Kimps, Melissa

From: Sent: To: Subject: ALJ Monday, October 28, 2013 9:33 AM Kimps, Melissa FW: Registered: Administrative Proceeding involving Left Behind Games

From: troylyndon@gmail.com [mailto:troylyndon@gmail.com] Sent: Monday, October 21, 2013 8:35 PM To: Kirka, Lucee S.; ALJ Cc: Matteson, Karen L. Subject: Registered: Administrative Proceeding involving Left Behind Games

OFFICE OF THE S

REGISTERED EMAIL

PROOF OF DELIVERY. PROOF OF CONTENT. PROOF OF TIME.™

This is a Registered Email® message from Troy Lyndon.

Hi Ms. Kirka, cc: Karen Matteson, Chief Administrative Law Judge – Honorable Brenda P. Murray

I have now sent you 3 emails and you have responded to none of them – which is why I'm using RPost, to have a documented record of this communication to you and SEC. Can you please provide a copy of this email to the Honorable Brenda Murray?

The purpose of this email is to communicate that I am prepared to cooperate with the Commission regarding the administrative proceeding as required in my Consent agreement, which I signed with SEC two weeks ago to resolve the "civil" case brought by SEC. Now that I have since then resigned as Chairman and CEO of the company, is my presence at the administrative proceeding still required?

Per my previous email to you, which you have not replied to, I have been attempting to communicate my inability to advance the travel costs to fly to Washington, DC – please read on to understand why. Nevertheless, I am prepared to be available by phone, if necessary. What day and time should I plan to be available?

Now that the "civil" case with SEC has been settled, without any admission or denial required, the primary matter to address in the administrative proceeding is the issue of the company's filings.

As you know, I believe that government regulators and investigators have interfered, and actually coordinated across agency boundaries against the business interests of Left Behind Games. Our attorney, Joseph Lucosky, reported that FINRA's examiner told him plainly, "Ms. Kirka told us that SEC's private investigation began considerably before the actual order of investigation date." In other words, you (Ms. Kirka) told FINRA that SEC had been investigating the company informally for some time. The question is, how long? Is it possible that SEC was involved in the PCAOB's backdoor deal with our former auditor just 3 months earlier? That action destroyed Left Behind Games' perfect compliance record and disabled it from raising capital for at least 7 months. Yes, as you know, despite these difficulties, Left Behind Games completed the new audit with Malone-Bailey, one of America's largest small-issuer public company auditing firms. On October 11, 2013, Left Behind Games then filed it's first-ever registration statement in more than 5 years as a public company. But the SEC requested the company withdrawal is filing. Your success of conspiring with FINRA, and possibly PCAOB, resulted in Left Behind Games' continual inability to raise capital.

1

FINRA's reason for denial of the company's reverse-split corporate action was your "private" investigation. Your phone records and sworn testimony by the examiner's assistant "Elik" can prove it. The whole point here is to present what is necessary to prove that your direct actions are the reason why Left Behind Games' filings were and continue to be delinquent.

After having invested everything I own into Left Behind Games, the events that transpired afterward included my own personal bankruptcy filed last year in 2012. My newfound financial condition also left me unable to defend myself adequately in the "civil" matter SEC filed against me last month, which is why I had no reasonable choice than to settle promptly and Consent to a lifetime ban from public company life.

Now, in reading section III(B) of SEC's Order Instituting Administrative Proceeds and Notice of Hearing, I can see that SEC is seeking to suspend the trading of Left Behind Games' stock or revoke the registration of each class of securities of the company. As you know, I am the owner of Left Behind Games' Series "C" class of preferred shares, and remain the only shareholder with voting control to install a new Board of Directors. As we all know, without such class of shares, any other potential benefit for current investors will disappear as any new party coming in will assume a lengthy and potentially too expensive vote to install a new Board.

Right now, Left Behind Games still exists and has 1) distribution into Walmart and similar big-box retailers, 2) has products into more than 500 retail locations and still has an 3) important online presence, 4) is served with its own automated digital rights management system and 5) retail web presence; 6) has valuable intellectual property rights.

Yes, the company is literally running itself electronically without a Board or management. But it can only do this for a short time. I have already had myself removed from the company's bank accounts, and other than previously setup debits to pay for servers and other services, the company will shortly be unable to continue without new leadership. In the niche of inspirational video games, Left Behind Games has developed the highest quality product line in its class. Anyone involved in the proceeding is welcome to go to <u>www.inspiredmedia.com</u>, click on Downloads, add every product into the shopping cart, and use the code "freeone" to purchase them at no-charge for evaluation purposes. Another valuable asset is the company's rights to the Left Behind branded book series for video games. The company's name itself is based upon these popular books published by Tim LaHaye and Jerry Jenkins, which have sold more than 65 million worldwide. It is already public knowledge that Nicholas Cage is expected to soon star in a new film based upon the #1 New York Times best-selling Left Behind book series. Unless I'm given the opportunity to help this company forward with new leadership, everything will dissipate and become worthless to investors.

Because I know it is virtually impossible to conduct proper discovery and depositions for testimony involving government employees, all I can ask is for this administrative court judge to dismiss the pleading based upon my testimony herein, and if this is not acceptable, then request that the judge provide the company with the legal means to pursue evidence described herein through subpoenas, depositions, etc... just as would any non-governmental body be required.

Personally speaking, I remain focused on moving on with a new life while honoring my recently signed Consent agreement with SEC. I look forward to hearing your decision.

Should you have any questions, please just let me know.

Sincerely, Troy Lyndon

Click here to send a Registered Email[®] message to anyone.

®Powered by RPost*