = = 4					San about an Danimont CO	Privilege
102	17:04 6D1	Request		Attachment					See above re: Document 99.	Work Product
	06/00/2015	Investigative			•					Doctrine; Law Enforcement
102	06/09/2015	Information							See alone of December 4 00	
103	17:04 EDT	Request		Attachment	Chairteach an Fara	<del></del>			See above re: Document 99.	Privilege
			In the Matter of		Christopher Frey,					Work Product
					AUSA, U.S.					
ı	06/00/2015	Investigative	Lynn Tilton, et al		Attorney's Office,				See above re: Document 99. Document 104 is an email	Doctrine; Law
10.1	06/09/2015	Information	1.		Southern District	A C SEC	Ì	Ì	from the Assistant United States Attorney to the	Enforcement
104	17:08 EDT	Request	16462)	Attachment		Amy Sumner, SEC				Privilege
				!	Christopher Frey,		Daniel Goldman,		Documents 105-108 are a chain of emails between a	Work Product
				ľ	AUSA, U.S.		AUSA, U.S.		Division attorney and AUSAs regarding materials that	
	06/16/2015	Investigative	}	1	Attorney's Office,		Attorney's Office,		had been provided to the AUSAs pursuant to the grant	Doctrine; Law
105	06/16/2015	Information	1		Southern District	4 0 050	Southern District			Enforcement
105	12:00 EDT	Request	Patriarch Partners	Attachment		Amy Sumner, SEC			reviewing.	Privilege
						Christopher Frey,	Daniel Goldman,			Waste Dua do at
	l		l	l		AUSA, U.S.	AUSA, U.S.	ļ		Work Product
1		Investigative				Attorney's Office,	Attorney's Office,			Doctrine; Law
		Information		Email without		Southern District	Southern District			Enforcement
106	12:04 EDT	Request	Patriarch Partners	Attachment	Amy Sumner, SEC	of New York	of New York		See above re: Document 105.	Privilege

Doc.			<del></del>	l .			<u> </u>			
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
					Christopher Frey,		Daniel Goldman,			
		]			AUSA, U.S.		AUSA, U.S.			Work Product
		Investigative			Attorney's Office,		Attorney's Office,			Doctrine; Law
	1	Information		Email without	Southern District		Southern District			Enforcement
107	12:05 EDT	Request	Patriarch Partners	Attachment	of New York	Amy Sumner, SEC	of New York		See above re: Document 105.	Privilege
						Christopher Frey,	Daniel Goldman,			
						AUSA, U.S.	AUSA, U.S.			Work Product
		Investigative				Attorney's Office,	Attorney's Office,			Doctrine; Law
	I .	Information		Email without		Southern District	Southern District			Enforcement
108	15:08 EDT	Request	Patriarch Partners	Attachment	Amy Sumner, SEC	of New York	of New York		See above re: Document 105.	Privilege
						Christopher Frey				
				İ		and Daniel				
					ļ	Goldman, AUSAs,				
		1				U.S. Attorney's			Documents 109-113 are a chain of emails between a	Work Product
		Investigative				Office, Southern			Division attorney and an AUSA identifying and	Doctrine; Law
	1	Information		Email without		District of New		İ	discussing witnesses and attorneys that had dealings	Enforcement
109	16:54 EDT	Request	Patriarch	Attachment	Amy Sumner, SEC	York		<u> </u>	with Respondents.	Privilege
							1			
						Christopher Frey,				
						AUSA, U.S.	İ			l
						Attorney's Office,		<b>\</b>		Work Product
		Investigative			Attorney's Office,	Southern District				Doctrine; Law
		Information	L		Southern District	of New York;				Enforcement
110	17:10 EDT	Request	Patriarch	Attachment	of New York	Amy Sumner, SEC		<u> </u>	See above re: Document 109.	Privilege
						G1 /	1			
					1	Christopher Frey,				
			1		Daniel Goldman,	AUSA, U.S.				
		<b>].</b>		I .		Attorney's Office,				Work Product
		Investigative				Southern District				Doctrine; Law
		Information				of New York;		1		Enforcement
111	18:10 EDT	Request	Patriarch	Attachment	of New York	Amy Sumner, SEC		L	See above re: Document 109.	Privilege

Doc.										
#	Doc. Date	Category	Subject	Doc. Type	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Explanation	Privilege(s)
						Christopher Frey				
						and Daniel				1
	<b>!</b>					Goldman, AUSAs,				
1	\					U.S. Attorney's				Work Product
1		Investigative				Office, Southern				Doctrine; Law
	07/28/2015	Information		Email without		District of New				Enforcement
112	09;55 EDT	Request	Patriarch	Attachment	Amy Sumner, SEC				See above re: Document 109.	Privilege
		-				Christopher Frey				-
						and Daniel				
	]					Goldman, AUSAs,				
	i					U.S. Attorney's				Work Product
	1	Investigative				Office, Southern				Doctrine; Law
1	07/28/2015	Information		Email without		District of New				Enforcement
113	10:01 EDT	Request	Patriarch	Attachment	Amy Sumner, SEC	York		_	See above re: Document 109.	Privilege