SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

RECEIVED APR 08 2015 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Rel. No. 74596 / March 27, 2015 Admin. Proc. File No. 3-15628 In the Matter of DANIEL IMPERATO

2008 3230 0001 4474 6872

APRIL 3 rd 015

All parties Here IN. I can't ARROND Copies

You Broke M.e.

SENT VIA CERT. REG. MAIL, RR FIVE MEMBER COMMISSIONERS INDIVIDUALLY, WHITE, AGUILAR, STEIN, GALLAGHER, PIWOWAR Pheme Mokes Copies Fer

Elizabeth M Murphy , secretary Securities and exchange commission 100 f street N.E. Washington D.C. 20549-2557

Honorable Brenda P Murray Chief administrative law judge Securities and exchange commission 100 f street N.E. Washington D.C. 20549-2557

Mr. Timothy S. Mc Cole Esq. (**PERJURER**) Securities and Exchange commission Fort worth regional office 801 cherry street ,unit 18, suit 1900 Fort worth TX. 76102

RECONSIDERATION

THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES EXCHANGE COMMISSION AND ITS COMMISSIONER ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

CLEAR TO THE POINT (RULE 470)(154) ,VACATING THE ORDER BASED ON THE MERITS AND LAW, PRESERVING RIGHTS, 28 U. S.C. 2112(A)(1)

AS A MAN OF HONOR FOR 50 YEARS KNOWN WORLD WIDE ,A PRESIDENTIAL O8 CANDIDATE ,A GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE 010 ,A PAPAL KNIGHT ,KNIGHT OF MALTA , FRIAR, AND AS A GLOBAL PUBLIC SERVANT.

NO DISHONESTY OCCURRED PERIOD ,I NEVER ACTED AS A BROKER PROVE IT , HARD EVIDENCE OF PERSONS WHOM SWORN AFFIDAVITS CROSS EXAMINED , TO YOUR STATEMENTS OF ME BEING A CLOSER AND PEDDLER OF STOCK, ,ASSETS WERE AND ARE REAL, THE PUBLIC MUST RULE ,ON

PUBLIC INTEREST BY JURY TRIAL OF PEERS NOT A COURT LIKEPROCEEDINGS.(PROVE IT TO A JURY TRIAL)

THE SETTLEMENT DID NOT FALL THROUGH(**READ DOCKETS AND LOOK** AT EVIDENCE AND THE COURT ORDERS AND OPINIONS SAYS I DID NOT SUBMIT FINANCIAL INFO. FALSE DOCKETS DON'T LIE WITH PHYSICAL EVIDENCE OF FINANCIALS FILED)(VIOLATIONS UNDER ART.I SEC.10 CLAUSE I EX-FACTO LAW .ADMITTED IN YOUR OWN PLEADINGS)

YOUR LAWYER LIED ,PURGED AND DEFRAUDED,(NO INS. NO FINANCIALS) THE COURT ,THE PEOPLE AND HE NEEDS TO BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE.

THE REGIONAL OFFICE, COMMISSION THE SAME, BY THE PUBLIC UNDER THEIR SCRUTINY WITH A CLAIMS FILED AGAINST THE COMMISSION AND ITS ACCOMPLISHES.

REQUISITIONING CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS AND BETTER YET A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION BY THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT .

YOUR COURT LIKE PUBLIC HEARING JURY LIKE COURT WHICH NEVER OCCURRED .

ONCE AGAINST DENYING MY DUE PROCESS AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, BY LAW YOUR ORDERS AND POWERS NO MORE AUTHORITY THEN YOUR NEXT DOOR NEIGHBOR UNLESS THEY ARE A JUDGE . BASED ON YOUR CLEAR WILLFUL ,KNOWINGLY ATTITUDE AND RECKLESS ABUSE OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. ABUSE OF POWER, DISCRETION REPUGNANT YOUR OPINIONS PERIOD THAT'S THE LAW .

