

Request for Termination of Administrative Proceedings due to Commission's Continued Failure to Follow Orders of its Court in the Matter of Mark Feathers, 3-15755

The Commission has, again, failed to follow the orders and the summary disposition motion filing instructions of its own Court¹. While serving a motion for summary disposition on 7-14-20, the Commission has failed to recognize, and to adhere to, its own agency mandated allowances in regards to accommodating the specific hardships, well known limitations, and procedural hardships placed onto *pro se* defendants. Specifically, the Commission has inexplicably, and inexcusably², failed to:

“serve and file as a separate document, together with its motion and supporting materials, the “Notice to Pro Se Respondent” that is attached as Exhibit A to this order, along with the full text of Rules of Practice 155, 250, and 323””

Based upon its repeated failure to follow orders of its own Court, Respondent asks this court to terminate these proceedings, or, at a minimum, to now summarily deny the Commission's motion for summary disposition. Why is a *pro se* Respondent, an unwilling participant in this federal agency administrative law proceeding, repeatedly forced to suffer hardships onto him from the Commission's failures within the Commission's own agency court of law?

Should the court deny Respondent's motion, Respondent respectfully request that his Court adjust the date of all material motion submission dates of all of its prior scheduling orders.

Respectfully,



Mark Feathers, *pro se*, Respondent

Dated: 7-16-20

¹see prior Release No. 6745 “Order Regarding Division's Inability to Comply with Prior Orders”

²Counsel for the Commission during these proceedings holds the position description of “Senior Trial Counsel”, does he not?