

Respondent's Request to Terminate Hearings Due to Unconstitutional Double Jeopardy

in the Matter re: Feathers 3-15755


Waking up with his newest (or a continuation of his latest) [REDACTED] Respondent believes he has a possible fix on what ails him, more than other issues, at least at this present moment.

As this court is aware, the Supreme Court ruled several years ago that the Commission had not bothered to follow requirements of the Constitution in the employment of its administrative law judge. So the Commission was forced to abide by constitutional law, but only because it was directed to by SCOTUS. However, and now due to the Commission's own failure to follow Constitutional law, Respondent now suffers from double jeopardy. As though a civil conviction and criminal conviction were not enough, time served (including substantial portions of that time while shackled on overnight Tehachapi mountain "diesel therapy" runs while shackled to gang bangers, pimps, druglords, etc.) the Commission now wishes for a fourth bite at the apple.

When is enough enough? Why does the Commission continue on its witch-hunt against Respondent some eight years after seizing his company, effectively ending his career, destroying his reputation, and bringing great harm onto Respondent's family? All funded at taxpayer expense. At last count, more than thirty one federal attorneys have been involved in Respondent's direct, and related, proceedings. All against a *pro se* party.

On the basis of double jeopardy being very evident here, Respondent asks this court to now terminate these proceedings.

Respectfully,



Mark Feathers, *pro se*, Respondent

Dated 7-29-20