YOU STATE YOUR RULES ALLOW THE COMMISSION TO COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL, WITH OUT FINANCIAL PENALTIES (LIFE BARR IS FINANCIAL DAMAGE DESERVING A TRIAL BY JURY OF PEERS US. CONST 5TH AMEND. AND APPOINTED LEGAL CONSUL SEE 61 WALL SLAUGHTER HOUSE CASES)

THOSE RULES ARE ONLY APPLICABLE AND LAWFUL WHEN APPLIED UNDER THE SWORN OATH TO UPHOLD THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF WHICH YOUR COMMISSION AND ITS CONSULAR'S AND ADMIN. LAW CLERKS AND JUDGES DID NOT.

THE CHAIRMAN'S COMPLACENCY OF THE OATH SHE TOOK WHEN BECOMING THE CHAIRMAN IS GROUNDS FOR IMPEACHMENT FROM THE OFFICE AND DESERVE A FULL COURT AND CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW OF THE PROCEDURES AN PRACTICES OF THE ABUSE OF POWER AND TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES .

THE PUBLIC SHALL BE ASHAMED AND DISGRACED AT YOUR

UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES IN VIOLATION OF THE LAWS OF THE LAND IN THIS CASE OF PASSION AND PREJUDICED AGAINST ME WITH NO PROOF OF MY CHARACTER BEING A SCIENTER OF A CRIMINAL ACT OR ANY UNLAWFUL ACTS.

(SEC. V RAPPOPORT) ((HURTADO V CALIFORNIA) (MARC GABELLI V SEC) (SARBANES OXLY)(ANDERSEN V LIBERTY) (15USC &78D-5) (FED. CIV 56(C)(2) (1ST 4TH,5TH 6TH,7TH 14TH AMENDMENTS) (ART. III)(REG D 506) (BLUE SKY LAWS) (NO JOBS ACT EX-FACTO OUT DATED)(APA 5 USC &&552 A)(PROF. CODES OF CONDUCT) (APA 5, USC 701-706) (JANUS CAPITAL GROUP V FIRST TRADERS 131,S CT 2296)

I DID NOT WRITE(**SAFE HARBORED**) PRESS RELEASES . NOR THE EDGAR FILINGS FOR THE COMPANY DURING YOUR PERIOD OF ALLEGED CLAIMS FROM 2005 -2008.

IN FACT I WAS NOT IN CONTROL AS OF OCT 2007 . YOUR ENTIRE CASE HAS BEEN RULED ON WITH USE OF EX-FACTO LAWS AND IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF (SOX. AND THE US CONST.)

DISMUSS Chichman V Goldman Sachs 868 F Sopp 2 26 WELLS STATEMENTS JUNE 08 AT MY REQUEST TO RECOVER MY COMPANY VIOLATED AND TIME BARRED FOR THE ENTIRE CASE AND ADMIN. PROC. (17 CFR SEC.202.5) (C) FAILURE TO PROSECUTE TIMELY, RULE 232, 233,234,235,AND 240, 326 5 USC USC 556 (A) RULE 100, ALL VIOLATED

RULES 510,511, 512,514, 540, 630, 17 CFR, 209.1900, 110,6200.41 202.5, 28 USC 2462 ALL VIOLATED BY YOUR OWN PEOPLE.

I PIERCED EVERY ELEMENT OF THE BOGUS CASE AND WAS DENIED PLEADINGS BY VACATING ,MOOTING THEM EVEN WHEN THEY WERE EVIDENCE FOR THE COURT .

NOT EVEN A EVIDENTIARY HEARING IN A COURT ROOM PRIOR TO ENTERING (UNLAWFUL UN ENTITLED) A PARTIAL FINAL SUMMARY JUDGE WITH A NON CONSENTED MAGISTRATE RECOMMENDATION S REPORT IN VIOLATION OF THE RULES OF THE COURT (72,73, AMEND 41 AND THE MAGISTRATE ACTS) AND ADOPTED BY A COMPLACENT JUDGE.

