

Request for Stay due to Other Filing Requirements re: In the Matter of Feathers 3-15755


Respondent was approved a motion for stay on 7-10-20 by the "Secretary", in regards to a subpoena of FDIC requested by Respondent. The 7-10-20 Order is based on a 6-18-20 Order of the Secretary. Exactly who is the "secretary"? Is this one of those challenges for Respondent, as just a member of the public, of the novelties of the English language (i.e., to determine if it is the lay understanding of the word "secretary", or is it a "high level" secretary who walks with dignity through the halls of the Commission)? The Commission should engage a very high-priced study through one of the government's crony consulting firms, such as McKenzie(?), in order to determine if the use of the word "secretary" is perhaps the best one, rather than "undersecretary" to the "deputy administrator" of the "interim deputy".

On appearance, the politically appointed commissioners of the SEC, who often-times have no more experience with securities matters, law, accounting, etc., or even common sense, than Respondent, have delegated the issue of a whether a civil court filing is warranted to gain subpoena materials from FDIC.

Respondent is fully aware that this is a fruitless exercise. What power does the Commission's court have, that it must even ask career bureaucrat attorneys with the Commission for approval of such a matter? This is just kicking the can, and there is a snowball's chance in hell that *pro se* Respondent will obtain the evidentiary materials that he needs to defend himself during OIP trial (should he get that far) without direct intervention from the 9th Circuit. For, all bureaucrats of SEC are self-serving to their cause, whatever that may be, at whatever given time, and not to the Constitution, and allowances granted to common citizens such as Respondent, therein.

Anyways, Respondent asks this court to consider that he is unfairly challenged with the concurrent burden of penning up to 5,000 wasted words, while in the same week also putting finishing touches on a summary disposition filing, and on that basis, stay the requirement date of summary disposition filings¹.

Respectfully,



Mark Feathers, pro se, Respondent

Dated: 7-12-20

¹Respondent has also asked for a stay due to his 9th Circuit appeal filing to stay this OIP due to abuse of discretion by SEC's kangaroo court