

HARD COPY

RECEIVED
JAN 29 2018
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Admin. Proc. File No. 3-16386

In the Matter of
Timothy W. Camahan,
CYIOS Corporation,
Respondents
Dated: Friday, January 05, 2018

DUE: January 5th, 2018

Reply Brief to:
"Release No. 5384 / December 14, 2017 Telephonic
Conference"

Table of Contents

(5) Five Point Reply Brief response to the Release No. 5384 / December 14, 2017 Telephonic Conference (dated: 12/14/2017).....	2
Conclusion and Relief requested:.....	3
Service List.....	4

**(5) Five Point Reply Brief response to the Release No. 5384 / December 14, 2017
Telephonic Conference (dated: 12/14/2017)**

1. The Respondents never received any information regarding the ORDER GRANTING PETITION above as pursuant to Rule 410(b) that its order was stayed.
- 2.n The SEC release No. 10440 / November 30, 2017 does not VACATE, STAY or STRIKEN Order Release No. 10031 / February 2, 2016, ORDER GRANTING PETITION FOR REVIEWn AND SCHEDULING BRIEFS.n
- 3.n The Respondents contend under Rule 411 Commission Consideration of Initial Decisions byn Hearing Officers part (f) the commission failed to obtain a majority. Failure to Obtain an Majority. In the event a majority of participating Commissioners do not agree to a dispositionn on the merits, the initial decision shall be of no effect, and an order will be issued inn accordance with this result.n
- 4.n The absence of any action on the part of the commission for period of time as stated in then sec rules of practice, this case has been abandoned or dead locked (Failure to Obtain an Majority) as we know the record shows the case was scheduled, briefs were reviewed – butn no decision, no stay under the rules of practice Rule 401 or any other section as pern relevant to stays in the regulation.n
5. Finally, if for the sake of argument, say the order per the SEC commission did stay the order – though zero evidence of this, it is contradictory to sec rules of practice Rule 450. Inn layman's terms, like the movie "BACK TO THE FUTURE", the sec would be in violation ofn Rule 480 - briefs that solidified the record in time. The SEC Commission remanding ALJ ton review matters that already been discussed and solidified in another case (appeal case pern ORDER GRANTING 10031) would be like going back in time changing the record whichn changes the future outcome; hence "BACK TO THE FUTURE".n

Conclusion and Relief requested:

Based upon above, the respondents request for dismissal of the Administrative Proceeding collectively and relief granted as deemed in appeal brief.

Vr,



Timothy Carnahan 1/5/2018
(date)



Timothy Carnahan, CEO and President of CYIOS 1/5/2018
(date)

Service List

In accordance with Rule 150 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on the persons listed below on the 5 day of January, 2017 via electronic mail or in person as indicated.

Honorable Cameron Elliot, Administrative Law Judge
Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20549-2557
By Electronic mail: ALI@SEC.GOV

Chris Davis, Timothy McCole
801 Fort Worth Regional Office
Securities and Exchange Commission 801 Cherry Street, Suite 1900
Fort Worth, TX 76 102
By Electronic Mail DavisCa@SEC.GOV

Timothy W. Carnahan, CYIOS Corporation
President and CEO and Chairman CYIOS Corporation
2637 E. Atlantic Blvd 28464
Pompano Beach, FL 33062
By Electronic Mail to carnahan@cyios.com

RECEIVED
JAN 23 2018
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Please see attached 1/5/2018 Brief as directed by ALJ December 14th, 2017.