THE OPINION IS ORDERED BASED IGNORING FACTUAL EVIDENCE, DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS, (NON FEDERAL COURT JUDGE ORDERED THE PUBLIC HEARINGS NEVER HEARD) USED OTHER CASE ENBANC HEADED FOR THE SUPREME COURT AND REDRESS. THE COURT CASE, APPEAL OPINION, AND YOUR OPINION ARE VOID AS MATTER OF LAW.(PARK LANE 439 US AT 331) DECIDED WITH OUT ANY REBUTTAL AND CLARIFICATION OF THE VIOLATIONS OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

IGNORED THE PLEADINGS CONCERNING SUCH VIOLATIONS NOW TIME BARRED. IN DEFAULT DIRECT ADMITTANCE OF GUILT OF THE SAID VIOLATIONS.

THE COMMISSION AND ITS ACCOMPLISHES WITH OUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, UNCONSTITUTIONAL, REPUGNANT ORDERS, IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION DUE PROCESS CLAUSE ART. III US. CONST AND IN VIOLATION OF SARBANES- OXLEY(SOX STATUTES 2-3, CLAIMS OF 05 TO 08) (08 NOT POSSIBLE I SOLD COMPANY IN LATE 07)WITH US OF EX-FACTO LAWS DURING MY DENATURALIZATION (YANG V HOLDER)

HELD CLOSED DOOR PROCEEDINGS WITH A BIAS ,PREJUDICED UNLAWFUL TRIAL LIKE JURY OF PEERS IN A PUBLIC FORUM OF WHICH NEVER TOOK PLACE. (ORDERED) .

EVIDENCE WHICH NEVER WAS PROVIDED TO MEET THE BURDEN OF PROOF STANDARDS OF ANY COURT IN THESE UNITED STATES NOR IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR OWN RULES AND REGULATIONS OF EVEN THE ORDERS ISSUED BY YOUR OWN LAW CLERKS.(SEC.V RAPPOPORT)

THE PLEADINGS ,RULING ,AND INTERPRETATIONS IN YOUR OPINION ARE DIRECTLY IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATH OF OFFICE ,IN VIOLATIONS OF THE JUDICIARY ACTS OF 1789, AND ARE TREASON AGAINST THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS AND YOUR OWN RULES AND REGULATIONS .

IN FACT A DIRECTED SETTLEMENT (BY A MASTER) AGREEMENT ORDERED CASE CLOSED AS SETTLED (NO SCRIBNER'S ERROR) WITH NO OBJECTIONS WITH IN 14 DAYS (BARRING TIME LIMIT AND APPEAL OR ANY FURTHER USE IN ANY COURT PROCEEDINGS NOT TO BROUGHT UP WHICH FORFEITED YOUR RIGHTS) FOR ENTERING A (UNLAWFUL) SUMMARY JUDGMENT(WITH OVER WHELMING GENUINE MATERIAL FACTS AND TRIABLE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES PLEADED AND PHYSICAL EVIDENCES SUBMITTED TO THE COURT SEE DOCKETS)

ARBITRARILY AND CAPRICIOUSLY TAKE AWAY MY ORDER JURY TRAIL AND (ALL DISCOVERY AND EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS)FROM THE COMMISSION (DE 100 -104) SIGNED AND NOTARIZED ,WITNESSED BY JUDGE PALERMO AND MARSHAL HOENIG WITH FINANCIALS TAXES TURNED OVER FOR THE PERIOD ,FOLLOWED BY FINANCIALS FILES IN THE LOWER COURT AND SENT TO TINA JUSTICE BY YOUR PRE PAID UPS.(DOCKETS DON'T LIE WHY DON'T YOU LOOK AT THEM AND CALL TINA TO THE WITNESS STAND AS IN SUBPOENA OF WHICH YOU DENIED ME THE RIGHT TOO) LYING IN COURT UNDER OATH IS FRAUD NOT ONLY CONCERNING THE SETTLEMENT BREECH OF CONTACT . (I HAD NO

INSURANCE).

SETTLEMENT AGREED TO IN FRONT OF A MASTER 40 YEARS VETERAN MAGISTRATE JUDGE PALERMO.

HE STATES DURING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE AS FOLLOWS:

THE SEC LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE TIMOTHY MC COLE ESQ WAS ORDERED TO ADJUST(CORRECT) I EARNED 2 MILLION ILLEGAL DOLLARS.(IN A MINDSET OF SCIENTER WHICH HAS NEVER BEEN PROVEN BY ANY HEIGHTEN PLEADINGS STANDARDS NOR BY ANY THIRD PARTY EVIDENCE THAT I ACTED A S A CLOSER AS IN (SEC V.RAPPOPORT).

THE GOVERNMENT LEGAL COUNSEL IS ONLY INTERESTED IN THE NOTCH ON HIS RESUME FOR THE DAY HE LEAVES THE SEC. FOR HS OWN PRACTICE

JUDGE RYSKAMP WHOM DEMANDED SETTLEMENT WOULD NOT ALLOW A TRIAL BY JURY .(JUDICIARY ACTS 1789 VIOLATED) TRIAL BY JURY ORDERED BY HIM AND DEMANDS I MUST SETTLE.

STATED MARCO RUBIO IS A LAIR SEVERAL TIMES IN HIS COURT ROOM (PROOF POSITIVE THAT GENUINE MATERIAL FACTUAL EVIDENCES SUPPORTING MY CLAIMS OF POLITICAL ASSIGNATION, DEFORMATIONS AND BLACK BALLING)

SHAMING THE INTERNET WITH ONE FILINGS WITH OUT FILING MY RESPONSES. UNFAIR USE OF THE MEDIA.

MY EX WIFE STATES IN HER COURT ROOM THAT REPRESENTATIVE BILL HAGER HER DATE .

STATED THAT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY WILL DESTROY ME IF I DON'T SUPPORT THEM AND NOT OBAMA AND THAT THEY WANT ME OUT OF THE WAY .

IF I DON'T TREAT HER GOOD THE SEC. WONT TREAT ME GOOD.

A DOJ RETIRE ANONYMOUS INVESTIGATOR OPENS MY FILES WITH THE IRS ,FBI AND SEC. AND TELLS ME CLEARLY JUST LOOK AT THE FILE AND YOU WILL FIND WHO AND WHY THIS WAS DONE TO YOU.

IN CONJUNCTION WITH A BOGUS CASE FILED PAST THE STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS UNDER SARBANES OXLY RULES STARTING INVESTIGATION AND WITH ALLEGED ACTS IN 2005 TILL 2008. (marc gabelli v sec.) 28 usc &2462

IN FACT I TURNED OVER CONTROL OF MY COMPANY IN 2006 TO

MANAGEMENT WHICH IS REFLECTED IN THE SEC, FILES. YOU STATE I RECEIVED 5 MILLION SHARES WELL YES I DID PRIOR TO ANY REG, APPLICATION NOR BDC.

I RECEIVE THESE PREFERRED SHARES (ISSUED BY CPA AND RESPONSIBLE PARTY TO SEC. CHARLES FISCINA) (JANUS CAPITAL GROUP INC V TRADERS 131 S CT 2296)

FILED IN SUN BIZ.FL. IN JUNE 2006 BEFORE SEC. FILING UNDER BDC RULES)FOR THE SALE OF MY ASSETS OF WHICH YOUR BIAS ANALYSIS ASSET'S DID NOT EXIST .

FALSE CLAIMS, BLATANT FRAUD, PERJURY AND SHALL BE TURNED OVER TO THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT FOR A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION AND POSSIBLE INQUIRY OF IMPEACHMENT.

YOUR LAWYERS RAIL ROAD ME AND NEVER HAVE A HEARING IN A COURT ROOM AND THEN TAKE AWAY MY RIGHTS TO A JURY TRIAL OF PEERS.

MAKES YOUR KANGAROO STYLE PROCEEDINGS UNFAIR ,UNJUST ,BIAS AND PREJUDICE AND UNLAWFUL IN TREASON AGAINST CONSTITUTION AND REPUGNANT AS A MATTER OF LAW.

(I COULD CARELESS ABOUT BEING A STOCK BROKER BUT I DO CARE AND WILL CLEAR MY NAME AT WHAT COSTS BY ANY AND ALL MEANS UNTIL THE END OF DAYS AS STATED IN INITIAL AND ONLY CONFERENCE CALL WITH LAW CLERK JUDGE) (HARDLY DUE PROCESS OF LAW)

THEN YOUR LAM DUCK RESPONSE CONCERNING THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND THE WELLS STATEMENTS GIVEN IN JUNE OF 2008.

DON'T EVEN MEET YOUR OWN STANDARDS OF REVIEW AND ARE TIME BARRED 2 TO 3 YRS STATUTES WITH NO VISIBLE REPORTS SIGNED OFF BY A QUORUM.

YOU PLACED ME AND MY FAMILY IN INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE FOR LIFE ,VIOLATING MY 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHTS. (UNCOLLECTIBLE) REPUGNANT 2. MM DOLLAR(NO AUDITED TAX RETURN CAN JUSTIFY IT) JUDGMENT AND BARRS FOR LIFE (WHY??? U TELL ME ALLEGED CRIMES FROM 05 -08 NEVER PROSECUTED NOR CEASED AND DESISTS) (FAILURE TO PROSECUTE TIMELY BARRS YOUR ENTIRE CASE).

COLLATERAL ESTOPPELS WITH A LIFE TIME BAR WHICH IS MORE THEN ONE YEAR INCARCERATION ,A CRIMINAL CASE AND OFFENSE ,YOU DENY ME RIGHTS TO MY LAWYERS UNDER MY D AN O INS, DENY ME RIGHTS TO LAWYERS UNDER THE US CONSTITUTION , (16 wall, SLAUGHTER HOUSE

CASES)

ORDER A REPUGNANT COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ORDERED IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF YOUR OWN RULES ,STATUTES ,CONSTRUCTIONAL LAWS AND RULES AND PROCEDURES OF THE UNITED STATED COURT CONCERNING DISCOVERY ,EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS AND A EQUAL ACCESS TO THE COURT IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS .

WHAT HAPPEN TO INNOCENT UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY BY A JURY TRIAL OF PEERS AS IN THE $14^{\rm TH}$ AMENDMENT , $6^{\rm TH}$ AND $7^{\rm TH}$ AMENDMENTS AND OF COURSE MY $5^{\rm TH}$ AMENDMENT RIGHTS ALONG WITH $1^{\rm ST}$ AMENDMENT .

THEY ARE MERIT LESS BY YOUR STANDARDS OF REVIEW AND OPINION AND ORDERED.

THIS IS SETS A VERY BAD PRECEDENCE FOR THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL SYSTEM AND FOR YOUR ADMINISTRATIVE LAW PROCEEDINGS.

DENIED ME THE VERY MOST IMPORTANT CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO A TRAIL JURY OF PEERS (**NEVER WAIVED**)AND MY RIGHTS UNDER YOUR OWN MAN MADE LAWS CONCERNING THE STATUES OF LIMITATION AS IN SARBANES OXLY AND HEIGHTEN PLEADINGS CONCERNING ESTABLISHING SCIENTER AS IN (*SEC. V RAPPOPORT*) WHICH HAS NOT BEEN ESTABLISH.

ESTABLISH FACTS NOT HEARSAY, AND HAVE THE GUMPTION TO UPHOLD YOUR SWORN OATHS OF OFFICE AND ALLOW ME MY RIGHTS TO A TRIAL BY JURY OF PEERS.

NOT ISSUE A PREJUDICED ORDER OF PASSION JUST TO DESTROY MY LIFE AND TAKE AWAY MY SEEN CLEAR AS DAY .

THE SETTLEMENT JUST DID NOT WORK OUT WHAT ABOUT YOUR RULES 100 ,BECAUSE OF MY ATTITUDE (JOKE HARDLY PROFESSIONAL OR GENUINE JUST HEARSAY)BASED ON MY CONTAINED DESIRE TO CLEAR MY NAME WITH NO INTENTION OF BEING A STOCKBROKER AND NEVER ACTED AS ONE FILING ORDER SEC. FILINGS BY OTHER THIRD PARTIES(JANUS CAPITAL GROUP V FIRST TRADERS 131,S CT 2296) (DOUBLE JEOPARDY)WHOM WERE SANCTIONS FOR THE SAME INVESTORS FRED BIRKS ,devito,esposito under cantract gryphone inv. WIEDA COMM. (SEE 2008 lr 2056&2011 34-63862 & us v birks) PROFESSIONAL(con men) COVERED UNDER YOUR RULES.

EXEMPT FROM REG. 504,506 ,PREPARED AND COMPLETED BY ACCOUNTANT, LAWYERS AND LISC. SERIES 7 AND OTHER OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS /STOCK BROKERS WHOM RAISED FUNDS AND FILED BLUE

SKIES NOT ME.

I WAS NOT A BROKER , A PRINCIPLE OF A COMPANY, I BUILT.

WAS STOLEN FROM IN LATE 2007 WHEN ERIC SKY'S KAISER HIMMIL TOOK OVER . BAPTIZED BY AUDITORS AND LAWYERS WHOM WERE CONNED(**NOT BY ME)** AND HE WAS JAILED THEN I UNDER A HERCULEAN PROCESS TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC INTEREST.(SEC, AND THE INSURANCE COMPANIES AND HIRED CONSUL) case s in appeal ,1 not paid your fise claims. I WENT YOU FOR HELP RECOVERING, AMENDING AND RESTATED ALL FINANCIALS WITH NO EDUCATION(SEE EDGAR FILINGS).

YOU CHARGE ME FOR FRAUD AND COST ME FOR THEN 20 DOLLARS AND THEN DON'T FACE ME IN COURT NOR IN YOUR ORDERED PUBLIC HEARINGS AND HIDE BEHIND CLOSED DOORS.(I WANT COMMISSIONS SIGNOFF ON THIS CASE AND THE PERSONS WHOM DETERMINED SUCH CASE WAS WORTH SPENDING TAX PAYER MONEY ON) &2.4 enforcement manual . Show me commissioners approvals of suit and settlement . Quorum , there are none.

ORDERING A BIAS OPINION UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND WITH OUR A DAY IN COURT OR EVEN A PUBLIC HEARING TRIAL LIKE JURY ORDERED BY YOUR JUDGES CAMERON.(IGNORED AND DENIED)

ABUSE OF POWER AND DISCRETION , OPINION MUST BE VACATED AT ONCE.

RETRACTOR YOU WILL BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE VIOLATIONS OF YOUR SWORN OATHS OF OFFICE , VIOLATIONS OF THE JUDICIARY ACTS ,CONSPIRACY AGAINST A US CITIZENS RIGHTS WITH WILL FULL INTENT IN A MIND SET TO RUIN HIS LIFE .

THE PRECEDENCE SET HERE IS CLEAR AS DAY THE SEC. HAS NO GOVERNANCE AND STONE WALLS AND CORRALS CITIZENS .

TO KEEP THEIR JOBS AND PAY THEIR BILLS WITH OUT ANY CARE AND RECKLESSNESS TOWARD THE LAWS OF THE LAND ,CITIZENS RIGHTS.

OOOO GOVERNANCE UNDER THEIR OWN GUIDE LINES WHICH LEAVES ,THE SUPREME COURT TO DEFEND THE CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS.

THEY ARE SO BACKED UP, THE SEC. IS AWARE THAT THEY ARE UN GOVERNABLE AND INSULTED FROM THEIR OWN ILLEGAL UNLAWFUL UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTIONS.

ISSUING UNWARRANTED UNJUSTIFIABLE NON TRIABLE CLAIMS WITH NO EVIDENCE FROM A CREDITABLE THIRD PARTY CROSS EXAMINED AFFORDED ME BY LAW .

THEY HAVE 000000000 GENUINE FACTUAL EVIDENCE(ALL HEARSAY CONCERNING PRESIDENTIAL RUN, ASSETS DID NOT EXIST WELL WHAT ABOUT SEARCH ENGINE PUBLIC RANKING, CABLE PROJECT NOW BEING LAUNCH BY A COMPETITOR AND PRESS DISTRIBUTION IN OVER 150 COUNTRIES WITH RECIPROCAL LINKS AND LANDING PARTIES WORLD WIDE, NOT TO MOTION THE FILM(JOHN DALY DIRECTOR WAS HE A GHOST) (RAUL GARONNE AS YOU ALLEGED IN YOUR BOGUS COMPLAINT.) AND BOOKS WRITTEN AND TV SHOW SCRIPTS THESE FALSE CLAIMS ARE BOGUS (GIVEN PROPER ALLOCATION OF MY RIGHTS WOULD HAVE HAS ANY NORMAL JURY FIND ME INNOCENT AND FINE YOU FOR THE DAMAGES YOU CAUSE ME IN THE HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS IF NOT BILLIONS TO BE CLAIMED IN SEPARATE SUIT) W

VACATE YOUR ORDER OR BE BROUGHT TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS AND THE SUPREME COURT IF REQUIRED .

EVERY MEDIA OUTLET IN THIS COUNTRY NEEDS TO RELEASE THIS TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC FROM ABUSE OF POWER AND DISCRETION IN VIOLATION OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS OF THE LAND AGAINST OTHER CITIZENS JUST LIKE ME. (POLITICAL FOOT BALL AND IMPEACHMENT PROCEEDINGS FOR THE PUBLIC TO HEAR ALL)

THIS AS A FORMAL 30 DAY NOTICE BY LAW TO THE GOVERNMENT QUASI ORGANIZATION OF MY INTENT TO FILE COUNTER CLAIMS, SUIT AND APPEAL FROM THIS UNWARRANTED OPINION BASED ON VIOLATION S OF THE LAWS OF THE LAW WITH PASSION AND PREJUDICE.

IN THE PUBLIC S BEST INTEREST REDEEM YOUR SELF OR BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE UNDER MOSSES LAWS ,THE SUPREME LAWS OF THE LAND.

YOUR CONSULAR'S USED LAMB DUCK EXCUSE IN LOWER COURT HE COULD NOT SPEND MONEY TO ATTEND COURT PROCEEDINGS OF 1500.00 DOLLARS . STATING GOVERNMENT CANT AFFORD TO PAY , BECAUSE HE WOULD NEVER FACE ME IN COURT .

ANY NORMAL JURY WOULD RULE IN MY FAVOR LETS TRY IT COWARD.

OPINION OF THE COMMISSION BROKER-DEALER PROCEEDING Grounds for Remedial Action UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND REPUGNANT AND OBJECTED

UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT I HAVE A TRIAL BY JURY OF PEERS AFFORDED TO ME BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AS A US CITIZEN.

I REBUKE AND DENY THIS OPINION AS UNLAWFUL AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION AND VOID AS A MATTER OF LAW OF THE LAND.

Injunction

Respondent was permanently enjoined from violating antifraud, registration, and other provisions of the federal securities laws. Held, it is in the public interest to bar him from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization and from participation in any penny stock offering. APPEARANCES: none by me

Daniel Imperato, pro se. Timothy S. McCole and B. David Fraser, for the Division of Enforcement. Appeal filed: July 28, 2014 Last brief received: November 17, 2014

I NEVER APPEARED IN FRONT OF ANY HEARING. INITIAL PHONE CONFERENCE CALL, FALSE HOODS OF APPEARANCES BY ME THERE WERE NONE. THE PUBLIC INTEREST IS AT STAKE AND THEY NEED TO KNOW ABOUT THE COMSSSIONS ATTITUDE CONCERNING CITIZENS RIGHTS.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

UPHOLD THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND YOUR OWN STANDARDS .REVERSE YOUR ORDER AND DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE. HOLD ACCOUNTABLE YOUR PERSONAL FOR THEIR PERJURY ,FRAUD AND OTHER VIOLATIONS OF THE LAWS OF THE LAND.

PAY FOR THE DAMAGES YOU HAVE CAUSE ME AS AGGRIEVED PERSON AND HIS REPUTATION SHAMED OVER THE INTERNET.

Pro Se

THE COURT ORDER TO UNPUBLISHED APPELLATE COURT OPINION . YOU STILL PUBLISHED TT WITH NO REGARDS FOR THE APPELLATE COURT RULINGS.

Dr. Fr. Daniel Imperato, km,ssp, pro se

Certificate of service, I hereby certify that the parties ABOVE were served with a true and correct copy of the forgoing by us mail.